File No: EX/148 (STD/1223)

August 2010

NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS NOTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT SCHEME (NICNAS)

FULL PUBLIC REPORT

Z - 70

This Assessment has been compiled in accordance with the provisions of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act 1989* (Cwlth) (the Act) and Regulations. This legislation is an Act of the Commonwealth of Australia. The National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) is administered by the Department of Health and Ageing, and conducts the risk assessment for public health and occupational health and safety. The assessment of environmental risk is conducted by the Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts.

For the purposes of subsection 78(1) of the Act, this Full Public Report may be inspected at our NICNAS office by appointment only at 334-336 Illawarra Road, Marrickville NSW 2204.

This Full Public Report is also available for viewing and downloading from the NICNAS website or available on request, free of charge, by contacting NICNAS. For requests and enquiries please contact the NICNAS Administration Coordinator at:

Street Address: 334 - 336 Illawarra Road MARRICKVILLE NSW 2204, AUSTRALIA.

Postal Address: GPO Box 58, SYDNEY NSW 2001, AUSTRALIA.

TEL: +61 2 8577 8800 FAX: +61 2 8577 8888 Website: www.nicnas.gov.au

Director NICNAS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PUBLIC REPORT	3
APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS	3
IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL	3
INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION	4
RISK ASSESSMENT	20
CONCLUSIONS –LEVEL OF CONCERN FOR THE ENVIRONMENT AND HUMANS	23
RECOMMENDATIONS	24
BIBLIOGRAPHY	25
	PUBLIC REPORT

FULL PUBLIC REPORT

This assessment report is for an extension of original assessment certificate for Z-70. Based on the submission of new information by the extension notifier, some sections of the original assessment report have been modified. These modifications have been made under the heading 'Extension Application' in the respective sections.

Z-70

1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS

APPLICANT(S)

Holder of the original assessment certificate (No.2461, STD/1223) Lubrizol International, Inc. .(ABN 52 073 495 603)

28 River St

SILVERWATER NSW 2128

Applicant for an extension of the original assessment certificate:

Perkal Pty Ltd (ABN 43 009 283 363)

14 Beete St

WELSHPOOL WA 6106

NOTIFICATION CATEGORY

Standard: Chemical other than polymer (more than 1 tonne per year).

EXEMPT INFORMATION (SECTION 75 OF THE ACT)

Data items and details claimed exempt from publication:

- CHEMICAL IDENTITY
- MEANS OF IDENTIFICATION
- PURITY
- IDENTIFICATION OF HAZARDOUS AND NON-HAZARDOUS IMPURITIES
- USE
- IMPORTATION VOLUME
- SITES OF MANUFACTURE

VARIATION OF DATA REQUIREMENTS (SECTION 24 OF THE ACT)

Variation to the schedule of data requirements is claimed as follows:

- Dissociation constant
- Particle size
- Flammability limits
- Acute inhalation toxicity
- Induction of germ cell damage
- Bioaccumulation

PREVIOUS NOTIFICATION IN AUSTRALIA BY APPLICANT(S)

No

NOTIFICATION IN OTHER COUNTRIES US, Canada, EU, Japan

2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL

OTHER NAME(S) Z-70 OS 218407B

MARKETING NAME(S)

OS 218407A (finished product containing 0.1-2.5% Z-70) S010-1150-05-139 (additive concentrate containing 1-8% Z-70)

Extension Application

Mobil 1 Synthetic ATF (finished product containing < 2.5% Z-70)

METHODS OF DETECTION AND DETERMINATION

METHOD Infrared spectroscopy

REMARK The Infrared spectrum data was provided.

TEST FACILITY Lubrizol Corporation (2005)

3. COMPOSITION

DEGREE OF PURITY High

4. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION

Mode of Introduction of Notified Chemical (100%) Over Next 5 Years

The notified chemical will not be manufactured in Australia. It will be initially imported as an ingredient (at concentration of 0.1 to 2.5%) in a finished fluid. However, it is possible in the future that the notified chemical will be imported as an additive concentrate (at concentration of 1 to 8%) for further formulation.

MAXIMUM INTRODUCTION VOLUME OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

Year	1	2	3	4	5
Tonnes					
Lubrizol International, Inc.	1-3	1-3	1-3	1-3	1-3
Extension Application					
Perkal Pty Ltd	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75	1.75

USE

The notified chemical is a lubricant additive for use in automotive transmission fluids at concentration 0.1 to 2.5%.

5. PROCESS AND RELEASE INFORMATION

5.1. Distribution, transport and storage

PORT OF ENTRY

Western Australia, Queensland, Victoria.

IDENTITY OF MANUFACTURER/RECIPIENTS Lubrizol International, Inc. 28 River St SILVERWATER NSW 2128

Extension Application:

Perkal Pty Ltd 14 Beete St WELSHPOOL WA 6106

TRANSPORTATION AND PACKAGING

Both finished product and additive concentrate, packaged in 20L drums, will be transported via road

from wharf to customer sites for either end use or further formulation.

Extension Application:

Finished products containing the notified chemical (<2.5%) will be imported in intermediate bulk containers (IBCs) and 208 L drums and transported by road or rail for repackaging and distribution to customers.

5.2. Operation description

Formulation/blending:

If blending occurs in the future, the additive concentrate containing 1 to 8% Z-70 is transferred from storage to a blending area via forklifts and decanted from drums into blending tanks via either an automated pumping process or hand pumps. The blending process is fully automated and under local exhaust ventilation. The end products will be packaged automatically into containers ranging from drums for bulk shipment to large customers, such as OEMs, to pint size plastic containers for aftermarket, garages or do-it-yourself (DIY) sales. Similar materials and products are blended in the same equipment, therefore, any residual material left in the blend tank or transfer lines remains for the next batch. Sampling is conducted during the blending process. The equipment is cleaned using mineral oil which will be recycled.

End use – bulk use

Most (>75%) of the automotive transmission fluids containing 0.1 to 2.5% Z-70 is likely to be used by automobile manufacturers for factory fill operations. The end product will be pumped from drums directly to the transmission through dedicated lines which is an automated process.

End use – non-bulk use

The remainder (<25%) automotive transmission fluids containing 0.1 to 2.5% Z-70 will be for garages and do-it-yourself (DIY) use. The end product will be repackaged into smaller containers before non-bulk uses. The repackaging process is automated and the end product is pumped directly from the bulk container to the smaller bottles (plastic 1 L).

The majority of the non-bulk end uses, eg. by garage workers and the general public via DIY application, is expected to be manual replacement of transmission fluid or 'topping off' the fluid level as needed. However, these actions are not typical since most transmission fluids are fill for life.

Extension Application:

Finished automatic transmission fluid products containing the notified chemical (<2.5%) will be repackaged into product containers of 1-4 L via semi-automated dispenser processes. The bung on the drum or IBC containing the finished product will be removed manually and a pump line inserted. The other end of the pump line will be connected to 1-4 L containers which will be filled with the finished product and capped via automated processes. The 1-4 L containers will be packed into cardboard cartons and placed onto pallets for storage and then distribution to customers.

