

File No: LTD/1623

November 2012

**NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS NOTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT SCHEME
(NICNAS)**

PUBLIC REPORT

CIM-21

This Assessment has been compiled in accordance with the provisions of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act 1989* (Cwlth) (the Act) and Regulations. This legislation is an Act of the Commonwealth of Australia. The National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) is administered by the Department of Health and Ageing, and conducts the risk assessment for public health and occupational health and safety. The assessment of environmental risk is conducted by the Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities.

For the purposes of subsection 78(1) of the Act, this Public Report may be inspected at our NICNAS office by appointment only at Level 7, 260 Elizabeth Street, Surry Hills NSW 2010.

This Public Report is also available for viewing and downloading from the NICNAS website or available on request, free of charge, by contacting NICNAS. For requests and enquiries please contact the NICNAS Administration Coordinator at:

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| Street Address: | Level 7, 260 Elizabeth Street, SURRY HILLS NSW 2010, AUSTRALIA. |
| Postal Address: | GPO Box 58, SYDNEY NSW 2001, AUSTRALIA. |
| TEL: | + 61 2 8577 8800 |
| FAX | + 61 2 8577 8888 |
| Website: | www.nicnas.gov.au |

**Director
NICNAS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-----------|
| SUMMARY | 3 |
| CONCLUSIONS AND REGULATORY OBLIGATIONS | 3 |
| ASSESSMENT DETAILS..... | 5 |
| 1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS..... | 5 |
| 2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL..... | 5 |
| 3. COMPOSITION..... | 5 |
| 4. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES | 5 |
| 5. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION..... | 6 |
| 6. HUMAN HEALTH IMPLICATIONS | 7 |
| 6.1. Exposure Assessment..... | 7 |
| 6.1.1. Occupational Exposure..... | 7 |
| 6.1.2. Public Exposure..... | 7 |
| 6.2. Human Health Effects Assessment | 7 |
| 6.3. Human Health Risk Characterisation | 8 |
| 6.3.1. Occupational Health and Safety..... | 8 |
| 6.3.2. Public Health..... | 8 |
| 7. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS..... | 8 |
| 7.1. Environmental Exposure & Fate Assessment | 8 |
| 7.1.1. Environmental Exposure..... | 8 |
| 7.1.2. Environmental Fate | 9 |
| 7.1.3. Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC)..... | 9 |
| 7.2. Environmental Effects Assessment..... | 10 |
| 7.2.1. Predicted No-Effect Concentration..... | 10 |
| 7.3. Environmental Risk Assessment..... | 10 |
| <u>APPENDIX A: PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES</u> | <u>11</u> |
| <u>APPENDIX B: TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS.....</u> | <u>12</u> |
| B.1. Acute toxicity – oral..... | 12 |
| B.2. Genotoxicity – bacteria | 12 |
| B.3. Genotoxicity – in vitro | 13 |
| <u>APPENDIX C: ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND ECOTOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS</u> | <u>15</u> |
| C.1. Environmental Fate..... | 15 |
| C.1.1. Ready biodegradability | 15 |
| C.1.2. Bioaccumulation..... | 15 |
| C.2. Ecotoxicological Investigations..... | 16 |
| C.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish | 16 |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY | 17 |

SUMMARY

The following details will be published in the NICNAS *Chemical Gazette*:

| ASSESSMENT REFERENCE | APPLICANT(S) | CHEMICAL OR TRADE NAME | HAZARDOUS CHEMICAL | INTRODUCTION VOLUME | USE |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| LTD/1623 | Canon Australia Pty Ltd | CIM-21 | ND* | ≤ 1 tonne per annum | Component of inkjet printer ink |

*ND = not determined

CONCLUSIONS AND REGULATORY OBLIGATIONS

Hazard classification

Based on the available information, the notified chemical is not recommended for classification according to the *Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals* (GHS), as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia, or the *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC, 2004).

Human health risk assessment

Under the conditions of the occupational settings described, the notified chemical is not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to the health of workers.

When used in the proposed manner, the notified chemical is not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to public health.

Environmental risk assessment

On the basis of the PEC/PNEC ratio and the assessed use pattern, the notified chemical is not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to the environment.

