

File No: STD/1574 & STD/1602

January 2017

**NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS NOTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT SCHEME  
(NICNAS)**

**PUBLIC REPORT**

**STD/1574: Chemical A in NAFOL Linear Alcohols, Distn. Residues**  
**STD/1602: Chemical B in NAFOL Linear Alcohols, Distn. Residues**

This Assessment has been compiled in accordance with the provisions of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act 1989* (the Act) and Regulations. This legislation is an Act of the Commonwealth of Australia. The National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) is administered by the Department of Health, and conducts the risk assessment for public health and occupational health and safety. The assessment of environmental risk is conducted by the Department of the Environment and Energy.

This Public Report is available for viewing and downloading from the NICNAS website or available on request, free of charge, by contacting NICNAS. For requests and enquiries please contact the NICNAS Administration Coordinator at:

Street Address:	Level 7, 260 Elizabeth Street, SURRY HILLS NSW 2010, AUSTRALIA.
Postal Address:	GPO Box 58, SYDNEY NSW 2001, AUSTRALIA.
TEL:	+ 61 2 8577 8800
FAX:	+ 61 2 8577 8888
Website:	<a href="http://www.nicnas.gov.au">www.nicnas.gov.au</a>

**Director  
NICNAS**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

SUMMARY .....	3
CONCLUSIONS AND REGULATORY OBLIGATIONS .....	3
ASSESSMENT DETAILS .....	5
1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS .....	5
2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL.....	5
3. COMPOSITION.....	5
4. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES .....	5
5. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION .....	7
6. HUMAN HEALTH IMPLICATIONS .....	8
6.1. Exposure Assessment.....	8
6.1.1. Occupational Exposure.....	8
6.1.2. Public Exposure.....	8
6.2. Human Health Effects Assessment .....	8
6.3. Human Health Risk Characterisation .....	9
6.3.1. Occupational Health and Safety .....	9
6.3.2. Public Health .....	10
7. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS.....	10
7.1. Environmental Exposure & Fate Assessment .....	10
7.1.1. Environmental Exposure .....	10
7.1.2. Environmental Fate .....	10
7.1.3. Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC).....	11
7.2. Environmental Effects Assessment.....	11
7.2.1. Predicted No-Effect Concentration .....	12
7.3. Environmental Risk Assessment .....	12
<u>APPENDIX A: PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES .....</u>	<u>13</u>
<u>APPENDIX B: TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS .....</u>	<u>16</u>
B.1. Acute toxicity – oral.....	16
B.2. Acute toxicity – dermal .....	16
B.3. Irritation – skin.....	17
B.4. Irritation – eye .....	17
B.5. Skin sensitisation.....	17
B.6. Repeat dose toxicity .....	18
B.7. Repeat dose toxicity .....	19
B.8. Genotoxicity – bacteria .....	20
B.9. Genotoxicity – in vitro .....	20
B.1. Genotoxicity – in vitro .....	21
B.10. Developmental toxicity .....	22
<u>APPENDIX C: ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND ECOTOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS .....</u>	<u>23</u>
C.1. Ecotoxicological Investigations .....	23
C.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish .....	23
C.2.2. Acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates .....	23
C.2.3. Algal growth inhibition test.....	24
C.2.4. Inhibition of microbial activity .....	25
BIBLIOGRAPHY .....	26

## SUMMARY

The following details will be published in the NICNAS *Chemical Gazette*:

ASSESSMENT REFERENCE	APPLICANT(S)	CHEMICAL OR TRADE NAME	HAZARDOUS CHEMICALS	INTRODUCTION VOLUME	USE
STD/1574 & STD/1602	Cintox Australia Pty Ltd	STD/1574: Chemical A in NAFOL Linear Alcohols, Distn. Residues  STD/1602: Chemical B in NAFOL Linear Alcohols, Distn. Residues	No	< 100 tonnes per annum (each chemical)	Processing aid in paper manufacturing

## CONCLUSIONS AND REGULATORY OBLIGATIONS

### **Hazard classification**

Based on the available information, the notified chemicals are not recommended for classification according to the *Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals* (GHS), as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia, or the *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC, 2004).

### **Human health risk assessment**

Under the conditions of the occupational settings described, the notified chemicals are not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to the health of workers.

When used in the proposed manner, the notified chemicals are not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to public health.

### **Environmental risk assessment**

On the basis of their low toxicity to aquatic life and the assessed use pattern, the notified chemicals are not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to the environment.

### **Recommendations**

#### **CONTROL MEASURES**

#### **Occupational Health and Safety**

- A person conducting a business or undertaking at a workplace should implement the following safe work practices to minimise occupational exposure during handling of the notified chemicals as introduced in the neat form:
  - Avoid eye contact

Guidance in selection of personal protective equipment can be obtained from Australian, Australian/New Zealand or other approved standards.

- A copy of the (M)SDS should be easily accessible to employees.
- If products and mixtures containing the notified chemicals are classified as hazardous to health in accordance with the *Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals* (GHS) as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia, workplace practices and control procedures consistent with provisions of State and Territory hazardous substances legislation should be in operation.

## Disposal

- Where reuse or recycling are not appropriate, dispose of the notified chemicals in an environmentally sound manner in accordance with relevant Commonwealth, state, territory and local government legislation.

## Emergency procedures

- Spills or accidental release of the notified chemicals should be handled by containment, collection and subsequent safe disposal.

## Regulatory Obligations

### *Secondary Notification*

This risk assessment is based on the information available at the time of notification. The Director may call for the reassessment of the chemical under secondary notification provisions based on changes in certain circumstances. Under Section 64 of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act (1989)* the notifier, as well as any other importer or manufacturer of the notified chemical, have post-assessment regulatory obligations to notify NICNAS when any of these circumstances change. These obligations apply even when the notified chemical is listed on the Australian Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS).

Therefore, the Director of NICNAS must be notified in writing within 28 days by the notifier, other importer or manufacturer:

- (1) Under Section 64(2) of the Act; if
  - the function or use of the chemicals has changed from a processing aid in paper manufacturing, or is likely to change significantly;
  - the amount of chemical being introduced has increased, or is likely to increase, significantly;
  - the chemicals have begun to be manufactured in Australia;
  - additional information has become available to the person as to an adverse effect of the chemicals on occupational health and safety, public health, or the environment.

The Director will then decide whether a reassessment (i.e. a secondary notification and assessment) is required.

No additional secondary notification conditions are stipulated.

### *(Material) Safety Data Sheet*

The (M)SDS of products containing the notified chemicals provided by the notifier were reviewed by NICNAS. The accuracy of the information on the (M)SDS remains the responsibility of the applicant.

## **ASSESSMENT DETAILS**

### **1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS**

#### APPLICANT(S)

Cintox Australia Pty Ltd (ABN: 63 122 874 613)  
Suite 1, Level 2, 38-40 George Street  
PARRAMATTA NSW 2150

#### NOTIFICATION CATEGORY

STD/1574: Standard: Chemical other than polymer (more than 1 tonne per year) - Group assessment

STD/1602: Standard: Chemical other than polymer (more than 1 tonne per year) - Group assessment

#### EXEMPT INFORMATION (SECTION 75 OF THE ACT)

Data items and details claimed exempt from publication: chemical name, CAS number, molecular weight, analytical data, impurities, import volume, and identity of recipients.

#### VARIATION OF DATA REQUIREMENTS (SECTION 24 OF THE ACT)

Variation to the schedule of data requirements is claimed for all physico-chemical endpoints.

