File No: STD/1454

April 2013

NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS NOTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT SCHEME (NICNAS)

PUBLIC REPORT

Ebecryl® 3702 radiation curing resins

This Assessment has been compiled in accordance with the provisions of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act 1989* (Cwlth) (the Act) and Regulations. This legislation is an Act of the Commonwealth of Australia. The National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) is administered by the Department of Health and Ageing, and conducts the risk assessment for public health and occupational health and safety. The assessment of environmental risk is conducted by the Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities.

For the purposes of subsection 78(1) of the Act, this Public Report may be inspected at our NICNAS office by appointment only at Level 7, 260 Elizabeth Street, Surry Hills NSW 2010.

This Public Report is also available for viewing and downloading from the NICNAS website or available on request, free of charge, by contacting NICNAS. For requests and enquiries please contact the NICNAS Administration Coordinator at:

Street Address: Level 7, 260 Elizabeth Street, SURRY HILLS NSW 2010, AUSTRALIA.

Postal Address: GPO Box 58, SYDNEY NSW 2001, AUSTRALIA.

TEL: + 61 2 8577 8800 FAX + 61 2 8577 8888 Website: www.nicnas.gov.au

Director NICNAS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY	3
CONCLUSIONS AND REGULATORY OBLIGATIONS	3
ASSESSMENT DETAILS	5
1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS	5
2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL	5
3. COMPOSITION	
4. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES	6
5. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION	6
6. HUMAN HEALTH IMPLICATIONS	7
6.1. Exposure Assessment	7
6.1.1. Occupational Exposure	7
6.1.2. Public Exposure	8
6.2. Human Health Effects Assessment	8
6.3. Human Health Risk Characterisation	9
6.3.1. Occupational Health and Safety	9
6.3.2. Public Health	
7. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS	9
7.1. Environmental Exposure & Fate Assessment	9
7.1.1. Environmental Exposure	9
7.1.2. Environmental Fate	
7.1.3. Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC)	10
7.2. Environmental Effects Assessment	
7.2.1. Predicted No-Effect Concentration	11
7.3. Environmental Risk Assessment	
APPENDIX A: PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES	13
APPENDIX B: TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS	15
B.1. Acute toxicity – oral	15
B.2. Acute toxicity – dermal	
B.3. Irritation – skin	16
B.4. Irritation – eye	
B.5. Skin sensitisation – mouse local lymph node assay (LLNA)	
B.6. Repeat dose toxicity	
B.7. Genotoxicity – bacteria	
B.8. Genotoxicity – in vitro	
APPENDIX C: ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND ECOTOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS	
C.1. Environmental Fate	
C.1.1. Ready biodegradability	
C.2. Ecotoxicological Investigations	
C.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish	
C.2.2. Acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates	
C.2.3. Algal growth inhibition test	
BIBLIOGRAPHY	26

SUMMARY

The following details will be published in the NICNAS Chemical Gazette:

ASSESSMENT REFERENCE	APPLICANT(S)	CHEMICAL OR TRADE NAME	HAZARDOUS CHEMICAL	INTRODUCTION VOLUME	USE
STD/1454	Cytec Australia Holdings Pty Ltd Prysmian Telecom Cables and Systems Australia Pty Ltd Australian Optical Fibre	Ebecryl® 3702 radiation curing resins	Yes	≤ 115 tonnes per annum	Component of industrial inks and coatings

^{*}ND = not determined

CONCLUSIONS AND REGULATORY OBLIGATIONS

Hazard classification

Based on the available information, the notified polymer is recommended for hazard classification according to the Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS), as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia. The recommended hazard classification is presented in the table below.

Hazard classification	Hazard statement		
Skin Sensitisation (Category 1)	H317 - May cause an allergic skin reaction		

Based on the available information, the notified polymer is recommended for hazard classification according to the Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances (NOHSC, 2004) with the following risk phrase: R43 May cause sensitisation by skin contact

Human health risk assessment

Provided that the recommended control measures are in place to minimise exposure to the notified polymer (or solutions containing the notified polymer), the notified polymer is not considered to pose an unreasonable health risk to workers.

When used in the proposed manner, the notified polymer is not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to public health.

Environmental risk assessment

On the basis of its assessed use pattern, the notified polymer is not expected to cause any unreasonable risk to the aquatic environment.

Recommendations

REGULATORY CONTROLS

Hazard Classification and Labelling

- The notified polymer should be classified as follows:
 - Skin Sensitisation (Category 1): H317 May cause an allergic skin reaction
- The following should be used for products/mixtures containing the notified polymer:
 - Conc. > 1%: H317

Health Surveillance

As the notified polymer is a skin sensitiser, employers should carry out health surveillance for any
worker who has been identified in the workplace risk assessment as having a significant risk of skin
sensitisation.

CONTROL MEASURES

Occupational Health and Safety

- A person conducting a business or undertaking at a workplace should implement the following isolation and engineering controls to minimise occupational exposure to the notified polymer, as introduced and/or in uncured form:
 - Enclosed, automated processes, where possible
 - Exhaust ventilation
- A person conducting a business or undertaking at a workplace should implement the following safe work practices to minimise occupational exposure during handling of the notified polymer as introduced and/or in uncured form:
 - Avoid contact with skin
- A person conducting a business or undertaking at a workplace should ensure that the following personal
 protective equipment is used by workers to minimise occupational exposure to the notified polymer as
 introduced and/or in uncured form:
 - Coveralls, impervious gloves

Guidance in selection of personal protective equipment can be obtained from Australian, Australian/New Zealand or other approved standards.

- A copy of the (M)SDS should be easily accessible to employees.
- If products and mixtures containing the notified polymer are classified as hazardous to health in accordance with the *Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals* (GHS) as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia, workplace practices and control procedures consistent with provisions of State and Territory hazardous substances legislation should be in operation.

Disposal

• The notified polymer should be disposed of to landfill.

Storage

• The handling and storage of the notified polymer should be in accordance with the Safe Work Australia Code of Practice for *Managing Risks of Hazardous Chemicals in the Workplace* (SWA, 2012) or relevant State or Territory Code of Practice.

Emergency procedures

• Spills or accidental release of the notified polymer should be handled by physical containment, collection and subsequently safe disposal.