Recommendations

CONTROL MEASURES

Occupational Health and Safety

- A person conducting a business or undertaking at a workplace should implement the following safe work practices to minimise occupational exposure during handling of the notified chemical as introduced:
 - Avoid skin and eye contact
 - Do not generate aerosols
 - Clean up spills promptly
- Service personnel should wear impervious gloves and ensure adequate ventilation is present when removing spent printer cartridges containing the notified chemical and during routine maintenance and repairs.
- A copy of the (M)SDS should be easily accessible to employees.
- If products and mixtures containing the notified chemical are classified as hazardous to health in accordance with the *Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals* (GHS) as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia, workplace practices and control procedures consistent with provisions of State and Territory hazardous substances legislation should be in operation.

Disposal

- The notified chemical should be disposed of to landfill.

Emergency procedures

- Spills or accidental release of the notified chemical should be handled by physical containment, collection and subsequent safe disposal.

Regulatory Obligations

Secondary Notification

This risk assessment is based on the information available at the time of notification. The Director may call for the reassessment of the chemical under secondary notification provisions based on changes in certain circumstances. Under Section 64 of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act (1989)* the notifier, as well as any other importer or manufacturer of the notified chemical, have post-assessment regulatory obligations to notify NICNAS when any of these circumstances change. These obligations apply even when the notified chemical is listed on the Australian Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS).

Therefore, the Director of NICNAS must be notified in writing within 28 days by the notifier, other importer or manufacturer:

- (1) Under Section 64(1) of the Act; if
 - the importation volume exceeds one tonne per annum notified chemical;
 - the notified chemical is imported in any form other than as a component of sealed ink-jet cartridges;or
- (2) Under Section 64(2) of the Act; if
 - the function or use of the chemical has changed from component of inkjet printer ink or is likely to change significantly;
 - the chemical has begun to be manufactured in Australia;
 - additional information has become available to the person as to an adverse effect of the chemical on occupational health and safety, public health, or the environment.

The Director will then decide whether a reassessment (i.e. a secondary notification and assessment) is required.

(Material) Safety Data Sheet

The (M)SDS of the notified chemical provided by the notifier was reviewed by NICNAS. The accuracy of the information on the (M)SDS remains the responsibility of the applicant.

ASSESSMENT DETAILS

This notification has been conducted under the cooperative arrangement with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA). Information pertaining to the assessment of the notified chemical by the US EPA was provided to NICNAS and, where appropriate, used in this assessment report. The other elements of the risk assessment and recommendations on the safe use of the notified chemical were carried out by NICNAS.

1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS

APPLICANT(S)

Canon Australia Pty Ltd (ABN: 66 005 002 951)
1 Thomas Holt Drive
NORTH RYDE NSW 2113

NOTIFICATION CATEGORY

Limited-small volume: Chemical other than polymer (1 tonne or less per year).

EXEMPT INFORMATION (SECTION 75 OF THE ACT)

Data items and details claimed exempt from publication: chemical name, other names, molecular and structural formulae, molecular weight, analytical data, impurities and identity of manufacturer.

VARIATION OF DATA REQUIREMENTS (SECTION 24 OF THE ACT)

Variation to the schedule of data requirements is claimed as follows: dissociation constant, and flash point.

PREVIOUS NOTIFICATION IN AUSTRALIA BY APPLICANT(S)

LVC/846.

NOTIFICATION IN OTHER COUNTRIES

China (2011)
USA (2011)
Japan (2012)
Korea (2012)
Philippines (2012)

2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL

MARKETING NAME(S)

CIM-21

MOLECULAR WEIGHT

> 500 Da

ANALYTICAL DATA

Reference NMR, IR, HPLC, LC-MS spectra were provided.

3. COMPOSITION

DEGREE OF PURITY > 85%

4. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

APPEARANCE AT 20°C AND 101.3 kPa: Magenta coloured crystalline solid

| Property | Value | Data Source/Justification |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Melting Point | Decomposes at > 320°C | Measured |
| Density | 1380 kg/m ³ at 20°C | Measured |
| Vapour Pressure | < 4.7 x 10 ⁻⁸ kPa at 25°C | Measured |
| Water Solubility | 450-500 g/L at 20°C | Measured (EC 440/2008, A6; OECD 105, shake flask |

