

UNIVERSITY OF KWAZULU-NATAL (HOWARD
COLLEGE)

MASTERS THESIS

Discrete Energy Minimisation Optimisation using Graph Cuts for Fluorescence Microscopy

Author:
Ryan NAIDOO

Supervisor:
Dr. Jules-Raymond TAPAMO

*A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of Master of Science in Engineering
in the*

Department of Electrical, Electronic and Computer Engineering
School of Engineering

September 12, 2016

Declaration of Authorship

I, Ryan NAIDOO, declare that this thesis titled, “Discrete Energy Minimisation Optimisation using Graph Cuts for Fluorescence Microscopy” and the work presented in it are my own. I confirm that:

- This work was done wholly or mainly while in candidature for a research degree at this University.
- Where any part of this thesis has previously been submitted for a degree or any other qualification at this University or any other institution, this has been clearly stated.
- Where I have consulted the published work of others, this is always clearly attributed.
- Where I have quoted from the work of others, the source is always given. With the exception of such quotations, this thesis is entirely my own work.
- I have acknowledged all main sources of help.
- Where the thesis is based on work done by myself jointly with others, I have made clear exactly what was done by others and what I have contributed myself.

Signed:

Date:

"Thanks to my solid academic training, today I can write hundreds of words on virtually any topic without possessing a shred of information, which is how I got a good job in journalism."

Dave Barry

UNIVERSITY OF KWAZULU-NATAL (HOWARD COLLEGE)

Abstract

Faculty of Engineering
School of Engineering

Master of Science in Engineering

**Discrete Energy Minimisation Optimisation using Graph Cuts for Fluorescence
Microscopy**

by Ryan NAIDOO

The Thesis Abstract is written here (and usually kept to just this page). The page is kept centered vertically so can expand into the blank space above the title too...

Acknowledgements

The acknowledgments and the people to thank go here, don't forget to include your project advisor. . .

Contents

| | |
|---|------------|
| Declaration of Authorship | i |
| Abstract | iii |
| Acknowledgements | iv |
| List of Figures | vi |
| List of Tables | vii |
| List of Abbreviations | ix |
| Physical Constants | xi |
| List of Symbols | xii |
| 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Outline and Contributions | 1 |
| 1.2 Thesis Overview | 1 |
| 2 Fluorescence Microscopy | 2 |
| 2.1 Physics of Fluorescence | 2 |
| 2.2 Specimen Labelling | 4 |
| 2.3 The Epifluorescence Microscope and Image Acquisition | 5 |
| 2.4 Image Processing | 8 |
| 2.5 Object Measurement and Analysis | 10 |
| 3 Pre-Processing and Post-Processing Scheme for Fluorescence Microscopy Images | 13 |
| 3.1 Removal of Artifacts using Connected Components | 13 |
| 3.2 Anisotropic Diffusion | 13 |
| 3.2.1 Coherence Enhancing Diffusion | 13 |
| 3.2.2 Coherence Enhancing Diffusion with Optimised Rotational Invariance | 13 |
| 3.3 Poisson Denoising | 13 |
| 3.3.1 Total-Variation Denoising | 13 |
| 3.4 Contrast Enhancement | 13 |
| Bibliography | 14 |

List of Figures

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 2.1 | (a) Simplified fluorescence process. (b) The Jabłoński diagram depicting the electronics states from photon absorption to photo emission. | 3 |
| 2.2 | Normalised Excitation and Emmision Spectra of the Alexa Fluor 532 fluorphore. The emmission maximum is at $553nm$ which is a more yellow-green than excitation maximum at $528nm$. This image was generated using FluoScout™ web application by Leica Microsystems for determining the optimal fluorescence filter cube set. http://www.leica-microsystems.com/fluoscout/ | 4 |
| 2.3 | (a) FISH (Fluorescent 'in-situ' Hybridization) in mouse chromosomes using a BAC clone labeled with Spectrum Orange. The picture shows two metaphases and one interphase with two signals in each exempling a homozygous mouse for a transgenic clone. Image Source: "All About the Human Genome Project" Genetic and Genomic Image and Illustration Database. (b) p53 Binding Protein 1 (53BP1) was detected in perfusion fixed frozen sections of rat kidney using Goat Anti-Human 53BP1 Antigen Affinity-purified Polyclonal Antibody (Catalog # AF1877) at $15 \mu g/mL$ overnight at $4^{\circ}C$. Tissue was stained using the NorthernLights™557-conjugated Anti-Goat IgG Secondary Antibody (red; Catalog # NL001) and counterstained with DAPI (blue). Specific staining was localized to nuclei of epithelial cells in convoluted tubules. Image Source: R&D Systems' IHC image database. https://unlockinglifescode.org/media/images/ https://www.rndsystems.com/resources/ihc-images/53bp1 | 6 |
| 2.4 | The schematic of the epifluorescence microscope. | 6 |
| 2.5 | (a) Original image. (b) Nonuniformly illuminated image. The Vignette effect. (c) Image corrupted by Poisson noise. | 8 |
| 2.6 | The effect of photobleaching. | 9 |
| 2.7 | The image formation process. | 10 |

List of Tables

List of Algorithms

List of Abbreviations

| | |
|--------------|--|
| ACWE | Active Contours Without Edges |
| AOD | Average Optical Density |
| BCC | Boundary Chain Code |
| CED | Coherence Enhancing Diffusion |
| CCD | Charge-Coupled Device |
| CLSM | Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy |
| CRF | Conditional Random Field |
| DCC | Differential Chain Code |
| DNA | Deoxyribonucleic Acid |
| DT | Delaunay Triangulation |
| EGFP | Enhanced Green Fluorescent Protein |
| EM | Expectation Maximisation |
| FCS | Fluorescence Correlation Spectroscopy |
| FIFO | First-In First-Out |
| FISH | Fluorescence in-situ Hybridisation |
| FLIM | Fluorescence Lifetime Imaging Microscopy |
| FRAP | Fluorescence Recovery After Photobleaching |
| FRET | Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer |
| GFP | Green Fluorescent Protein |
| GLCM | Gray Level Co-occurrence Matrix |
| GMM | Gaussian Mixture Modelling |
| ICC | Immunocytochemistry |
| ICF | Immunocytofluorescence |
| IHC | Immunohistochemistry |
| IHF | Immunohistofluorescence |
| IOD | Integrated Optical Density |
| Laser | Light Amplification by Stimulated Emission of Radiation |
| LED | Light Emitting Diode |
| MAP | Maximum A Posteriori |
| MIS | Medical Image Segmentation |
| MLP | Multi-Layered Perceptron |
| MRF | Markov Random Field |
| MST | Minimum Spanning Tree |
| NA | Numerical Aperture |
| ORI | Optimised Rotational Invariance |
| PSF | Point Spread Function |
| RNA | Ribonucleic Acid |
| SNR | Signal-to-Noise Ratio |
| TV | Total Variation |
| UV | Ultraviolet |

Physical Constants

Speed of Light $c = 2.997\,924\,58 \times 10^8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ (exact)
Planck's Constant $h = 6.626\,070\,04 \times 10^{-34} \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg s}^{-1}$ (exact)

List of Symbols

| | | |
|----------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| a | distance | m |
| P | power | W (J s^{-1}) |
| ω | angular frequency | rad |

For/Dedicated to/To my...