Regulatory Obligations

Secondary Notification

This risk assessment is based on the information available at the time of notification. The Director may call for the reassessment of the chemical under secondary notification provisions based on changes in certain circumstances. Under Section 64 of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act (1989)* the notifier, as well as any other importer or manufacturer of the notified chemical, have post-assessment regulatory obligations to notify NICNAS when any of these circumstances change. These obligations apply even when the notified polymer is listed on the Australian Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS).

Therefore, the Director of NICNAS must be notified in writing within 28 days by the notifier, other importer or manufacturer:

- (1) Under Section 64(2) of the Act; if
 - the function or use of the polymer has changed from a component of industrial inks and coatings, or is likely to change significantly;
 - the amount of polymer being introduced has increased from 115 tonnes per annum, or is likely to increase, significantly;
 - the polymer has begun to be manufactured in Australia;
 - additional information has become available to the person as to an adverse effect of the polymer on occupational health and safety, public health, or the environment.

The Director will then decide whether a reassessment (i.e. a secondary notification and assessment) is required.

(Material) Safety Data Sheet

The (M)SDS of the notified polymer provided by the notifier was reviewed by NICNAS. The accuracy of the information on the (M)SDS remains the responsibility of the applicant.

ASSESSMENT DETAILS

1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS

APPLICANTS

Cytec Australia Holdings Pty Ltd (ABN: 45 081 148 629) Suite 1, Level 1 Norwest Quay, 21 Solent Circuit Norwest Business Park BAULKHAM HILLS NSW 2153

Prysmian Telecom Cables and Systems Australia Pty Ltd (ABN: 14 001 313 551) 4 Thew Parade

DEE WHY NSW 2099

Australian Optical Fibre Agencies (ABN: 88 891 187 886) 10 Woodview Court WHEELERS HILL VIC 3150

NOTIFICATION CATEGORY

Standard: Synthetic polymer with Mn < 1000 Da (more than 1 tonne per year).

EXEMPT INFORMATION (SECTION 75 OF THE ACT)

Data items and details claimed exempt from publication: chemical name, other names, CAS number, molecular and structural formulae, molecular weight, analytical data, degree of purity, polymer constituents, residual monomers, impurities, additives/adjuvants and import volume.

VARIATION OF DATA REQUIREMENTS (SECTION 24 OF THE ACT)

Variation to the schedule of data requirements is claimed as follows: dissociation constant, particle size, flash point, acute inhalation toxicity, genotoxic damage in vivo.

PREVIOUS NOTIFICATION IN AUSTRALIA BY APPLICANT(S) None

NOTIFICATION IN OTHER COUNTRIES None

2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL

MARKETING NAME(S)
Ebecryl® 3702 radiation curing resins
Ebecryl® EB 3702
Cablelite 6D4-xx color (products containing the notified polymer)

MOLECULAR WEIGHT > 500 Da

ANALYTICAL DATA

Reference IR and GPC spectra were provided.

3. COMPOSITION

DEGREE OF PURITY > 95%

4. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

APPEARANCE AT 20 °C AND 101.3 kPa: Clear green to brown highly viscous liquid.

Property	Value	Data Source/Justification
Glass Transition Point	-9 °C	Measured
Boiling Point	Decomposition observed from 225 °C	Measured
Density	$1.15 \times 10^3 \text{ kg/m}^3 \text{ at } 20 ^{\circ}\text{C}$	Measured
Vapour Pressure	$< 6.5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ kPa at } 25 ^{\circ}\text{C}$	Measured
Water Solubility	Not determined	Expected to have limited solubility based on its predominantly
		hydrophobic structure
Hydrolysis as a Function of pH	Not determined	Contains hydrolysable functional
		groups. However, significant hydrolysis is not expected due to
		its limited water solubility.
Partition Coefficient	$\log Pow = 3.0 \text{ at } 35 ^{\circ}\text{C}$	Measured
(n-octanol/water)	(main component, 71%)	
Adsorption/Desorption	log Koc = 3.52 at 35 °C (main component, 73%)	Measured
Dissociation Constant	Not determined	Not expected to be ionised under
Dissociation Constant	1 vot determined	environmental conditions (pH 4-9)
		as the notified polymer does not
		contain readily dissociable
		functionalities
Flammability	Not highly flammable in contact with	Measured/Estimated based on
ž	water or pyrophoric	chemical structure
Autoignition Temperature	465 °C at 101.77-104.04 kPa	Measured
Explosive Properties	Predicted negative	Estimated based on chemical
-	-	structure
Oxidising Properties	Predicted negative	Estimated based on chemical
		structure

DISCUSSION OF PROPERTIES

For full details of tests on physical and chemical properties, refer to Appendix A.

Reactivity

The notified polymer contains acrylate groups which may undergo crosslinking when exposed to intense ultraviolet (UV) light or an electron beam (EB).

Physical hazard classification

Based on the submitted physico-chemical data depicted in the above table, the notified polymer is not recommended for hazard classification according to the *Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)*, as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia.

5. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION

Mode of Introduction of Notified Chemical (100%) Over Next 5 Years

The notified polymer will be imported at 100% concentration and also as a component (≤ 50%) of ink and

optical fibre cables coating formulations.

MAXIMUM INTRODUCTION VOLUME OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

Year	1	2	3	4	5
Tonnes	≤ 25	≤ 25	≤ 25	≤ 115	≤ 115

PORT OF ENTRY

Sydney and Melbourne

IDENTITY OF MANUFACTURER/RECIPIENTS

Cytec Australia Holdings Pty Ltd

Prysmian Telecom Cables and Systems Australia Pty Ltd

Australian Optical Fibre Agencies

TRANSPORTATION AND PACKAGING

The notified polymer may be imported at 100% concentration in 205 L lined steel drums for local reformulation into ink products. Alternatively, the notified polymer may be imported as a component ($\leq 50\%$) of finished inks in 5 kg bottles or 10 kg plastic buckets or as a component (< 18%) of coatings in 1 L glass bottles. The products containing the notified polymer will be transported from the port of entry by road to either the notifier's or the end-users' warehouse facilities.

USE

Component ($\leq 50\%$) in UV/EB curable lithographic and flexographic printing inks (≤ 15 tonnes per annum of the proposed maximum introduction volume) and a component (< 18%) in UV curable coatings to be applied to fibre optic cables for the telecommunication industry (remainder of the proposed introduction volume).