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| Hydrolysis as a Function of pH | $t_{1/2} > 1$ years (pH 4, 7 and 9) at 25°C | method). Water solubility was estimated based on visual inspection. Measured (EC 440/2008, C7; OECD 111). |
| Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water) | log Pow = -3.08 at 20°C | Measured (EC 440/2008, A8; OECD 107). Test was carried out at approximately neutral pH with the notified chemical in its ionised form. |
| Adsorption/Desorption | log K _{oc} < 1.25 | Measured (EC 440/2008, C19; OECD 121, HPLC screening method). Test was carried out at approximately neutral pH with the notified chemical in its ionised form. |
| Dissociation Constant | Estimated pKa < 0 - 14.6 | Calculated for the free acid form. The notified chemical is a salt which is expected to be ionised under environmental conditions. |
| Particle Size | Inhalable fraction (< 100 µm): 0.98% | Measured |
| Flammability | Not highly flammable | Measured |
| Autoignition Temperature | 333°C | Measured |
| Explosive Properties | Not expected to be explosive | Contains no functional groups that would imply explosive properties |
| Oxidising Properties | Not expected to oxidise | Contains no functional groups that would imply oxidative properties |

DISCUSSION OF PROPERTIES

For full details of tests on physical and chemical properties that have not been assessed in the US EPA report, refer to Appendix A.

Reactivity

The notified chemical is expected to be stable under normal conditions of use.

Physical hazard classification

Based on the submitted physico-chemical data depicted in the above table, the notified chemical is not recommended for hazard classification according to the *Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)*, as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia.

5. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION

MODE OF INTRODUCTION OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

The notified chemical will be imported as a component ($\leq 7\%$) of inkjet printer ink.

MAXIMUM INTRODUCTION VOLUME OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Tonnes | ≤ 0.1 | ≤ 1 | ≤ 1 | ≤ 1 | ≤ 1 |

PORT OF ENTRY

Sydney (by air and sea)

IDENTITY OF RECIPIENTS

Canon Australia Pty Ltd

TRANSPORTATION AND PACKAGING

The notified chemical will be imported as a component of inkjet printer ink in sealed cartridges. The cartridges will vary in size between 2.5-2600 mL and will be packaged in sealed foil bags. The printer cartridges will be transported by road to the Canon Australia Pty Ltd warehouse and then distributed to retail outlets/end-users.

USE

The notified chemical will be used as a component ($\leq 7\%$) of inkjet printer ink for commercial and household printers.

OPERATION DESCRIPTION

The notified chemical will be imported as a component of ink in sealed cartridges. Reformulation will not take place in Australia.

End-users (including service technicians, office workers and the general public) will remove the cartridge from the packaging and place the cartridge into the printer. The cartridge will be disposed of when empty.

6. HUMAN HEALTH IMPLICATIONS**6.1. Exposure Assessment****6.1.1. Occupational Exposure****CATEGORY OF WORKERS**

| <i>Category of Worker</i> | <i>Exposure Duration (hours/day)</i> | <i>Exposure Frequency (days/year)</i> |
|---------------------------|--|---|
| Import/waterside | < 8 | 10-50 |
| Storage and transport | < 8 | 10-50 |
| Office workers | 10 seconds | 2 |
| Service technicians | 1 | 170 |

EXPOSURE DETAILS

Waterside, storage and transport workers may come into contact with the notified chemical, as a component of ink ($\leq 7\%$), only in the unlikely event of an accident.

Service technicians may be exposed to the ink containing 7% or less notified chemical during repair and cleaning of ink jet printers. Due to the low volatility of the notified chemical, dermal exposure is expected to be the main potential route of exposure. Exposure to the notified chemical may occur while changing cartridges if the ink is inadvertently handled.

Office workers and home users may be exposed to the ink when replacing the cartridge but the amount of exposure is predicted to be very low. Instructions on how to replace the cartridges safely are included with the cartridge. Occasional dermal exposure during use of the printer may occur if the printed pages were handled inadvertently before the ink had dried, or if ink-stained parts of the printer were touched. Once the ink dries, the chemical would be bonded to the printed-paper, and therefore dermal exposure to the notified chemical from contact with dried ink is not expected.

6.1.2. Public Exposure

Dermal exposure of the public to inks containing the notified chemical (at $\leq 7\%$) is expected to be similar, though less frequent, than that described above for office workers.

6.2. Human Health Effects Assessment

The results from toxicological investigations conducted on the notified chemical are summarised in the following table. For full details of the studies, refer to Appendix B.

| <i>Endpoint</i> | <i>Result and Assessment Conclusion</i> |
|---|---|
| Rat, acute oral toxicity | LD50 > 2000 mg/kg bw low toxicity |
| Mutagenicity – bacterial reverse mutation | non mutagenic |
| Genotoxicity – <i>in vitro</i> mammalian chromosomal aberration | non genotoxic |

Toxicokinetics.