5.3. Occupational exposure

Number and Category of Workers

Category of Worker	Number	Exposure Duration	Exposure Frequency
		(h/day)	(days/year)
Transport and storage	2-3	1-3	4-6
Blending	1-2	1-3	10-20
Packaging	2-3	2-5	10-20
Equipment cleaning	2-3	2-4	10-20
Repackaging	1-2	1-3	10-20
End use	1-3	2-4	Difficult to estimate

Exposure Details

Transport and storage

Exposure to the notified chemical (at a concentration of <10%) is unlikely to occur, except in the event of an accidental spillage and breach of packaging.

Formulation

During formulation of the notified chemical into finished products, worker exposure to the additive concentrate (maximum concentration of 8%) is limited during transfer and mixing due to the enclosed and automated process. During sampling and final product packaging, workers may be exposed to the notified chemical (concentration up to 2.5%) by skin, inhalation or ocular contact with residues dripping off the fill pipe and during equipment cleaning. However, exposure should be minimised by use of mechanical ventilation systems, automated processes and personal protective equipment (PPE).

End uses

Dermal, ocular and inhalation exposure may occur during repackaging and end use of finished product containing the notified chemical, especially at the sites manual handling occurs. However, due to the low concentration of the notified chemical in the finished product, workers' exposure is expected to be low. Use of effective ventilation, automated process and PPE will further reduce the possible exposure.

Extension Application:

The notified chemical will only be imported in finished automatic transmission fluid products. Dermal and ocular exposure will be limited to spills, drips and splashes which may occur during connection and disconnection of pump lines containing finished products (containing the notified chemical at < 2.5%) during repackaging. The use of PPE would further minimise exposure.

5.4. Release

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL AT SITE

Initially the notified chemical will not be manufactured or reformulated in Australia; although it is possible that the chemical will be imported as a concentrate and reformulated in Australia in the future.

If reformulation from a concentrate occurs in the future, it is expected that this process will be highly automated with minimal release of the chemical. The residue in packing containers would be rinsed with oil for charging to the next batch. Similarly rinses from cleaning of equipment would be charged to the next batch.

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM USE

The trend for automatic transmissions is for sealed units which are filled for the life of the transmission. It is expected the majority of the notified chemical will be used in this type of application with some of the product requiring re-packaging for top up applications by non-bulk users including garages and DIY enthusiasts.

Approximately 0.5% (< 24 kg per annum) of the notified chemical is expected to remain in import containers as residue after repacking or filling of transmissions with the product.

Assuming that 25% (< 1200 kg per annum) is used in top up applications and that 1% remains in these containers then a further maximum of 15 kg per annum will remain as residue in the repackaged product.

It is expected that only a small amount (<5%; < 255 kg) of the lubricants would be released to the environment either form incorrect disposal from DIY enthusiasts and leaks from transmissions. This is likely to occur throughout Australia in a disperse manner.

Although some transmissions require the fluid to be replaced during servicing, many are now sealed units which are filled for the life of the transmission. These are expected to be serviced only by professional mechanics and often do not require replacement of the automatic transmission fluid (ATF) for the life of the transmission. The lubricants are expected to be collected either at the end of the useful life of the transmissions; or if required during servicing, and properly disposed of.

5.5. Disposal

Residues in import drums are expected to be cleaned out by licensed drum recyclers and properly

disposed of (most likely by incineration).

Residue from the repackaged product is likely to be disposed of as domestic waste and deposited to authorised landfill.

Used lubricants may be recycled, re-refined, burnt as low grade burner fuel or disposed of by incineration.

Transmissions which may not be fully drained of the product comprising the notified chemical are likely to be disposed of to landfill or undergo metal recycling at the end of their useful lives.

5.6. Public exposure

Public exposure to the finished product containing 0.1 to 2.5% Z-70 via skin, optical, inhalation and potentially ingestion is likely due to the manual application of the DIY products and the unlikely use of PPE. However, the public exposure is expected to be limited due to its infrequent use by the public, assuming that most consumers do not change their own transmission fluid and this is an activity that would occur infrequently during the lifetime of the automobile oil.

6. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

Appearance at 20°C and 101.3 kPa Clear amber liquid

Pour Point -4.2°C

METHOD OECD TG 102 Melting Point/Melting Range.

TEST FACILITY SafePharm Laboratories (2006a)

Boiling Point >359.9°C at 100.7 kPa

METHOD OECD TG 103 Boiling Point.

Remarks Determined by differential scanning calorimetry

Slight gradual volatilisation which became more significant from approximately 327.9°C was observed. No value for an exact boiling point could be determined.

A calculated boiling point gave a result of 644.9 °C.

TEST FACILITY Safepharm (2006a)

Density $888 \text{ kg/m}^3 \text{ at } 20 \pm 0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$

METHOD OECD TG 109 Density of Liquids and Solids.

Remarks Determined by pycnometer

TEST FACILITY Safepharm (2006a)

Vapour Pressure 2.3 x 10⁻¹¹ kPa at 25°C

METHOD OECD TG 104 Vapour Pressure.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.4 Vapour Pressure.

Remarks Determined with a vapour pressure balance.

TEST FACILITY Safepharm (2006b)

Water Solubility $< 1.60 \times 10^{-3} \text{ g/L at } 20^{\circ}\text{C}$

METHOD OECD TG 105 Water Solubility.

Remarks A preliminary test was conducted by the Flask Method by adding an aliquot

(0.0016 g) of test material to 1000 mL of distilled water. The sample was shaken for 24 hours and equilibrated for 24 hours at 20 ± 0.5 C and visually observed. The test substance showed low water solubility. Computer modelling (WSKOWWIN v

1.41) estimated the water solubility to be 1.21×10^{-8} g/L at 25°C. The low water

solubility is expected as the notified chemical has few hydrophilic groups.

TEST FACILITY Safepharm (2006a)

Hydrolysis as a Function of pH Not Tested

Remarks The notified chemical contains functional groups capable of undergoing

hydrolysis. However, due to low water solubility hydrolysis is unlikely. Hydrolysis as a function of pH is only applicable for chemicals with water solubility greater than 10^{-6} g/L. The notified chemical is likely to have lower water

solubility than this, based on the modelled data.

Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water) $\log Pow = > 6.01$ (Temperature not specified)

METHOD OECD TG 117 Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water).

Remarks HPLC Method. More than 97.5% of the notified chemical had a log Pow value of

>6.01 and more than 60.6% had a log Pow value of > 9.40. The test substance was eluted from the column after all of the reference materials. Computer modelling

(KOWWIN v 1.67) estimated the Pow to be 9.75.

TEST FACILITY Safepharm (2006a)

Adsorption/Desorption

 $\log K_{oc} > 5.63$ (Temperature not specified)

screening test

METHOD OECD TG 121 Estimation of the Adsorption Coefficient (Koc) on Soil and on

Sewage Sludge using High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC).

Remarks More than 96% of the notified chemical had a log Pow value of >5.63 The test

substance was eluted from the column after all of the reference materials.

Computer modelling (KOWWIN v 1.67) estimated the Pow to be 9.75.

TEST FACILITY Safepharm (2006a)

Dissociation Constant

Not Tested

Remarks The notified chemical does not contain any functional groups likely to ionise in the

environmental pH range (4-9).

Particle Size Not applicable (liquid substance)

Flash Point $226 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ at 102.5 kPa

METHOD EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.9 Flash Point.
Remarks Determined by a closed cup equilibrium method

TEST FACILITY Safepharm (2006b)

Flammability Limits Not performed

Remarks The notified chemical has a low vapour pressure and high flash point.

