

# Functions Solutions

Solutions by: Raindrops\_drop

February 13, 2026

## Exercises

1. Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$ . Let  $A_0 \subset A$  and  $B_0 \subset B$ .

- (a) Show that  $A_0 \subset f^{-1}(f(A_0))$  and that equality holds if  $f$  is injective.

*Proof.* Note that if  $A_0$  is empty, then  $A_0 \subseteq f^{-1}(f(A_0))$  trivially. Thus we assume that  $A_0$  is non-empty. Let  $x \in A_0$ . Then,  $f(x) \in f(A_0)$  by definition. Since  $f(x) \in f(A_0)$ , we have that  $x \in f^{-1}(f(A_0))$ . Therefore,  $A_0 \subseteq f^{-1}(f(A_0))$ .

Let  $x \in f^{-1}(f(A_0))$ . By definition,  $f(x) \in f(A_0)$ . In particular, this implies that  $f(x) = b$  such that  $b \in f(A_0)$ . Since it may be that  $f$  is not injective, we cannot conclude that  $x \in A_0$ . This is because there may exist some  $y \in A_0$  such that  $f(y) = f(x) \in f(A_0)$  and  $y \neq x$ .

If, however,  $f$  is injective, then it must be that  $y = x$  since  $f(y) = f(x)$ . In particular, this would imply that  $x \in A_0$  must be true since  $f(x) \in f(A_0)$ . Therefore, we've shown that equality holds if  $f$  is injective.  $\square$

- (b) Show that  $f(f^{-1}(B_0)) \subset B_0$  and that equality holds if  $f$  is surjective.

*Proof.* If  $f(f^{-1}(B_0))$  is empty, then it is trivially a subset of  $B_0$ . Thus we will assume that  $f(f^{-1}B_0)$  is non-empty. Let  $x \in f(f^{-1}B_0)$ . By definition,  $x = f(a)$  for at least one  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0)$ . Since  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0)$ , it must be that  $f(a) \in B_0$ . Therefore,  $x \in B_0$  and we can conclude that  $f(f^{-1}B_0) \subseteq B_0$ .

Let  $x \in B_0$ . Since  $f$  is not necessarily surjective, it might be that there exists no  $a \in A$  such that  $f(a) = x$ . As such,  $f^{-1}(x) = \emptyset$ , and therefore,  $f^{-1}(x) \notin f^{-1}(B_0)$ . Furthermore, since  $f^{-1}(x) \notin f^{-1}(B_0)$ , it is also true that  $x \notin f(f^{-1}(B_0))$ .

Thus, assume that  $f$  is surjective. Then, there exists some  $a \in A$  such that  $f(a) = x$ . In particular, since  $x \in B_0$ ,  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0)$ . Furthermore, we conclude that  $f(f^{-1}(B_0))$  contains  $x$ . Therefore,  $B_0 \subseteq f(f^{-1}(B_0))$  and thus equality holds if  $f$  is surjective.  $\square$

**2.** Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and let  $A_i \subset A$  and  $B_i \subset B$  for  $i = 0$  and  $i = 1$ . Show that  $f^{-1}$  preserves inclusions, unions, intersections, and differences of sets:

$$(a) B_0 \subset B_1 \Rightarrow f^{-1}(B_0) \subset f^{-1}(B_1).$$

*Proof.* Let  $B_0 \subseteq B_1$ . If  $B_0$  is the empty set, then note that  $f^{-1}(B_0)$  is also the empty set, since  $f$  is a rule of assignment, and as such every element of  $A$  must be mapped to at least one element in  $B$ . Therefore, it is trivial to note that  $f^{-1}(B_0) \subseteq f^{-1}(B_1)$ . We arrive at the same conclusion if  $B_1$  is the empty set. Thus, we will assume that  $B_0$  and  $B_1$  are non-empty.

If  $f^{-1}(B_0)$  is empty, then it is trivial to note that  $f^{-1}(B_0) \subseteq f^{-1}(B_1)$ . Similarly if  $f^{-1}(B_1)$  is empty. Thus assume that  $f^{-1}(B_0)$  is non-empty. Let  $x \in f^{-1}(B_0)$  and note that this implies that  $f(x) \in B_0$  by definition. Since  $B_0 \subseteq B_1$ , we have that  $f(x) \in B_1$ . In particular, this implies that  $x \in f^{-1}(B_1)$  by definition. Therefore, we conclude that  $f^{-1}(B_0) \subseteq f^{-1}(B_1)$ .  $\square$

$$(b) f^{-1}(B_0 \cup B_1) = f^{-1}(B_0) \cup f^{-1}(B_1).$$

*Proof.* If  $f^{-1}(B_0 \cup B_1)$  is empty, this implies that there exists no  $a \in A$  such that  $f(a) \in B_0 \cup B_1$ . In particular, for any  $a \in A$ ,  $f(a) \notin B_0$  and  $f(a) \notin B_1$ . Thus, for any  $a \in A$ ,  $a \notin f^{-1}(B_0)$  and  $a \notin f^{-1}(B_1)$ . Therefore,  $f^{-1}(B_0) \cup f^{-1}(B_1)$  is empty, and thus the equality in our claim holds.