Given the relatively high molecular weight (> 500 Da) and low partition coefficient ($\log P_{ow} = -3.08$ at 20 °C) of the notified chemical, dermal absorption is not expected. However, absorption across the gastrointestinal tract,

although expected to be limited, cannot be ruled out.

Acute toxicity.

The notified chemical was found to be of low acute oral toxicity in a study conducted in rats (LD50 > 2000 mg/kg bw). There were no signs of systemic toxicity.

Irritation/Sensitisation.

The notified chemical contains functional groups that have been associated with skin and eye irritation. The potential for the effect may be limited by the relatively high molecular weight (> 500 Da) of the notified chemical.

Mutagenicity.

The notified chemical was not mutagenic in a bacterial reverse mutation study and was not clastogenic to human lymphocytes in an *in vitro* mammalian chromosome aberration test.

Health hazard classification

Based on the available information, the notified chemical cannot be classified according to the *Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)*, as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia, or the *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC, 2004).

6.3. Human Health Risk Characterisation

6.3.1. Occupational Health and Safety

The notified chemical is of low acute oral toxicity and is not expected to be genotoxic. Based on structural alerts the notified chemical may be irritating to the eye and skin, however this is expected to be limited given the high molecular weight of the notified chemical. Based on its physico-chemical properties, the chemical is likely to have limited potential for dermal absorption. Toxicity from repeat exposure is not known but is expected to be limited by the dermal route.

The notified chemical may be handled by workers at $\leq 7\%$ concentration. Dermal exposure to the notified chemical may occur when replacing spent cartridges (and/or as incidental exposure when touching wet ink on printed pages). At these low concentrations, skin and eye irritation is not expected. Toxicity arising from repeated exposure to the notified chemical cannot be ruled out but is not expected at the low proposed use concentrations ($\leq 7\%$) and use in contained cartridges.

While significant dermal exposure of technicians to the notified chemical is not expected given its containment within cartridges, performing printer maintenance operations, in an industrial setting, may occur on a frequent basis. Therefore, measures should be taken to avoid exposure to the notified chemical (e.g. use of impervious gloves).

Dermal exposure of office workers to the notified chemical is expected to be infrequent and of a low level, given the containment of the chemical within cartridges and the provision of instructions for replacing the cartridges. There may be frequent exposure to dried ink containing the notified chemical, however, the chemical will be cured in the ink matrix and not be available for exposure.

Therefore, provided that measures to protect technicians are being adhered to (i.e., use of impervious gloves and adequate ventilation when performing printer maintenance operations), and based on the expected low exposure of office workers to the notified chemical, the risk to the health of workers from use of the notified chemical is not considered to be unreasonable.

6.3.2. Public Health

Public exposure to the notified chemical is expected to be similar, though less frequent than that experienced by office workers. Therefore, the risk to the health of the public from use of the notified chemical is not considered to be unreasonable.

7. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

7.1. Environmental Exposure & Fate Assessment

7.1.1. Environmental Exposure

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL AT SITE

The notified chemical will be imported into Australia as a component of inkjet printer ink sealed in cartridges. No release of the notified chemical to the environment is expected from manufacturing or reformulation since these activities are not expected to occur in Australia. Release of the notified chemical to the environment is unlikely during importation, storage and transportation as printer cartridges are designed to minimise release.

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM USE

Most of the notified chemical will be fixed within an inert ink matrix adhering to paper and is not expected to be released to the environment once cured. The release of the notified chemical may occur from leakage of ink during use, installation or replacement of cartridges. Any releases are expected to be contained with absorbent material and disposed of to landfill.

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM DISPOSAL

Following its use as printer ink, the majority of the notified chemical is anticipated to share the fate of printed paper and be disposed of to landfill or subjected to paper recycling processes. Up to half of the printed paper is expected to be recycled, and the notified chemical may be released to sewage treatment plants (STPs) during these processes. Residual notified chemical (approximately 5% of the total annual import volume) in used ink cartridges is expected to be disposed of to landfill.