Autoignition Temperature $368 \pm 5^{\circ}\text{C}$

METHOD 92/69/EEC A.15 Auto-Ignition Temperature (Liquids and Gases).

TEST FACILITY Safepharm (2006b)

Explosive Properties Not performed

Remarks The notified chemical is not expected to have explosive properties and no

explosive properties have been demonstrated in handling.

Reactivity Not performed

Remarks The notified chemical is not an oxidiser and is expected to be stable under normal

environmental conditions.

7. TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

Endpoint and Result	Assessment Conclusion
Rat, acute oral LD50 > 2000 mg/kg bw	low toxicity
Rat, acute dermal LD50 > 2000 mg/kg bw	low toxicity
Rabbit, skin irritation	moderately irritating
Rabbit, eye irritation	slightly irritating
Mouse, skin sensitisation – local lymph node assay (LLNA)	evidence of sensitisation
Rat, repeat dose < route of exposure > toxicity – 28 days.	NOEL = 1000 mg/kg bw/day
Genotoxicity – bacterial reverse mutation	non mutagenic
Genotoxicity – in vitro Chinese Hamster Lung (CHL) cells	non genotoxic

7.1. Acute toxicity – oral

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 423 Acute Oral Toxicity – Acute Toxic Class Method.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC B.1 Acute Toxicity (Oral).

Species/Strain Rat/ Sprague Dawley CD

Vehicle Notified chemical was administered neat

Remarks - Method Statement of GLP was provided.

No significant deviation from the protocol.

RESULTS

Group	Number and Sex of Animals		
1	3F	2000	0
2	3F	2000	0
LD50	> 2000 mg/kg bw		
Signs of Toxicity	No signs of systemi	c toxicity	
Effects in Organs	No abnormalities	were noted following to	erminal necroney on

Effects in Organs No abnormalities were noted following terminal necropsy on day fourteen.

All animals survived the study and showed expected gain in body weight during the 14-day observation period.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is low toxicity via the oral route.

TEST FACILITY Safepharm (2006c)

7.2. Acute toxicity – dermal

Remarks - Results

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 402 Acute Dermal Toxicity – Limit Test.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC B.3 Acute Toxicity (Dermal).

Species/Strain Rat/ Sprague Dawley CD

Vehicle Notified chemical was administered neat

Type of dressing Semi-occlusive.

Remarks - Method Statement of GLP was provided.

No significant deviation from the protocol.

RESULTS

Group	Number and Sex	Dose	Mortality
	of Animals	mg/kg bw	<u> </u>
1	5/sex	2000	0
LD50	>2000 mg/kg bw		
Signs of Toxicity - Local	e •	s observed at all treatment arned to normal by day 3.	sites one and two days after
			sites of 3 male and 3 female d returned to normal by day
Signs of Toxicity - Systemic	No signs of system	ic toxicity were observed d	uring the study.
Effects in Organs	No abnormalities fourteen.	were noted following to	erminal necropsy on day
Remarks - Results	All animals survive during the 14-day of	<u> </u>	pected gain in body weight
CONCLUSION	The notified chemi-	cal of low toxicity via the d	ermal route.
TEST FACILITY	Safepharm (2006d)		

7.4. Irritation – skin

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 404 Acute Dermal Irritation/Corrosion.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC B.4 Acute Toxicity (Skin Irritation).

Species/Strain Rabbit/New Zealand White

Number of Animals 3 Males

Vehicle Notified chemical was used undiluted

Observation Period 14 days
Type of Dressing Semi-occlusive.

Remarks - Method No significant deviation from the protocol.

RESULTS

Lesion		Mean Score* Animal No.		Maximum Value	Maximum Duration of Any Effect	Maximum Value at End of Observation Period
	1	2	3	,	oj iii jugi	oj eeserramen i erreu
Erythema/Eschar	2	2	2	2	7 days	0
Oedema	1	1	1	1	3 days	0

^{*}Calculated on the basis of the scores at 24, 48, and 72 hours for EACH animal.

Remarks - Results Light brown discolouration was observed in all animals on day three. By

day seven, crust formation was observed in all animals. All reactions

were reversible by day fourteen.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is moderately irritating to the skin.

TEST FACILITY Safepharm (2006e)

7.5. Irritation – eye

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 405 Acute Eye Irritation/Corrosion.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC B.5 Acute Toxicity (Eye Irritation).

Species/Strain Rabbit/New Zealand White

Number of Animals 3 Males Observation Period 72 hours

Remarks - Method No significant deviation from the protocol.

RESULTS

Lesion	Mean Score* Animal No.		Maximum Value	Maximum Duration of Any Effect	Maximum Value at End of Observation Period	
	1	2	3		00	
Conjunctiva: redness	0	0.33	0.33	2	24 h	0
Conjunctiva: chemosis	0	0.33	0.33	1	24 h	0
Conjunctiva: discharge	0	0.33	0.33	1	24 h	0
Corneal opacity	0	0	0	0	-	0
Iridial inflammation	0	0	0	0	-	0

^{*}Calculated on the basis of the scores at 24, 48, and 72 hours for EACH animal.

Remarks - Results No effects to the cornea or iris were observed in the study.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is slightly irritating to the eye.

TEST FACILITY Safepharm (2006f)

TEST FACILITY

7.12T. Skin sensitisation – mouse local lymph node assay (LLNA)

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 429 Skin Sensitisation - Local lymph node assay

EC Directive 2004/73/EC B.42 Skin Sensitisation - Local lymph node

assay.

Species/Strain Mouse CBA/CaBkl (Females)

Vehicle Acetone/olive oil 4:1

Remarks - Method No significant deviation from the protocol.

RESULTS

Concentration	Proliferative response	Stimulation Index
(% w/w)	(DPM/lymph node)	(Test/Control Ratio)
Test Substance		
0 (vehicle control)	$1774.15 (\pm 790.35)$	n/a
25	$4151.76 (\pm 763.16)$	2.34
50	$7359.84 (\pm 2080.41)$	4.15
100	$7812.47 (\pm 1416.61)$	4.40
Positive Control (α-Hexylcinnamaldehyde)		
5	Not documented	2.76
10	Not documented	3.34
25	Not documented	8.91

Remarks - Results

No deaths or signs of systemic toxicity were observed in the preliminary or main studies.

Moderate redness to the head and neck was noted on day 5 of the preliminary study in which undiluted test substance was applied in the same manner as in the main test. An Effective Concentration inducing a SI of 3 (EC₃) was then calculated to be 34.12%, Kimber et. al, (2001).

CONCLUSION There was evidence of induction of a lymphocyte proliferative response

indicative of skin sensitisation to the notified chemical.

TEST FACILITY Safepharm (2006g)

7.7. Repeat dose toxicity

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 407 Repeated Dose 28-day Oral Toxicity Study in Rodents.

EC Directive 96/54/EC B.7 Repeated Dose (28 Days) Toxicity (Oral)

Species/Strain Sprague-Dawley Crl:CD (SD) IGS BR

Route of Administration Oral – gavage

Exposure Information Total exposure days: 28 days

Dose regimen: 7 days per week

Post-exposure observation period: 14 days

Vehicle Arachis oil BP

Remarks - Method Two recovery groups were included, control and high dose groups each

containing 5 male and 5 female animals. Recovery treatment-free period was 14 days after which all animals were subject to gross necropsy examination and histopathological evaluation similar to the non-recovery

treatment groups.