OPERATION DESCRIPTION

For use as a component in UV/EB curable lithographic and flexographic printing inks:

At reformulation sites, metering pumps will be used to transfer the notified polymer (100% concentration) from the import containers into sealable mixing vessels. Therein, the notified polymer will be mixed with other ingredients. The sealed mixing vessels will be fitted with a high-speed mixer and local exhaust ventilation systems. The resulting ink containing the notified polymer ($\leq 50\%$) will be filtered under exhaust ventilation prior to being dispensed into 5 kg bottles or 10 kg plastic buckets for supply to printing houses.

At end-use sites, the ink bottles will be manually connected to the printing machines via an inlet and attached to a flexible tube which will supply the ink heads. The inks will be automatically injected into printing machines. After printing, the notified polymer will be fixed (UV or EB-cured) with other ink ingredients onto the substrate (e.g. vinyl) matrix. Exhaust ventilation will be fitted to the commercial printing machines.

For use as a component in UV curable coatings to be applied to fibre optic cables:

At end-use sites, a closed pressure hose system will be used to transfer the coatings containing the notified polymer (< 18%) to a specialised machine equipped with vacuum and exhaust functions and with sealed dies. Therein, glass fibre cables will be run through the system and will be sequentially coated with a formulation containing the notified polymer and exposed to a UV light source that will cure and in so doing harden the coating. During the automated operation process, the coating system will be fully closed. At the completion of the process, the coating die will be cleaned using solvents.

6. HUMAN HEALTH IMPLICATIONS

6.1. Exposure Assessment

6.1.1. Occupational Exposure

EXPOSURE DETAILS

It is anticipated that transport and warehouse/stores personnel would only be exposed to the notified polymer in the event of an accident.

For use as a component in UV/EB curable lithographic and flexographic printing inks:

Dermal and ocular exposure to the notified polymer at concentrations of $\leq 100\%$ is possible during the

reformulation processes (e.g. during transfer processes, quality control analysis and cleaning and maintenance tasks). Exposure is expected to be limited by the use of enclosed/automated systems and the use of personal protective equipment (PPE), including gloves, protective clothing and safety glasses. Inhalation exposure to the notified polymer is not expected, as the notified polymer has a low vapour pressure ($< 6.5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ kPa}$ at 25 °C) and both the mixing vessel and filtration equipment will be fitted with exhaust lines.

Dermal and ocular exposure to the notified polymer at concentrations of \leq 50% is possible at end-use sites (e.g. during transfer processes and cleaning and maintenance tasks). Exposure is expected to be limited by the use of largely automated/enclosed systems and the use of PPE, including gloves, protective clothing and safety glasses.

Workers may be exposed to materials that have been printed with products containing the notified polymer, however, after curing the notified polymer will be bound within a polymer matrix and will not be bioavailable.

For use as a component in UV curable coatings to be applied to fibre optic cables:

Dermal and ocular exposure to the imported products containing the notified polymer at concentrations of < 18% is possible during the connection and disconnection of hoses and during cleaning/maintenance tasks. Exposure is expected to be limited by the use of enclosed/automated processes and the use of PPE, including gloves, protective clothing and safety glasses. Inhalation exposure to the notified polymer is not expected as the notified polymer has a low vapour pressure and the coating machine will be fitted with exhaust lines.

Workers may be exposed to cables that have been coated with products containing the notified polymer, however, after curing the notified polymer will be bound within a polymer matrix and will not be bioavailable.

6.1.2. Public Exposure

The notified polymer is intended for industrial use only, and will not be available to the public. Direct exposure would therefore not be expected.

Members of the public are unlikely to experience dermal exposure to cables coated with products containing the notified polymer, but may be exposed to printed materials containing the notified polymer. However, in such products, the notified polymer will be bound within a polymer matrix and will not be bioavailable.

6.2. Human Health Effects Assessment

The results from toxicological investigations conducted on the notified polymer are summarised in the following table. For full details of the studies, refer to Appendix B.

Endpoint	Result and Assessment Conclusion
Rat, acute oral toxicity	LD50 > 2000 mg/kg bw; low toxicity
Rat, acute dermal toxicity	LD50 > 2000 mg/kg bw; low toxicity
Rabbit, skin irritation	slightly irritating
Rabbit, eye irritation	slightly irritating
Mouse, skin sensitisation – Local lymph node assay	evidence of sensitisation
Rat, repeat dose oral toxicity – 28 days.	NOAEL 300 mg/kg bw/day
Mutagenicity – bacterial reverse mutation	non mutagenic
Genotoxicity - in vitro mammalian chromosome	non genotoxic
aberration test	

Toxicokinetics, metabolism and distribution.

While passive diffusion of the notified polymer across the gastrointestinal tract and dermal absorption may occur, the extent of absorption may be limited by the molecular weight of the notified polymer (> 500 Da). The expected absorption is supported by the observations of adverse effects that were noted in animal studies following oral and dermal exposure to the notified chemical.

Acute toxicity.

The notified polymer was found to be of low acute toxicity via the oral and dermal routes based on tests conducted in rats.

No acute inhalation toxicity data were provided for the notified polymer.

Irritation and sensitisation.

The notified polymer was found to be slightly irritating to the skin and eyes of rabbits. However, the effects did not warrant classification of the chemical as a skin irritant (slight erythema of the skin noted < 24 hours after patch removal and slight conjunctival effects noted at observations < 48 hours post-instillation of the test substance).

In an LLNA study in mice, there was evidence of induction of a lymphocyte proliferative response indicative of skin sensitisation to the notified polymer (stimulation indices of 4.2, 7.2 and 15.0 at 10, 25 and 50%, respectively).

Repeated Dose Toxicity.

The notified polymer was administered orally (gavage) to rats for a period of 28 consecutive days at dose levels of 1000, 300 and 100 mg/kg bw/day. Effects were observed in animals treated at 300 mg/kg bw/day and above, with the combination of effects (e.g. higher bile acids levels) observed at 1000 mg/kg bw/day considered by the study authors to be adverse in nature. Therefore, the No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) was established by the study authors as 300 mg/kg bw/day.

Mutagenicity/Genotoxicity.

The notified polymer was not mutagenic in a bacterial reverse mutation test, and was not clastogenic to human peripheral lymphocytes *in vitro*.