If  $f^{-1}(B_0) \cup f^{-1}(B_1)$  is empty, then there exists no  $a \in A$  such that  $f(a) \in B_0$  or  $f(a) \in B_1$  or both. This implies that for all  $a \in A$ ,  $f(a) \notin B_0$  and  $f(a) \notin B_1$ . In particular,  $f(a) \notin B_0 \cup B_1$ . As such, for all  $a \in A$ ,  $a \notin f^{-1}(B_0 \cup B_1)$ . Therefore,  $f^{-1}(B_0 \cup B_1)$  is empty and the equality in our claim is true.

Since we've shown that our claim holds when either of the sets is empty, we will assume that both sets are non-empty.

Let  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0 \cup B_1)$ . By definition,  $f(a) \in B_0 \cup B_1$ . Therefore, either  $f(a) \in B_0$ ,  $f(a) \in B_1$ , or both. If  $f(a) \in B_0$ , note that it implies that  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0)$ . In particular, we have that  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0) \cup f^{-1}(B_1)$ . Thus, we conclude that  $f^{-1}(B_0 \cup B_1) \subseteq f^{-1}(B_0) \cup f^{-1}(B_1)$ . We arrive at the same conclusion by using an analogous argument for the other cases.

Let  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0) \cup f^{-1}(B_1)$ . Note that either  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0)$ ,  $a \in f^{-1}(B_1)$ , or both. If  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0)$ , then  $f(a) \in B_0$ . Note that  $B_0 \subseteq B_0 \cup B_1$ . Therefore,  $f(a) \in B_0 \cup B_1$ . In particular,  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0 \cup B_1)$  and we can conclude that  $f^{-1}(B_0) \cup f^{-1}(B_1) \subseteq f^{-1}(B_0 \cup B_1)$ . We will arrive at this same conclusion by using an analogous argument for the other cases.

Therefore, we conclude that  $f^{-1}(B_0 \cup B_1) = f^{-1}(B_0) \cup f^{-1}(B_1)$ .  $\square$

$$(c) \quad f^{-1}(B_0 \cap B_1) = f^{-1}(B_0) \cap f^{-1}(B_1).$$

*Proof.* If  $f^{-1}(B_0 \cap B_1)$  is empty, then it implies that there exists no  $a \in A$  such that  $f(a) \in B_0 \cap B_1$ . Since  $f(a) \notin B_0 \cap B_1$ , it must be that either  $f(a) \notin B_0$ ,  $f(a) \notin B_1$ , or both. If  $f(a) \notin B_0$ , then it must be that  $a \notin f^{-1}(B_0)$ . In particular, since  $a \notin f^{-1}(B_0)$ ,  $a \notin f^{-1}(B_0) \cap f^{-1}(B_1)$ . Since this is an argument for all  $a \in A$ , we conclude that  $f^{-1}(B_0) \cap f^{-1}(B_1)$  must be empty. Thus, the equality in our claim holds. We arrive at this same conclusion for the other cases by using an analogous argument.

If  $f^{-1}(B_0) \cap f^{-1}(B_1)$  is empty, then it implies that there exists no  $a \in A$  such that  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0) \cap f^{-1}(B_1)$ . Since for all  $a \in A$ ,  $a \notin f^{-1}(B_0) \cap f^{-1}(B_1)$ , it implies that either  $a \notin f^{-1}(B_0)$ ,  $a \notin f^{-1}(B_1)$ , or both. If  $a \notin f^{-1}(B_0)$ , then  $f(a) \notin B_0$ . In particular, it implies that  $f(a) \notin B_0 \cap B_1$ . As such,  $a \notin f^{-1}(B_0 \cap B_1)$ . Since this is true for all  $a \in A$ , we conclude that  $f^{-1}(B_0 \cap B_1)$  is empty. Thus, the equality in our claim holds. We can arrive at this same conclusion by following an analogous argument for the other cases.