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Outline and Contributions

The introduction is here.

1.2 Thesis Overview

The remainder of the thesis outline.

Chapter 2 is where we cover the mathematical foundation to Graph Cut image segmentation.

Chapter ?? is where we cover the mathematical foundation to Graph Cut image segmentation.

Chapter ?? is where we cover the mathematical foundation to Graph Cut image segmentation.

Chapter ?? is where we cover the mathematical foundation to Graph Cut image segmentation.

Chapter ?? is where we cover the mathematical foundation to Graph Cut image segmentation.

Chapter 3 is where we cover the mathematical foundation to Graph Cut image segmentation.

Chapter ?? concludes the thesis with suggestions for further work.

Chapter 2

Fluorescence Microscopy

[1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12] [13]

What is fluorescence microscopy? What's its purpose in the thesis? Photoluminescence -> fluorescence and phosphorescence. Discovery of fluorescence: Brief history and evolution. Brief discussion on the remainder of the chapter. Fluorescence microscopy has become an essential tool in diverse fields, such as petrology, semiconductors, etc, and has especially been established as a choice imaging technique in cellular and molecular biology for visualisation of cells and tissues [14–18]. In this thesis we confine our attention to its use in cellular biology.

Certain substances emit radiation when irradiated with a higher intensity light, such as ultraviolet (UV), blue or green, which is of a longer wavelength than that of the exciting light, this is known as *Stokes' Law*. This phenomenon is known as *photoluminescence* [19–22]. There are two types of photoluminescence. If emission persists at an appreciable level after the exciting light is turned off, then we call this *phosphorescence*. If emission persists only so long as the exciting light is on, then we call this *fluorescence* [19, 23].

The first observance and publishing of fluorescence is credited to Sir John Frederick William Herschel around 1852. In 1852, Sir John George Stokes published a 100 page treatise about this luminescent phenomenon and coined the term *fluorescence*, over Herschel's *dispersive reflection*, when he observed that the mineral *fluorite* emitted red light when irradiated by ultraviolet (UV) light [15, 24].

In the remainder of this chapter we present the underlying principles of fluorescence, how specimens are fluorescently marked, the optical principles of microscope design, image acquisition, image processing and common analysis in cellular biology. We only go so far in depth as to present a rudimentary understanding of fluorescence microscopy as is necessary for the comprehension of this thesis.

2.1 Physics of Fluorescence

Why is it necessary to understand the principles of fluorescence? Simple description of the fluorescence process. A more in-depth explanation of the fluorescence process and why stuff goes wrong e.g. phosphorescence, fading, etc. Fluorescence microscopy is a cross-disciplinary field. It is encouraged, if not necessary, to have a photophysical understanding of the principles of fluorescence, for biologists and computer scientists. The knowledge of the "under the hood" mechanics of fluorescence empower computer scientists to make informed and directed research in terms of image processing. The aim here is to present an elementary introduction into the physics of fluorescence.




FIGURE 2.1: **(a)** Simplified fluorescence process. **(b)** The Jablonski diagram depicting the electronics states from photon absorption to photo emission.

The overall principle of fluorescence can be explained in three steps [25] as illustrated in 2.1(a): (1) Energy is absorbed by an atom upon collision with a photon. (2) The atom becomes excited and an electron jumps to a higher energy level. (3) Shortly after jumping to the higher energy level the electron returns to the ground state and emits a photon.

Excitation and emission One of the best ways to visualise the fluorescing process is using a Jablonski diagram. A detailed Jablonski diagram is shown in 2.1(b). When a photon collides with an atom, all its energy is transferred to the atom. The energy of the photon is inversely related to its wavelength, $E = h\frac{c}{\lambda}$, where h is Planck's constant and c and λ are the speed and wavelength of light in a vacuum respectively. This increase in energy moves an electron from the ground state S_0 to a higher level energy state. Depending on the energy of the photon and the number of photons absorbed by the photon the electron can move to different energy levels e.g. S_1, S_2 , etc. This process happens almost immediately in the order of femtoseconds (10^{-15} s). Before moving to the lowest next higher energy level (S_1), some energy is lost due to internal conversion and vibrational relaxation. When the electron spontaneously decays from S_1 to S_0 it emits a photon of longer wavelength than the absorption photon. This happens few nanoseconds (10^{-9} s) after excitation.

The difference in wavelength of the emission photon and excitation photon is known as the *Stokes' Shift* or *Stokes' Law*. The larger the Stokes' Shift the easier it is to separate the emission light from the excitation light [14]. The emission curve is often a mirror image and shifted to longer wavelengths than the excitation curve as illustrated in Figure 2.2.

Fluorophores Substances that exhibit the fluorescent property are called fluorophores, also known as *fluorochromes* or *fluorescent dyes*. Early investigations showed that many substance naturally possess fluorescent properties, such as minerals, crystals, resins, crude drugs, butter, chlorophyll, vitamins, etc [14]. This is known as *autofluorescence*. Substances that do not fluoresce must be *stained* such that it can be observed through a fluorescent microscope, more on this later.




FIGURE 2.2: Normalised Excitation and Emission Spectra of the Alexa Fluor 532 fluorophore. The emission maximum is at 553nm which is a more yellow-green than excitation maximum at 528nm . This image was generated using FluoScout™ web application by Leica Microsystems for determining the optimal fluorescence filter cube set.

Intersystem crossing If the electron spin as the electron transfer between energy states is preserved then the energy states are known as *singlet states*. It is also possible for the electron to reverse its spin. This is very unlikely and is known as *forbidden transition* in Quantum mechanics. When this happens the electron is said to be in a *triplet state*, see 2.1(b). The only way for the electron to reach the ground state is to again undergo another forbidden transition which is unlikely. When this does eventually happen the electron may emit a photon and this phenomenon is known as *phosphorescence*. This process takes much longer, in the order of microseconds (10^{-6}s).