Health hazard classification

Based on the available information, the notified polymer is recommended for hazard classification according to the *Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)*, as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia. The recommended hazard classification is presented in the following table.

Hazard classification	Hazard statement
Skin Sensitisation (Category 1)	H317 – May cause an allergic skin reaction

Based on the available information, the notified polymer is recommended for hazard classification according to the *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC, 2004), with the following risk phrase:

R43 May cause sensitisation by skin contact

6.3. Human Health Risk Characterisation

6.3.1. Occupational Health and Safety

Dermal and ocular exposure to the notified polymer, at concentrations $\leq 100\%$, by workers may occur during reformulation and end-use processes. As the notified chemical may cause sensitisation by skin contact, caution should be exercised when handling the notified polymer or solutions containing the notified polymer.

In all uses, after articles printed or coated with formulations containing the notified polymer have been cured, the notified polymer will be bound within a polymer matrix and will not be bioavailable.

Therefore, provided that control measures are in place to minimise worker exposure to the notified polymer (or solutions containing the notified polymer), including the use of automated processes and PPE, the use of the notified polymer is not considered to pose an unreasonable health risk to workers.

6.3.2. Public Health

The notified polymer is intended to be used in industrial settings only. Articles upon which the notified polymer has been printed/coated may be available to the public. However, the notified polymer will be bound within a polymer matrix and will not be bioavailable. Therefore, under the proposed use scenario, the risk to the public is not considered to be unreasonable.

7. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

7.1. Environmental Exposure & Fate Assessment

7.1.1. Environmental Exposure

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL AT SITE

The notified polymer may be imported for local reformulation into ink products or as a component of finished inks/coatings. An estimated 2% of the annual import volume of notified polymer may be lost as result of accidental spills from damaged import containers and during reformulation into ink products. The spillages are expected to be collected using suitable materials and disposed of to landfill. Notified polymer contained in residues (1%) in empty import containers is expected to be disposed of to landfill or treated properly in the drum recycling processes.

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM USE

For use as a component in UV/EB curable lithographic and flexographic printing inks (\leq 15 tonnes):

The majority of notified polymer will be UV-cured and the resultant polymers are expected to be stable within an inert matrix on the printed substrates. Release of the notified polymer to the environment may come from ink spills and wash-downs of printing equipment. Any spills of the notified polymer are expected to be contained, collected with inert material and disposed of in accordance with local regulations. A maximum of 3% of ink was estimated by the notifier to be released to sewer from equipment cleaning.

For use as a component in UV curable coatings to be applied to fibre optic cables (≤ 100 tonnes):

At the industry coating sites, the coating products containing the notified polymer will be transferred to a specialised machine via a closed pressure hose. The coating will be pushed via an enclosed system to a pressurised applicator through which glass fibre cable runs and be sequentially coated. The coated glass fibre cable will pass through a UV light source where the coating is cured. Release of the notified polymer to the environment will be negligible as the coating system will be fully enclosed and the operation automated. Waste from accidental spills during the cleaning and shutdown production process, and from the cleaning of the application equipment, is expected to be contained, collected and disposed of according to the local regulations.

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM DISPOSAL

The majority of the notified polymer is expected to be irreversibly incorporated into the inert polymeric matrix adhering to printed substrates (vinyl, canvas, plastic packaging and paper) or coated substrates (fibre optic cable). At the end of their useful life, these articles are expected to be disposed of to landfill. Up to 20% of the ink products is expected to be printed onto paper. It is assumed that half of the used paper will be disposed of to landfill and half will undergo paper recycling processes. Remaining residues of the notified polymer in empty containers are expected to account for 1% of the total import volume and are expected to be disposed of to landfill.

7.1.2. Environmental Fate

The majority of the notified polymer is expected to be disposed of to landfill along with the printed or coated articles. Notified polymer applied to these articles will be UV/EB cured and in this form is not expected to be bioavailable. Approximately half of the paper to which the ink containing the notified polymer is applied to will be recycled. During recycling processes, waste paper will be repulped using a variety of chemical agents, which, amongst other things, will enhance detachment of inks from the fibres. However, the notified polymer will be UV/EB cured into the ink matrix and is unlikely to be released into the supernatant waters during recycling processes. The majority of the cured notified polymer is anticipated to adsorb to sludge and sediment. Uncured notified polymer released to sewer from equipment cleaning processes is expected to predominately adsorb to sludge due to its non-ionic properties. Sludge containing notified polymer residues may be disposed of to landfill or applied to agricultural soil. The notified polymer is not readily biodegradable (0% biodegradability over 28 days). However, significant bioaccumulation is unlikely based on its use pattern (limited release to aquatic environment) and low n-octanol/water partition coefficient (log Pow = 3 (main component, 71% of the notified polymer)). The notified polymer will eventually degrade in landfill or soil to form water and oxides of carbon. For the details of the environmental fate studies please refer to Appendix C.

7.1.3. Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC)

It is reported by the notifier that up to 15 tonnes of notified polymer may be used for ink products and 3% of ink containing the notified polymer is estimated to be released to sewer from the cleaning of printing equipment. The following predicted environmental concentrations (PEC) for ocean and river waters have been calculated assuming that 3% of the notified polymer will reach the aquatic compartment from the cleaning of equipment. For a worst case scenario, it was assumed that the release of the notified polymer occurred over 260 days per annum, corresponding to release only on working days, with no removal of the notified polymer in the sewage treatment plants (STPs).

Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC) for the Aquatic Compartment					
Total Annual Import/Manufactured Volume	≤ 15000	kg/year			
Proportion expected to be released to sewer	3%				
Annual quantity of chemical released to sewer	450	kg/year			
Days per year where release occurs	260	days/year			
Daily chemical release:	1.73	kg/day			
Water use	200	L/person/day			
Population of Australia (Millions)	22.613	million			
Removal within STP	0%				
Daily effluent production:	4523	ML			
Dilution Factor - River	1.0				
Dilution Factor - Ocean	10.0				
PEC - River:	\leq 0.38	μg/L			
PEC - Ocean:	≤ 0.04	μg/L			

STP effluent re-use for irrigation occurs throughout Australia. The agricultural irrigation application rate is assumed to be $1000 \, \text{L/m}^2/\text{year}$ ($10 \, \text{ML/ha/year}$). The notified polymer in this volume is assumed to infiltrate and accumulate in the top $10 \, \text{cm}$ of soil (density $1500 \, \text{kg/m}^3$). Using these assumptions, irrigation with a concentration of $0.383 \, \mu\text{g/L}$ may potentially result in a soil concentration of approximately $2.55 \, \mu\text{g/kg}$. Assuming accumulation of the notified polymer in soil for 5 and 10 years under repeated irrigation, the concentration of notified polymer the applied soil in 5 and 10 years may be approximately $12.76 \, \mu\text{g/kg}$ and $25.51 \, \mu\text{g/kg}$, respectively.

