# Analysis Notes

Murillo Vega, Gustavo e-mail: g.murillo24@info.uas.edu.mx

November 2, 2024

# Measures

# 1.1 Measure space

A pair  $(X, \mathcal{M})$  is a measure space if  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  and

- 1.  $X \in \mathcal{M}$ .
- 2.  $X A \in \mathcal{M}$  if  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ .
- 3.  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{M} \text{ if } A_n \in \mathcal{M}.$

The elements of  $\mathcal{M}$  are called measurable sets. While  $\mathcal{M}$  itself is called a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

### 1.1.1 Existence of measurable spaces

If  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  for a set X, then there exists a smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{M}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{M}$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{F}$ .

#### 1.1.2 Borel spaces

For a topological space X there exists a smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  that contains all open sets in X, we call  $\mathcal{B}$  the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the topological space X, so that X is also a measurable space.

Of importance are the  $F_{\sigma}$ , and  $G_{\delta}$  sets, which are respectively the countable union of closed sets, and the countable intersection of open sets.

# 1.2 Measurable functions

 $f: X \to Y$  is a measurable function if Y is a topological space, X is a measurable space, and  $f^{-1}(V)$  is measurable for every open V.

Notice that measurable function don't necessarily have a notion of continuity, since the space X may not be a topological space. Whereas in a Borel space, we can have both continuous and measurable functions.

We sometimes call the measurable functions in the Borel space, a Borel measurable function, a Borel function or a Borel mapping. By the definition of the Borel space, every continuous function is also a Borel measurable function. Since the inverse of an open set is open, and a Borel space has every open set in the topology of the domain.

## 1.3 Measures

A positive measure  $\mu$  is a function with range in  $[0, \infty]$  on measurable spaces X, defined for measurable sets, with the property of being countably additive. That is

$$\mu(\cup A_i) = \sum_i \mu(A_i)$$

for countably many  $A_i$ .

A complex measure, is a complex function, defined on measurable sets of a measurable space X, which is countably additive. Real measures, are a subclass of the complex measures. Neither complex or real measures map to infinity.

We call measurable spaces with a measure, a measure space.

# 1.3.1 Some non-trivial properties

1.  $\lim \mu(A_n) = \mu(A)$  when  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$  for countably many measurable sets  $A_n$  such that

$$A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \cdots$$
.

2.  $\lim \mu(A_n) = \mu(A)$  when  $A = \bigcap_n A_n$  for countably many measurable sets  $A_n$  such that

$$A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \cdots$$
,

with  $\mu(A_1) < \infty$ .

# Abstract Integration

Through the notes,

$$\int f d\mu := \int_X f d\mu.$$

And unless stated otherwise,  $\mu$  is a positive measure.

# 2.1 Non-negative Lebesgue Integrals

#### 2.1.1 Lebesgue integral definition

Let  $s: X \to [0, \infty]$  be a measurable simple function of the form

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \chi_{A_i},$$

where  $\alpha_i$  are the values of s(x) if  $x \in A_i$ . For measurable E, we define

$$\int_{E} s \ d\mu = \sum \alpha_{i} \mu(A_{i} \cap E)$$

. There is no problem with this definition, as measurable s implies measurable  $A_i$  sets.

For measurable  $f: X \to [0, \infty]$  we define

$$\int_{E} f d\mu = \sup \int s \ d\mu,$$

where the supreme is taken from all s such that  $0 \le s \le f$ . It can be proven that there is always a monotonically increasing sequence of simple  $s_n$  functions such that  $\lim s_n = f$ . So the supreme of non-negative s simple functions can approximate f well, so that the integral makes sense.

### 2.1.2 Theorem "change of variables"

Suppose  $f: X \to [0, \infty]$  is measurable. Let

$$\varphi(E) = \int_E f d\mu$$

for measurable E, then  $\varphi$  is a measure on X. Furthermore if  $g:X\to [0,\infty]$  is measurable,

$$\int g d\varphi = \int f d\mu.$$

# 2.2 Real and complex integrals

### 2.2.1 Definition

If f is complex measurable in X, we say that  $f \in L^1(X)$  if

$$\int |f|d\mu < \infty.$$

Such f are called Lebesgue integrable functions, or summable functions.

### 2.2.2 Complex integrals

If f = u + iv is measurable and in  $L^1(X)$ , we define on measurable E,

$$\int_E f d\mu = \int_E u^+ d\mu - \int_E u^- d\mu + i \left( \int_E v^+ d\mu - \int_E v^- d\mu \right).$$

### 2.2.3 Extended real integrals

If  $f: X \to [-\infty, \infty]$  is measurable, we define

$$\int_E f d\mu = \int_E f^+ d\mu - \int_E f^- d\mu,$$

for measurable E, when one of the integrals on the right are non-infinite, since  $\infty - \infty$  is not defined.