#### PREVIOUS NOTIFICATION IN AUSTRALIA BY APPLICANT(S)

None

#### NOTIFICATION IN OTHER COUNTRIES

STD/1574: EU REACH (2015), USA (2016), Canada (2015), Korea (2016)

STD/1602: EU REACH (2013)

### **2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL**

#### MARKETING NAME(S)

NAFOL Linear Alcohols, Distn. Residues (contains STD/1574 and STD/1602 notified chemicals)

#### MOLECULAR WEIGHT

STD/1574: < 1,000 Da

STD/1602: < 1,000 Da

#### ANALYTICAL DATA

Reference NMR and GC-MS spectra were provided for each notified chemical.

### **3. COMPOSITION**

#### DEGREE OF PURITY

STD/1574: 100%

STD/1602: 100%

### **4. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES**

#### STD/1574

APPEARANCE AT 20 °C AND 101.3 kPa: Greyish to yellowish solid pastilles

Property	Value	Data Source/Justification
Melting Point	66.4-69.4 °C	Measured
Boiling Point	269.8 °C at 101.3 kPa	Measured
Density	900.7 kg/m <sup>3</sup> at 20 °C	Measured
Vapour Pressure	< 3.69×10 <sup>-6</sup> kPa at 20 °C	Measured
Water Solubility	< 1.0×10 <sup>-3</sup> g/L at 20 °C	Measured
Hydrolysis as a Function of pH	Not determined	The notified chemical may contain hydrolysable functionalities. However, significant hydrolysis is not expected at environmental pH due to its low water

Property	Value	Data Source/Justification
Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water)	log Pow > 6.5 at 40 °C	solubility Measured
Adsorption/Desorption	log K <sub>oc</sub> > 5.63 at 40 °C	Measured
Dissociation Constant	Not determined	No dissociable functionalities
Particle Size	Mean diameter > 1mm	Measured
Flash Point	Not determined	Expected to be > 200 °C based on measured flash point for STD/1602
Flammability	Not highly flammable	Measured
Autoignition Temperature	368 °C	Measured
Explosive Properties	Predicted negative	Based on the chemical structure
Oxidising Properties	Predicted negative	Based on the chemical structure

STD/1602

APPEARANCE AT 20 °C AND 101.3 kPa: Greyish to yellowish solid pastilles

Property	Value	Data Source/Justification
Melting Point	52.5-54.6 °C	(M)SDS
Boiling Point	378 °C at 101.3 kPa	Measured
Density	909.4 kg/m <sup>3</sup> at 20 °C	Measured
Viscosity		Measured
Vapour Pressure	< 5×10 <sup>-3</sup> kPa at 20 °C	Measured
Water Solubility	1.7×10 <sup>-4</sup> g/L at 20 °C	Measured
Hydrolysis as a Function of pH	Not determined	The notified chemical may contain hydrolysable functionalities. However, significant hydrolysis is not expected at environmental pH due to its low water solubility.
Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water)	Not determined	Expected to partition to oil phase similar to STD/1574
Adsorption/Desorption	Not determined	Expected to partition to sediment or sludge from water similar to STD/1574
Dissociation Constant	Not determined	No dissociable functionalities
Particle Size	Not determined	Expected to have mean diameter > 1 mm similar to STD/1574
Flash Point	235 °C at 102.1 kPa	Measured
Flammability	Not highly flammable	Measured
Autoignition Temperature	333 °C	Measured
Explosive Properties	Not determined	Not expected to be explosive based on the chemical structure
Oxidising Properties	Not determined	Not expected to be oxidising based on the chemical structure

## DISCUSSION OF PROPERTIES

For full details of tests on physical and chemical properties, refer to Appendix A.

*Reactivity*

The notified chemicals are expected to be stable under normal conditions of use.

**Physical hazard classification**

Based on the submitted physico-chemical data depicted in the above table, the notified chemicals are not recommended for hazard classification according to the *Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)*, as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia.

## 5. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION

### MODE OF INTRODUCTION OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

The notified chemicals will not be manufactured in Australia. The notified chemicals will be imported into Australia in neat form, or as components of formulation products at  $\leq 20\%$  concentration for use in paper manufacturing.

### MAXIMUM INTRODUCTION VOLUME OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

#### STD/1574

Year	1	2	3	4	5
Tonnes	50-100	50-100	50-100	50-100	50-100

#### STD/1602

Year	1	2	3	4	5
Tonnes	50-100	50-100	50-100	50-100	50-100

### PORT OF ENTRY

Melbourne and Sydney

### TRANSPORTATION AND PACKAGING

The notified chemicals will be shipped to Australia in neat form (100%) as pastilles in 20 kg polyethylene bags or 500 kg polyethylene Bigbags, or as a component of formulations at  $\leq 20\%$  concentration in 1000 L IBC containers, and will be transported by road to the notifier storage facilities, or directly to the customers' blending facilities. After blending and formulation of the neat notified chemicals, the finished products in 1000 L IBC containers or the imported formulation products containing the notified chemicals will be transported by road to the paper manufacturing sites.

### USE

The notified chemicals will be used as viscosity increasing agents, emulsion stabilisers, and binders in a foam inhibitor and pulp deaerator product for paper manufacturing.

### OPERATION DESCRIPTION

#### *Blending*

At the customers' blending sites, the neat notified chemicals will be weighed and transferred manually from the import containers into on-site blending tanks. The notified chemicals will typically be blended in a closed and computer-controlled system with other components into finished products containing the notified chemicals at  $\leq 20\%$  concentration. The finished products will be automatically filled in 1000 L IBC tanks and then stored for shipment to end users.

#### *Paper Manufacturing*

At the paper manufacturing sites, the blended or imported formulated products containing the notified chemicals will be pumped directly from the containers into fibre suspensions in paper manufacturing stream. Within the pulp and paper mill, wood chip is subject to high temperature and mechanical action which separates and evenly distributes fibres forming a suspension containing 5% solids. This is diluted to form a 1% solids fibre suspension with recycled process water. The notified chemicals will be incorporated into the paper at 0.01% concentration. Water drained from the paper pulp will be recycled and reused to dilute incoming wood fibre suspensions.

## 6. HUMAN HEALTH IMPLICATIONS

### 6.1. Exposure Assessment

#### 6.1.1. Occupational Exposure

##### CATEGORY OF WORKERS

<i>Category of Worker</i>	<i>Exposure Duration (hours/day)</i>	<i>Exposure Frequency (days/year)</i>
Transport and storage	4-8	12
Plant blending operators	8	12
Plant manufacturing operators	8	300

##### EXPOSURE DETAILS

Transport and storage workers may come into contact with the neat notified chemicals or products containing the notified chemicals at  $\leq 20\%$  concentration, only in the unlikely event of an accident.

At the blending sites, operators may have dermal and/or ocular exposure to the neat notified chemicals during blending, filling operations and quality control analysis. Inhalation exposure is not expected as aerosols are unlikely to be generated during the operations, and the chemicals are not expected to vaporise.

End users may be exposed to the notified chemicals at  $\leq 20\%$  concentration at paper manufacturing facilities. Occupational exposure may occur during transfer of the products containing the notified chemicals, and during connection and disconnection of transfer lines. Exposure from incidental splashes, drips, and spills, and cleaning and maintenance of equipment may also occur.

Workers exposure is expected to be minimised by the use of a closed and computer-controlled system during the blending process and the use of PPE such as gloves, eye protection and protective clothing, in addition to the use of local ventilation at the blending facilities.

Once the notified chemicals are incorporated into paper fibres and dried, no significant exposure is expected to occur from handling the manufactured paper products.