2.2 Specimen Labelling

Why do specimens have to be stained? What is staining? Many of the components of interest, such as cell nuclei, cytoplasm, genes, chromosomes, proteins, do not possess a high degree of, if not any, autofluorescence. In this scenario, these components can be marked with a fluorescent dye [26], also known as a fluorophore or fluorochrome, a substance that can bind to a specific target whose excitation and emission spectra are well known. This is known as staining [15, 16, 24]. Once the specimen is stained it can be indirectly observed using a fluorescence microscope.

What are the most common staining protocols? The most prevalent staining techniques are fluorescence in-situ hybridisation (FISH) and immunostaining [15, 17, 27, 28].

FISH staining **What is FISH? What is the FISH staining techniques used for?** FISH is a molecular cytogenetic technique that uses fluorophores that bind to selected regions in nucleic acids [15, 17]. FISH is the most frequently used staining technique used

primarily for visualisation and localisation of nucleic acid sequences, chromosomes, cytoplasm or organelles which contain those acids [16]. This makes FISH highly attractive for finding specific features in DNA and RNA used in genetic diagnosis and research, medicine and species identification [17, 29]. Figure 2.3(a) is a capture of mouse chromosomes using the FISH staining technique.

Immunostaining What is Immunofluorescence and the two main types, what is the difference between the two, and which is more common? What is the Immunofluorescence staining techniques used for? Immunofluorescence is the detection method where an antibody is used to detect an antigen in a tissue or a cell using fluorescence. Fluorophores are usually conjugated onto antibodies, which are proteins that are designed bind to specific antigens, target proteins, on a cell [30]. The two types of immunofluorescent detection are immunocytofluorescence (ICF) and immunohystofluorescence (IHF). It must not be confused with immunocytochemistry (ICC) and immunohistochemistry (IHC). *Immuno* refers to the immunological technique, i.e. the binding of antibodies to antigens. *Cyto* refers to cells, i.e. cells without the extracellular membrane. *Histo* refers to tissue i.e. cells with the extracellular membrane. *Chemistry* refers to the chemical method of detection, e.g. a change in colour. *Fluorescence* detection by emission of light [31]. Figure 2.3(b) shows the detection of the p53 Binding Protein 1 in perfusion fixed frozen sections of rat kidney.

Live-cell staining FISH and IHC cannot stain live cells. Why? How can we stain live cells? The previously discussed staining techniques are not suitable to observe living cells. The fluorescent dyes used are phototoxic and cause cells to die. The circumvent this problem an elegant solution has been devised. Instead of staining, the cells are modified to produce a fluorescent substance in the target structures. Derivatives of the *green fluorescent protein* (GFP), isolated from the *Aequorea victoria* jellyfish [17, 26, 32], are used as it generates a strong photon emission and is non-toxic to living cells [15, 16, 24].

Important notes about fluorophores and the impact on image quality?

2.3 The Epifluorescence Microscope and Image Acquisition

What is a fluorescent microscope? Schematic layout of a fluorescence microscope? Function and purpose of each component in the fluorescent microscope? A fluorescence microscope is an optical microscope that is designed specifically to exploit the principle of fluorescence to allow for the observation of fluorescently labelled specimens [16, 17, 21, 24, 33]. There are many types of fluorescent microscopes available but the favoured type among many biologists and geneticists is the epifluorescent microscope [22, 34]. The schematic of the epifluorescent microscope is illustrated in Figure 2.4.

Light source What sort of light needs to be generated? What sort of lamps are used? Advantages and disadvantages of certain lamps. The light source is typically a high-luminance light source e.g. Mercury or Xenon arc lamps, LEDs, lasers, etc [15, 16, 34–36]. The primary criterion for choosing a light source is that its characteristic peaks




FIGURE 2.3: **(a)** FISH (Fluorescent 'in-situ' Hybridization) in mouse chromosomes using a BAC clone labeled with Spectrum Orange. The picture shows two metaphases and one interphase with two signals in each exemplifying a homozygous mouse for a transgenic clone. Image Source: "All About the Human Genome Project" Genetic and Genomic Image and Illustration Database. **(b)** p53 Binding Protein 1 (53BP1) was detected in perfusion fixed frozen sections of rat kidney using Goat Anti-Human 53BP1 Antigen Affinity-purified Polyclonal Antibody (Catalog # AF1877) at 15 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ overnight at 4°C. Tissue was stained using the NorthernLightsTM557-conjugated Anti-Goat IgG Secondary Antibody (red; Catalog # NL001) and counterstained with DAPI (blue). Specific staining was localized to nuclei of epithelial cells in convoluted tubules. Image Source: R&D Systems' IHC image database.




FIGURE 2.4: The schematic of the epifluorescence microscope.

must coincide with the excitation spectrum of the fluorophores being used [14, 17, 32]. Wavelength coverage spans from near infra-red to UV. Mercury and Xenon arc lamps are expensive, an inexpensive and lightweight alternative is bright LEDs [17, 19, 24, 35].

Excitation filter What is an excitation filter? Why is it needed? The incoming light

from the light source is typically multispectral [23]. The excitation filter is a wavelength selection filter which is placed in the path of the incoming light and filters through only those wavelengths in the absorption spectrum of the fluorescent dye [14–17, 24, 30, 32, 36].

Dichroic mirror *What is a dichroic mirror? Why is it needed?* Also known as a *dichroic beam splitter*. This is placed at a 45° angle and reflects the short-wavelength light filtered through the excitation filter at a 90° angle towards the specimen [14–16, 30] and allows the long-wavelength light from the fluorescing specimen to pass through to the detector [19, 32], thus serving as a separation filter between the absorption and emission light [17, 24].

Objective *What is the objective? Why is it needed?* The incoming light reflected of the dichroic mirror passes through the objective lens before reaching the specimen [14–16, 32]. Emission light from the fluorescing specimen is gathered in the objective lens and passed through to the dichroic mirror.

Specimen *Say something about the specimen, for wholeness sake.* The specimen is irradiated by the incoming light from the objective and emits long-wavelength light in all directions. The specimen is stained with a fluorophore whose absorption and emission curves are well known. This is important since the light source and the interference filters are chosen using the peaks of these curves.