7.1.2. Environmental Fate

Most of the notified chemical is expected to be disposed of to landfill along with printed paper or released to sewer in recycling wastewaters when used paper is recycled. During paper recycling processes, waste paper is repulped using a variety of chemical agents which, amongst other things, enhance detachment of ink from the fibres. Given that it is highly water soluble, the notified chemical has the potential to partition to the supernatant water and be released to sewer during paper recycling processes. During waste water treatment processes in STPs, the notified chemical is not expected to be efficiently removed from influent due to its water solubility and low soil adsorption coefficient. Thus, the notified polymer may be released to surface water. The notified chemical is hydrolytically stable under environmental conditions and is not readily biodegradable. In landfill and soil, the notified chemical is likely to be mobile based on its high water solubility and low soil adsorption coefficient. However, the notified chemical is not expected to bioaccumulate due to its high water solubility, low n-octanol/water partition coefficient and low measured bioconcentration factor ($BCF < 8.8$). It is expected to eventually degrade by biotic and abiotic processes to form water, oxides of carbon, nitrogen and sulphur, and inorganic salts. For the details of the environmental fate studies please refer to Appendix C.

7.1.3. Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC)

The predicted environmental concentration (PEC) can be estimated as outlined below assuming that 50% of the annual import volume of the notified chemical will be released to sewer during recycling of the used paper. For the worst case scenario, it is assumed that the notified chemical is not removed from influent during STPs processes. It was assumed that release of the notified chemical occurs over 260 days per annum corresponding to release only on working days.

| <i>Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC) for the Aquatic Compartment</i> | | |
|---|--------|--------------|
| Total Annual Import/Manufactured Volume | 1,000 | kg/year |
| Proportion expected to be released to sewer | 50% | |
| Annual quantity of chemical released to sewer | 500 | kg/year |
| Days per year where release occurs | 260 | days/year |
| Daily chemical release: | 1.92 | kg/day |
| Water use | 200 | L/person/day |
| Population of Australia (Millions) | 22.613 | million |
| Removal within STP | 0% | |
| Daily effluent production: | 4,523 | ML |
| Dilution Factor - River | 1 | |
| Dilution Factor - Ocean | 10 | |
| PEC - River: | 0.43 | µg/L |
| PEC - Ocean: | 0.04 | µg/L |

STP effluent re-use for irrigation occurs throughout Australia. The agricultural irrigation application rate is assumed to be 1000 L/m²/year (10 ML/ha/year). The notified chemical in this volume is assumed to infiltrate and accumulate in the top 10 cm of soil (density 1500 kg/m³). Using these assumptions, irrigation with a concentration of 0.43 µg/L may potentially result in a soil concentration of approximately 2.84 µg/kg. Assuming accumulation of the notified chemical in soil for 5 and 10 years under repeated irrigation, the concentration of notified chemical in the applied soil in 5 and 10 years may be approximately 14.17 µg/kg and 28.35 µg/kg, respectively.

7.2. Environmental Effects Assessment

The result of ecotoxicological investigation conducted on the notified chemical is summarised in the table below. Details of the study for fish acute toxicity can be found in Appendix C.

| <i>Endpoint</i> | <i>Result</i> | <i>Assessment Conclusion</i> |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|
| Fish Toxicity (96 hours) | LC50 > 200 mg/L | Not harmful |

Based on the acute toxicity endpoint for fish, the notified chemical can be formally classified as “not classified for acute hazard” under the Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS; United Nations, 2009).

7.2.1. Predicted No-Effect Concentration

The endpoint for fish acute toxicity is used to calculate the predicted no-effect concentration (PNEC). An assessment factor of 1000 was used as only one endpoint was available.

| Predicted No-Effect Concentration (PNEC) for the Aquatic Compartment | | | |
|--|-------|------|--|
| LC50 (Fish). | > 200 | mg/L | |
| Assessment Factor | 1,000 | | |
| PNEC: | > 200 | µg/L | |

7.3. Environmental Risk Assessment

| Risk Assessment | PEC µg/L | PNEC µg/L | Q |
|-----------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Q - River: | 0.43 | > 200 | < 0.002 |
| Q - Ocean: | 0.04 | > 200 | < 0.001 |

The Risk Quotient ($Q = \text{PEC}/\text{PNEC}$) for the worst case scenario have been calculated to be < 1 for the river and ocean compartments. Although the notified chemical may be released into waterways, it is unlikely to pose a risk to the aquatic environment given that it is not expected to bioaccumulate nor is it released at ecotoxicologically relevant concentrations. Therefore, on the basis of the PEC/PNEC ratio and the assessed use pattern, the notified chemical is not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to the environment.