7.2. Environmental Effects Assessment

The results from ecotoxicological investigations conducted on the notified polymer are summarised in the table below. Details of these studies can be found in Appendix C.

Endpoint	Result	Assessment Conclusion
Fish Toxicity	LC50 (96 h) > 0.11 mg/L	Not harmful to fish up to the limit of its water
		solubility
Daphnia Toxicity	EC50 (48 h) > 0.16 mg/L	Not harmful to aquatic invertebrates up to the
		limit of its water solubility
Algal Toxicity	ErC50 (72 h) > 6.8 mg/L	Not harmful to algae up to the limit of its water
	, ,	solubility

Classification should only be based on toxic responses observed in the soluble range and, therefore, the notified polymer cannot be formally classified under the Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS) (United Nations, 2009).

7.2.1. Predicted No-Effect Concentration

A predicted no-effect of concentration (PNEC) for the aquatic compartment has not been calculated, as the notified polymer is not harmful up to the limit of its solubility in water and the potential for release to the aquatic environment is low.

7.3. Environmental Risk Assessment

The risk quotient (RQ = PEC/PNEC) has not been calculated, since the notified polymer is not harmful up to the limit of its water solubility and therefore the PNEC value is not attainable. The majority of the notified polymer will eventually be disposed to landfill following its use in industrial coatings and inks. In its cured state, the notified polymer will be irreversibly bound into an inert matrix and is unlikely to leach or be bioavailable. Based on its assessed use pattern and the absence of any significant acute toxicity effects to

species from 3 aquatic trophic levels, the notified polymer is not expected to cause an unreasonable risk to the aquatic environment.

APPENDIX A: PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

Glass Transition Point

-9 °C

Method OECD TG 102 Melting Point/Melting Range.

Remarks Determined using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC).

Test Facility NOTOX (2012a)

Boiling Point Decomposition observed from 225 °C

Method OECD TG 103 Boiling Point.

Remarks Determined using DSC. From 225 °C, a strong exothermic effect was noted, which the

study authors noted to be due to decomposition and/or reaction of the test substance.

Test Facility NOTOX (2012a)

Density $1.15 \times 10^3 \text{ kg/m}^3 \text{ at } 20 \text{ °C}$

Method OECD TG 109 Density of Liquids and Solids.

Remarks Determined using a gas comparison pycnometer.

Test Facility NOTOX (2012a)

Vapour Pressure

 $< 6.5 \text{ x } 10^{-11} \text{ kPa at } 25 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$

Method OECD TG 104 Vapour Pressure.

Remarks Determined using the isothermal thermogravimetric effusion method.

Test Facility NOTOX (2012a)

Partition Coefficient (n-

log Pow = 3.0 - 6.2 at 35 ± 1.0 °C

octanol/water)

Method OECD TG 117 Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water).

Remarks HPLC Method. Test substance was dissolved in methanol followed by ultrasonication for

5 minutes to prepare the stock solution. One major peak (71% of the test substance) with $\log Pow = 3.0$ was observed in the chromatogram. Approximately 22% was observed to have $\log Pow$ values of ≥ 4.1 , which was reported to be derived from the impurities in the

test substance.

Test Facility NOTOX (2012a)

Adsorption/Desorption

log K_{oc} = 3.52 - 5.54 at 35 \pm 1.0 $^{\circ}C$

screening test

Method OECD TG 121 Adsorption - Desorption estimation using high performance liquid

chromatography (HPLC) method.

Remarks HPLC Method. Test substance was dissolved in methanol followed with ultrasonication

for 5 minutes to prepare the stock solution. One major peak (73% of the test substance) with log Koc = 3.52 was observed in the chromatogram. Additional peaks with log

 $Koc \le 2.63 (10\%)$ and $log Koc \ge 4 (17\%)$ were observed in the chromatogram, which were

reported to be derived from the impurities in the test substance.

Test Facility NOTOX (2012a)

Flammability Not highly flammable in contact with water or pyrophoric

Method EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 A.12 Flammability (Contact with Water).

Remarks The study authors note that during handling, the test substance was not found to ignite

spontaneously in contact with water or with air, and on the basis of structural considerations, was considered not highly flammable in contact with water or to have

pyrophoric properties.

Test Facility NOTOX (2012a)

Autoignition Temperature 465 °C at 101.77-104.04 kPa

Method EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 A.15 Auto-Ignition Temperature (Liquids and

Gases).

Remarks Determined using a commercially available auto-ignition temperature apparatus.

Test Facility NOTOX (2012a)

Explosive Properties Predicted negative

Method EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 A.14 Explosive Properties.

Remarks The structure of the notified polymer does not contain chemical groups that are associated

with explosive properties.

Test Facility NOTOX (2012a)

Oxidizing Properties Predicted negative

Method EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 A.21 Oxidizing Properties (Liquids).

Remarks The structure of the notified polymer does not contain chemical groups that are associated

with oxidising properties.

Test Facility NOTOX (2012a)

APPENDIX B: TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

B.1. Acute toxicity – oral

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified polymer

METHOD OECD TG 423 Acute Oral Toxicity – Acute Toxic Class Method.

Species/Strain Rat/Wistar Crl;WI (Han) (outbred, SPF-Quality)

Vehicle Polyethylene glycol 400

Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations.

In order to achieve sample homogeneity, the test substance and test substance solutions were heated in a water bath. The test substance was heated at a maximum temperature of 40 °C (maximum 1 hour and 55 minutes) and the test substance solutions were heated at a maximum temperature of 55.8 °C (maximum 18 minutes). The solutions were allowed to cool to a maximum temperature of 38 °C before dosing.