Emission filter *What is an emission filter? Why is it needed?* Also known as a *barrier filter* [14, 19, 32]. The light coming from the specimen contains multiple wavelengths and the dichroic mirror is used to filter out the shorter wavelength light. The emission filter is further used to filter out the wavelengths that correspond to the emission wavelengths of the fluorophore [15, 16, 23, 30, 36].

Detector *What is the detector why is it needed?* The detector is used to capture the emission light and can further digital form the image. The detector is usually a photodetector such as a CCD (charge-coupled device) camera or a photomultiplier tube [14–16, 32, 37]. It is vital that an appropriate detector be chosen as this has direct impact on image quality [17].

Other Types of Fluorescence Microscopes: Confocal, TIRF. Acquisition: CCD, Hardware setup effect on image quality, Numerical Aperture, Sub-diffraction The type of fluorescence image data that needs to be captured is application dependant and this impacts the decision on which type of microscope to use. The *widefield*, or conventional, microscope produces 2D image data. 3D image data cannot be captured directly. Instead, a series of 2D images are captured to form a 2D stack. The 3D image is then constructed in software. In this scenario, the most common choice of microscope is the *confocal laser scanning microscope* (CLSM). This microscope system is expensive and acquisition is slower. An economical alternative is the *confocal spinning disk microscope*. To detect single molecules, the favoured technique is *total internal reflection fluorescence* (TIRF) which can be achieved by a modification of the epifluorescence microscope.




FIGURE 2.5: (a) Original image. (b) Nonuniformly illuminated image. The Vignette effect. (c) Image corrupted by Poisson noise.

2.4 Image Processing

Limitations in Fluorescence Imaging. Preprocessing: Point Spread Function deconvolution, etc. Segmentation Due to the physical nature of the fluorescence and image acquisition process, there are many factors that can degrade image quality. There are measures that can be taken to largely mitigate some problems but they can never be completely abated. The presence of these factors directly affect segmentation accuracy and subsequently higher level analysis. Therefore, images are processed prior to segmentation to suppress the artefacts and reconstruct the original data [15] or better yet enhance it. Chapter 3 takes a step in this vain. Here we present some of the commonly occurring factors that reduce image quality and the methods used to mitigate them.

Nonuniform illumination **What causes uneven illumination? What techniques are done to reduce it's effect. Vignette effect, etc.** There are many factors that could contribute to nonuniform illumination. The specimen layer will not be uniformly lit if the arc lamp is not properly focussed on the black aperture. To prevent this from happening, a liquid light guide-based light source, which provide even illumination may be used [32]. If the light brightness diminished towards the edges of the image then this is known as the *vignette effect*. Common techniques to suppress this distortion is *background correction*, also known as *flat-field correction*, *background flattening* or *shading correction* [15, 17, 37]. Other causes of nonuniform illumination are inhomogenous detector sensitivity, autofluorescence, dirt particles in the optical system or nonspecific sample staining. An example of the Vignette effect is illustrated in 2.5(b). Computational schemes to eliminate nonuniform illumination have been well researched, although recently it hasn't recived too much attention [38–41].

Fading **What causes quenching and bleaching? What techniques are done to reduce it's effect. Why is photobleaching worse?** The reduction of emission intensity is called *fading*, of which there are two types: *quenching* and *bleaching*.

Quenching is a reversible loss of fluorecence owing to a variety of nonradiative energy-loss mechanisms such as collisions with nearby acceptor molecules, a phenomenon known as *resonance energy transfer*. This phenomenon is useful in studying




FIGURE 2.6: The effect of photobleaching.

molecular interactions below the lateral resolution of the light microscope through a technique called *fluorescence resonance energy transfer* (FRET) [14, 15, 32].

Bleaching refers to all processes that cause a fluorescent signal to fade permanently [32]. From the fluorescence process presented in Section 2.1, one may assume that, under the proper conditions, a fluorochrome has the ability to fluoresce indefinitely. However, this is not the case. There is a limit number of cycles before permanent bleaching [32]. Figure 2.6 illustrates the effect of photobleaching. *Photobleaching* is the most prominent form of bleaching. It is due the interaction of the fluorophore with an oxygen molecule. This can move the oxygen molecule to an excited singlet state, which then becomes a reactive molecule. When in this state, the oxygen molecule can participate in many chemically destructive reaction with organic molecules causing *phototoxicity* [15]. Photobleaching is used to study diffusion and motion through a technique called *fluorescence recovery after photobleaching* (FRAP) [22, 32].

Photobleaching cannot be avoided but it can be pushed back. The aim in the measures to avoid photobleaching is to take a longer time to reach *reciprocity failure* [22]. This is done by shortening exposure times and using less intensive excitation light. This, however, yields low contrast images. These images with low signal-to-noise [37] ratio (SNR) are more difficult to segment hence contrast enhancement must be performed on the images prior to segmentation [42].

Image distortion What causes image distortion (PSF)? What techniques are done to reduce it's effect. The major contributing factors to image distortion are: The *point spread function* (PSF) and noise [21]. Image formation can be approximated by

$$O = n(s \otimes h), \quad (2.1)$$

where O is the formed image, n is the noise function, s is the exact image and h is the specific PSF of the optical system. We discuss the PSF first. The observed image isn't an exact representation of the real object. Optical systems have an inherent property called the point spread function which is the systems optical response to a point light source [15]. The final image is dependant on the spatial position of a point, numerical aperture (NA) and furthermore differs for various emission wavelengths [16, 43]. The final image is a superposition of all points in the illuminated volume where the contribution of each is described by the PSF.




FIGURE 2.7: The image formation process.

Theoretically the exact image can be obtained by deconvolution of the observed image with the PSF. However, there are secondary factors that prevent this. Deconvolution seeks to reconstruct the original image given the PSF and certain assumptions about noise [43]. This is an *ill-posed problem* as little is known about the specific PSF or the noise model. Additionally, if the image is corrupted by too much of noise, deconvolution might still produce unsatisfactory results. The PSF is generally experimentally determined or theoretically modelled, and so the true PSF is never attained. Hence, the original image can never be attained by deconvolving with an approximated PSF. The image formation and restoration process is illustrated in Figure 2.7.

Deconvolution has received a lot of attention in the past with very creative approaches [44–48]. Fluorescence microscopy deconvolution is still a very active field of research. Bayesian methods have become popular in fluorescence microscopy image deconvolution, however noise in low SNR imaging conditions still poses a challenge [49]. Recent trends in 3D deconvolution in widefield microscopy use blind depth-invariant of the PSF [50]. Most PSF deconvolution systems naïvely assume depth-invariance however, the PSF changes significantly along the optical axis. There are also deconvolution methods that can preserve detail and possibly enhance image quality in diffraction-limited/superresolution imaging modalities [51].