Since our claim holds if either of the sets is empty, we will assume that both of them are non-empty.

Let  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0 \cap B_1)$ . Therefore,  $f(a) \in B_0 \cap B_1$ . This implies that  $f(a) \in B_0$  and  $f(a) \in B_1$ . In particular, it must be that  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0)$  and  $a \in f^{-1}(B_1)$ . Therefore,  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0) \cap f^{-1}(B_1)$ , and we conclude that  $f^{-1}(B_0 \cap B_1) \subseteq f^{-1}(B_0) \cap f^{-1}(B_1)$ .

Let  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0) \cap f^{-1}(B_1)$ . Then, it must be that  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0)$  and  $a \in f^{-1}(B_1)$ . In particular, we have that  $f(a) \in B_0$  and  $f(a) \in B_1$ . Therefore,  $f(a) \in B_0 \cap B_1$  which implies that  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0 \cap B_1)$ . Hence, we conclude that  $f^{-1}(B_0 \cap B_1) = f^{-1}(B_0) \cap f^{-1}(B_1)$ .  $\square$

$$(d) \quad f^{-1}(B_0 - B_1) = f^{-1}(B_0) - f^{-1}(B_1).$$

*Proof.* If  $f^{-1}(B_0 - B_1)$  is empty, then there exists no  $a \in A$  such that  $a$  is an element of  $f^{-1}(B_0 - B_1)$ . This implies that  $f(a) \notin B_0 - B_1$  for all  $a \in A$ . Since  $f(a) \notin B_0 - B_1$ , it must be that either  $f(a) \notin B_0$  or  $f(a) \in B_0 \cap B_1$ . Note that if  $f(a) \notin B_0$ , then  $a \notin f^{-1}(B_0)$  and thus  $a \notin f^{-1}(B_0) - f^{-1}(B_1)$  for all  $a \in A$ . Thus,  $f^{-1}(B_0) - f^{-1}(B_1)$  must be empty, and therefore the equality in our claim holds. If  $f(a) \in B_0 \cap B_1$ , note that  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0 \cap B_1)$ . In particular, this implies that  $a \notin f^{-1}(B_0) - f^{-1}(B_1)$  by definition. Hence,  $f^{-1}(B_0) - f^{-1}(B_1)$  is empty and our claim holds.

If  $f^{-1}(B_0) - f^{-1}(B_1)$  is empty, then there exists no  $a \in A$  such that  $a$  is an element of  $f^{-1}(B_0) - f^{-1}(B_1)$ . In particular, since  $a \notin f^{-1}(B_0) - f^{-1}(B_1)$ , it must be that either  $a \notin f^{-1}(B_0)$  or  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0) \cap f^{-1}(B_1)$ . If  $a \notin f^{-1}(B_0)$ , then  $f(a) \notin B_0$ . In particular,  $f(a) \notin B_0 - B_1$ . Hence,  $a \notin f^{-1}(B_0 - B_1)$ . Since this is true for all  $a \in A$ , it must be that  $f^{-1}(B_0 - B_1)$  is empty and thus our claim holds. If  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0) \cap f^{-1}(B_1)$ , then note that  $a \notin f^{-1}(B_0 \cap B_1)$  by problem (c). As such,  $f(a) \in B_0 \cap B_1$ , and therefore,  $f(a) \notin B_0 - B_1$ . Thus, for all  $a \in A$ ,  $a \notin f^{-1}(B_0 - B_1)$ . As such  $f^{-1}(B_0 - B_1)$  is empty and our claim holds.

Since our property holds if either of the sets is empty, we will now assume that both sets are non-empty.

Let  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0 - B_1)$ . By definition,  $f(a) \in B_0 - B_1$ . This implies that  $f(a) \in B_0$  and  $f(a) \notin B_1$ . As such,  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0)$  and  $a \notin f^{-1}(B_1)$ . Therefore,  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0) - f^{-1}(B_1)$  and we conclude that  $f^{-1}(B_0 - B_1) \subseteq f^{-1}(B_0) - f^{-1}(B_1)$ .

