

We will assume that f is a monotone increasing boolean function such that the following holds for some absolute constants $0 < \alpha < 1$, $0 < \delta < 1$, and for $\epsilon = o_n(1)$:

1.

$$\mathbb{E} f = \alpha,$$

2.

$$\Pr_{S, |S|=\frac{n}{4}} \left\{ \Pr_{B: S \subseteq B} \{ f(B) = 1 \} \leq 1 - \delta \right\} \geq 1 - \epsilon,$$

and try to reach contradiction.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{B : f(B) = 1\}$. We start with observing that the second assumption has the following corollary:

Lemma 0.1: *Let L_m denote the m 'th level of the discrete cube $\{0, 1\}^n$. There exists a constant $\delta' \lesssim \delta$ such that for all $\frac{n}{4} \leq m \leq \frac{5n}{8} - \omega(\sqrt{n})$ holds*

$$|\mathcal{F} \cap L_m| \leq (1 - \delta') \cdot \binom{n}{m}.$$

Proof: Let $\mathcal{F}_m = \mathcal{F} \cap L_m$. Let $P_m = \{(S, B) : |S| = \frac{n}{4}, S \subseteq B, B \in \mathcal{F}_m\}$. We will estimate P_m in two ways.

First, we introduce some more notation. Let S be of cardinality $\frac{n}{4}$ and let $C_S = \{B, S \subseteq B\}$ be the $\frac{3n}{4}$ -dimensional subcube containing the supersets of S . By the second assumption above, for $(1 - \epsilon)$ fraction of the sets S holds $|C_S \cap \mathcal{F}| \leq (1 - \delta) \cdot 2^{\frac{3n}{4}}$. Let S be one of these sets. The main observation means that for some $\delta' \lesssim \delta$ and for all m which are sufficiently below the height of the middle level of C_S (which is $\frac{5n}{8}$, and the choice $m \leq \frac{5n}{8} - \omega(\sqrt{n})$ works fine) the set $C_S \cap \mathcal{F}_m$ should be of cardinality at most $(1 - \delta') \cdot \binom{\frac{3n}{4}}{m - \frac{n}{4}}$. Indeed, assume to the contrary that this does not hold. Then for all $m \leq t \leq n$ we have, as a simple corollary of the monotonicity of $C_S \cap \mathcal{F}$, that

$$|C_S \cap \mathcal{F}_m| \geq (1 - \delta') \cdot \binom{\frac{3n}{4}}{t - \frac{n}{4}},$$

which implies $|C_S \cap \mathcal{F}| > (1 - \delta) \cdot 2^{\frac{3n}{4}}$, for an appropriate choice of δ' , contradicting the choice of S .

So, for $(1 - \epsilon)$ fraction of the sets S of cardinality $\frac{n}{4}$ holds $C_S \cap \mathcal{F}_m \leq (1 - \delta') \cdot \binom{\frac{3n}{4}}{m - \frac{n}{4}}$. This means

$$|P_m| \leq (1 - \epsilon) \cdot (1 - \delta') \cdot \binom{n}{\frac{n}{4}} \binom{\frac{3n}{4}}{m - \frac{n}{4}} + \epsilon \cdot \binom{n}{\frac{n}{4}} \binom{\frac{3n}{4}}{m - \frac{n}{4}} \approx (1 - \delta') \cdot \binom{n}{\frac{n}{4}} \binom{\frac{3n}{4}}{m - \frac{n}{4}}.$$

On the other hand, any $B \in \mathcal{F}_m$ contributes $\binom{m}{\frac{n}{4}}$ pairs to P_m . And hence

$$|\mathcal{F}_m| \lesssim (1 - \delta') \cdot \frac{\binom{n}{\frac{n}{4}} \binom{\frac{3n}{4}}{m - \frac{n}{4}}}{\binom{m}{\frac{n}{4}}} = (1 - \delta') \cdot \binom{n}{m}.$$

■

We now introduce some more notation. For $0 < p < 1$ let μ_p denote the product measure which assigns probability p to 1 in each coordinate, independently for different coordinates. Let $M(p) = \mu_p(\mathcal{F})$ and observe that $M(\frac{1}{2}) = \mathbb{E} f = \alpha$. We recall the following facts: For a monotone increasing set \mathcal{F} the function M is increasing, and moreover (Russo's formula, see e.g. [1], eq. 8.8):

$$\frac{dM}{dp} = \frac{1}{p(1-p)} \sum_{i=1}^n I_{p,i}(\mathcal{F}),$$

where $I_{p,i}(\mathcal{F})$ is the i 'th influence w.r.t. μ_p of f , that is $I_{i,p}(f) = \mu_p(\{x : f(x) \neq f(x + e_i)\})$. Note that most of the mass in the μ_p measure is concentrated on sets of weight $pn \pm O_p(\sqrt{n})$, and hence it is an immediate corollary of Lemma 0.1 that for any $p < \frac{5}{8}$ holds

$$M(p) = \mu_p(\mathcal{F}) \lesssim (1 - \delta') \approx 1 - \delta.$$

Fix such a p , and for simplicity assume (with slight inaccuracy) in the following $p = \frac{5}{8}$.