#### 6.1.2. Public Exposure

The notified chemicals will be for industrial use only. However, the public may come into contact with papers treated with the notified chemicals. No significant exposure is expected to occur as the notified chemicals will be incorporated into the dried paper fibres and will be present at a very low concentration (0.01%).

### 6.2. Human Health Effects Assessment

No toxicity data were submitted for the notified chemical from STD/1602. However, based on its structural similarity, the notified chemical from STD/1574 is considered to be an analogue to the notified chemical in STD/1602. The results from toxicological investigations conducted on the notified chemical from STD/1574 are summarised in the following table. For full details of the studies, refer to Appendix B.

<i>Endpoint</i>	<i>Result and Assessment Conclusion</i>
Rat, acute oral toxicity	LD50 > 2000 mg/kg bw; low toxicity
Rat, acute dermal toxicity	LD50 > 2020 mg/kg bw; low toxicity
Rabbit, skin irritation	non-irritating
Rabbit, eye irritation	slightly irritating
Guinea pig, skin sensitisation – adjuvant test	no evidence of sensitisation
Rat, repeat dose oral toxicity – 28 days	NOEL > 1000 mg/kg bw/day
Rat, repeat dose oral toxicity – 90 days	NOEL > 1000 mg/kg bw/day
Mutagenicity – bacterial reverse mutation	non mutagenic
Genotoxicity – <i>in vitro</i> chromosomal aberration	non genotoxic
Genotoxicity – <i>in vitro</i> mammalian cell gene mutation test	non genotoxic
Rat, prenatal developmental toxicity	NOEL = 1000 mg/kg bw/day (maternal and foetal)



#### *Toxicokinetics*

Based on the low water solubility and highly lipophilic nature of the notified chemicals, dermal absorption is expected to be limited.

#### *Acute toxicity*

The notified chemicals are expected to be of low acute oral and dermal toxicity based on studies conducted in rats with the notified chemical from STD/1574. Based on the results from these studies, the notified chemicals are expected to be of low acute oral and dermal toxicity.

#### *Irritation and sensitisation*

The notified chemical from STD/1574 was found to be non-irritating to skin but very slightly irritating to eyes, based on studies conducted in rabbits. In the eye irritation study, very slight conjunctival irritation (redness; Grade 1) was observed in one animal up to the 48 hour observation period. No signs of irritation were observed in the other two test animals.

The notified chemical from STD/1574 was determined to be a non-sensitising in a guinea pig maximisation test.

Based on the results from these studies, the notified chemicals are expected to be non-irritating to skin, very slightly irritating to eyes and non-sensitising.

#### *Repeated dose toxicity*

In a 28-day and 90-day repeated dose oral (gavage) toxicity studies in rats, the No Observed Effect Level (NOEL) for the notified chemical from STD/1574 was established as 1000 mg/kg bw/day, based on the absence of treatment related effects at any dose level tested.

The notified chemicals are therefore expected to be of low systemic toxicity from repeated exposure.

#### *Mutagenicity/Genotoxicity*

The notified chemical from STD/1574 tested negative in a bacterial reverse mutation assay, an *in vitro* chromosomal aberration test using Chinese hamster ovary cells and an *in vitro* cell gene mutation test using mouse lymphoma cells. Based on the results from these studies, the notified chemicals are not expected to be genotoxic.

#### *Developmental toxicity*

A prenatal developmental toxicity study was conducted with the notified chemical from STD/1574 in rats. There were no treatment related mortalities or effects on pregnancy parameters. There were no treatment related foetal malformations and no statistically significant foetal variations. The NOEL was established at 1000 mg/kg bw/day for maternal and foetal toxicity, based on the absence of treatment related effects at any dose level tested.

#### **Health hazard classification**

Based on the available information, the notified chemicals are not recommended for classification according to the *Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)*, as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia, or the *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC, 2004).

### **6.3. Human Health Risk Characterisation**

#### **6.3.1. Occupational Health and Safety**

Based on studies conducted with the notified chemical from STD/1574, the notified chemicals are of low hazard, presenting only as very slight eye irritants.

Workers handling the notified chemicals in the neat form during reformulation into finished products will be most at risk of slight eye irritation effects. However, worker exposure is expected to be minimised by the use of closed automated systems during the blending process, and the use of PPE including eye protection. The notified chemicals are not expected to present a risk at other times based on the low use concentrations.

Overall, based on the low hazard, the notified chemicals are not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to the health of workers

### 6.3.2. Public Health

No significant exposure to the notified chemicals is expected by the public. Furthermore the notified chemicals are of low hazard. Therefore, when used in the proposed manner, the notified chemicals are not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to public health.

## 7. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

### 7.1. Environmental Exposure & Fate Assessment

#### 7.1.1. Environmental Exposure

##### RELEASE OF CHEMICAL AT SITE

The imported notified chemicals will be blended or reformulated into finished products in Australia. At the blending sites, up to 0.5% of the total import volume of the notified chemicals is estimated to be released to environment due to accidental spills. These spills are expected to be collected for reuse or be disposed of to landfill.

Residues in the import containers, accounting for 0.2% of the total import volume, are expected to be washed to sewer via the on-site waste water treatment plants or be disposed of to landfill along with the empty containers. Some liquid spills during the filling operation are expected to be collected by adsorbent material and disposed of to landfill.

##### RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM USE

The notified chemicals will be used as an additive agent for paper manufacturing. At the paper manufacturing sites, releases of the notified chemicals could occur from spills and leaks during connection/disconnection of pumping equipment and transfer lines. This will account for 1% of the import volume. Residues in empty containers will account for a further 1% of the total import volume. These residues are expected to be rinsed and the rinsate will be recycled into the process stream.

It is estimated that 1% of notified chemicals will be discharged into effluent during paper manufacturing process. The notifier indicates that the daily use of the notified chemicals is approximately 77 kg; therefore, the daily release of the notified chemicals into effluent is 0.77 kg.

##### RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM DISPOSAL

All waste water from the manufacturing site is expected to be discharged to surface waters through on-site sewage treatment. The maximum concentration of the notified chemicals in mill effluent is indicated to be 0.015 mg/L.

It is assumed that 50% of the used paper to which the notified chemicals are applied will end up in landfill and the remainder will undergo paper recycling processes.

#### 7.1.2. Environmental Fate

No environmental fate data were submitted. The notified chemicals are expected to be biodegradable under environmental conditions. However, the biodegradation rate is expected to be slower due to the low bioavailability when carbon chain is greater than 16. The notified chemicals are expected to be stable to hydrolysis in the environmental pH range of 4–9.

It is assumed that 50% of the waste paper will be recycled domestically. During recycling processes, waste paper is repulped using a variety of chemical agents, which, amongst other things, enhance detachment of inks and coatings from the fibres. Notified chemicals in waste water from paper recycling are expected to be removed from water column by partitioning to sludge or sediment due to their high adsorption/desorption coefficient (low  $K_{oc}$  > 5.63) and low water solubility. Therefore, only a low proportion of the notified chemicals are expected to remain in the effluent water from both on-site waste water treatment plants and municipal water treatment plants to which treated effluent from paper recycling facilities may be discharged. Sludge (containing the notified chemicals) generated during the recycling process is expected to be sent to landfill for disposal or agricultural land for remediation. The notified chemicals are not expected to be mobile in soil due to their high adsorption/desorption coefficient (low  $K_{oc}$  > 5.63) and low water solubility.

The notified chemicals have potential to be bioaccumulative based on the measured  $\log P_{ow}$  > 6.5. However, significant bioaccumulation in aquatic life is not expected as the notified chemicals are expected to be readily

biodegradable. In water, landfill or soil, the notified chemicals are expected to undergo degradation by biotic and abiotic processes to form water and oxides of carbon eventually.