Let  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0) - f^{-1}(B_1)$ . By definition,  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0)$  and  $a \notin f^{-1}(B_1)$ . Since  $a \in f^{-1}(B_0)$  we have that  $f(a) \in B_0$ . Similarly, since  $a \notin f^{-1}(B_1)$  we have that  $f(a) \notin B_1$ . Therefore, since  $f(a) \in B_0$  and  $f(a) \notin B_1$  we have that  $f(a) \in B_0 - B_1$ . Hence,  $a \in B_0 - B_1$ . Therefore,  $f^{-1}(B_0) - f^{-1}(B_1) \subseteq f^{-1}(B_0 - B_1)$ , Thus, we conclude that  $f^{-1}(B_0 - B_1) = f^{-1}(B_0) - f^{-1}(B_1)$ .  $\square$

Show that  $f$  preserves inclusions and unions only:

$$(e) A_0 \subset A_1 \Rightarrow f(A_0) \subset f(A_1).$$

*Proof.* Let  $A_0 \subseteq A_1$ . Note that if either  $f(A_0)$  or  $f(A_1)$  are empty our claim will hold. As such, we will assume that both  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  are non-empty.

Let  $b \in f(A_0)$ . This implies that there exists at least one  $a \in A_0$  such that  $f(a) = b$ . By assumption,  $A_0 \subseteq A_1$  and thus it must be that  $a \in A_1$ . Therefore,  $f(a) \in A_1$ . Since  $f(a) = b$  it must be that  $b \in A_1$ . Therefore,  $f(A_0) \subseteq f(A_1)$ .  $\square$

$$(f) f(A_0 \cup A_1) = f(A_0) \cup f(A_1).$$

*Proof.* If  $f(A_0 \cup A_1)$  is empty, then it implies that  $A_0 \cup A_1$  is empty. In particular, this means  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  are empty and thus  $f(A_0)$  and  $f(A_1)$  are empty. Hence, our claim holds. If  $f(A_0) \cup f(A_1)$  is empty, then it must be that  $f(A_0)$  and  $f(A_1)$  are both empty. Hence,  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  must be empty. Therefore,  $f(A_0 \cup A_1)$  is empty and our property holds.

Since our property hold if either of the sets are empty, we will assume that both of the sets are non-empty.

Let  $x \in f(A_0 \cup A_1)$ . By definition, it must be that  $x = f(a)$  for some  $a \in A_0 \cup A_1$ . Thus, it must be that either  $a \in A_0$ ,  $a \in A_1$ , or both. If  $a \in A_0$ , it implies that  $f(a) \in f(A_0)$ , i.e.,  $x \in f(A_0)$ . In particular, since  $x \in f(A_0)$ , we have that  $x \in f(A_0) \cup f(A_1)$ . Thus, we conclude that  $f(A_0 \cup A_1) \subseteq f(A_0) \cup f(A_1)$ .

Let  $x \in f(A_0) \cup f(A_1)$ . Thus, either  $x \in f(A_0)$ ,  $x \in f(A_1)$ , or both. If  $x \in f(A_0)$  we have that there exists at least one  $a \in A_0$  such that  $f(a) = x$ . In particular, since  $a \in A_0$  it is also true that  $a \in A_0 \cup A_1$ . Therefore,  $f(a) = x \in f(A_0 \cup A_1)$ . Thus, we conclude that  $f(A_0) \cup f(A_1) \subseteq f(A_0 \cup A_1)$ . We arrive at the same conclusion by following an analogous argument for the other cases. Therefore, we conclude that  $f(A_0 \cup A_1) = f(A_0) \cup f(A_1)$ .  $\square$

- (g)  $f(A_0 \cap A_1) \subset f(A_0) \cap f(A_1)$ ; show that equality holds if  $f$  is injective.

*Proof.* If  $f(A_0 \cap A_1)$  is empty, then it is trivial to note that our claim hold whether  $f(A_0) \cap f(A_1)$  is empty or not.

If  $f(A_0) \cap f(A_1)$  is empty, it implies that there is no  $a \in A$  such that  $f(a) \in f(A_0)$ , or  $f(a) \in f(A_1)$ , or both. Since  $f$  may not be injective, it could be that  $a \in A$  is an element of either  $A_0$ ,  $A_1$ , or both. In the case  $a$  is an element of both we note that  $a \in A_0 \cap A_1$  and therefore  $f(a) \in f(A_0 \cap A_1)$ . Thus, we cannot conclude that  $f(A_0) \cap f(A_1) \subset f(A_0 \cap A_1)$  for general  $f$ . Thus, let  $f$  be injective. Recall either  $f(a) \notin f(A_0)$ ,  $f(a) \notin f(A_1)$ , or both. If  $f(a) \notin f(A_0)$  but  $f(a) \in f(A_1)$ , then  $a \notin A_0$  and  $a \in A_1$  by definition, i.e.,  $a \notin A_0 \cap A_1$ . Since  $f$  is injective, there are no other  $a' \in A$  distinct from  $a$  such that  $f(a') = f(a)$ . Hence, since  $a \notin A_0 \cap A_1$ ,  $f(a) \notin f(A_0 \cap A_1)$ . Since this is true for any  $a \in A$  we conclude that  $f(A_0 \cap A_1)$  must be empty and thus our claim holds.