Dose finding studies was performed with 18 animals (9/sex) using control and two test doses, 500 and 1000 mg/kg day for 14 consecutive days.

RESULTS

Dose finding test

No unscheduled deaths occurred. Clinical observations, bodyweight and results of necropsy at the end of the study were all normal.

Group	Number and Sex of Animals	Dose mg/kg bw/day	Mortality
I (control)	5/sex	0	0
II (low dose)	5/sex	25	0
III (mid dose)	5/sex	250	0
IV (high dose)	5/sex	1000	0
V (control recovery)	5/sex	0	1
VI (high dose recovery)	5/sex	1000	0

Mortality and Time to Death

One control male was killed on humane grounds due to severity of clinical observation on day 26 most probably attributed to a physical injury. Since this was a control animal the clinical signs are clearly not treatment related. No other unscheduled deaths were observed during the study.

Clinical Observations

Laboratory Findings – Clinical Chemistry, Haematology, Urinalysis

Statistically significant increase of neutrophils was found in group IV females, and slight statistical increase of APTT (Activated Partial Thromboplastin Time) was observed in groups 3 and 4 females. These changes are considered not to be related to the treatment with the notified chemical, as they did not reflect clear dose dependent relationship.

Statistically significant decrease of blood triglycerides was observed in females of groups II,III and IV

compared to the control. However this decrease was not considered biologically significant as all values are within the normal expected for females and the difference maybe attributed to the high triglyceride value for the control group, which was higher than the normally expected level for females.

Effects in Organs

No treatment related macro or microscopic changes were observed.

Remarks - Results

No clinically observable signs of toxicity were detected.

Behavioural assessment also did not reveal any treatment related changes in the parameters measured (17 parameters). Functional performance and sensory reactivity test were also normal in all groups.

There were no significant differences in food and water consumption and body weights between groups.

CONCLUSION

The No Observed Effect Level (NOEL) was established as 1000 mg/kg bw/day in this study, based on absence of treatment related changes in clinical and laboratory observations and tests.

TEST FACILITY Safepharm (2006h)

7.8. Genotoxicity – bacteria

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 471 Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test.

EC Directive 2000/32/EC B.13/14 Mutagenicity – Reverse Mutation Test

using Bacteria.

Species/Strain S. typhimurium: TA1535, TA1537, TA98, TA100

E. coli: WP2uvrA-

Metabolic Activation System 10% Phenobarbitone/β-naphthoflavone induced rat liver microsome

a) With metabolic activation:

preparations (S9 mix)

Concentration Range in

Main Test

μg/plate

b) Without metabolic activation: 50, 150, 500, 1500 and 5000 µg/plate

50, 150, 500, 1500 and 5000

Vehicle Acetone

Remarks - Method A preliminary cytotoxicity was performed using a range of 10

concentrations of the notified chemical from 0.15 to 5000 μg/plate and vehicle control using *S. typhimurium*: TA100 and *E. coli*: WP2uvrA⁻

strains.

Appropriate known mutagens were tested in parallel to the notified chemical with and without metabolic system to validate the sensitivity of

the assay.

RESULTS

Metabolic	Test Substance Concentration (μg/plate) Resulting in:					
Activation	Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test	Cytotoxicity in Main Test	Precipitation µg/plate	Genotoxic Effect		
Absent			· • •			
Test 1	Not observed	Not observed	500	Not observed		
Test 2	Not observed	Not observed	500	Not observed		
Present						
Test 1	Not observed	Not observed	500	Not observed		
Test 2	Not observed	Not observed	500	Not observed		

Remarks - Results No cytotoxicity

No cytotoxicity was observed in the preliminary toxicity test at any of the

tested concentrations.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical was not mutagenic to bacteria under the conditions

of the test.

TEST FACILITY Safepharm Laboratories (2005)

7.9. Genotoxicity – in vitro

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 473 In vitro Mammalian Chromosome Aberration Test.

EC Directive 2000/32/EC B.10 Mutagenicity - In vitro Mammalian

Chromosome Aberration Test.

Cell Type/Cell Line

Chinese Hamster Lung (CHL) cells

Metabolic Activation System

Phenobarbitone/β-naphthoflavone induced rat liver microsome

preparations (S9 mix) at 2% and 5%.

Vehicle

Acetone

Remarks - Method

A preliminary toxicity test was performed in the dose range between 19.53 to $5000~\mu g/ml$. Growth inhibition and mitotic index were examined to evaluate toxicity after 24h continuous treatment without metabolic activation and after 6h treatment in the presence and absence of metabolic activation followed with 18h of incubation.

CHL cells have an average generation of approximately 17 hours when

Known mutagens were tested in parallel to the notified chemical.

growing under normal experimental conditions.

Metabolic	Test Substance Concentration (µg/mL)	Exposure	Harvest
Activation		Period (h)	Time(h)
Absent			
Test 1	0*; 19.53; 39.06; 78.13*; 156.25*; 234.38; 321.25	6	24
Test 2	0*; 9.77;19.53*; 39.06*; 78.13*; 156.25; 234.38	24	24
Present at %			
Test 1 @ 5%	0*; 156.25; 312.5*; 625*; 1250*; 2500*; 5000	6	24
Test 2 @ 2%	0*; 156.25*; 312.5*; 625*; 1250; 2500; 5000	6	24

^{*}Cultures selected for metaphase analysis.

RESULTS

Metabolic	Test Substance Con	centration (µg/mL) Res	ulting in:	
Activation	Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test	Cytotoxicity in Main Test	Precipitation	Genotoxic Effect
Absent				
Test 1	≥ 156.25 (6h exposure) * ≥ 78.13 (24h exposure)*	≥156.25	≥ 78.13	no
Test 2	-	≥ 78.13	≥ 78.13	no
Present				
Test 1	Dose related growth reduction up to 312.5**	≥ 625	≥ 78.13	no
Test 2	-	Not dose related	≥ 78.13	no

^{*} Based on inhibition of Cell Growth Index; ** Based on inhibition of Mitotic index

Remarks - Results Slight increase in chromatide gaps was observed at the highest

concentration scored 2500 µg/mL.

The positive controls showed significant increases in mutagenic colonies,

confirming the effectiveness of the test conditions.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical was not clastogenic to Chinese Hamster Lung

(CHL) cells treated in vitro under the conditions of the test.

TEST FACILITY Safepharm (2006i)

8. ENVIRONMENT

8.1. Environmental fate

8.1.1. Ready biodegradability

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified Chemical

METHOD OECD TG 301 B Ready Biodegradability: CO₂ Evolution Test.