#### 2.2.4 Theorem

If  $f_n: X \to [0, \infty]$  are measurable and

$$f = \sum f_n$$

then

$$\int f d\mu \le \sum \int f_n d\mu.$$

### 2.2.5 Theorem

If  $f \in L^1(X)$ 

$$\left|\int f d\mu\right| = \int |f| d\mu.$$

# ${\bf 2.2.6}\quad {\bf Lebesgue's\ dominated\ convergence\ theorem}$

If  $f_n$  are complex measurable functions on X such that

$$f = \lim f_n$$

converges in X, and there exists measurable complex g in X such that

$$|f_n| \leq g$$
.

Then  $f \in L^1(X)$ ,

$$\lim \int |f - f_n| d\mu,$$

and

$$\int f d\mu = \lim \int f_n d\mu.$$

# Properties almost everywhere

# 3.1 Definition

We say that P holds almost everywhere (a.e) in  $E \subset X$ , if P holds in E - N where  $\mu(N) = 0$ .

For example, we say f = g a.e for measurable f, g on the measure space X if they differ on a set of measure 0. If this holds, for any measurable E we have

$$\int_{E} f d\mu = \int_{E} g d\mu.$$

Notice that  $f \sim g$  if f = g a.e is an equivalence relation.

# 3.2 Definition

We extend the definition of measurable function: If f defined on measurable  $E \subset X$ , we say f is measurable in X, if  $\mu(X-E)$  and  $f^{-1}(V) \cap E$  is measurable for open V.

If we care about integrating this function over X, f need not be defined on X-E as  $\mu(X-E)=0$  thus  $\int_X f d\mu=\int_E f d\mu$  no matter what f is defined to be in X-E.

### 3.3 Theorem

Let  $f_n$  be complex measurable functions defined a.e in X such that

$$\sum \int |f_n| d\mu < \infty. \tag{3.1}$$

Then

$$f = \sum f_n, \tag{3.2}$$

converges a.e in  $X, f \in L^1(X)$ , and

$$\int f d\mu = \sum \int f_n d\mu. \tag{3.3}$$

*Proof*: Let  $S = \{x : f_n(x) \text{ is defined } \forall n\}$ , so that  $\mu(X - S) = 0$ . Defining  $\varphi : S \to C$  by  $\varphi = \sum |f_n|$ , then by 2.2.4,

$$\int \varphi d\mu = \sum \int |f_n| d\mu < \infty. \tag{3.4}$$

Let  $E = \{x \in S : \varphi(x) < \infty\}$ , it follows that  $\mu(X - E) = 0$  by 3.4.

*Proof*: Let A = X - S and B = X - E, B is the disjoint union of  $A \cap B = A$  and B - A, then  $\mu(B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B - A)$ . As B - A = (X - E) - (X - S) = S - E which are the points  $x \in S$  on which  $\varphi(x) = \infty$ ,  $\mu(B - A) = 0$  as 3.4 must hold. So we have proven  $\mu(X - E) = \mu(X - S) = 0$ .

Series 3.2 converges absolutely in E by seeing that  $\varphi(x) = \sum |f_n(x)| < \infty$  for  $x \in E$ . So that f restricted to E is well defined, and by 2.2.5, and 3.4,

$$\left| \int f d\mu \right| \le \int \varphi d\mu < \infty$$

so that  $f \in L^1(X)$ .

If we set  $g_n = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i$ , we see that on E,  $|g_n| \le \varphi$ . Since  $\varphi \in L^1(X)$  by 3.4, and  $f = \lim g_n$  in E, the dominated convergence theorem says that  $f \in L^1(X)$  (since  $\mu(X - E) = 0$ ), and that

$$\lim \int g_n d\mu = \sum \int f_n d\mu = \int f d\mu.$$

////

Note: If  $f_n$  were defined everywhere in X (so S would be X), when proving that  $\mu(X - E) = 0$ , we do not imply that E = X. Thus the conclusion would still be, that f converges almost everywhere (in all of E).

### 3.4 Theorem

- 1. Suppose  $f: X \to [0, \infty]$  is measurable and  $\mu(E) > 0$ . If  $\int_E f d\mu = 0$  then f = 0 a.e on E.
- 2. Suppose  $f \in L^1(X)$  and  $\int_E f d\mu = 0$  for all measurable E. Then f = 0 a.e on X.
- 3. Suppose  $f \in L^1(X)$  and

$$\left| \int_{X} f d\mu \right| = \int_{X} |f| d\mu.$$

Then there exists  $\alpha \in C$  such that  $\alpha f = |f|$  a.e in X.