We've shown that our claim holds if either empty is set. Furthermore, we also showed that equality holds if  $f$  is injective when either set is empty. Thus, we need only show our claim holds for the case where both sets are non-empty.

Let  $x \in f(A_0 \cap A_1)$ . By definition, there exists at least one  $a \in A_0 \cap A_1$  such that  $f(a) = x$ . In particular, for such  $a$  we have that  $a \in A_0$  and  $a \in A_1$ . We note that  $f(a) \in A_0$  and  $f(a) \in A_1$  by definition. Since  $f(a) = x$  we conclude that  $x \in f(A_0) \cap f(A_1)$ . Therefore,  $f(A_0 \cap A_1) \subseteq f(A_0) \cap f(A_1)$ .

Let  $x \in f(A_0) \cap f(A_1)$ . Thus,  $x \in f(A_0)$  and  $x \in f(A_1)$ . As such, there exists at least one  $a_0 \in A_0$  such that  $f(a_0) = x$  and at least one  $a_1 \in A_1$  such that  $f(a_1) = x$ . Since  $f$  is not injective, it may be that  $a_0 \neq a_1$ . Therefore, it may be that  $a_0, a_1 \notin A_0 \cap A_1$ . This can be true for any  $a \in A$  such that  $f(a) = x$ . Hence, it may be that  $x \notin f(A_0 \cap A_1)$  for general  $f$ . If  $f$  is injective, note that it must be that  $a = a_0 = a_1$  as such, since  $a_0 \in A_0$  and  $a_1 \in A_1$ , we have that  $a \in A_0 \cap A_1$  (where  $a$  stands for any  $a \in A$  that could have mapped onto  $x$  through  $f$ ). Therefore,  $f(a) \in f(A_0 \cap A_1)$ , and since  $x = f(a)$  we can conclude that  $x \in f(A_0 \cap A_1)$ . Hence,  $f(A_0) \cap f(A_1) \subseteq f(A_0 \cap A_1)$ , and we can conclude that  $f(A_0 \cap A_1) = f(A_0) \cap f(A_1)$  for  $f$  injective.  $\square$

- (h)  $f(A_0 - A_1) \supseteq f(A_0) - f(A_1)$ ; show that equality holds if  $f$  is injective.

*Proof.* Consider the case where  $f(A_0 - A_1)$  is empty. Then, it must be that  $A_0 - A_1$  is empty. Since  $A_0 - A_1$  is empty, either  $A_0$  is empty or  $A_0 \subseteq A_1$ . If  $A_0$  is empty, note that  $f(A_0)$  must also be empty. As such,  $f(A_0) - f(A_1)$  will also be empty, showing that our claim holds. If  $A_0 \subseteq A_1$ , by problem (e) we have that  $f(A_0) \subseteq f(A_1)$ . Therefore,  $f(A_0) - f(A_1)$  must be empty. Hence, our claim holds.

Consider now the case where  $f(A_0) - f(A_1)$  is empty. This implies that either  $f(A_0)$  is empty or  $f(A_0) \subseteq f(A_1)$  where  $f(A_0)$  is non-empty. If  $f(A_0)$  is empty, note that it implies that  $A_0$  is empty. Hence,  $A_0 - A_1$  must also be empty. Therefore,  $f(A_0 - A_1)$  is empty and our property holds. Thus, assume that  $f(A_0) \subseteq f(A_1)$  where  $f(A_0)$

is non-empty. Consider  $x \in f(A_0)$ , and note that there exists at least one  $a_0 \in A_0$  such that  $f(a_0) = x$ . Furthermore, since  $f(A_0) \subseteq f(A_1)$  we note that  $x \in f(A_1)$ . In particular, there exists at least one  $a_1 \in A_1$  such that  $f(a_1) = x$ . Since  $f$  may not be injective, it could be that  $a_0 \neq a_1$  and as such it may be that  $a_0 \in A_0 - A_1$ . Therefore, we may have that  $x = f(a_0) \in f(A_0 - A_1)$  implying this set is non-empty. Thus, our claim still holds for general  $f$ . Note, however, if  $f$  were injective then  $a_0 = a_1$  must be true since  $f(a_0) = x = f(a_1)$ . Therefore, it must be that  $a_0 \notin A_0 - A_1$ . Therefore, it must be that  $A_0 - A_1$  is empty, and therefore  $f(A_0 - A_1)$  is also empty, showing that equality holds if  $f$  is injective.