Noise What types of noise are most prevalent? How do they arise? What techniques are done to reduce its effect.

2.5 Object Measurement and Analysis

What is the purpose of object analysis in FM? What is measured? The aim in fluorescence image analysis is to measure specific properties of interest which enable higher level decision making. Typically, these properties are quantitative measures. In this

section we review some of the important quantitative measurements in digital image analysis. It is important to note that for some of the properties of interest, the accuracy of the measurements depend heavily on the accuracy on the segmentation. The properties of interest are application dependent, one might require just the object morphology of structure and hence properties like perimeter, area, shape, intensity, colour, etc are of significance. Alternatively, if one requires the colocalisation of cells, then distance discriminants, such as Euclidean distance, Manhattan distance, Chessboard distance, etc, are of significance [15, 52].

Object measures can be loosely classified into four categories: geometric measures, histogram-based measures, intensity based measures and temporal measures. One can also argue a fifth category statistical classifiers although this is generally used in higher level analysis.

Size measures Perimeter, area and volume are common measures to describe the size of objects. Area and volume are suitable measures to describe the general size of an object. The perimeter of an object is distinctly useful in discriminating its shape complexity. Complex and irregular shapes need a larger perimeter to enclose its area.

Pose measures This measure is defines an objects location and orientation. The centroid is used as an objects' locale and its orientation is the measure of the angle subtended by its major axis.

Shape measures Shape features are used to distinguish objects from one another. These measures are generally translationally, rotationally and scale invariant and can be used independent of, or in conjunction with, the size measures. Commonly assessed shape parameters are thinness ratio to describe the regularity of an object, rectangularity, circularity, Euler number, moments, central moments, object dispersion, rotationally invariant moments, Zernike moments and elongation.

Shape descriptors Shapes descriptors provide a more wholesome way of describing an object's shape than compared to the single parameter shape measures. Differential chain codes, and its two most common descriptors boundary chain code (BCC) and differential chain code (DCC), are used to represent the distance around an object. Fourier descriptors is another object distance measure that exploits the periodicity of BCC. There are also graph representations of which the two most common are minimum spanning tree (MST) [53, 54] and Delaunay triangulation (DT) [55, 56].

Distance measures There are many ways to compute the separation between objects. The most commonly assessed distance measures are Euclidean distance, Manhattan distance (also known as the City-block distance or absolute value metric), which is a more computationally efficient approximation of Euclidean distance, and the Chessboard distance (also known as the maximum value metric) [57, 58].

Intensity measures Images are segmented generally into region with low intra-region intensity distribution and high inter-region intensity distribution [59, 60]. Common intensity measures are integrated optical density (IOD) [61, 62], is simply the sum of all the gray levels that compose the object, its a reflection of the object's "mass" or "weight", average optical density (AOD), is the IOD divided by the objects area, and contrast.

Histogram measures These measures provide a measure of an object's intensity distribution. Common histogram-based measures are mean, standard deviation, skew, entropy and energy [63, 64].

Texture measures In image analysis texture refers to the spatial arrangement of gray level values [65] and hence a texture feature quantifies some characteristic of the intensity variation within an object. Common texture measures are statistical texture measures, gray-level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM) [66, 67] and power spectrum features [68, 69].

Ratiometric measures Some fluorescent dyes respond to the changes in Calcium and Hydrogen ion concentration by changing its spectral properties of the fluorescent emission bands. In this case, a ratio of the intensity can be used to calculate concentration of calcium or pH value [24].

Temporal measures Considering the time domain, many interesting properties can be observed. Commonly computed properties of interest are motility [70–72], like velocity and acceleration, rate of growth, rate of change of colour, etc.

These measures are used in higher decision making processes such as the evaluation of a hypothesis to detect the presence of a certain disease. They are also used to aid in the understanding of biological mechanisms, events and interactions [15].

Chapter 3

Pre-Processing and Post-Processing Scheme for Fluorescence Microscopy Images

3.1 Removal of Artifacts using Connected Components

3.2 Anisotropic Diffusion

3.2.1 Coherence Enhancing Diffusion

3.2.2 Coherence Enhancing Diffusion with Optimised Rotational Invariance

3.3 Poisson Denoising

3.3.1 Total-Variation Denoising

3.4 Contrast Enhancement

Bibliography

- [1] Oliver Biehlmaier. *Introduction to fluorescence and fluorescence imaging*. Document. 2013.
- [2] David Svoboda et al. "On Simulating 3D Fluorescent Microscope Images". In: *Springer-Verlag In 12th International Conference on Computer Analysis of Images and Patterns* 4673 (2007), 309—316.
- [3] David Svoboda, Michal Kozubek, and Stanislav Stejskal. "Generation of digital phantoms of cell nuclei and simulation of image formation in 3D image cytometry". In: *Cytometry Part A*, 75A(6) 4673 (2009), 494—509.
- [4] Qiang Wu, Fatima A. Merchant, and Kenneth R. Castleman. *Encyclopedia of Optical Engineering - Fluorescence Microscopy*. 1st Edition. Elsevier Inc., 2008. ISBN: 978-0-12-372578-3.
- [5] Rafael C. Gonzalez and Richard E. Woods. *Digital Image Processing*. 3rd Edition. New Jersey, USA: Prentice Hall, Inc., 2002.
- [6] William K. Pratt. *Digital Image Processing*. 3rd Edition. New York, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2001. ISBN: 0-471-22132-5(Electronic).
- [7] Pierre Soile. *Morphological Image Analysis Principles and Applications*. 2nd Edition. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 2004. ISBN: 9783540429883. DOI: [10.1007 / 978-3-662-05088-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-05088-0).
- [8] Pavel Matula, Michal Kozubek, and V. Dvořák. "Fast point-based 3-D alignment of live cells". In: *IEEE Signal Processing Society* 15.8 (2006), pp. 2388–2396. DOI: [10.1109/TIP.2006.875209](https://doi.org/10.1109/TIP.2006.875209).
- [9] K. Rohr et al. "Tracking and Registration for Multidimensional Biomedical Image Analysis". In: *Progress in Industrial Mathematics at ECMI 2008*. Ed. by D. Alistair Fitt et al. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2010, pp. 229–234. ISBN: 978-3-642-12110-4. DOI: [10.1007 / 978-3-642-12110-4_32](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-12110-4_32). URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-12110-4_32.
- [10] Pavel Matula and Michal Kozubek. "An efficient algorithm for measurement and correction of chromatic aberrations in fluorescence microscopy". In: *Journal of Microscopy* 200.3 (2000), 206—217. DOI: [10.1046/j.1365-2818.2000.00754.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2818.2000.00754.x).
- [11] Nhat Vu and B.S. Manjunath. "Graph cut segmentation of neuronal structures from transmission electron micrographs". In: *IEEE International Conference on Image Processing* (2008).
- [12] Michal Kozubek. "Theoretical versus experimental resolution in optical microscopy". In: *Microscopy Research and Technique* 53.2 (2001), pp. 157–166. ISSN: 1097-0029. DOI: [10.1002/jemt.1080](https://doi.org/10.1002/jemt.1080). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jemt.1080>.