### 7.1.3. Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC)

#### *Release of the notified chemicals from paper manufacturing process*

Waste water from paper manufacturing processes is expected to be treated on-site before being released to public sewer. The notifier indicated that the daily use of the notified chemicals is approximately 77 kg and 1% of notified chemicals will be discharged into waste water effluent. Therefore, the daily release of the notified chemicals into effluent is 0.77 kg assuming that there is no removal of the notified chemicals at on-site waste water treatment plants.

The waste water containing the notified chemicals released to sewer is expected to be further treated at the public sewage treatment plant (STP). For a conservative scenario, it is assumed that waste water will be released to a moderately-sized STP (daily average water flow is 358 ML, Eastern Treatment Plant, Victoria). The resultant Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC) in river in a site basis is calculated as following:

$$PEC_{site} = 0.77 \text{ kg/day} \div 358 \text{ ML/day} = 2.15 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$$

#### *Release of the notified chemicals from paper recycling process*

It is assumed that 50% of the used paper containing the notified chemicals will be subjected to paper recycling. Therefore, half amount of the total import volume of the notified chemicals (up to 100 tonnes) are expected to be released to waste water from paper recycling processes.

For the worst case scenario, it is assumed that there is no removal of the notified chemicals at the sewage treatment plants. Therefore, the resultant predicted environmental concentration (PEC) in sewage effluent on a nationwide basis is estimated as follows:

Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC) for the Aquatic Compartment		
Total Annual Import Volume	200,000	kg/year
Proportion expected to be released to sewer	50%	
Annual quantity of chemical released to sewer	100,000	kg/year
Days per year where release occurs	260	days/year
Daily chemical release:	384.62	kg/day
Water use	200.0	L/person/day
Population of Australia (Millions)	22.613	million
Removal within STP	0%	
Daily effluent production:	4,523	ML
Dilution Factor - River	1.0	
Dilution Factor - Ocean	10.0	
PEC - River:	85.04	$\mu\text{g/L}$
PEC - Ocean:	8.50	$\mu\text{g/L}$

Based on the calculation above, the combined Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC) in river will be

$$PEC_{river} = 85.04 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L} + 2.15 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L} = 87.19 \text{ } \mu\text{g/L}$$

STP effluent re-use for irrigation occurs throughout Australia. The agricultural irrigation application rate is assumed to be 1000 L/m<sup>2</sup>/year (10 ML/ha/year). The notified chemicals in this volume are assumed to infiltrate and accumulate in the top 10 cm of soil (density 1500 kg/m<sup>3</sup>). Using these assumptions, irrigation with a concentration of 87.19  $\mu\text{g/L}$  may potentially result in a soil concentration of approximately  $5.81 \times 10^{-1} \text{ mg/kg}$ . Assuming accumulation of the notified chemicals in soil for 5 and 10 years under repeated irrigation, the concentration of notified chemicals in the applied soil in 5 and 10 years may be approximately 2.905 mg/kg and 5.81 mg/kg, respectively.

## 7.2. Environmental Effects Assessment

No ecotoxicity data were submitted for the notified chemical from STD/1602. However, based on its structural similarity, the notified chemical from STD/1574 is considered to be an analogue to the notified chemical in STD/1602.

The results from ecotoxicological investigations conducted on the notified chemical from STD/1574 are summarised in the table below. Details of these studies can be found in Appendix C.

<i>Endpoint</i>	<i>Result</i>	<i>Assessment Conclusion</i>
Fish Toxicity (96 hours)	LL50 > 100 mg/LWAF*	Not harmful to fish up to the water solubility
Daphnia Toxicity (48 hours)	EL50 > 100 mg/L WAF	Not harmful to aquatic invertebrates up to the water solubility
Algal Toxicity (72 hours)	EL50 > 100 mg/L WAF	Not harmful to algae up to the water solubility
Inhibition of Bacterial Respiration (3 hours)	EC50 > 1000 mg/L	Not inhibitory to micro-organisms respiration

\*WAF, water accommodation fractions

Under the Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labeling of Chemicals (United Nations, 2009) the notified chemicals are not expected to be harmful to aquatic life up to their water solubility. Therefore, the notified chemicals are not formally classified for their acute and chronic classification under the GHS.

#### **7.2.1. Predicted No-Effect Concentration**

It is not considered necessary to calculate the PNEC since the notified chemicals are not expected to be harmful to aquatic organisms.

#### **7.3. Environmental Risk Assessment**

The PNEC for the notified chemicals are not calculated as the notified chemicals are not expected to be harmful to aquatic organisms. Therefore, the Risk Quotient ( $Q = PEC/PNEC$ ) has not been calculated.

The notified chemicals are not expected to be harmful to aquatic life up to their water solubility. Therefore, although the notified chemicals have potential to be discharged to the aquatic environment, the notified chemicals are unlikely to reach ecotoxicologically significant concentrations. Additionally, due to the expected rapid biodegradation, the notified chemicals are not expected to be bioaccumulative.

Therefore, on the basis of their low toxicity and low potential for bioaccumulation, the notified chemicals are not expected to pose an unreasonable risk to the environment.

**APPENDIX A: PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES****STD/1574****Melting Point** 66.4-69.4 °C

Method OECD TG 102 Melting Point/Melting Range.  
 Remarks Capillary method  
 Test Facility LAUS GmbH (2009a)

**Boiling Point** 269.8 °C at 101.3 kPa

Method OECD TG 103 Boiling Point.  
 EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 A.2 Boiling Temperature.  
 Remarks SIWOLOBOFF method  
 Test Facility LAUS GmbH (2009b)

**Density** 900.7 kg/m<sup>3</sup> at 20 °C

Method OECD TG 109 Density of Liquids and Solids.  
 Remarks Pycnometer method  
 Test Facility LAUS GmbH (2009c)

**Vapour Pressure** < 3.69×10<sup>-6</sup> kPa at 20 °C

Method OECD TG 104 Vapour Pressure.  
 Remarks Effusion method (weight loss).  
 Test Facility LAUS GmbH (2009d)

**Water Solubility** < 1 × 10<sup>-3</sup> g/L at 20 °C

Method OECD TG 105 Water Solubility.  
 Remarks Flask Method.  
 Test Facility Wildlife (2010a)

**Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water)** log Pow > 6.5 at 40 °C

Method OECD TG 117 Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water).  
 Remarks HPLC Method. The test substance was a reaction mixture and was eluted as two peaks on the evaporative light scattering detector. Both peaks have longer retention time than DDT, one of the reference standards with log Pow = 6.5. Therefore, the log Pow for the test substance expected to be > 6.5.  
 Test Facility Wildlife (2010b)

**Adsorption/Desorption** log K<sub>oc</sub> > 5.63 at 40 °C  
– screening test

Method OECD TG 121 estimation of the adsorption coefficient (K<sub>oc</sub>) on soil and on sewage sludge using high performance liquid chromatography.  
 Remarks The test substance was a reaction mixture product and was eluted as several peaks on the evaporative light scattering detector. All the peaks were eluted out after the highest K<sub>oc</sub> reference standard. Therefore, all the observed peaks have a measured log K<sub>oc</sub> > 5.63.  
 Test Facility Wildlife (2010c)

**Particle Size** Mean diameter > 1mm

Method OECD TG 110 Particle Size Distribution/Fibre Length and Diameter Distributions.  
 Remarks No test details provided. The medium diameter of the test substance (pastilles) was reported to exceed 1 mm. Respirable dust with diameters ≤ 4µm was stated by the study authors to

Test Facility be unlikely due to the waxy behaviour of the test substance  
Sasol (2010a)