Inoculum Activated Sewage Sludge

Exposure Period 29 Days

Auxiliary Solvent Adsorbed onto surface of silica gel

Analytical Monitoring TOC analyser

Remarks - Method Due to the test substance's poor water solubility, 39.0 mg was adsorbed

onto 100 mg of granular silica gel. A further 100 mL of culture medium was added and dispersed with high shear mixing. The final concentration of test substance in inoculum was 13 mg/L (\equiv 10 mg carbon/L). Duplicate analyses of test substance were run. Duplicate controls consisting of inoculated culture medium and 100 mg/L of silica gel; and a reference test, consisting of sodium benzoate (10 mg carbon/L) as reference material, and inoculum were also run. A single toxicity test was also conducted by adding test material, reference material 100 mg silica gel and inoculum. CO_2 was captured by absorption (0.05 M NaOH) in two consecutive absorbers. The amount of CO_2 produced was measured in the first absorber on each test day and measured in the second absorber only at the

start and end of the test.

RESULTS

Test	substance	Sodium Benzoate		
Day	% Degradation	Day	% Degradation	
1	8	1	33	
6	12	6	78	
10	28	10	77	
14	49	14	87	
22	61	22	98	
28	67	28	93	
29	67	29	92	

Remarks - Results

Degradation of the test material and sodium benzoate in the toxicity control was 61% and 63% at days 28 and 29 respectively. This showed that the test material was not inhibitory towards the inoculum. The reference substance showed satisfactory degradation at 10 and 28 days. The inorganic carbon (IC) to total carbon (TC) in the test material suspension was less than 5%, which satisfied the validation criterion. All of the tests were light brown cloudy dispersions, but no undissolved test materials were observed. The pH of all tests was between 7.6-7.7. Day 29 results were corrected for the CO₂ present in the second absorber.

CONCLUSION

The test substance showed rapid biodegradation but did not satisfy the criterion of >60% degradation after 10 days to be classified as readily biodegradable.

TEST FACILITY Safepharm (2006j)

8.1.2. Bioaccumulation

Remarks Not Tested. Although the test substance was not considered readily

biodegradable, it showed rapid biodegradation, with 67 % biodegradation after 28 days. The notified chemical is therefore unlikely to bioaccumulate. Release to the aquatic environment will also be very low.

8.2. Ecotoxicological investigations

8.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified Chemical

METHOD In accordance with OECD TG 203 Fish, Acute Toxicity Test and EC

Directive 92/69/EEC C.1 Acute Toxicity for Fish – semi-static.

Species Rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)

Exposure Period 96 hours Auxiliary Solvent None

Water Hardness $\sim 150 \text{ mg CaCO}_3/L$

Analytical Monitoring Visual Observation; Gas Chromatography (GC)
Remarks – Method A range finding test was performed by prepa

A range finding test was performed by preparing two water available fractions (WAFs) of test substance of nominal concentrations of 100 and 1000 mg/L by stirring the mixture of test substance and purified water for 23 hours and allowing the mixture to settle for 1 hour before siphoning the WAF. Three fish were subjected to the WAFs for 96 hours.

The main test was conducted by subjecting ten fish to WAFs (concentrations detailed below) of the test substance (prepared in the same manner as described previously). As microscopic examination of the WAFs with the highest loading rates showed micro-dispersions all of the test substances were filtered. The test solutions were replaced every 24 hours. The actual concentrations were determined by GC and Total Organic Carbon (TOC) on fresh an old samples at 0, 24 (old), 72 (fresh) and 96 (old) hours.

pH 7.6-8.1

Temperature 14.0-15.2°C

Dissolved Oxygen 9.0 - 10.3 mg O₂/L

Light: 16 hours light and 8 hours dark with 20 minute transition.

RESULTS

Concentration mg/L		Number of Fish	Mortality				
Nominal	Actual		6 h	24 h	48 h	72 h	96 h
Control	Not Detected	10	0	0	0	0	0
100	0.193-0.300	10	0	0	0	0	0
180	0.320-0.799	10	0	0	0	0	0
320	0.411-0.675	10	0	0	1	1	1
560	0.770-1.62	10	0	0	0	2	2
1000	0.809-2.24	10	0	0	2	10	10

LC50 610 mg/L WAF at 96 hours NOEC (or LOEC) 180 mg/L WAF at 96 hours.

Remarks – Results

No mortalities were observed in the range finding test. The WAFs after filtering were observed to be clear and colourless and microscopic

FULL PUBLIC REPORT: EX/148 (STD/1223)

examination showed no oily globules. Fish in the 320, 560 and 1000 mg/L test substances were observed to have increased pigmentation, a loss of equilibrium and/or swimming at the bottom. Fish in these test substances that were observed to be moribund were killed for ethical reasons and recorded as mortalities. Analysis of the test substance was also performed by TOC. The results showed concentrations of 0.11-3.97 mg/L after correction of TOC in the control and showed and increase in concentration corresponding to the nominal concentrations. The result was calculated by probit analysis. No calculation was performed on the actual values.

CONCLUSION

The loading of WAF of test substance is practically non toxic to fish, but the actual concentrations indicate that the test substance is toxic to fish.

TEST FACILITY

Safepharm (2006k)

8.2.2. Acute/chronic toxicity to aquatic invertebrates

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified Chemical

Метнор

In accordance with OECD TG 202 Daphnia sp. Acute Immobilisation Test and Reproduction Test and EC Directive 92/69/EEC C.2 Acute Toxicity for Daphnia - static.

Species Daphnia magna
Exposure Period 48 hours
Auxiliary Solvent None

Water Hardness Approximately 250 mg CaCO₃/L Analytical Monitoring Visual Observation; GC

Remarks - Method

A range finding test was performed by preparing three WAFs of test substance of nominal concentrations of 10, 100 and 1000 mg/L by stirring the mixture of test substance and purified water for 23 hours and allowing the mixture to settle for 1 hour before siphoning the WAF. Ten daphnids were subjected to the WAFs for 96 hours.

The main test was conducted by subjecting duplicate test sample of ten daphnia to WAFs (concentrations detailed below) of the test substance (prepared in the same manner as described previously). As microscopic examination of the WAFs with the highest loading rates showed microdispersions all of the test substances were filtered. A reference substance (0.32, 0.56, 1.0, 1.8 and 3.2 mg/L of potassium dichromate) was also run. The actual concentrations were determined by GC and Total Organic Carbon (TOC) on fresh an old samples at the beginning (0 hours) and end of the test (48 hours).

pH 8.0

Temperature 20.4-20.8°C

Dissolved Oxygen $8.3-8.4\ mg\ O_2/L$

Light: 16 hours light and 8 hours dark with 20 minute transition.

RESULTS

Concentration mg/L		Number of D. magna	Number Immobilised		
Nominal	Actual		24 hours	48 hours	
Control	Not Detected	20	0	0	
100	0.0980-0.243	20	0	0	
180	0.0970-0.265	20	0	10	
320	0.202-0.516	20	0	20	
560	0.435-0.624	20	0	20	
1000	0.273-0.799	20	10	20	

LC50 0.21 mg/L (95% Confidence Limits) at 48 hours based on mean measured

concentrations (180 mg/L WAF)

NOEC (or LOEC) 0.171 mg/L at 48 hours (100 mg/L WAF)

Remarks - Results All of the daphnia died in the 1000 mg/L test substance of the range

finding test. The WAFs after filtering were observed to be clear and colourless and microscopic examination showed no oily globules. The EC50 of the reference substance was 0.97 mg/L. Analysis of the test substance was also performed by TOC. The results showed concentrations of 0-2.63 mg/L after correction of TOC in the control and showed and increase in concentration corresponding to the nominal concentrations. The result was calculated by trimmed Spearman-Karber

method.