By the intermediate value theorem, for some $\frac{1}{2} < p < \frac{5}{8}$, and for the appropriate absolute constant $K \leq 8p(1-p)(1-\alpha)$ holds

$$\sum_{i=1}^n I_{p,i}(\mathcal{F}) = p(1-p) \frac{dM}{dp} = p(1-p) \frac{M(\frac{5}{8}) - M(\frac{1}{2})}{\frac{1}{8}} := K.$$

Remark 0.2: In fact, note that for this claim (that sum of influences is bounded for some $\frac{1}{2} < p < \frac{5}{8}$ we don't need the first lemma. It follows trivially from the fact that $M(\frac{5}{8}) \leq M(1) \leq 1$. We need the first lemma and its implications below, in the last paragraph, when we restrict to \mathcal{C} . ■

From now on we fix this p . Note that $\mu_p(\mathcal{F}) \geq \mathbb{E} f = \alpha$. Next, we choose a small constant γ , so that $\gamma \ll \alpha$ and $\gamma \ll \delta$, and recall that by a result of Friedgut, since $\sum_{i=1}^n I_{p,i}(\mathcal{F}) \leq K$, for some absolute constant c , there a subset I of cardinality at most $c_1 = c^{\frac{K}{\gamma}}$ coordinates, and a boolean function g ("junta") depending only on coordinates in I such that $\mu_p(\{f \neq g\}) \leq \gamma$. Moreover, if f is monotone, so is g .

Let now \mathcal{C} be the c_1 -codimensional subcube in which all coordinates in I are 1. From now on we restrict our discussion to \mathcal{C} , in particular we restrict \mathcal{F} and μ_p to \mathcal{C} , denoting them with superscript \mathcal{C} .

Lemma 0.3:

$$\mu_p^{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{C}}) \geq 1 - \frac{\gamma}{\alpha - \gamma}.$$

Proof: First note that $\mu_p(\{f \neq g\}) \leq \gamma$ implies $\mathbb{E}g \geq \mathbb{E}f - \gamma \geq \alpha - \gamma$. In particular, since $\gamma < \alpha$ we have that $\mathbb{E}g > 0$, and $g \neq 0$. Since g is monotone and depends only on coordinates in I this implies that on \mathcal{C} the function g equals 1.

Next, write a vector $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ as $x = (yz)$, where, in the obvious notation, $y \in \{0, 1\}^I$ and $z \in \{0, 1\}^{n \setminus I}$. For $y \in \{0, 1\}^I$ define $F(y) = \mathbb{E}_{z \in \{0, 1\}^{n \setminus I}} f(yz)$ and note that F is monotone increasing on $\{0, 1\}^I$. Hence $\mu_p^{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{C}}) = F(1)$ is the maximal value $F(y)$ attains.

Hence we have, recalling that $g(yz)$ depends only on y , and hence we can write it as $g(y)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &\geq \mathbb{E}_x(f(x) - g(x)) = \sum_{y \in \{0, 1\}^I} \mu_p(y) \cdot \mathbb{E}_{z \in \{0, 1\}^{n \setminus I}} (f(yz) - g(yz)) \geq \\ &\sum_{y \in \{0, 1\}^I : g(y)=1} \mu_p(y) \cdot \mathbb{E}_{z \in \{0, 1\}^{n \setminus I}} (f(yz) - g(yz)) = \sum_{y \in \{0, 1\}^I : g(y)=1} \mu_p(y) \cdot (1 - F(y)) \geq \\ &\sum_{y \in \{0, 1\}^I : g(y)=1} \mu_p(y) \cdot (1 - F(1)) = \mathbb{E}g \cdot (1 - F(1)) \geq (\alpha - \gamma) \cdot (1 - F(1)). \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging, we get the claim of the lemma. ■

Next, we claim that, by the total expectation formula, the second assumption above holds for \mathcal{C} and for $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{C}}$ with ϵ replaced by $\epsilon_1 \approx 4^{c_1} \cdot \epsilon$ (since a random subset S of $[n]$ of cardinality $\frac{n}{4}$ contains I with probability about $(\frac{1}{4})^{c_1}$). Hence, reproving Lemma 0.1 for \mathcal{C} shows that for any $q < \frac{5}{8}$ holds $\mu_q^{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{C}}) \lesssim 1 - \delta$. But since $p < \frac{5}{8}$ we can choose $q > p$ and hence, by the above

$$\mu_q^{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{C}}) \geq \mu_p^{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{C}}) \geq 1 - \frac{\gamma}{\alpha - \gamma},$$

reaching contradiction, by our assumptions on γ . ■

References

- [1] R. O'Donnell, **Analysis of Boolean functions**, Cambridge University Press, 2014.