**Flammability** Not highly flammable

Method EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 A.10 Flammability (Solids).  
Remarks No deviation from the study protocols.  
Test Facility LAUS GmbH (2010)

**Autoignition Temperature** 368 °C

Method Relative Self-Ignition Temperature for Solids.  
Remarks DIN 51794 Method  
Test Facility Sasol (2010b)

**Explosive Properties** Predicted negative

Method EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 A.14 Explosive Properties.  
Remarks Based on its chemical structure, the test substance is not expected to have explosive properties  
Test Facility Sasol (2010c)

**Oxidizing Properties** Predicted negative

Method Oxidizing Properties (Solids).  
Remarks The test substance is present in the reduced form (alcohols) and has no oxidative properties  
Test Facility Sasol(2010d)

STD/1602

**Boiling Point** 378 °C at 101.3 kPa

Method ASTM D1120-94.  
Remarks Ebulliometer method  
Test Facility Sasol (2011a)

**Density** 909.4 kg/m<sup>3</sup> at 20 °C

Method DIN EN ISO 1183-1 Method A  
Remarks Buoyancy method  
Test Facility Sasol (2011b)

**Water Solubility**  $1.7 \times 10^{-4}$  g/L at 20 °C

Method OECD TG 105 Water Solubility.  
Remarks The test reliability is undetermined as only study summary is available.  
Test Facility CHELAB (2012a)

**Viscosity** 6.0 unit mPa s (dynamic) at 80 °C

Method ASTM D 7042-04 Viscosity of Liquids.  
Remarks Stabinger Viscometer  
Test Facility Sasol (2011c)

**Vapour Pressure**  $< 5 \times 10^{-3}$  kPa at 20 °C

Method (NFT 20-048 - Determination of Vapour Pressure of solids and liquids within range from  $10^{-1}$  to  $10^5$  Pa (Static method)  
Test Facility CHELAB (2012b)

**Flash Point** 235 °C at 102.1 kPa

Method	EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 A.9 Flash Point.
Remarks	Open cup
Test Facility	Sasol (2011d)

**Autoignition Temperature** 333 °C

Method	Relative Self-Ignition Temperature for Solids.
Remarks	DIN 51794 Method
Test Facility	Sasol (2011e)

**APPENDIX B: TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS****B.1. Acute toxicity – oral**

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical (STD/1574)

METHOD OECD TG 425 Acute Oral Toxicity: Up-and-Down Procedure.  
 Species/Strain Rat/Sprague-Dawley  
 Vehicle Corn oil  
 Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations

**RESULTS**

<i>Group</i>	<i>Number and Sex of Animals</i>	<i>Dose mg/kg bw</i>	<i>Mortality</i>
1	5F	2000	0/5

LD50 > 2000 mg/kg bw  
 Signs of Toxicity Only clinical signs were salivation, crusting around the muzzle and polyuria in one animal, which were no longer evident by Day 2.  
 Effects in Organs No gross abnormalities  
 Remarks - Results There was no effect on bodyweight gain.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical (STD/1574) is of low toxicity via the oral route.

TEST FACILITY Stillmeadow (2009a)

**B.2. Acute toxicity – dermal**

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical (STD/1574)

METHOD OECD TG 402 Acute Dermal Toxicity.  
 Species/Strain Rat/Sprague-Dawley  
 Vehicle Moistened with deionised water  
 Type of dressing Semi-occlusive.  
 Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations

**RESULTS**

<i>Group</i>	<i>Number and Sex of Animals</i>	<i>Dose mg/kg bw</i>	<i>Mortality</i>
1	5M/5F	2020	0/10

LD50 > 2020 mg/kg bw  
 Signs of Toxicity - Local No signs of dermal irritation  
 Signs of Toxicity - Systemic No clinical signs of toxicity  
 Effects in Organs No gross abnormalities  
 Remarks - Results There was no effect on bodyweight gain, with the exception of two females that lost weight slightly during Week 1, but gained weight during Week 2.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical (STD/1574) is of low toxicity via the dermal route.

TEST FACILITY Stillmeadow (2009b)



**B.3. Irritation – skin**

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified chemical (STD/1574)
METHOD	OECD TG 404 Acute Dermal Irritation/Corrosion. EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 B.4 Acute Toxicity (Skin Irritation). EC Directive 2004/73/EC B.4 Acute Toxicity (Skin Irritation).
Species/Strain	Rabbit/New Zealand White
Number of Animals	3
Vehicle	Moistened with deionised water
Observation Period	72 hours
Type of Dressing	Semi-occlusive.
Remarks - Method	No significant protocol deviations
RESULTS	
Remarks - Results	No signs of irritation were noted in any test animal during the study.
CONCLUSION	The notified chemical (STD/1574) is non-irritating to the skin.
TEST FACILITY	Stillmeadow (2009c)

**B.4. Irritation – eye**

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified chemical (STD/1574)
METHOD	OECD TG 405 Acute Eye Irritation/Corrosion.
Species/Strain	Rabbit/New Zealand White
Number of Animals	3
Observation Period	72 hours
Remarks - Method	No significant protocol deviations
RESULTS	
Remarks - Results	One animal displayed very slight conjunctival irritation (redness; Grade 1) up to the 48 hour observation period. No signs of irritation were observed in the other two animals during the study period.
CONCLUSION	The notified chemical (STD/1574) is slightly irritating to the eye.
TEST FACILITY	Stillmeadow (2009d)

**B.5. Skin sensitisation**

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified chemical (STD/1574)
METHOD	OECD TG 406 Skin Sensitisation – Maximisation test
Species/Strain	Guinea pig/Hartley-Albino
PRELIMINARY STUDY	Maximum Non-irritating Concentration: intradermal: 2% topical: 5%
MAIN STUDY	
Number of Animals	Test Group: 10M/10F                      Control Group: 5M/5F
Vehicle	
Positive control	Not conducted in parallel with the test substance, but had been conducted previously in the test laboratory using $\alpha$ -hexylcinnamaldehyde.
INDUCTION PHASE	Induction Concentration: intradermal: 4% topical: 10%

Signs of Irritation	All test animals displayed very slight to slight erythema at intradermal induction. After topical induction slight erythema was observed in two test animals. All other test animals showed no signs of irritation. All control animals displayed very slight to slight erythema after intradermal and topical induction.
CHALLENGE PHASE Challenge	topical: 10%
Remarks - Method	No significant protocol deviations
RESULTS	
Remarks - Results	No signs of irritation were noted after challenge in test and control animals.
CONCLUSION	There was no evidence of reactions indicative of skin sensitisation to the notified chemical (STD/1574) under the conditions of the test.
TEST FACILITY	Stillmeadow (2009e)

### B.6. Repeat dose toxicity

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified chemical (STD/1574)
METHOD	OECD TG 407 Repeated Dose 28-day Oral Toxicity Study in Rodents.
Species/Strain	Rat/Wistar CRL:WI (Han)
Route of Administration	Oral – gavage
Exposure Information	Total exposure days: 28 days Dose regimen: 7 days per week Post-exposure observation period: None
Vehicle	1% Carboxymethylcellulose with 0.2% Tween 80
Remarks - Method	No significant protocol deviations

#### RESULTS

<i>Group</i>	<i>Number and Sex of Animals</i>	<i>Dose mg/kg bw/day</i>	<i>Mortality</i>
control	5M/5F	0	0/10
low dose	5M/5F	100	1/10
mid dose	5M/5F	300	0/10
high dose	5M/5F	1000	0/10

#### *Mortality and Time to Death*

One animal died in the low dose group during the orbital sinus sampling, prior to termination on Day 29. The death was not considered to be treatment related. No other mortalities were noted during the study.