CONCLUSION The test substance is highly toxic to daphnia.

TEST FACILITY Safepharm (2006l)

8.2.3. Algal growth inhibition test

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified Chemical

METHOD In accordance with OECD TG 201 Alga, Growth Inhibition Test and EC

Directive 92/69/EEC C.3 Algal Inhibition Test.

Species Green Algae (Scenedesmus subspicatus)

Exposure Period 72 hours

Concentration Range Nominal: 10-160 mg/L

Actual: 0.0158-0.112 mg/L

Auxiliary Solvent None

Water Hardness Not Specified
Analytical Monitoring Particle counter; GC

Remarks - Method A range finding test was performed by preparing three WAFs of test

substance of nominal concentrations of 10, 100 and 1000 mg/L by stirring the mixture of test substance and purified water for 23 hours and allowing the mixture to settle for 1 hour before siphoning the WAF. Algae were

exposed to the WAFs for 72 hours.

The main test was conducted by subjecting triplicate test samples of algae of cell density of approximately 10⁴ cells per mL to the WAFs (concentrations detailed below) of the test substance (prepared in the same manner as described previously). The actual concentrations were determined by GC and Total Organic Carbon (TOC) on fresh an old samples at the beginning (0 hours) and end of the test (48 hours).

pH: 7.8-8.0

Temperature: 24±1°C

Light: Continuous at an intensity of approximately 7000 Lux.

RESULTS

Inhibition of Growth Rate & Biomass

Nominal	Loading 1	Rate	Measured Loading	Rate	% Inhibition Biomass	% Inhibition Growth
mg/L			mg/L *			
Control			ND		=	-
10			0.0158		1	0
20			0.0635		10	3
40			0.0333		23	5
80			0.053		18	2
160			0.112		68	19

* Average of samples taken at 0 and 72 hours. ND = Not Determined

-	Bion	nass	Growth		
	EbC50	EbC50	ErC50	ErC50	
	Nominal mg/L at 72 h	Actual mg/L at 72 h	Nominal mg/L at 72 h	Actual mg/L at 72 h	
	130	0.094	> 160	>0.11	

Remarks - Results

The algae in the 1000 mg/L of test substance showed 102% and 138% inhibition of biomass and growth rate respectively in the range finding test. The WAFs were observed to be clear and colourless and microscopic examination showed no particles or micro-dispersions. After 72 hours the cultures appeared as green dispersions. No abnormalities were observed in the cultures. Analysis of the test substance was also performed by TOC. These tests were unable to differentiate the amount TOC in the control and the test substances. The reported EbC50 and ErC50 to a reference substance (potassium dichromate) are 0.66 mg/L and 0.27 mg/L respectively. No details were given to the testing of the reference substance.

CONCLUSION

The loading of WAF of test substance is practically non toxic to alga, but the actual concentrations indicate that the test substance is toxic to alga.

TEST FACILITY

Safepharm (2006m)

8.2.4. Inhibition of microbial activity

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified Chemical

METHOD

In accordance with OECD TG 209 Activated Sludge, Respiration Inhibition Test, EC Directive 88/302/EEC C.11 Biodegradation and US EPA Draft Ecological Effects Test Guidelines OPPTS 850.6800.: Activated Sludge Respiration Inhibition Test

Inoculum Activated Sewage Sludge

3 hours

Exposure Period

Concentration Range N

Nominal: 1000 mg/L Actual: Not Determined

Remarks - Method

Activated sludge organisms from the Severn Trent Water Plc sewage treatment plant at Loughborough, Leicestershire, UK, which treats predominantly domestic sewage sludge were used. A range finding test was conducted using duplicate samples of a control and single samples 100 mg/L and 1000 mg/L of test substance. A reference substance (3,5-dichlorophenol) was also run at 3.2 mg/L and 32 mg/L. Synthetic sewage was added to the test substances and the O₂ consumption rates were measured and compared with the control.

The main test was conducted by subjecting triplicate samples of 1000 mg/L of test substance to the inoculum and synthetic sewage sludge and measuring the $\rm O_2$ consumption rate. A comparison was then made to the control which was run in duplicate. A reference substance (3,5-dichlorophenl) was also at concentrations of 3.2, 10, and 32 mg/L.

pH 7.8-8.3

Total Hardness 100 mg CaCO₃/L

RESULTS

IC50 > 1000 mg/L NOEC 1000 mg/L

Remarks – Results The range finding test showed that 1000 mg/L of test substance had 0%

inhibition of respiration of activated sewage sludge. The test substances were observed as dark brown homogenous dispersions of test material. The average % inhibition of the main test at 3 hours was -2%. The reference substance had an IC50 of 11 mg/L, which was within the accepted value of 5-30 mg/L. Some of the initial and final dissolved oxygen concentrations were below the test guidelines (6.5 mg/L and 2.5 mg/L respectively). This was not considered to have an adverse effect as the oxygen consumption rate was determined over the linear portion of the oxygen consumption trace.

CONCLUSION

The test substance is not considered inhibitory to sewage sludge up to the concentration tested.

TEST FACILITY

Safepharm (2006n)

TEST FACILITY

9. RISK ASSESSMENT

9.1. Environment

9.1.1. Environment – exposure assessment

The notified chemical will share the same fate as the automatic transmission fluids (ATF) in which it is blended. Most (> 75%) of the ATF is likely to be sold to automobile manufactures for factory fill operations with the remainder (<25%) repackaged in small containers for garages and do-it-yourself (DIY) use. It is expected that 0.5% residue will remain in the "empty packaging" for import containers and it is estimated that 1% will remain in small packaging. Small packaging is likely to be sent to landfill, whilst larger operations are likely to send empty containers to licensed drum recyclers. It is expected that at most 22 kg of the notified chemical will be disposed of to landfill from small packaging.

Although some transmissions require the fluid to be replaced during servicing, the trend for automatic transmissions is for sealed units which are filled for the life of the transmission. These are expected to be serviced only by professional mechanics and often do not require replacement of the ATF for the life of the transmission. The lubricants are expected to be collected either at the end of the useful life of the transmissions or if required during servicing, and properly disposed of. Used lubricants may be recycled, re-refined, burnt as low grade burner fuel or disposed of by incineration. Automatic transmissions containing residual amounts of the notified chemical are expected to be disposed of to landfill or enter metal recycling.

It is expected that only a small amount (<5%; < 255 kg) of the ATF would be released to the environment either from incorrect disposal from DIY enthusiasts and leaks from transmissions. This is likely to occur throughout Australia in a disperse manner. The notified chemical is likely to degrade relatively rapidly.

The notified chemical is expected to be decomposed during re-refining to simpler organic molecules and completely combusted to oxides of carbon and nitrogen; and water vapour if burnt.

9.1.2. Environment – effects assessment

Organism	Duration hours	End Point	Toxicity mg/L
Fish	96	LC50	610 WAF
Daphnia	48	LC50	0.19-0.23
Algae	72	EbC50	0.094
Algae	72	ErC50	>0.11
Micro-organisms	3	IC50	>1000

The predicted no effect concentration (PNEC) of the notified chemical may be calculated by dividing the lowest endpoint by a safety factor of 100 (as ecotoxicity data exist for three trophic levels). A value of $0.94 \mu g/L$ is derived.