*Proof.* 1. Let  $A_n = \{x \in E : f(x) > \frac{1}{n}\}$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{n}\mu(A_n) = \int_{A_n} \frac{1}{n} d\mu \le \int_{A_n} f d\mu \le \int_E f d\mu = 0.$$

Thus  $\mu(A_n) = 0$ , and  $\cup A_n = \{x : f(x) > 0\}$  has measure 0 too. ////

# 3.5 Corollary

Let  $f: X \to [0, \infty]$  be measurable, then f = 0 a.e in X if and only if  $\int_X f dm = 0$ .

*Proof*: If f=0 a.e in X, trivially  $\int_X f dm = \int_X 0 dm$ . If  $\int_X f dm = 0$ , by 3.4, f=0 a.e in X.

# 3.6 An averages theorem

Suppose  $\mu(X) < \infty$  and that  $f \in L^1(X)$ , with S closed in the complex plane, and that the averages

$$A_E(f) = \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_E f d\mu,$$

lie in S for every measurable E with positive measure. Then  $f(x) \in S$  for almost all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof*: We want to show that the set where f lies outside of S, specifically the set  $f^{-1}(X-S)$ , is of measure 0.

For this, it is enough that we show that the open disc  $\Delta$  contained in X-S, is such that  $\mu(E)=0$  where  $E=f^{-1}(\Delta)$ . This, because as X-S is open, it is the union of countably many open disks. Trivially, E is measurable as f is measurable.

Let  $\Delta$  be the disk at  $\alpha$  of radius r > 0. If we had  $\mu(E) > 0$ , then

$$|A_E(f) - \alpha| = \left| \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_E f d\mu - \alpha \right| \tag{3.1}$$

$$= \left| \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_{E} (f - \alpha) d\mu \right| \tag{3.2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \left| \int_{E} (f - \alpha) d\mu \right| \tag{3.3}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_{E} |f - \alpha| d\mu. \tag{3.4}$$

The second equality comes from the fact that the integral of a constant c over a measurable set A, is  $c\mu(E)$ . The inequality comes from 2.2.5.

////

Since  $f(E) \subset \Delta$ ,  $|f(x) - \alpha| < r$  for x in E. Thus by 3.5,

$$0 < \int_{E} (r - |f - \alpha|) d\mu = r\mu(E) - \int_{E} |f - \alpha| d\mu$$

$$\iff \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_{E} |f - \alpha| d\mu < r, \text{ and then by } 3.4$$

$$|A_{E}(f) - \alpha| < r.$$

Meaning  $A_E(f) \in \Delta \subset X - S$ , contradicting the hypothesis that  $A_E(f)$  lies in S. Thus  $\mu(E) = 0$ .

# 3.7 Theorem

Let  $E_k$  be a sequence of measurable sets in X, such that

$$\sum \mu(E_k) < \infty. \tag{3.1}$$

Then, almost all x lies in at most finitely many  $E_k$ .

*Proof*: We have to prove that the set where x lies in infinitely many  $E_k$  is of measure 0. Consider

$$g = \sum \chi_{E_k}.$$

From its definition  $g(x) = \infty$  if and only if x is in infinitely many  $E_k$ . By hypothesis  $E_k$  are measurable sets, so  $\chi_{E_k}$  are measurable, then

$$\int g d\mu = \sum \mu(E_k),$$

by 3.3, which is finite by hypothesis.

# Positive Borel Measures

# 4.1 Topological preliminaries

### 4.1.1 Definitions

X is a Hausdorff space if: For  $p \neq q$  in X, p and q have respectively neighborhoods which are disjoint one of another.

X is locally compact if every point of X has a neighborhood whose closure is compact.

# Example

Every compact space is locally compact.

By the Heiner-Borel theorem, the compact sets of an euclidian space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are precisely those which are close and bounded. Which means,  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space.

Every metric space is a Hausdorff space.

#### 4.1.2 Theorem

In any topological space, if K is compact and F is closed, then  $F \subset K$  implies F is compact.

#### 4.1.3 Theorem

If K is compact in a Hausdorff space X and  $p \in X - K$ . Then there exists open sets U and W, such that  $p \in U$  and  $K \subset W$ , and  $U \cap W = \emptyset$ .

#### Corollaries

- 1. Compact subsets of Hausdorff spaces are closed.
- 2. In a Hausdorff space, if F is closed and K is compact,  $F \cap K$  is compact.

Point 1 comes from the fact that the theorem implies there are open sets for every point outside of K, so that the union of these open sets is X - K, thus K is closed.

Point 2 follows from the fact that K is closed, so that  $E \cap K \subset K$  is compact.

### 4.1.4 Theorem

If  $\{K_{\alpha}\}$  is a collection of compact sets in a Hausdorff space, and the intersection of all  $K_{\alpha}$ , is empty, then for finitely many  $K_{\alpha}$  their intersection is also empty.