#### *Clinical Observations*

There were no treatment related clinical signs of toxicity. Body weights and food consumption were unaffected.

#### *Laboratory Findings – Clinical Chemistry, Haematology, Urinalysis*

There were no treatment related haematology, coagulation and clinical chemistry changes. There were no treatment related changes in the urinalysis data.

#### *Effects in Organs*

There were no necropsy or histology findings attributed to treatment.

#### Remarks – Results

The test substance was well tolerated with no obvious evidence of toxicity being noted at dose levels up to 1000 mg/kg bw/day.

## CONCLUSION

The No Observed Effect Level (NOEL) was established as 1000 mg/kg bw/day in this study, based on no treatment related effects noted at any dose level tested.

TEST FACILITY Charles River (2010a)

**B.7. Repeat dose toxicity**

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical (STD/1574)

METHOD OECD TG 408 Repeated Dose 90-Day Oral Toxicity Study in Rodents.

Species/Strain Rat/Wistar CRL:WI (Han)

Route of Administration Oral – gavage

Exposure Information Total exposure days: 90 days

Dose regimen: 7 days per week

Post-exposure observation period: 28 days

Vehicle 1% Carboxymethylcellulose with 0.2% Tween 80

Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations

## RESULTS

<i>Group</i>	<i>Number and Sex of Animals</i>	<i>Dose mg/kg bw/day</i>	<i>Mortality</i>
control	10M/10F	0	0/10
low dose	10M/10F	100	0/10
mid dose	10M/10F	300	0/10
high dose	10M/10F	1000	0/10
control recovery	5M/5F	0	0/5
high dose recovery	5M/5F	1000	0/5

*Mortality and Time to Death*

There was one unscheduled death during the study. A male animal from the high dose recovery group was found dead on Day 3 of dosing. The cause of death was considered to be related to dosing and not attributable to the test substance. The animal was replaced with a reserve animal.

*Clinical Observations*

There were no treatment related clinical signs of toxicity and there were no test substance related changes in bodyweight and food consumption.

*Laboratory Findings – Clinical Chemistry, Haematology, Urinalysis*

There were no differences in haematology, coagulation, clinical chemistry or urinalysis parameters that were considered to be related to treatment.

*Effects in Organs*

No test substance related macroscopic or microscopic findings were noted.

## Remarks – Results

The test substance was well tolerated with no obvious evidence of toxicity being noted at dose levels up to 1000 mg/kg bw/day.

## CONCLUSION

The No Observed Effect Level (NOEL) was established as 1000 mg/kg bw/day in this study, based on no treatment related effects at any dose level tested.

TEST FACILITY Charles River (2010b)

**B.8. Genotoxicity – bacteria**

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified chemical (STD/1574)
METHOD	OECD TG 471 Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test.
Species/Strain	Plate incorporation procedure (Test 1)/Pre incubation procedure (Test 2) <i>S. typhimurium</i> : TA1535, TA1537, TA98, TA100 <i>E. coli</i> : WP2uvrA
Metabolic Activation System	S9 fraction from Aroclor 1254 induced rat liver
Concentration Range in Main Test	a) With metabolic activation: 6-2000 µg/plate b) Without metabolic activation: 6-2000 µg/plate
Vehicle	DMSO
Remarks - Method	The solubility of the test substance restricted the maximum concentration tested to 2000 µg/plate

**RESULTS**

<i>Metabolic Activation</i>	<i>Test Substance Concentration (µg/plate) Resulting in:</i>			
	<i>Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test</i>	<i>Cytotoxicity in Main Test</i>	<i>Precipitation</i>	<i>Genotoxic Effect</i>
<i>Absent</i>				
Test 1	> 2000	> 2000	2000	Negative
Test 2		> 2000	2000	Negative
<i>Present</i>				
Test 1	> 2000	> 2000	2000	Negative
Test 2		> 2000	2000	Negative

Remarks - Results	No evidence of mutagenic activity was obtained with any strain in any test.
CONCLUSION	The notified chemical (STD/1574) was not mutagenic to bacteria under the conditions of the test.
TEST FACILITY	Charles river (2009)

**B.9. Genotoxicity – in vitro**

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified chemical (STD/1574)
METHOD	OECD TG 473 In vitro Mammalian Chromosome Aberration Test.
Species/Strain	Chinese hamster
Cell Type/Cell Line	Ovary cells (CHO 10 B <sub>4</sub> )
Metabolic Activation System	S9 fraction from Aroclor 1254 induced rat liver
Vehicle	DMSO
Remarks - Method	No significant protocol deviations. Test 1 also served as the preliminary cytotoxicity test.

<i>Metabolic Activation</i>	<i>Test Substance Concentration (µg/mL)</i>	<i>Exposure Period</i>	<i>Harvest Time</i>
<i>Absent</i>			
Test 1	0.78, 1,56, 3.13, 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50*, 100*, 200*	6 h	24 h
Test 2	50*, 100*, 200*	22 h	24 h
<i>Present</i>			
Test 1	0.78, 1,56, 3.13, 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50*, 100*, 200*	6 h	24 h
Test 2	50*, 100*, 200*	6 h	24 h

\*Cultures selected for metaphase analysis.

## RESULTS

Metabolic Activation	Test Substance Concentration ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) Resulting in:			
	Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test	Cytotoxicity in Main Test	Precipitation	Genotoxic Effect
<i>Absent</i>				
Test 1	> 200	> 200	> 200	Negative
Test 2		> 200	> 200	Negative
<i>Present</i>				
Test 1	> 200	> 200	> 200	Negative
Test 2		> 200	> 200	Negative

## Remarks - Results

No statistically significant increase in the number of cells with aberrations was observed at any concentration, with and without metabolic activation.

In an extra assessment of polyploidy carried out on the cultures treated in the absence of metabolic activation and harvested at 48 h, there was also no increase in the number of polyploidy cells.

The positive and vehicle controls gave satisfactory responses confirming the validity of the test system.

## CONCLUSION

The notified chemical (STD/1574) was not clastogenic to Chinese hamster ovary cells treated *in vitro* under the conditions of the test.

## TEST FACILITY

Charles River (2010c)

**B.1. Genotoxicity – in vitro**

## TEST SUBSTANCE

Notified chemical (STD/1574)

## METHOD

OECD TG 476 In vitro Mammalian Cell Gene Mutation Test.

## Species/Strain

Mouse

## Cell Type/Cell Line

Lymphoma cells/L5178Y

## Metabolic Activation System

S9 fraction from Aroclor 1254 induced rat liver

## Vehicle

DMSO

## Remarks - Method

No significant protocol deviations

Metabolic Activation	Test Substance Concentration ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ )*	Exposure Period	Expression Time	Selection Time
<i>Absent</i>				
Test 1	25, 50, 100, 200	4	~ 48 h	9-12 days
Test 2	25, 50, 100, 200	24	~ 48 h	9-12 days
<i>Present</i>				
Test 1	25, 50, 100, 200	4	~ 48 h	9-12 days
Test 2	25, 50, 100, 200	4	~ 48 h	9-12 days

\*All cultures selected for metaphase analysis.