APPENDIX A: PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

Melting Point Decomposes without melting at > 320 °C

Method OECD TG 102 Melting Point/Melting Range.
EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 A.1 Melting/Freezing Temperature.
Remarks Determined by Differential Scanning Calorimetry
Test Facility Harlan (2011a)

Density 1380 kg/m³ at 20°C

Method OECD TG 109 Density of Liquids and Solids.
EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 A.3 Relative Density.
Remarks Determined by Gas Comparison Pycnometer
Test Facility Harlan (2011a)

Vapour Pressure < 4.7 x 10⁻⁸ kPa at 25°C

Method OECD TG 104 Vapour Pressure.
EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 A.4 Vapour Pressure.
Remarks Determined by Vapour Pressure Balance
Test Facility Harlan (2011b)

Dissociation Constant Expected to be ionised under environmental conditions

Method The notified chemical contains functionalities with overlapping dissociation constants, making direct measurement of its dissociation constants impractical. The notifier provided pKa values for the free acid form of the notified chemical. The estimation was performed using Advanced Chemistry Development I-lab Web Service, in lieu of measured values.
Remarks The notified chemical contains basic groups with calculated pKa values of 14.5, 14.6, 6.5, 2.5, 0.8 and less than 0, one acidic group with a calculated pKa value of less than 0. DSEWPac notes that the notified chemical is a salt which is expected to be ionised under environmental conditions.
Test Facility Harlan (2011a)

Particle Size

Method OECD TG 110 Particle Size Distribution/Fibre Length and Diameter Distributions.

| <i>Range (µm)</i> | <i>Mass (%)</i> |
|-------------------|-----------------|
| < 100 | 0.984 |

Remarks The notified chemical is considered to be essentially non-inhalable.
Test Facility Harlan (2011 a)

Flammability Not highly flammable

Method EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 A.10 Flammability (Solids).
Remarks In a preliminary screening test the test substance did not ignite when a flame was applied for 2 minutes.
Test Facility Harlan (2011b)

Autoignition Temperature 333°C

Method EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 A.16 Relative Self-Ignition Temperature for Solids.
Remarks The temperature of the test item reached 400°C at an oven temperature of 333°C.
Test Facility Harlan (2011b)

APPENDIX B: TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

B.1. Acute toxicity – oral

| | | | |
|-------------------|---|----------------------|------------------|
| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical | | |
| METHOD | OECD TG 420 Acute Oral Toxicity – Fixed Dose Procedure. EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 B.1 bis Acute toxicity (oral) fixed dose method. | | |
| Species/Strain | Rat/Wistar | | |
| Vehicle | Distilled water | | |
| Remarks - Method | No significant protocol deviations | | |
| RESULTS | | | |
| <i>Group</i> | <i>Number and Sex of Animals</i> | <i>Dose mg/kg bw</i> | <i>Mortality</i> |
| 1 | 1 Female | 2000 | 0/1 |
| 2 | 4 Female | 2000 | 0/4 |
| LD50 | > 2000 mg/kg bw | | |
| Signs of Toxicity | There were no signs of systemic toxicity. Pink coloured staining of the fur was noted in four animals. | | |
| Effects in Organs | No abnormalities were detected in the organs. | | |
| Remarks - Results | All animals showed expected gains in bodyweight. | | |
| CONCLUSION | The notified chemical is of low toxicity via the oral route. | | |
| TEST FACILITY | Harlan (2011c) | | |

B.2. Genotoxicity – bacteria

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical |
| METHOD | OECD TG 471 Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test. EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 B.13/14 Mutagenicity- Reverse Mutation Test using Bacteria Plate incorporation procedure (Test 1) and Pre incubation procedure (Test 2) |
| Species/Strain | <i>S. typhimurium</i> : TA1535, TA1537, TA98, TA100 <i>E. coli</i> : WP2uvrA |
| Metabolic Activation System | S9 fraction from rat liver induced with phenobarbitone/β-naphthoflavone |
| Concentration Range in Main Test | a) With metabolic activation: 50-5000 µg/plate b) Without metabolic activation: 50-5000 µg/plate |
| Vehicle | Sterile distilled water, test substance administered as solution |
| Remarks - Method | No significant protocol deviations |
| RESULTS | |
| <i>Metabolic Activation</i> | <i>Test Substance Concentration (µg/plate) Resulting in:</i> <i>Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test</i> <i>Cytotoxicity in Main Test</i> <i>Precipitation</i> <i>Genotoxic Effect</i> |
| <i>Absent</i> | |
| Test 1 | > 5000 > 5000 > 5000 negative |
| Test 2 | > 5000 > 5000 > 5000 negative |
| <i>Present</i> | |
| Test 1 | > 5000 > 5000 > 5000 negative |
| Test 2 | > 5000 > 5000 > 5000 negative |
| Remarks - Results | The test item did not cause any visible reduction in the growth of the |