We've shown that our claim holds when either of the sets is empty. Thus, we will now assume that both sets are non-empty.

Let  $x \in f(A_0) - f(A_1)$ . This implies that  $x \in f(A_0)$  and  $x \notin f(A_1)$ . Therefore, there exists at least one  $a_0 \in A_0$  such that  $f(a_0) = x$  and that there exists no  $a_1 \in A_1$  such that  $f(a_1) = x$ . In particular, this implies that  $a_0 \neq a_1$  since the element they are mapped onto by  $f$  is different. In particular,  $a_0 \in A_0$  and  $a_0 \notin A_1$ . Therefore,  $a_0 \in A_0 - A_1$ . By definition,  $x = f(a_0) \in f(A_0 - A_1)$ . Thus, we conclude that  $f(A_0) - f(A_1) \subseteq f(A_0 - A_1)$ .

Let  $x \in f(A_0 - A_1)$ . This implies that there exists at least one  $a \in A_0 - A_1$  such that  $f(a) = x$ . In particular, we have that  $a \in A_0$  and  $a \notin A_1$ . Note that since  $f$  is not injective, it could be that there exists some  $a' \in A_1$  such that  $a' \notin A_0$  and  $f(a') = x$ . As such, it would imply that  $x \in f(A_0)$  and  $x \in f(A_1)$ . Therefore, it would not be possible for  $x$  to be an element of  $f(A_0) - f(A_1)$ . If  $f$  were injective, then it must be that  $a = a'$  since they both map onto  $x$ . In particular, it must be that  $a' \in A_0$  and  $a' \in A_1$  since that is how we defined  $a$ . As such,  $x \in f(A_0)$  and  $x \notin f(A_1)$ , and we conclude that  $x \in f(A_0) - f(A_1)$ . Hence, if  $f$  is injective we also have that  $f(A_0 - A_1) \subseteq f(A_0) - f(A_1)$  and thus  $f(A_0 - A_1) = f(A_0) - f(A_1)$ .  $\square$

**3.** Show that (b), (c), (f), and (g) of Exercise 2 hold for arbitrary unions and intersections.

$$(b) f^{-1}(\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B) = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} f^{-1}(B).$$

*Proof.* Let  $f^{-1}(\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B)$  be empty. By definition, we have that there exists no  $a \in A$  such that  $f(a) \in (\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B)$ . As such, it must be that  $f(a)$  is not an element of  $B$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . In particular, this implies that  $a \notin f^{-1}(B)$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Thus, note that this allows us to conclude that  $a \notin \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} f^{-1}(B)$ . Since this is true for all  $a \in A$ , we conclude that  $\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} f^{-1}(B)$  is empty and therefore our claim holds.

Let  $\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} f^{-1}(B)$  be empty. This implies that  $f^{-1}(B)$  is empty for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . By definition, this means that there exists no  $a \in A$  such that  $f(a) \in B$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . In particular, we note that  $f(a) \notin \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$ . Therefore, it must be that  $a \notin f^{-1}(\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B)$ . Since this is true for all  $a \in A$ , we conclude that  $f^{-1}(\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B)$  is empty, and thus our claim holds.

Thus, we've shown that if either of the sets is empty our property will hold. We need only check for the case where both sets are non-empty.

Let  $a \in f^{-1}(\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B)$ . By definition,  $f(a) \in \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$ . This implies that  $f(a) \in B$  for at least one  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . In particular, note that  $a \in f^{-1}(B)$ . Thus, it must be that  $f(a) \in \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} f^{-1}(B)$ . Hence, we conclude that  $a \in \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} f^{-1}(B)$ . Therefore,  $f^{-1}(\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B) \subseteq \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} f^{-1}(B)$ .

Let  $a \in \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} f^{-1}(B)$ . This implies that  $a \in f^{-1}(B)$  for at least one  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Therefore,  $f(a) \in B$  for at least one  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . In particular,  $f(a) \in \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$ . Thus, we can conclude that  $a \in f^{-1}(\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B)$ . Hence,  $\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} f^{-1}(B) = f^{-1}(\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B)$ .  $\square$

$$(c) \quad f^{-1}(\bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B) = \bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} f^{-1}(B).$$

*Proof.* Let  $f^{-1}(\bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B)$  be empty. This implies that there exists no  $a \in A$  such that  $f(a) \in \bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$ . By definition, it must be that  $f(a) \notin B$  for at least one  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Thus,  $a \notin f^{-1}(B)$  for at least one  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . In particular, it must be that  $a \notin \bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} f^{-1}(B)$ . Since this is true for all  $a \in A$ , we conclude that  $\bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} f^{-1}(B)$  must be empty, thus our claim holds.