9.1.3. Environment – risk characterisation

Although a predicted environmental concentration (PEC) and hence risk quotient (RQ) cannot be calculated, the RQ is expected to be low as the exposure of the notified chemical to the aquatic environment is expected to be minimal. Furthermore due to the notified chemical's low water solubility it is unlikely that the entire amount of chemical entering the aquatic environment will be available to aquatic species. The notified chemical also undergoes relatively rapid biodegradation. This will further mitigate the risk to aquatic organisms. The notified chemical therefore is unlikely to pose an unacceptable risk to the environment.

9.2. Human health

9.2.1. Occupational health and safety – exposure assessment

During formulation of the notified chemical into finished products, worker exposure to the additive concentrate (maximum concentration of 8%) is limited during transfer and mixing due to the enclosed and automated process. During sampling and final product packaging, workers may be exposed to the notified chemical (concentration up to 2.5%) by skin, inhalation or ocular contact with residues dripping off the fill pipe and during equipment cleaning. However, exposure should be minimised by use of mechanical ventilation systems, automated processes and personal protective equipment (PPE).

Dermal, ocular and inhalation exposure may occur during repackaging and end use of finished product containing the notified chemical, especially at the sites manual handling occurs. However, workers' exposure is expected to be low due to use of effective ventilation, automated process and PPE.

Extension Application:

The proposed use and increase in introduction volume under the extension application is not expected to significantly alter occupational exposure. Furthermore, workers will only be exposed to the notified chemical at $\leq 2.5\%$ concentration.

9.2.2. Public health – exposure assessment

Public exposure to the finished product containing 0.1 to 2.5% Z-70 via skin, optical, inhalation and potentially ingestion is likely due to the manual application of the DIY products and the unlikely use of PPE. However, the public exposure is expected to be limited due to its infrequent use by the public.

Extension Application:

The proposed use and increase in introduction volume under the extension appliction is not expected to significantly alter public exposure.

9.2.3. Human health – effects assessment

Systemic toxicity

No toxic kinetic data are available for the notified chemical. It has low acute oral and dermal toxicity with LD50>2000 mg/kg bw.

Subchronic, 28-day administration of up to 1000 mg/kg bw/day of the notified chemical did not result in any significant observed effects in the treated rats (NOEL = 1000 mg/kg bw/day).

Topical toxicity

The notified chemical was found to be moderately irritating to the skin (light brown discolouration and crust formation) and slightly irritating to the eyes of rabbits. There was also evidence of sensitisation as determined by the increase of DNA synthesis in the Mouse auricular lymph nodes.

Mutagenicity

The notified chemical did not induce mutations in bacterial test and failed to induce significant

chromosomal aberrations in mammalian cells in vitro. These results suggest that the notified chemical is not likely to be mutagenic to humans.

Other toxicities

TOPKAT (v 6.01) estimates were produced by Health Canada (report submitted). The NTP Carcinogenicity Call for the female mouse predicted the notified substance to be non-carcinogenic (Probability= 0.000).

The notified substance does not contain any structural features commonly associated with adverse human health effects.

Hazard classification for health effects

Based on the available data, the notified chemical is classified as a hazardous substance in accordance with the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC 2004). The following risk and safety phrases apply to the chemical:

R38 Irritating to the skin (cut-off for classification $\geq 20\%$)

R43 May cause sensitisation by skin contact (cut-off for classification $\geq 1\%$)

9.2.4. Occupational health and safety – risk characterisation

Considering the intended use of the notified chemical the main routes of exposure for all types of workers are dermal, ocular and inhalation.

Workers handling the notified chemical during formulation of finished products may be exposed to maximum concentration of 8% in the additive concentrate. Considering the hazardous nature of the notified chemical there is a risk of skin sensitisation for these workers. However the risk is not considered to be high as handling of the concentrate will be limited to transfer into an enclosed and automated mixing process. The risk of skin sensitisation will be further reduced by employment of safe work practices and the appropriate use of personal protective equipment (PPE) including appropriate aprons and gloves. The MSDS for the additive concentrate should carry a warning with regard to the skin sensitising potential.

There is also a risk for skin irritation for workers involved in formulation of products containing the notified chemical. However, the risk is low as the concentration of the notified chemical is <20% and appropriate PPE, such as skin protection, will be used.

Workers involved in sampling and final product packaging are also at risk of skin sensitisation considering the possible dermal exposure to maximum of 2.5% of notified chemical. However, exposure is expected to be minimal due to the use of automated processes and personal protective equipment.

End use of the formulated products, namely, addition or changing of gear oils may result in frequent exposure to a range of products containing 0.1-2.5% of notified chemical. Risk of skin sensitisation is considered to be low when using products containing less than 1% of notified chemical. However, use of products containing \geq 1% of notified chemical will be associated with increased risk of skin sensitisation. In these cases, use of PPE would minimise the risk. In addition products containing the notified chemical at concentration \geq 1% should carry an appropriate label (see recommendations) and be accompanied by an appropriate MSDS.

The risk for transport or storage workers is considered to be low given that exposure may only occur in the event of accidental spillage.

The notified chemical has low acute and subchronic toxicity and is not mutagenic to mammalian cells in vivo. Therefore the risk of significant systemic adverse effects from exposure to the notified chemical is low.

Overall, the main risk for workers handling products containing the notified chemical is related to skin sensitisation and irritation. The skin sensitisation risk applies mainly to products containing $\geq 1\%$ of the notified chemical and can be reduced by appropriate operational safety procedures and using of PPE.

9.2.5. Public health – risk characterisation

Products containing 0.1 to 2.5% will be available to the public for DIY manual application. During this process dermal, ocular and inhalation exposure is likely especially considering that members of the public are likely not to use PPE. Considering the skin sensitising potential of the notified chemical and the likely dermal exposure, the risk for skin sensitisation cannot be excluded especially for highly sensitive individuals. However, the risk is expected to be reduced significantly due to its infrequent use by the public.

Risk of skin irritation is low due to the expected low concentration of the notified chemical in the finished products available to the public. The MSDS available to the public should carry relevant warning statement regarding skin sensitisation and irritation.

10. CONCLUSIONS – ASSESSMENT LEVEL OF CONCERN FOR THE ENVIRONMENT AND HUMANS

10.1. Hazard classification

Based on the available data the notified chemical is classified as hazardous under the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances*. The classification and labelling details are:

Xi - Irritant

R38 Irritating to the skin

R43 May cause sensitisation by skin contact

As a comparison only, the classification of notified chemical/polymer using the Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS) (United Nations 2003) is presented below. This system is not mandated in Australia and carries no legal status but is presented for information purposes.

	Hazard category	Hazard statement
Aquatic Toxicity	1	Very toxic to aquatic life
Skin irritation	3	Warning: May cause mild skin irritant*
Skin sensitisation	1	Warning: May cause an allergic skin reaction

^{*} Applies to some authorities

10.2. Environmental risk assessment

The chemical is not considered to pose a risk to the environment based on its reported use pattern.

10.3. Human health risk assessment

10.3.1. Occupational health and safety

There is Low Concern to occupational health and safety under the conditions of the occupational settings described.

10.3.2. Public health

There is No Significant Concern to public health when used following the recommended safety instructions on the labels of the products available to the public.