RESULTS

Group	Number and Sex of Animals	Dose mg/kg bw	Mortality
I	3F	2000	0/3
II	3F	2000	0/3

LD50 > 2000 mg/kg bw

Signs of Toxicity There were no mortalities during the study. All animals exhibited a

hunched posture on day 1. In addition, a single animal showed

piloerection on day 1.

Effects in Organs No macroscopic abnormalities were recorded.

Remarks - Results All animals gained weight over the course of the study.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is of low toxicity via the oral route.

TEST FACILITY NOTOX (2011a)

B.2. Acute toxicity – dermal

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified polymer

METHOD OECD TG 402 Acute Dermal Toxicity.

Species/Strain Rat/Wistar Crl;WI (Han) (outbred, SPF-Quality)

Vehicle Polyethylene glycol 400

Type of dressing Occlusive.

Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations.

In order to achieve sample homogeneity, the test substance solution was heated in a water bath. The test substance was heated at a maximum temperature of 55.8 °C (maximum 21 minutes). The solutions were allowed to cool to a maximum temperature of 25.3 °C before dosing.

RESULTS

Group	Number and Sex	Dose	Mortality
	of Animals	mg/kg bw	
I	5F/5M	2000	0/10

LD50 > 2000 mg/kg bw

Signs of Toxicity Chromodacryorrhoea was noted in 2 males and 1 female on day 1 only. In

addition, yellow discolouration of the back, scabs on the treated skin

and/or scales on the back and/or treated skin were noted in 4 male animals

throughout the observation period.

Effects in Organs A single male animal was found to have a diaphragmatic hernia (median

lobe of the liver).

Remarks - Results The diaphragmatic hernia was considered by the study authors to be of no

toxicological significance.

Body weight loss or the absence of weight gain was noted in 3 female animals on day 8 following treatment. However, all animals were noted to

have gained weight by the end of the observation period.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is of low toxicity via the dermal route.

TEST FACILITY NOTOX (2011b)

B.3. Irritation – skin

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified polymer

METHOD OECD TG 404 Acute Dermal Irritation/Corrosion.

Species/Strain Rabbit/New Zealand White

Number of Animals
Vehicle
Observation Period
Type of Dressing
Semi-occlusive.

Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations.

A single animal was initially treated, with the remaining 2 animals treated

7 days later.

RESULTS

Lesion		ean Sco. nimal N		Maximum Value	Maximum Duration of Any Effect	Maximum Value at End of Observation Period
	1	2	3			
Erythema/Eschar	0	0	0	1	< 24 hours	0
Oedema	0	0	0	0	-	0

^{*}Calculated on the basis of the scores at 24, 48, and 72 hours for EACH animal.

Remarks - Results Very slight erythema was observed in each animal 1 hour following

removal of the dressing and test substance (using water). No other reactions

were recorded for any animal at any other time.

Sticky remnants of the test substance were noted to have been present up to

and including the 24 hour observation.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is slightly irritating to the skin.

TEST FACILITY WIL Research Europe (2012)

B.4. Irritation – eye

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified polymer

METHOD OECD TG 405 Acute Eye Irritation/Corrosion.

Species/Strain Rabbit/New Zealand White

Number of Animals 3M Observation Period 72 hours

Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations.

A single animal was initially treated, with the remaining 2 animals treated 7 days later.

The test substance was heated in a water bath at a maximum temperature of 37 °C (maximum 82 minutes) prior to instillation.

Following the 24-hour observation, a 2% aqueous fluorescein solution was instilled into both eyes of each animal.

RESULTS

Lesion	Mean Score* Animal No.		Maximum Value	Maximum Duration of Any Effect	Maximum Value at End of Observation Period	
	1	2	3			-
Conjunctiva: redness	0.3	0.3	0.3	1	< 48 hours	0
Conjunctiva: chemosis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Conjunctiva: discharge	0.3	0	0	1	< 48 hours	0
Corneal opacity	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iridial inflammation	0	0	0	0	0	0

^{*}Calculated on the basis of the scores at 24, 48, and 72 hours for EACH animal.

Remarks - Results

At the 1 and 24 hour observation periods, slight conjunctival redness was observed in all tested animals. Discharge was noted in the eyes of 2 animals 1 hour after instillation of the test substance and in one animal 24 hours after instillation.

All signs of irritation were resolved by the 48-hour observation period.

Remnants of the test substance were noted to have been present on the outside of the eyelids up to and/or including the 48 hour observation.

CONCLUSION

The notified polymer is slightly irritating to the eye.

TEST FACILITY

NOTOX (2011c)

B.5. Skin sensitisation – mouse local lymph node assay (LLNA)

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified polymer

METHOD OECD TG 429 Skin Sensitisation: Local Lymph Node Assay.

Species/Strain Mouse/CBA/J strain
Vehicle Dimethyl formamide

Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations.

Concentrations were chosen on the basis of a preliminary screening test (maximum concentration tested was 50%).

In order to achieve sample homogeneity, the test substance solutions were heated in a water bath. The solutions were heated at a maximum temperature of 39.5 °C (maximum 2.5 hours).

No concurrent positive control group was included in the study. However, a positive control study had previously been conducted by the testing facility using the same methods, animal strain and supplier with α -hexylcinnamaldehyde in acetone:olive oil (4:1).

RESULTS

Concentration	Proliferative response	Stimulation Index
(% w/w)	(DPM/animal)	(Test/Control Ratio)

Test Substance		
0 (vehicle control)	$390 (\pm 50)$	1.0
10	$1655 (\pm 147)$	4.2
25	$2814 (\pm 376)$	7.2
50	$5850 (\pm 1454)$	15.0

Remarks - Results

There were no mortalities and no clinical signs of toxicity were observed.

Very slight erythema was noted in animals treated with 25% test substance on days 3-4 and in animals treated with 50% test substance on days 2-4. All auricular lymph nodes of animals treated with a concentration of 25% or 50% test substance were noted to have appeared larger in size compared to the nodes of the control animals. No macroscopic abnormalities of the surrounding area were noted in any of the animals.

The stimulation index for all three test groups dosed with the tested substance was > 3. Based on these results, the EC3 of the test substance was < 10%.

CONCLUSION

There was evidence of induction of a lymphocyte proliferative response indicative of skin sensitisation to the notified polymer.