## RESULTS

Metabolic Activation	Test Substance Concentration ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) Resulting in:			
	Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test	Cytotoxicity in Main Test	Precipitation	Genotoxic Effect
<i>Absent</i>				
Test 1	> 200	> 200	200	Negative
Test 2	> 200	> 200	200	Negative
<i>Present</i>				
Test 1	> 200	> 200	200	Negative
Test 2		> 200	200	Negative

Remarks - Results	No evidence of mutagenic activity was observed in any assay.  The positive and vehicle controls gave satisfactory responses confirming the validity of the test system
CONCLUSION	The notified chemical (STD/1574) was not clastogenic to mouse lymphoma L5178Y cells treated <i>in vitro</i> under the conditions of the test.
TEST FACILITY	Charles River (2010d)

**B.10. Developmental toxicity**

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified chemical (STD/1574)
METHOD	OECD TG 414 Prenatal Development Toxicity Study
Species/Strain	Rats/Sprague-Dawley
Route of Administration	Oral – gavage
Exposure Information	Exposure days: day 6 through to day 19 of gestation Post-exposure observation period: none
Vehicle	1% Carboxymethylcellulose with 0.2% Tween 80
Remarks - Method	No significant protocol deviations

**RESULTS**

<i>Group</i>	<i>Number of Animals</i>	<i>Dose mg/kg bw/day</i>	<i>Mortality</i>
1	24F	0	0/24
2	24F	100	0/24
3	24F	300	0/24
4	24F	1000	0/24

*Mortality and Time to Death*

There were no mortalities during the study.

*Effects on Dams*

There were no effects of treatment on body weight gain, food consumption performance or clinical signs.

*Effects on Foetus*

The incidence of embryo-foetal deaths and mean foetal weights did not suggest any obvious effect of treatment.

The type and distribution of foetal abnormalities and variants did not suggest any obvious effect of treatment.

**Remarks - Results**

Under the conditions of the study, the test substance had no obvious effects on pregnancy, incidence of embryotoxicity or foetal abnormalities.

**CONCLUSION**

The No Observed Effect Level (NOEL) was established as 1000 mg/kg bw/day in this study for both maternal and foetal toxicity, based on no treatment related effects noted at any dose level tested.

TEST FACILITY	Charles River (2010e)
---------------	-----------------------

## **APPENDIX C: ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND ECOTOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS**

### **C.1. Ecotoxicological Investigations**

#### **C.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish**

TEST SUBSTANCE	the notified chemical (STD/1574)
METHOD	OECD TG 203 Fish, Acute Toxicity Test – static
Species	<i>Pimephales promelas</i>
Exposure Period	96 hours
Auxiliary Solvent	None
Water Hardness	140-144 mg CaCO <sub>3</sub> /L
Analytical Monitoring	Not applicable
Remarks – Method	The test solution was prepared as water accommodation fractions (WAFs) due to low water solubility of the test substance. The WAF was prepared by adding the weighed amount of test substance into water followed by stirring for 48 hours. The mixture was allowed to stand for 1 hour and then the solution was decanted from mid-depth into the test chamber through a spigot.

The test was conducted according to the test guideline above with no significant deviation from the protocol.

#### **RESULTS**

Concentration WAF mg/L	Number of Fish	Mortality			
		24 h	48 h	72 h	96 h
Nominal					
Control	10	0	0	0	0
100	10	0	0	0	0

LL50	> 100 WAF mg/L at 96 hours.
NOEL	100 WAF mg/L at 96 hours.
Remarks – Results	All validity criteria for the test are satisfied. All test fish appeared normal without any mortality during the test period at the nominal WAF loading rate of 100 mg/L.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical (STD/1574) is not harmful to fish

TEST FACILITY Wildlife (2010d)

#### **C.2.2. Acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates**

TEST SUBSTANCE	The notified chemical (STD/1574)
METHOD	OECD TG 202 Daphnia sp. Acute Immobilisation Test - Static
Species	<i>Daphnia magna</i>
Exposure Period	48 hours
Auxiliary Solvent	None
Water Hardness	136 mg CaCO <sub>3</sub> /L
Analytical Monitoring	Not applicable
Remarks - Method	The test solution was prepared as water accommodation fractions (WAFs) due to low water solubility of the test substance. The WAF was prepared by adding the weighed amount of test substance into water followed by stirring for 48 hours. The mixture was allowed to stand for 1 hour and then the solution was decanted from mid-depth into the test chamber through a spigot.

The test was conducted according to the test guideline above with no

significant deviation from the protocol.

## RESULTS

<i>Concentration WAF mg/L</i>		<i>Number of D. magna</i>	<i>Number Immobilised</i>	
<i>Nominal</i>	<i>Actual</i>		<i>24 h</i>	<i>48 h</i>
Control	-	30	0	0
100	Not determined	30	0	0
EL50	> 100 WAF mg/L at 48 hours			
NOEL	100 WAF mg/L at 48 hours			
Remarks - Results	All validity criteria for the test were satisfied. All test daphnids appeared normal without any mortality during the test period at the nominal WAF loading rate of 100 mg/L.			
CONCLUSION	The notified chemical (STD/1574) is not harmful to aquatic invertebrates			
TEST FACILITY	Wildlife (2010e)			

### C.2.3. Algal growth inhibition test

TEST SUBSTANCE	The notified chemical (STD/1574)
METHOD	OECD TG 201 Alga, Growth Inhibition Test
Species	<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>
Exposure Period	72 hours
Concentration Range	Nominal: Control, 100 mg/L Actual: Not determined
Auxiliary Solvent	None
Water Hardness	Not reported
Analytical Monitoring	Not applicable
Remarks - Method	The test solution was prepared as water accommodation fractions (WAFs) due to low water solubility of the test substance. The WAF was prepared by adding the weighed amount of test substance into water followed by stirring for 48 hours. The mixture was allowed to stand for 1 hour and then the solution was decanted from mid-depth into the test chamber through a spigot.
	The test was conducted according to the test guideline above with no significant deviation from the protocol.

## RESULTS

<i>Biomass</i>		<i>Growth</i>	
<i>EL50</i>	<i>NOEL</i>	<i>EL50</i>	<i>NOEL</i>
<i>WAF mg/L at 72 h</i>	<i>WAF mg/L at 72 h</i>	<i>WAF mg/L at 72 h</i>	<i>WAF mg/L at 72 h</i>
> 100	> 100	> 100	> 100
Remarks - Results	All validity criteria for the test are satisfied.		
	There were no signs of adherence of cells to the test chambers or noticeable aggregations or flocculation in the negative control or in any treatment groups. There were no noticeable changes in cell morphology at the nominal WAF loading rate of 100 mg/L.		
CONCLUSION	The notified chemical (STD/1574) is not harmful to algae		
TEST FACILITY	Wildlife (2010f)		



**C.2.4. Inhibition of microbial activity**

TEST SUBSTANCE	The notified chemical (STD/1574)
METHOD	OECD TG 209 Activated Sludge, Respiration Inhibition Test.
Inoculum	Activated sludge
Exposure Period	3 hours
Concentration Range	Nominal: Control, 10, 30, 100 and 1000 mg/L Actual: Not determined
Remarks – Method	The test was conducted according to the test guideline above with no significant deviation from the protocol
RESULTS	
EC50	> 1000 mg/L
Remarks – Results	All validity criteria for the test are stratified.  The difference between the two control respiration rates was 10.6 - 11.8%, meeting the validation criterion of less than 15% difference limit.  The 3,5-dichlorophenol reference group was determined to have an EC50 = 19.5 mg/L, within the 5 to 30 mg/L range considered acceptable for the test.
CONCLUSION	The notified chemical (STD/1574) is not inhibitory to micro-organisms respiration activity
TEST FACILITY	Wildlife (2010g)