Let  $\bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} f^{-1}(B)$  be empty. This implies that  $f^{-1}(B)$  is empty for at least one  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  or that  $f^{-1}(B) \cap f^{-1}(B') = \emptyset$  for all  $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$ . If at least one  $f^{-1}(B)$  is empty, then it implies that there exists no  $a \in A$  such that  $f(a) \in B$ . In particular, since  $f(a) \notin B$ , it must be that  $f(a) \notin \bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$ . Therefore,  $a \notin f^{-1}(\bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B)$  and thus this set must be empty which allows our claim to hold. If  $f^{-1}(B) \cap f^{-1}(B') = \emptyset$  for all  $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$ , note if  $a \in f^{-1}(B)$ , then it must be that  $a \notin f^{-1}(B')$ . In particular, this implies that  $f(a) \in B$  and  $f(a) \notin B'$ , as such  $f(a) \notin B \cap B'$ . Since  $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$ , we conclude that  $f(a) \notin \bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$ . Therefore,  $a \notin f^{-1}(\bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B)$ . Since this is true for all  $a \in A$ , we conclude that  $f^{-1}(\bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B)$  must be empty, thus our claim holds.

Since we have shown our property holds when either of the sets is empty, we need only show that our property holds when both sets are non-empty.

Let  $a \in f^{-1}(\bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B)$ . By definition,  $f(a) \in \bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$ . Thus, we have that  $f(a) \in B$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . In particular, it must be that  $a \in f^{-1}(B)$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Hence,  $a \in \bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} f^{-1}(B)$  by definition. Therefore we conclude that  $f^{-1}(\bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B) \subseteq \bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} f^{-1}(B)$ . Let  $a \in \bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} f^{-1}(B)$ . By definition,  $a \in f^{-1}(B)$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . This implies that  $f(a) \in B$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . By definition, it must be that  $f(a) \in \bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$ . Therefore, we conclude that  $a \in f^{-1}(\bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B)$ . Hence we have that  $f^{-1}(\bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B) = \bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} f^{-1}(B)$ .  $\square$

$$(f) \quad f(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A) = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} f(A).$$

*Proof.* Let  $f(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A)$  be empty. This can only be true if  $\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A$  is empty itself. Thus it must be that  $A = \emptyset$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . As such,  $f(A)$  is empty for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Therefore,  $\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} f(A)$  is empty and our property holds.

Let  $\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} f(A)$  be empty. This implies that  $f(A)$  is empty for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Note that  $f(A)$  can only be empty if  $A$  itself is empty. Therefore,  $A = \emptyset$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , and

as such  $\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A$  must also be empty. Thus,  $f(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A)$  is empty and our property holds.

We have shown that our property holds when either of the sets is empty. Thus, we need only show that the claim holds when both sets are non-empty.

Let  $x \in f(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A)$ . Thus, there exists at least one  $a \in \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A$  such that  $f(a) = x$ . By definition,  $a \in A$  for at least one  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . As such,  $f(a) \in f(A)$  for at least one  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . In particular, this implies that  $f(a) \in \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} f(A)$ . Since  $f(a) = x$  we note that  $x \in \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} f(A)$ . Therefore,  $f(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A) \subseteq \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} f(A)$ .

Let  $x \in \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} f(A)$ . By definition,  $x \in f(A)$  for at least one  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , let  $A$  be such set. Thus, there exists at least one  $a \in A$  such that  $f(a) = x$ . Note that since  $a \in A$  and  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , it must be that  $a \in \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A$ . Therefore,  $x = f(a) \in f(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A)$ . Thus, we conclude that  $f(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A) = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} f(A)$ .  $\square$

(g)  $f(\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A) \subseteq \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} f(A)$ ; show that equality holds if  $f$  is injective.