TEST FACILITY NOTOX (2011d)

B.6. Repeat dose toxicity

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified polymer

METHOD OECD TG 407 Repeated Dose 28-day Oral Toxicity Study in Rodents.

Species/Strain Rat/Crl:WI(Han)
Route of Administration Oral – gavage

Exposure Information Total exposure days: 28 days
Dose regimen: 7 days per week

Post-exposure observation period: none

Vehicle Polyethylene glycol 400

Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations.

Doses were chosen based on the results of a 5-day range-finding study.

In order to achieve sample homogeneity, the test substance and test substance solutions were heated in a water bath. The test substance was heated at a maximum temperature of 39.6 °C (maximum 4 hours and 10 minutes) and the test substance solutions were heated at a maximum temperature of 59.6-61.2 °C (maximum 19-32 minutes). The solutions were allowed to cool to a maximum temperature of 39.6 °C before dosing.

RESULTS

Group	Number and Sex	Dose	Mortality
	of Animals	mg/kg bw/day	
control	5M/5F	0	0/10
low dose	5M/5F	100	0/10
mid dose	5M/5F	300	0/10
high dose	5M/5F	1000	0/10

Mortality and Time to Death

There were no unscheduled deaths during the study.

Clinical Observations

Hunched posture was noted in all female animals in the high dose group starting from day 19. Increased salivation was noted in female animals in the low dose group (from day 13) and all animals in the higher dose groups (from day 9).

There were no toxicologically significant changes in the functional performance parameters measured.

There were no significant differences in the bodyweight gain and food consumption between the control and treated groups.

Laboratory Findings – Clinical Chemistry, Haematology, Urinalysis
A prolonged prothrombin time was observed for males treated at 1000 mg/kg bw/day.

Statistically significantly increased relative monocyte counts in males and lower haemoglobin and haematocrit levels in females were observed, but the levels were reported by the study authors to be within the range considered normal for rats of the age and strain used. A statistically significant reduction in neutrophil counts in females treated at 100 mg/kg bw/day and lower red blood cell counts in females treated at 300 mg/kg bw/day were considered by the study authors to be of no toxicological significance as they remained within the range considered normal for rats of the age and strain used and/or occurred in the absence of a dose-response relationship.

Statistically significantly higher mean total bilirubin and cholesterol levels were observed in male and female animals treated at 1000 mg/kg bw/day. Higher cholesterol levels were also observed in males treated at 300 mg/kg bw/day. In addition, higher bile acids levels were observed in females treated at 1000 mg/kg bw/day (statistically significant) and in males treated at 300 and 1000 mg/kg bw/day. Other statistically significant changes in the clinical biochemistry parameters that occurred in animals treated at 100 and/or 300 mg/kg bw/day were considered by the study authors to be of no toxicological significance, as they remained within the range considered normal for rats of the age and strain used and/or occurred in the absence of a dose-response relationship.

Effects in Organs

The following macroscopic findings in treated animals were considered by the study authors to be of no toxicological significance as they were within the background range of findings that are encountered among rats of this age and strain and did not show a dose-related incidence trend:

- Reduction in the size of the right seminal vesicle in both a control male and in a male dosed at 1000 mg/kg bw/day.
- Foci on the thymus of 1 control female, 1 male dosed at 100 mg/kg bw/day and 1 female dosed at 300 mg/kg bw/day.
- Diaphragmatic hernia of the right medial lobe of the liver in 1 male dosed at 300 mg/kg bw/day.
- A yellowish, hard nodule in the body of the left epididymis of 1 male dosed at 300 mg/kg bw/day.
- Pelvic dilation of the right kidney in 2 males dosed at 1000 mg/kg bw/day.
- The presence of fluid in the uterus in 1 or 2 females of all groups.
- Dark red discolouration of the left mandibular lymph node in 1 female dosed at 100 mg/kg bw/day.
- Tan foci on the right side of the clitoral gland of 1 female dosed at 300 mg/kg bw/day and of 1 female dosed at 1000 mg/kg bw/day.
- Enlargement of the left mandibular lymph node in 1 female dosed at 1000 mg/kg bw/day.

No toxicological significant changes were noted in the absolute and relative organ weights. Higher relative kidney weights in males and females treated at 1000 mg/kg bw/day were not considered by the study authors to be toxicologically significant as they were not accompanied by findings in clinical laboratory investigations or microscopic examination that suggested organ dysfunction.

Of the animals dosed at 300 mg/kg bw/day, 4 of 5 males and 2 of 5 females had minimal (barely noticeable) vacuolation of Kupffer cells in the liver, whilst all animals dosed at 1000 mg/kg bw/day had minimal vacuolation of Kupffer cells. All other microscopic findings were reported to be within the range of background pathology encountered in Wistar rats of the age and strain used and occurred at similar incidences and severity in both control and treated rats.

Remarks - Results

The combination of effects in animals observed at 1000 mg/kg bw/day was considered by the study authors to

be adverse in nature.

CONCLUSION

The No Observed (Adverse) Effect Level (NO(A)EL) was established by the study authors as 300 mg/kg bw/day, based on the observation of adverse effects in animals treated at 1000 mg/kg bw/day.

TEST FACILITY

NOTOX (2012b)

B.7. Genotoxicity – bacteria

TEST SUBSTANCE

Notified polymer

METHOD

OECD TG 471 Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test.

Plate incorporation procedure.

Species/Strain

S. typhimurium: TA1535, TA1537, TA98, TA100.

E. coli: WP2uvrA

Metabolic Activation System

S9 fraction from phenobarbital/\(\beta\)-naphthoflavone induced rat liver

Concentration Range in Main Test

Remarks - Method

a) With metabolic activation: b) Without metabolic activation: $33 - 3330 \mu g/plate$

 $33 - 3330 \mu g/plate$

Vehicle

Dimethyl sulfoxide

A range-finding study was conducted using 8 concentrations of the test substance, assayed in triplicate against strains TA100 and WP2uvrA (3-

5000 μg/plate). This formed part of Test 1. Precipitation was observed in tester strain TA100 at \geq 3330 $\mu g/plate$ and in the tester strain WP2uvrA at

 \geq 333 µg/plate.

The negative control was dimethyl sulfoxide and positive controls were sodium azide (TA1535), ICR-191 (TA1537), 2-nitrofluorene (TA98), methyl methane sulfonate (TA100) and 4-nitroquinoline N-oxide (WP2uvrA) without metabolic activation, and 2-aminoanthracene (all

strains) in the presence of metabolic activation.