11. Risk assessment relating to extension application

The proposed use and the fate of the notified chemical will not change under the proposed extension. The increase in proposed introduction volume is not expected to significantly change the environment and health impacts. Therefore, there are no changes required in the risk assessment.

12. MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

12.1. Material Safety Data Sheet

The MSDS of the notified chemical provided by the notifier was in accordance with the NOHSC

National Code of Practice for the Preparation of Material Safety Data Sheets (NOHSC 2003). It is published here as a matter of public record. The accuracy of the information on the MSDS remains the responsibility of the applicant.

Extension Application:

The applicant for extension application has provided MSDS of a product containing the notified chemical. The accuracy of the information on the MSDS remains the responsibility of the extension applicant.

12.2. Label

The label for the notified chemical provided by the notifier was in accordance with the NOHSC *National Code of Practice for the Labelling of Workplace Substances* (NOHSC 1994). The accuracy of the information on the label remains the responsibility of the applicant.

13. RECOMMENDATIONS

REGULATORY CONTROLS

Hazard Classification and Labelling

- Safework Australia should consider the following health hazard classification for the notified chemical:
 - R38 Irritating to the skin
 - R43 May cause sensitisation by skin contact
 - S24/25 Avoid contact with skin and eyes
 - S37 Wear suitable gloves
- Use the following risk phrases for products/mixtures containing the notified chemical:
 - Conc ≥ 1% R43 May cause sensitisation by skin contact
 - Conc ≥ 20% R38 Irritating to skin
- The National Drugs and Poisons Scheduling Committee (NDPSC) should consider the notified chemical for listing on the SUSDP.
- Products containing ≥1% notified chemical and available to the public must carry the following safety directions on the label:
 - May cause sensitisation

Health Surveillance

• As the notified chemical is a skin sensitiser, employers should carry out health surveillance for any worker who has been identified in the workplace risk assessment as having a significant risk of skin sensitisation.

CONTROL MEASURES

Occupational Health and Safety

- Employers should implement the following isolation and engineering controls to minimise occupational exposure to the notified chemical during formulation for in finished products:
 - Couplings should be employed for transfers between storage and blending tanks and blending tanks should be fully enclosed.
- Employers should implement the following safe work practices to minimise occupational exposure during handling of the notified chemical during formulation and use of finished products:
 - spillage should be avoided
 - spillage should be cleaned up using appropriate absorbents and placed into

- containers for disposal
- contact with skin should be avoided
- Employers should ensure that the following personal protective equipment is used by workers to minimise occupational exposure to the notified chemical during formulation and use of finished products:
 - nitrile or neoprene gloves
 - chemical impervious clothing
 - safety glasses or face shield

Guidance in selection of personal protective equipment can be obtained from Australian, Australian/New Zealand or other approved standards.

- A copy of the MSDS should be easily accessible to employees.
- If products and mixtures containing the notified chemical are classified as hazardous to health in accordance with the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances*, workplace practices and control procedures consistent with provisions of State and Territory hazardous substances legislation must be in operation.

Public Health

- The following measures should be taken by notifier to minimise public exposure to the notified chemical:
 - Products available to the public should contain the following warning statement:
 Wear gloves when using, may cause allergic skin reaction.

13.1. Secondary notification

The Director of Chemicals Notification and Assessment must be notified in writing within 28 days by the notifier, other importer or manufacturer:

- (1) Under Section 64(1) of the Act:
 - if the concentration of the notified chemical in consumer products has changed, or is likely to change significantly.
- (2) Under Section 64(2) of the Act:
 - if any of the circumstances listed in the subsection arise

The Director will then decide whether secondary notification is required.

14. BIBLIOGRAPHY

Kimber et. al, (2001) Skin sensitization testing in potency and risk assessment. Toxicol. Sci. 59(2):198-208

NOHSC (1994) National Code of Practice for the Labelling of Workplace Substances [NOHSC:2012(1994)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.

NOHSC (2004) Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances [NOHSC:1008(2004)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, AusInfo.

NOHSC (2003) National Code of Practice for the Preparation of Material Safety Data Sheets, 2nd edn [NOHSC:2011(2003)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.

Safepharm (2005) Reverse Mutation Assay "Ames test" (0525/0638; December 2005) Shardlow, U.K. Safepharm Laboratories Limited (unpublished)

Safepharm (2006a) Determination of General Physico-Chemical Properties: OS205544B, Project No. 525/629, Safepharm Laboratories Ltd, Derby, UK (unpublished report submitted by the Lubrizol Corporation).

Safepharm (2006b) Determination of Hazardous Physico-Chemical Properties: OS205544B, Project No. 525/630, Safepharm Laboratories Ltd, Derby, UK (unpublished report submitted by the Lubrizol Corporation).

- Safepharm (2006c) Acute Oral Toxicity in Rat (0525/0631; January 2006) Shardlow, U.K. Safepharm Laboratories Limited (unpublished)
- Safepharm (2006d) Acute Dermal Toxicity (Limit test) in Rat (0525/0632; January 2006) Shardlow, U.K. Safepharm Laboratories Limited (unpublished)
- Safepharm (2006e) Acute Dermal Irritation in the Rabbit (0525/0633; February 2006) Shardlow, U.K. Safepharm Laboratories Limited (unpublished)
- Safepharm (2006f) Acute Eye Irritation in the Rabbit (0525/0634; January 2006) Shardlow, U.K. Safepharm Laboratories Limited (unpublished)
- Safepharm (2006g) Local lymph node assay in the Mouse (0525/0635; February 2006) Shardlow, U.K. Safepharm Laboratories Limited (unpublished)
- Safepharm (2006h) 28 Day Repeated Dose Oral (gavage) Toxicity in Rats (0525/0636; March 2006) Shardlow, U.K. Safepharm Laboratories Limited (unpublished)
- Safepharm (2006i) Chromosome Aberation Test in CHL cells In Vitro (0525/0637; May 2006) Shardlow, U.K. Safepharm Laboratories Limited (unpublished)
- Safepharm (2006j) Assessment of Ready Biodegradability: CO2 Evolution Test OS205544B, Project No. 525/680, Safepharm Laboratories Ltd, Derby, UK (unpublished report submitted by the Lubrizol Corporation).
- Safepharm (2006k) Acute Toxicity to Rainbow Trout: OS205544B, Project No. 525/639, Safepharm Laboratories Ltd, Derby, UK (unpublished report submitted by the Lubrizol Corporation)
- Safepharm (2006l) Acute Toxicity to *Daphnia Magna*: OS205544B, Project No. 525/640R, Safepharm Laboratories Ltd, Derby, UK (unpublished report submitted by the Lubrizol Corporation)
- Safepharm (2006m) Algal Inhibition Test: OS205544B, Project No. 525/641R, Safepharm Laboratories Ltd, Derby, UK (unpublished report submitted by the Lubrizol Corporation)
- Safepharm (2006n) Assessment of Inhibitory Effect on the Respiration of Activated Sewage Sludge: CO2 Evolution Test OS205544B, Project No. 525/643, Safepharm Laboratories Ltd, Derby, UK (unpublished report submitted by the Lubrizol Corporation)
- United Nations (2003) Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS). United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UN/ECE), New York and Geneva.