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Charles River (2009) [Notified chemical: STD/1574]: Testing for Mutagenic Activity with *Salmonella typhimurium* TA 1535, TA 100, TA 1537 and TA 98 and *Escherichia coli* WP2uvrA (Study No. 787718, November, 2009). Edinburgh, UK, Charles River (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Charles River (2010a) [Notified chemical: STD/1574]: A 28 Day Oral (Gavage) Toxicity Study in Rats (Study No. 459926, July, 2010). Edinburgh, UK, Charles River (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Charles River (2010b) A 90 Day Toxicity Study of [Notified chemical STD/1574] by Oral (Gavage) in Rats with a 28 Day Recovery Period. Edinburgh, UK, Charles River (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Charles River (2010c) [Notified chemical: STD/1574]: Chromosomal Aberrations Assay with Chinese Hamster Ovary Cell Cultures *In Vitro* (Study No. 787723, January, 2010). Edinburgh, UK, Charles River (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Charles River (2010d) [Notified chemical: STD/1574]: Mouse Lymphoma Mutation Study (Study No. 787739, January, 2010). Edinburgh, UK, Charles River (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Charles River (2010e) [Notified chemical: STD/1574]: Developmental Toxicity Study in Rats (Study No. 495257, July, 2010). Edinburgh, UK, Charles River (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- CHELAB (2012a) Water Solubility (Study No. 11.076290.0001, July, 2012). Resana, Italy, CHELAB SrL (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- CHELAB (2012b) Vapour Pressure (Study No. 11.076290.0001, July, 2012). Resana, Italy, CHELAB SrL (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- LAUS GmbH (2009a) Determination of the Melting point of [notified chemical: STD/1574] according to OECD 102 resp. EU A.1. (Study No. 09091001G904, December, 2009). Kirrweiler, Germany, LAUS GmbH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- LAUS GmbH (2009b) Determination of the Boiling point of [notified chemical: STD/1574] according to OECD 103 resp. EU A.2 (Study No. 09091001G906, December, 2009). Kirrweiler, Germany, LAUS GmbH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- LAUS GmbH (2009c) Determination of the Density of [notified chemical: STD/1574] according to OECD 109 resp. EU A.3 (Study No. 09091001G914, December, 2009). Kirrweiler, Germany, LAUS GmbH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- LAUS GmbH (2009d) Determination of the Vapour Pressure of [notified chemical: STD/1574] according to OECD 104 resp. EU A.4 (Study No. 09091001G952, December, 2009). Kirrweiler, Germany, LAUS GmbH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- LAUS GmbH (2010) Determination of the Flammability of [notified chemical: STD/1574] according to EU A.10 (Study No. 09091001G934, May, 2010). Kirrweiler, Germany, LAUS GmbH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- NOHSC (2004) Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances, 3rd edition [NOHSC:1008(2004)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, AusInfo.
- Sasol (2010a) REACH Physico-Chemical Data Report 7.14 Granulometry (Study No. 6703, April, 2010). Brunsbittel, Germany, Sasol Germany GmbH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Sasol (2010b) REACH Physico-Chemical Data Report 7.12 Ignition Temperature (Study No. 6073, April, 2010). Brunsbittel, Germany, Sasol Germany GmbH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Sasol (2010c) REACH Physico-Chemical Data Report 7.11 Explosive Properties (Study No. 6704, April, 2010). Brunsbittel, Germany, Sasol Germany GmbH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Sasol (2010d) REACH Physico-Chemical Data Report 7.13 Oxidising Properties (Study No. 6702, April, 2010). Brunsbittel, Germany, Sasol Germany GmbH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Sasol (2011a) REACH Physico-Chemical Data Report 7.3 Boiling Point (Study No. 8365, December, 2011). Brunsbittel, Germany, Sasol Germany GmbH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Sasol (2011b) REACH Physico-Chemical Data Report 7.4 Relative Density (Study No. 8366, December, 2011). Brunsbittel, Germany, Sasol Germany GmbH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).

- Sasol (2011c) REACH Physico-Chemical Data Report 7.17 Viscosity (Study No. 8370, December, 2011). Brunsbittel, Germany, Sasol Germany Gmbh (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Sasol (2011d) REACH Physico-Chemical Data Report 7.9 Flash Point (Study No. 8367, December, 2011). Brunsbittel, Germany, Sasol Germany Gmbh (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Sasol (2011e) REACH Physico-Chemical Data Report 7.12 Ignition Temperature (Study No. 8369, December, 2011). Brunsbittel, Germany, Sasol Germany Gmbh (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Stillmeadow (2009a) [Notified chemical STD/1574]: Final Report Acute Oral Toxicity Study (UDP) in Rats OECD 425 (Study No. 13292-09, November, 2009). Sugar Land, Texas, USA, Stillmeadow Inc. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Stillmeadow (2009b) [Notified chemical STD/1574]: Final Report Acute Dermal Toxicity Study in Rats OECD 402 (Study No. 13293-09, October, 2009). Sugar Land, Texas, USA, Stillmeadow Inc. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Stillmeadow (2009c) [Notified chemical STD/1574]: Final Report Acute Dermal Irritation Study in Rabbits OECD 402 (Study No. 13295-09, October, 2009). Sugar Land, Texas, USA, Stillmeadow Inc. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Stillmeadow (2009d) [Notified chemical STD/1574]: Final Report Acute Eye Irritation Study in Rabbits OECD 405 (Study No. 13294-09, October, 2009). Sugar Land, Texas, USA, Stillmeadow Inc. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Stillmeadow (2009e) [Notified chemical STD/1574]: Final Report Guinea Pig Maximization Test for Topically Applied Test Substance OECD 406 & OPPTS 870.2600 (Study No. 13296-09, November, 2009). Sugar Land, Texas, USA, Stillmeadow Inc. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- SWA (2012) Code of Practice: Managing Risks of Hazardous Chemicals in the Workplace, Safe Work Australia, <http://www.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/sites/swa/about/publications/pages/managing-risks-of-hazardous-chemicals-in-the-workplace>.
- United Nations (2009) Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS), 3rd revised edition. United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UN/ECE), <[http://www.unece.org/trans/danger/publi/ghs/ghs\\_rev03/03files\\_e.html](http://www.unece.org/trans/danger/publi/ghs/ghs_rev03/03files_e.html)>.
- Wildlife (2010a) [Notified chemical: STD/1574]: Determination of Water Solubility by the Shake Flask Method (Project No. 527E-129, February, 2010). Easton, Maryland, USA, Wildlife International, Ltd. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Wildlife (2010b) [Notified chemical: STD/1574]: Estimation for n-Octanol/Water Partition Coefficient using High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) (Project No. 527C-102, January, 2010). Easton, Maryland, USA, Wildlife International, Ltd. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Wildlife (2010c) [Notified chemical: STD/1574]: Estimation of Adsorption Coefficient using High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) (Project No. 527C-101, January, 2010). Easton, Maryland, USA, Wildlife International, Ltd. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Wildlife (2010d) [Notified chemical: STD/1574]: A 96-Hour Static Acute Toxicity Test with the Fathead Minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) (Project No. 527A-103, January, 2010). Easton, Maryland, USA, Wildlife International, Ltd. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Wildlife (2010e) [Notified chemical: STD/1574]: A 48-Hour Static Acute Toxicity Test with the Cladoceran (*daphnia magna*) (Project No. 527A-102, January, 2010). Easton, Maryland, USA, Wildlife International, Ltd. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Wildlife (2010f) [Notified chemical: STD/1574]: A 72-Hour Toxicity Test with the Freshwater Alga (*Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*) (Project No. 527A-101, January, 2010). Easton, Maryland, USA, Wildlife International, Ltd. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Wildlife (2010g) [Notified chemical: STD/1574]: An Activated sludge Respiration Inhibition Test (Project No. 527E-127, January 2010). Easton, Maryland, USA, Wildlife International, Ltd. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).