- [13] Petráň, M. Hadravský, and A. Boyde. "The tandem scanning reflected light microscope". In: *Scanning* 7.2 (1985), pp. 97–108. ISSN: 1932-8745. DOI: [10.1002/sca.4950070205](https://doi.org/10.1002/sca.4950070205). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/sca.4950070205>.
- [14] Kenneth R. Spring. *Encyclopedia of Optical Engineering - Fluorescence Microscopy*. 270 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10016: Marcel Dekker, Inc., 2003, pp. 548–555. DOI: [10.1081/E-EOE](https://doi.org/10.1081/E-EOE).
- [15] Ondřej Daněk. "Graph Cut Based Image Segmentation in Fluorescence Microscopy [online]". Doctoral theses, Dissertations. Masaryk University, Faculty of Informatics, Brno, 2012 [cit. 2016-09-08]. URL: http://is.muni.cz/th/60931/fi_d/thesis-final.pdf.
- [16] Jan Hubený. "Applications of PDE - Based Image Processing in Fluorescence Microscopy [online]". Doctoral theses, Dissertations. Masaryk University, Faculty of Informatics, Brno, 2008 [cit. 2016-09-07]. URL: http://is.muni.cz/th/4012/fi_d/.
- [17] Fatima A. Merchant and Ammasi Periasamy. "Fluorescence Imaging". In: *Microscope Image Processing*. USA: Elsevier Inc, 2008, pp. 247–271. ISBN: 978-0-12-372578-3.
- [18] Pavel Matula. "Image Processing in Fluorescence Microscopy and its Utilization in Cell Biology Experiments [online]". Doctoral theses, Dissertations. Masaryk University, Faculty of Informatics, Brno, 2012 [cit. 2016-09-09].
- [19] Karl-Friedrich Koch. *Fluorescence Microscopy - Instruments, Methods, Applications*. Lietz Wetzlar, 1972.
- [20] Andrew Vaughan. *Principles of Fluorescence Microscopy*. Document. (accessed September 6, 2016).
- [21] Pinaki Sarder and Arye Nehorai. "Deconvolution Methods for 3-D Fluorescence Microscopy Images". In: *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine* 23.3 (2006), 32—45. DOI: [10.1046/j.1365-2818.2000.00754.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2818.2000.00754.x).
- [22] Mortimer Abramowitz and Michael W. Davidson. *Introduction to Fluorescence*. Website. (accessed September 6, 2016). URL: <http://www.olympusmicro.com/primer/lightandcolor/fluorointroduction.html>.
- [23] Kenneth R. Spring and Michael W. Davidson. *Introduction to Fluorescence Microscopy*. Website. (accessed September 6, 2016). URL: <http://www.microscopyu.com/techniques/fluorescence/introduction-to-fluorescence-microscopy>.
- [24] Jurek W. Dobrucki. "Fluorescence Microscopy". In: *Fluorescence Microscopy: From Principles to Biological Applications*. 1st Edition. Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co., 2013, pp. 97–142.
- [25] Nobel Media AB. *The Fluorescence Microscopy*. Website. (accessed September 6, 2016). URL: <http://www.nobelprize.org/educational/physics/microscopes/fluorescence/>.
- [26] Roger Y. Tsien. "The Green Fluorescent Protein". In: *Annual Review of Biochemistry* 67 (1998), pp. 509–544.

- [27] Michal Kozubek. "FISH imaging". In: *Confocal and Two-Photon Microscopy: Foundations, Applications and Advances* (2001), 389—429.
- [28] Z. Theodosiou et al. "Automated Analysis of FISH and immunohistochemistry images: A Review". In: *Cytometry Part A* 71.7 (2007), pp. 439–450.
- [29] Rudolf Amann and Bernhard M. Fuchs. "Single-cell identification in microbial communities by improved fluorescence in situ hybridization techniques". In: *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 6.5 (2008), 339—348. DOI: [10.1038/nrmicro1888](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1888).
- [30] Kelly Cude and Kelly Burke. "Introduction to Fluorescence Microscopy". In: (14 July 2012).
- [31] Kishore Reddy Katikireddy and Finbarr O'Sullivan. "Immunohistochemical and Immunofluorescence Procedures for Protein Analysis". In: *Gene Expression Profiling: Methods and Protocols*. Ed. by Lorraine O'Driscoll. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press, 2011, pp. 155–167. ISBN: 978-1-61779-289-2. DOI: [10.1007/978-1-61779-289-2_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-289-2_11). URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-289-2_11.
- [32] Jeff W. Lichtman and José-Angel Conchello. *Nature Methods - Fluorescence microscopy*. Vol. 2. 12. Nature Publishing Group, December 2005, pp. 910–919. DOI: [10.1038/NMETH817](https://doi.org/10.1038/NMETH817). URL: <http://www.nature.com/naturemethods>.
- [33] Paul D. Andrews, Ian S. Harper, and Jason R. Swedlow. "To 5D and Beyond: Quantitative Fluorescence Microscopy in the Postgenomic Era". In: *Traffic*. Vol. 3. Munksgaard International Publishers, 2002, pp. 29–36.
- [34] George Rice. *Fluorescent Microscopy*. Website. (accessed September 6, 2016). URL: http://serc.carleton.edu/microbelife/research_methods/microscopy/fluomic.html.
- [35] Kavita Aswani, Tushare Jinadasa, and Claire M. Brown. "Fluorescence Microscopy Light Sources". In: *Microscopy Today*. 2012, pp. 22–28. DOI: [10.1017/S1551929512000399](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1551929512000399). URL: www.microscopy-today.com.
- [36] Thermo Fisher Scientific. *Fundamentals of Fluorescence Microscopy*. Website. (accessed September 6, 2016). URL: <https://www.thermofisher.com/us/en/home/life-science/cell-analysis/cell-analysis-learning-center/molecular-probes-school-of-fluorescence/fundamentals-of-fluorescence-microscopy.html>.
- [37] Douglas B. Murphy. *Fundamentals of Light Microscopy and Electronic Imaging*. 2nd Edition. USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2001. ISBN: 0-471-25391-X.
- [38] Ian T. Young. "Shading Correction: Compensation for Illumination and Sensor Inhomogeneities". In: *Current Protocols in Cytometry*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2001. ISBN: 9780471142959. DOI: [10.1002/0471142956.cy0211s14](https://doi.org/10.1002/0471142956.cy0211s14). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/0471142956.cy0211s14>.
- [39] Ghauharali, Hofstraat, and Brakenhoff. "Fluorescence photobleaching-based shading correction for fluorescence microscopy". In: *Journal of Microscopy* 192.2 (1998), pp. 99–113. ISSN: 1365-2818. DOI: [10.1046/j.1365-2818.1998.00412.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2818.1998.00412.x). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2818.1998.00412.x>.