bacterial background lawn at any dosage levels and was, therefore, tested up to the maximum recommended dose level of 5000 µg/plate. A pink test item induced colouration was observed at and above 50 µg/plate. Although this observation did not prevent scoring, manual counts were performed at 5000 µg/plate because of the intense colouration. No test item precipitate was observed on the plates at any of the doses tested in either the presence or absence of metabolic activation.

There were no significant increases in the frequency of revertant colonies for any bacterial strains, at any dose level either with or without metabolic activation or exposure method.

All of the positive control chemicals used in the test induced marked increases in the frequency of revertant colonies thus confirming the activity of the S9- mix and the sensitivity of the bacterial strains.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical was not mutagenic to bacteria under the conditions of the test.

TEST FACILITY Harlan (2011d)

B.3. Genotoxicity – in vitro

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 473 In vitro Mammalian Chromosome Aberration Test.
EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 B.13/14 Mutagenicity- Reverse Mutation Test using Bacteria

Species/Strain Human
Cell Type/Cell Line Lymphocytes
Metabolic Activation System S9 fraction from rat liver induced with phenobarbitone/β-naphthoflavone
Vehicle Eagle's minimal essential medium (MEM)
Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations

| <i>Metabolic Activation</i> | <i>Test Substance Concentration (µg/mL)</i> | <i>Exposure Period</i> | <i>Harvest Time</i> |
|-----------------------------|--|------------------------|---------------------|
| <i>Absent</i> | | | |
| Test 1 | 0*, 156.25, 312.5, 625, 1250*, 2500*, 5000* | 4h | 24h |
| Test 2 | 0*, 156.25, 312.5, 625*, 1250*, 2500*, 5000* | 24h | 24h |
| <i>Present</i> | | | |
| Test 1 | 0*, 156.25, 312.5, 625, 1250*, 2500*, 5000* | 4h | 24h |
| Test 2 | 0*, 156.25, 312.5, 625*, 1250*, 2500*, 5000* | 4h | 24h |

*Cultures selected for metaphase analysis.

RESULTS

| <i>Metabolic Activation</i> | <i>Test Substance Concentration (µg/mL) Resulting in:</i> | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| | <i>Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test</i> | <i>Cytotoxicity in Main Test</i> | <i>Precipitation</i> | <i>Genotoxic Effect</i> |
| <i>Absent</i> | | | | |
| Test 1 | > 5000 | > 5000 | > 5000 | negative |
| Test 2 | ≥ 2500 | ≥ 2500 | > 5000 | negative |
| <i>Present</i> | | | | |
| Test 1 | > 5000 | > 5000 | > 5000 | negative |
| Test 2 | | > 5000 | > 5000 | negative |

Remarks - Results A precipitate of the test substance was not observed in any dose group, however magenta colouration was observed at all dose levels in all exposure groups. In the main test, growth inhibition was achieved at 2500

(53%) and 5000 (55%) µg/mL in the 24-hour exposure without metabolic activation only. This was comparable to that seen in the preliminary toxicity test.

The test substance did not induce any statistically significant increases in the frequency of cells with aberrations in the presence or absence of metabolic activation in any exposure group.

All of the vehicle control cultures had frequencies of cells with chromosome aberrations within the expected range. The positive control items induced statistically significant increases in the frequency of cells with aberrations. The metabolic activation system was therefore shown to be functional and the test method itself was operating as expected.

CONCLUSION

The notified chemical was not clastogenic to human lymphocytes treated *in vitro* under the conditions of the test.