*Proof.* Let  $f(\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A)$  be empty. This can only be true if  $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A$  is itself empty. Thus, it must be that at least one  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  is empty, or  $A \cap A' = \emptyset$  for all  $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$ . If at least one  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  is empty, note that  $f(A)$  is empty for such  $A$ . Note that this implies that  $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} f(A)$  is empty and thus our property holds. If  $A \cap A' = \emptyset$  for all  $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$ , note that we cannot say anything about  $f(A) \cap f(A')$ . This is because  $f$  is not necessarily injective, as such there may exist  $a \in A$  and  $a' \in A'$  such that  $f(a) = f(a')$ . Thus, it could be that  $f(A) \cap f(A')$  is non-empty. Thus, at most we can only conclude that  $f(\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A) \subseteq \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} f(A)$ . If  $f$  was injective, note that such  $a$  and  $a'$  cannot exist since  $f(a) = f(a')$  only if  $a = a'$ . Thus, we note that  $f(A) \cap f(A')$  must be empty for all  $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$ . Therefore,  $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} f(A)$  must be empty and equality holds.

Let  $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} f(A)$  be empty. This implies that either  $f(A)$  is empty for at least one  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  or  $f(A) \cap f(A') = \emptyset$  for all  $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $f(A)$  is empty for at least one  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  note that  $A$  must be empty. Thus,  $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A$  must also be empty, and therefore  $f(\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A)$  is empty and our property holds. If  $f(A) \cap f(A') = \emptyset$  for all  $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$ , then for any  $a$  such that  $f(a) \in f(A)$  it must be that  $f(a) \notin f(A')$ . Thus, it must be that  $a \in A$  and  $a \notin A'$  by definition. Since  $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$  we note that  $a \notin \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A$ . Therefore,  $f(a) \notin f(\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A)$ . Since this is true for all  $a$  we conclude that  $f(\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A)$  must be empty and our property holds.

Since we have shown that our claim holds when either of the sets is empty, and furthermore that equality holds when  $f$  is injective, we need only show that our claim holds when both sets are non-empty.

Let  $x \in f(\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A)$ . By definition, there exists at least one  $a \in \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A$  such that  $f(a) = x$ . Since  $a \in \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A$ , it must be that  $a \in A$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . In particular,  $f(a) \in f(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . By definition,  $x = f(a) \in \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} f(A)$ . Thus, we conclude that  $f(\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A) \subseteq \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} f(A)$ .

Let  $x \in \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} f(A)$ . By definition,  $x \in f(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Consider  $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$ . Note that there exists at least one  $a \in A$  and one  $a' \in A'$  such that  $f(a) = x = f(a')$ . Since it may not be true that  $f$  is injective, we may have that  $a \neq a'$ . In particular, note that  $a, a' \notin A \cap A'$ . Thus, we cannot conclude that there exists some  $a'' \in \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A$  such that  $f(a'') = x$  since it could be that all  $a \in A$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $f(a) = x$  are distinct. If, however,  $f$  is injective, note it must be that  $a = a'$  since  $f(a) = x = f(a')$ . Since this is true for all  $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$  we conclude that  $a \in \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A$ . Thus,  $x = f(a) = f(\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A)$ . Therefore,  $f(\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A) \subseteq \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} f(A)$  if  $f$  is injective. □

**4.** Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : B \rightarrow C$ .

- (a) If  $C_0 \subset C$ , show that  $(g \circ f)^{-1}(C_0) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(C_0))$ .
- (b) If  $f$  and  $g$  are injective, show that  $g \circ f$  is injective.
- (c) If  $g \circ f$  is injective, what can you say about the injectivity of  $f$  and  $g$ ?
- (d) If  $f$  and  $g$  are surjective, show that  $g \circ f$  is surjective.
- (e) If  $g \circ f$  is surjective, what can you say about the surjectivity of  $f$  and  $g$ ?
- (f) Summarize your answers to (b)-(c) in the form of a theorem.

**5.** In general, let us denote the **identity function** for a set  $C$  by  $i_C$ . That is, define  $i_C : C \rightarrow C$  to be the function given by the rule  $i_C(x) = x$  for all  $x \in C$ . Given  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , we say that  $h : B \rightarrow A$  is a **right inverse** for  $f$  if  $f \circ h = i_B$ .

- (a) Show that if  $f$  has a left inverse,  $f$  is injective; and if  $f$  has a right inverse,  $f$  is surjective.
- (b) Give an example of a function that has a left inverse but no right inverse.
- (c) Give an example of a function that has a right inverse but no left inverse.
- (d) Can a function have more than one left inverse? More than one right inverse?
- (e) Show that if  $f$  has both a left inverse  $g$  and a right inverse  $h$ , then  $f$  is bijective and  $g = h = f^{-1}$ .

**6.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the function  $f(x) = x^3 - x$ . By restricting the domain and range of  $f$  appropriately, obtain from  $f$  a bijective function  $g$ . Draw the graphs of  $g$  and  $g^{-1}$ . (There are several possible choices for  $g$ .)