- [40] Michael Model. "Intensity Calibration and Flat-Field Correction for Fluorescence Microscopes". In: *Current Protocols in Cytometry*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2001. ISBN: 9780471142959. DOI: [10.1002/0471142956.cy1014s68](https://doi.org/10.1002/0471142956.cy1014s68). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/0471142956.cy1014s68>.
- [41] Michael A. Model and Janis K. Burkhardt. "A standard for calibration and shading correction of a fluorescence microscope". In: *Cytometry* 44.4 (2001), pp. 309–316. ISSN: 1097-0320. DOI: [10.1002/1097-0320\(20010801\)44:4<309::AID-CYTO1122>3.0.CO;2-3](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0320(20010801)44:4<309::AID-CYTO1122>3.0.CO;2-3). URL: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/1097-0320\(20010801\)44:4<309::AID-CYTO1122>3.0.CO;2-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/1097-0320(20010801)44:4<309::AID-CYTO1122>3.0.CO;2-3).
- [42] Stephen A. Boppart et al. "Optical probes and techniques for molecular contrast enhancement in coherence imaging". In: *Journal of Biomedical Optics* 10.4 (2005), pp. 041208–041208–14. DOI: [10.1117/1.2008974](https://doi.org/10.1117/1.2008974). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/1.2008974>.
- [43] Margret Keuper. "Segmentation of Cells and Sub-cellular Structures from Microscopic Recordings [online]". Doctoral theses, Dissertations. Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, 2012 [cit. 2016-09-12]. URL: http://lmb.informatik.uni-freiburg.de/Publications/2012/Keu12/Dissertation_Keuper.pdf.
- [44] Eran A. Mukamel, Hazen Babcock, and Xiaowei Zhuang. "Statistical Deconvolution for Superresolution Fluorescence Microscopy". In: *Biophysical Journal* 102.10 (2012), pp. 2391–2400. DOI: [10.1038/srep09894](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep09894). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2012.03.070>.
- [45] Peter J Verveer et al. "High-resolution three-dimensional imaging of large specimens with light sheet-based microscopy". In: *Nature Methods* 4 (2007), pp. 311–313. DOI: [10.1038/nmeth1017](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth1017). URL: <http://www.nature.com/nmeth/journal/v4/n4/abs/nmeth1017.html>.
- [46] Ammassi Periasamy, Paul Skoglund and Colten Noakes, and Raymond Keller. "An Evaluation of Two-Photon Excitation Versus Confocal and Digital Deconvolution Fluorescence Microscopy Imaging in Xenopus Morphogenesis". In: *Microscopy Research and Technique* 4 (2007), pp. 311–313. DOI: [10.1038/nmeth1017](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth1017). URL: <http://www.nature.com/nmeth/journal/v4/n4/abs/nmeth1017.html>.
- [47] Jason R. Swedlow. "Quantitative Fluorescence Microscopy and Image Deconvolution". In: *Digital Microscopy, 3rd Edition*. Vol. 81. Methods in Cell Biology. Academic Press, 2007, pp. 447–465. DOI: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0091-679X\(06\)81021-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0091-679X(06)81021-6). URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0091679X06810216>.
- [48] Johan J. de Rooi, Cyril Ruckebusch, and Paul H. C. Eilers. "Sparse Deconvolution in One and Two Dimensions: Applications in Endocrinology and Single-Molecule Fluorescence Imaging". In: *Analytical Chemistry* 86.13 (2014). PMID: 24893114, pp. 6291–6298. DOI: [10.1021/ac500260h](https://doi.org/10.1021/ac500260h). eprint: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ac500260h>. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ac500260h>.

- [49] Alexander Wong, Xiao Yu Wang, and Maud Gorbet. "Bayesian-based deconvolution fluorescence microscopy using dynamically updated nonstationary expectation estimates". In: *Scientific Reports* 5.10849 (2015), pp. 1–9. DOI: [10.1038/srep10849](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep10849). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep10849>.
- [50] Boyoung Kim and Takeshi Naemura. "Blind Depth-variant Deconvolution of 3D Data in Wide-field Fluorescence Microscopy". In: *Scientific Reports* 5.9894 (2015), pp. 1–9. DOI: [10.1038/srep09894](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep09894). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep09894>.
- [51] Jing Qin et al. "Detail-preserving Fluorescence Microscopy Image Deconvolution". In: *Conference on Lasers and Electro-Optics*. Optical Society of America, 2016, STh4G.7. DOI: [10.1364/CLEO_SI.2016.STh4G.7](https://doi.org/10.1364/CLEO_SI.2016.STh4G.7). URL: http://www.osapublishing.org/abstract.cfm?URI=CLEO_SI-2016-STh4G.7.
- [52] Fatima A. Merchant, Shishir K. Shah, and Kenneth R. Castleman. "Object Measurement". In: *Microscope Image Processing*. USA: Elsevier Inc, 2008, pp. 195–219. ISBN: 978-0-12-372578-3.
- [53] Charlotte Giesen et al. "Highly multiplexed imaging of tumor tissues with sub-cellular resolution by mass cytometry". In: *Nature Methods* 11.4 (2014), pp. 417–422. DOI: [10.1038/nmeth.2869](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2869). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2869>.
- [54] Xiaosong Yuan et al. "MDL Constrained 3-D Grayscale Skeletonization Algorithm for Automated Extraction of Dendrites and Spines from Fluorescence Confocal Images". In: *Neuroinformatics* 7.4 (2009), pp. 213–232. ISSN: 1559-0089. DOI: [10.1007/s12021-009-9057-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12021-009-9057-y). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12021-009-9057-y>.
- [55] Michal Kozubek and Pavel Matula. "An efficient algorithm for measurement and correction of chromatic aberrations in fluorescence microscopy". In: *Journal of Microscopy* 200.3 (2000), pp. 206–217. ISSN: 1365-2818. DOI: [10.1046/j.1365-2818.2000.00754.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2818.2000.00754.x). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2818.2000.00754.x>.
- [56] Dominique Attali and Annick Montanvert. "Computing and Simplifying 2D and 3D Continuous Skeletons". In: *Elsevier Computer Vision and Image Understanding* 67.3 (1997), pp. 261–273. ISSN: 1365-2818. DOI: [10.1006/cviu.1997.0536](https://doi.org/10.1006/cviu.1997.0536). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2818.2000.00754.x>.
- [57] Andrew P. French et al. "Colocalization of fluorescent markers in confocal microscope images of plant cells". In: *Nat. Protocols* 3 (4 2008), pp. 619–628. ISSN: 1754-2189. DOI: [10.1038/nprot.2008.31](https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2008.31). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2008.31>.
- [58] Vadim Zinchuk, Olga Zinchuk, and Teruhiko Okada. "Quantitative Colocalization Analysis of Multicolor Confocal Immunofluorescence Microscopy Images: Pushing Pixels to Explore Biological Phenomena". In: *ACTA HISTOCHEMICA ET CYTOCHEMICA* 40.4 (2007), pp. 101–111. DOI: [10.1267/ahc.07002](https://doi.org/10.1267/ahc.07002).