TEST FACILITY

Harlan (2011e)

APPENDIX C: ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND ECOTOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

C.1. Environmental Fate

C.1.1. Ready biodegradability

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical |
| METHOD | OECD TG 301 C Ready Biodegradability: Modified MITI Test (I). |
| Inoculum | Activated sludge |
| Exposure Period | 28 days |
| Auxiliary Solvent | None |
| Analytical Monitoring | Closed system oxygen consumption measuring apparatus to determine Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) Total organic carbon analysis method for the measurement of Dissolved Organic Carbon measurement (DOC) HPLC for the measurement of test substance |
| Remarks - Method | Conducted according to the guidelines above with no significant deviations to the protocol. |

RESULTS

| <i>Test substance</i> | | <i>Aniline</i> | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| <i>Day</i> | <i>% Degradation(BOD)</i> | <i>Day</i> | <i>% Degradation (BOD)</i> |
| 7 | 1.7 | 7 | 59 |
| 14 | 4 | 14 | 70 |
| 21 | 3 | 21 | 71 |
| 28 | 5 | 28 | 74 |

Remarks - Results All validity criteria for the test were satisfied. Degradability results based on BOD, DOC and residual test substance amount measurements were 5%, 3% and 0% after 28 days, respectively. No transformation product was generated under the conditions of test.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is not readily biodegradable.

TEST FACILITY CERi Kurume (2011)

C.1.2. Bioaccumulation

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical |
| METHOD | OECD TG 305 Bioconcentration: Flow-through Fish Test. |
| Species | Carp (<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>) |
| Exposure Period | Exposure: 28 days |
| Auxiliary Solvent | None |
| Concentration Range | Nominal: 1 and 0.1 mg/L Actual: 0.96 and 0.098 mg/L |
| Analytical Monitoring | Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry |
| Remarks - Method | Conducted according to the guidelines above with no significant deviations to the protocol. |

RESULTS

Bioconcentration Factor At high exposure level of 1 mg/L: BCF < 0.67-2.6
At low exposure level of 0.1 mg/L: BCF < 8.8

Remarks - Results The change in fish lipid contents (33%) was higher than the criteria value of 25% after the completion of experiment. However, it is not expected to have significant influence on the bioaccumulation assessment since no abnormal appearance or behaviour was observed for the tested fishes. All

other validity criteria for the test were satisfied.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical has low potential for bioaccumulation.

TEST FACILITY CERI Kurume (2012)

C.2. Ecotoxicological Investigations

C.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD Japanese Industrial Standard , JIS K 0102-2010-71, –Semi static

Species Orange-red killifish (*Oryzias latipes*)

Exposure Period 96 hours

Auxiliary Solvent None

Water Hardness Not measured

Analytical Monitoring Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry

Remarks – Method A limit test was conducted according to the guidelines above with no significant deviations from the protocol. Test solutions were changed every 24 hours.

RESULTS

| Concentration mg/L | | Number of Fish | Mortality (%) | | | |
|--------------------|--------|----------------|---------------|------|------|------|
| Nominal | Actual | | 24 h | 48 h | 72 h | 96 h |
| 0 | - | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 200 | - | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

LC50 > 200 mg/L at 96 hours.

NOEC (or LOEC) Not determined

Remarks – Results All validity criteria for the test were satisfied.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is not harmful to fish

TEST FACILITY CERI Kurume (2012)

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- CERI Kurume (2011) Biodegradation study of [notified chemical] (Study No. 15659, 26 August 2011). Kurumeshi, Fukuoka, Japan, Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute, Hodogaya Chemical Co. Ltd. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- CERI Kurume (2012) Bioconcentration study of [notified chemical] (Study No. 45660, 20 January 2011). Kurume-shi, Fukuoka, Japan, Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute, Hodogaya Chemical Co. Ltd. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Harlan (2011a) Determination of General Physico-Chemical Properties (Project No. 41100181, July, 2011). Derbyshire, U.K., Harlan Laboratories Limited (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Harlan (2011b) Determination of Hazardous Physico-Chemical Properties (Project No. 41100182, May, 2011). Derbyshire, U.K., Harlan Laboratories Limited (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Harlan (2011c) Acute Oral Toxicity in the Rat- Fixed Dose Method (Project No. 41100183, July, 2011). Derbyshire, U.K., Harlan Laboratories Limited (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Harlan (2011d) Reverse Mutation Assay "AMES TEST" using Salmonella typhimurium and Escherichia coli (Project No. 41100184, July, 2011). Derbyshire, U.K., Harlan Laboratories Limited (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Harlan (2011e) Chromosome Aberration Test in Human Lymphocytes in vitro (Project No. 41100185, July, 2011). Derbyshire, U.K., Harlan Laboratories Limited (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- NOHSC (2004) Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances, 3rd edition [NOHSC:1008(2004)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, AusInfo.
- United Nations (2009) Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS), 3rd revised edition. United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UN/ECE), <http://www.unece.org/trans/danger/publi/ghs/ghs_rev03/03files_e.html>.