

1) First method: "by hands"

$$S : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \quad z(\omega)$$

$$\omega \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i(\omega)$$

$$E(S) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n P(S=n)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} P\left(\sum_{i=1}^h X_i = n, Z=h\right)$$

↑ because $\sum_{i=1}^h X_i = (\sum_{i=1}^h X_i | Z=h)$

$$= \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} P(Z=h) \sum_{n=0}^h P\left(\sum_{i=1}^h X_i = n\right)$$

Z and $\sum X_i$
are independent

↑ always true in probability (but not always
in real life)

$$= \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} P(Z=h) E\left(\sum_{i=1}^h X_i\right)$$

$\underbrace{h E(X_1)}$ (linearity of E)

$$= E(X_1) E(Z).$$

OMG!

Second method: "by feet"

$$E(S) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} P(Z=h) E\left(\sum_{i=1}^h X_i \mid Z=h\right) = E(Z) E(X_1)$$

$\underbrace{h E(X_1)}$

$$2) Z_n = \sum_{i=1}^{Z_{n-1}} X_i \quad \text{for } n \geq 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(Z_n) &= E(Z_{n-1}) E(X_1) \\ &= \dots = E(Z_0) E(X_1)^n \end{aligned}$$

$$E(Z_n) = E(X_1)^n = \mu^n$$

$$3) P(Z_n \neq 0) = P(Z_n \geq 1) \leq \frac{E(Z_n)}{1} \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$$

Markov since Z_n is almost surely positive

4) Theorem: Let $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ be a family of iid RV

which take values in \mathbb{N} , N a RV in \mathbb{N} independent from the (X_n) .

$S = \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$ is a RV and $G_S(t) = G_N(G(t))$
 (if defined!)

Lemma: If X_1, \dots, X_n are independent with values in \mathbb{N} then $G_{X_1 + \dots + X_n}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n G_i(t)$ (if defined!)

proof: $S: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$
 $w \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{N(w)} X_i(w)$

$$G_S(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(S=n) t^n$$

A This proof is not "natural" but it's really fast.

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_S(t) &= E(t^S) = E\left(t^{\sum_{i=1}^N X_i}\right) \\
 &= E\left(\prod_{i=1}^N t^{X_i}\right) \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(N=n) E\left(\prod_{i=1}^n t^{X_i} \mid N=n\right) \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(N=n) \overline{t^n} E(t^{X_i} \mid N=n)
 \end{aligned}$$

Because for any t , (t^{X_i}) are independent!

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(N=n) \prod_{i=1}^n E(x_i)$$

↑ Because for any t , N and $t + t'$ agree in μ

$$\prod_{i=1}^m E(t^{x_i}) = \prod_{i=1}^m G_{x_i}(t) = G_{x_1}(t)^m : \text{done!}$$

→ Since $\zeta_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, $G_n(x) = G(\zeta_n) = G\left(G(\zeta_{n-1})\right)$

5) $G(x_0) = p + (1-p)t$ (Someone would have to flip $x_0 = 1-p$)

$$G_m(x) = G_{n-2}(1 + (1-\rho)A)$$

$$= G_{m+2} \left(\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} + (1-\lambda) \right) \left(\lambda + (1-\lambda) \right) + \right)$$

$$G_n(x) = G_{n-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} p (1-p)^k + (1-p)^m x \right)$$

Simists will do an induction (# question)

$$G_0(x) = x$$

$$G_m(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (1-p)^k + (1-p)^m x$$

$$G_m(x) = (1-p)^m (1-x) + 1$$

$$= 1 - (1-p)^m + (1-p)^m x$$

(Because G_m is a power series with a strictly positive radius of convergence ($R \geq 1$)).

$$P(Z_m=0) = 1 - (1-p)^m \rightarrow 1$$

$$P(Z_m=1) = (1-p)^m \rightarrow 0$$

$$P(T=n) = P(Z_m=0, Z_{m-1}=0, \dots, Z_0=1)$$

$$= P(Z_m=0, Z_{m-1}=1)$$

(markovian process)

$$= \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} P(Z_m=0, Z_{m-1}=h)$$

$$= P(Z_m=0 | Z_{m-1}=1) P(Z_{m-1}=1)$$

$$P(T=n) = \begin{cases} p (1-p)^{n-1}, & n \geq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } n=0 \text{ (because } Z_0=1\text{)} \end{cases}$$