- [59] D. Pinkel, T. Straume, and J.W. Gray. "Cytogenetic analysis using quantitative, high-sensitivity, fluorescence hybridization". In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 83.9 (1986), pp. 2934–2938. eprint: <http://www.pnas.org/content/83/9/2934.full.pdf>. URL: <http://www.pnas.org/content/83/9/2934.abstract>.
- [60] E. Meijering et al. "Design and validation of a tool for neurite tracing and analysis in fluorescence microscopy images". In: *Cytometry Part A* 58A.2 (2004), pp. 167–176. ISSN: 1552-4930. DOI: [10.1002/cyto.a.20022](https://doi.org/10.1002/cyto.a.20022). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cyto.a.20022>.
- [61] M. Loferer-Krößbacher, J. Klima, and R. Psenner. "Determination of Bacterial Cell Dry Mass by Transmission Electron Microscopy and Densitometric Image Analysis". In: *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 64 (2 1998), pp. 688–694. DOI: [10.1038/nmeth929](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth929). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth929>.
- [62] N. Watanabe et al. "Motility of bile canaliculi in the living animal: implications for bile flow." In: *The Journal of Cell Biology* 113.5 (1991), pp. 1069–1080. DOI: [10.1083/jcb.113.5.1069](https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.113.5.1069). eprint: <http://jcb.rupress.org/content/113/5/1069.full.pdf+html>. URL: <http://jcb.rupress.org/content/113/5/1069.abstract>.
- [63] Michael J. Rust, Mark Bates, and Xiaowei Zhuang. "Sub-diffraction-limit imaging by stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (STORM)". In: *Nat Meth* 3 (10 2006), pp. 793–796. DOI: [10.1038/nmeth929](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth929). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth929>.
- [64] Michael V. Boland and Robert F. Murphy. "A neural network classifier capable of recognizing the patterns of all major subcellular structures in fluorescence microscope images of HeLa cells". In: *Bioinformatics* 17.12 (2001), pp. 1213–1223. DOI: [10.1093/bioinformatics/17.12.1213](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/17.12.1213). eprint: <http://bioinformatics.oxfordjournals.org/content/17/12/1213.full.pdf+html>. URL: <http://bioinformatics.oxfordjournals.org/content/17/12/1213.abstract>.
- [65] R.O. Duda, P.E. Hart, and D.G. Stork. *Pattern Classification*. John Wiley & Sons, 2001.
- [66] V. Atlamazoglou et al. "Texture analysis of fluorescence microscopic images of colonic tissue sections". In: *Medical and Biological Engineering and Computing* 39.2 (2001), pp. 145–151. ISSN: 1741-0444. DOI: [10.1007/BF02344796](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02344796). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02344796>.
- [67] Riccardo Cicchi et al. "Scoring of collagen organization in healthy and diseased human dermis by multiphoton microscopy". In: *Journal of Biophotonics* 3.1-2 (2010), pp. 34–43. ISSN: 1864-0648. DOI: [10.1002/jbio.200910062](https://doi.org/10.1002/jbio.200910062). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jbio.200910062>.
- [68] Erik J. Sánchez, Lukas Novotny, and Xie Sunney. "Near-Field Fluorescence Microscopy Based on Two-Photon Excitation with Metal Tips". In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 82 (20 1999), pp. 4014–4017. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.4014](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.4014). URL: <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.4014>.

- [69] C. Xu et al. "Multiphoton fluorescence excitation: new spectral windows for biological nonlinear microscopy". In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 93.20 (1996), pp. 10763–10768. eprint: <http://www.pnas.org/content/93/20/10763.full.pdf>. URL: <http://www.pnas.org/content/93/20/10763.abstract>.
- [70] Rajesh Babu Sekar and Ammasi Periasamy. "Fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) microscopy imaging of live cell protein localizations". In: *Journal of Cellular Biology* 160 (5 2004), pp. 629–633. DOI: [10.1083/jcb.200210140](https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200210140).
- [71] Mark J. Miller et al. "Two-Photon Imaging of Lymphocyte Motility and Antigen Response in Intact Lymph Node". In: *Science* 296.5574 (2002), pp. 1869–1873. ISSN: 0036-8075. DOI: [10.1126/science.1070051](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1070051). eprint: <http://science.sciencemag.org/content/296/5574/1869.full.pdf>. URL: <http://science.sciencemag.org/content/296/5574/1869>.
- [72] Anshu Bagga Mathur, George A. Truskey, and W. Monty Reichert. "Atomic Force and Total Internal Reflection Fluorescence Microscopy for the Study of Force Transmission in Endothelial Cells". In: *Biophysical Journal* 78 (4 2000), pp. 1725–1735. DOI: [10.1016/S0006-3495\(00\)76724-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(00)76724-5). URL: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495\(00\)76724-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(00)76724-5).