RESULTS

Metabolic	Test Substance Concentration (µg/plate) Resulting in:					
Activation	Cytotoxicity in Main Test	Precipitation	Genotoxic Effect			
Absent						
Test 1	≥ 1000	≥ 333	negative			
Test 2	> 3330	≥ 333	negative			
Present						
Test 1	> 3330	≥ 333	negative			
Test 2	≥ 3330	≥ 333	negative			

Remarks - Results

In both experiments, precipitation was observed at $\geq 333 \mu g/plate$ during testing with E. Coli. In experiment 1, during testing of plates containing S. typhimurium, precipitation was observed at $\geq 3330 \,\mu\text{g/plate}$, but in experiment 2, precipitation was observed at $\geq 1000 \,\mu\text{g/plate}$.

In experiment 1, toxicity was reported to have been observed in the absence of metabolic activation (strain TA98 only) at a dose of ≥ 1000 μg/plate. In experiment 2, toxicity was reported to have been observed in the presence of metabolic activation (strain TA100 only) at a dose of 3330 µg/plate.

No toxicologically significant increases in the frequency of revertant colonies were recorded for any of the bacterial strains up to and including the maximum dose, either with or without metabolic activation.

The positive controls gave satisfactory responses, confirming the validity of the test system.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer was not mutagenic to bacteria under the conditions

of the test.

TEST FACILITY NOTOX (2012c)

Genotoxicity – in vitro

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified polymer

METHOD OECD TG 473 In vitro Mammalian Chromosome Aberration Test.

Species/Strain Human

Peripheral lymphocytes Cell Type/Cell Line

Metabolic Activation System

Vehicle

Dimethyl sulfoxide Remarks - Method A preliminary toxicity study was performed (3 hour exposure, with and

> without activation and 24 and 48 hour exposure without activation) at concentrations 10-1000 µg/mL.

> Vehicle and positive controls (Mitomycin C without metabolic activation and cyclophosphamide with metabolic activation) were used in parallel with the test material.

S9 fraction from phenobarbital/β-napthoflavone induced rat liver

Metabolic Activation	Test Substance Concentration (µg/mL)	Exposure Period	Harvest Time
Absent			
Test 1	1*, 3, 10, 20, 30*, 40*	3	24
Test 2	1, 3*, 10*, 30, 40*, 45	24	24
Test 2A	1, 10*, 30, 40*, 45*, 50	48	48
Present			
Test 1	10*, 30, 80*, 100*, 150, 200	3	24
Test 2	10*, 30*, 80, 100*, 150, 200	3	48

^{*}Cultures selected for metaphase analysis.

RESULTS

Metabolic	Test Substance Concentration (μg/mL) Resulting in:					
Activation	Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test	Cytotoxicity in Main Test	Precipitation	Genotoxic Effect		
Absent						
Test 1	≥ 33	> 40	> 40	Negative		
Test 2	≥ 33	\geq 40	> 45	Negative		
Test 2A	≥ 33	≥ 50	> 50	Negative		
Present						
Test 1	≥ 100	≥ 100	≥ 150	Negative		
Test 2		≥ 100	≥ 150	Negative		

Remarks - Results No significant increases in the number of cells with aberrations were

noted, with or without metabolic activation.

The positive and vehicle controls gave satisfactory responses, confirming

the validity of the test system.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer was not clastogenic to human peripheral

lymphocytes treated in vitro under the conditions of the test.

TEST FACILITY NOTOX (2012d)

APPENDIX C: ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND ECOTOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

C.1. Environmental Fate

C.1.1. Ready biodegradability

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified polymer

METHOD OECD TG 301 B Ready Biodegradability: CO₂ Evolution Test (modified

sturm test).

Inoculum Activated sludge

Exposure Period 28 days Auxiliary Solvent None

Analytical Monitoring TOC-V_{CPH} total organic carbon analyser;

Chemical titration

Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations

The test substance was not sufficiently soluble to prepare a stock aqueous solution at a concentration of 1 g/L. The weighed test substance was added

to the test bottles directly.

RESULTS

Test	substance	Sodium acetate		
Day	% Degradation	Day	% Degradation	
2	0	2	3	
5	0	5	30	
7	0	7	50	
9	0	9	59	
14	0	14	60	
28	0			

Remarks - Results

The theoretical CO_2 production was determined based on the total carbon content of test substance present in the test media, as the theoretical calculation of the CO_2 production was not possible. Biodegradation was calculated from the cumulative CO_2 production relative to the total expected CO_2 production.

The degradation of toxicity control was 35% over 28 days, implying that the test substance was not inhibitory to microbial activity. All validity criteria for the test were satisfied.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is not readily biodegradable

TEST FACILITY NOTOX (2011e)

C.2. Ecotoxicological Investigations

C.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified polymer

METHOD OECD TG 203 Fish, Acute Toxicity Test - Static

Species Carp (Cyprinus carpio)

Exposure Period 96 hours Auxiliary Solvent None

Water Hardness 180 mg CaCO₃/L

Analytical Monitoring HPLC

Remarks – Method The test substance was not completely soluble in test medium at the

loading rate initially prepared. The stock solutions of the test substance

were prepared at the loading rates of 1.0, 10 and 100 mg/L individually. The solutions were stirred for 1 hour followed with 30 minutes settlement. The clear and colourless water accommodation fractions (WAFs) were subsequently siphoned and used as test solutions.

A combined limit/range-finding test was performed according to the guideline without significant deviation from the protocol.

RESULTS

Concentration	on	Number of Fish		Mo	ortality ((%)	
Nominal (mg/L of WAFs)	Actual (mg/L)		2 h	24 h	48 h	72 h	96 h
Control	N/A	7	0	0	0	0	0
1.0	N/A	3	0	0	0	0	0
10	N/A	3	0	0	0	0	0
100	0.11*	7	0	0	0	0	0

^{*}Average concentration during the test

LC50 > 0.11 mg/L at 96 hours. NOEC 0.11 mg/L at 96 hours.

Remarks – Results

The actual concentration in the sample take from WAFs prepared at 100 mg/L was determined to be 0.155 mg/L at the start of the test and dropped to 0.079 mg/L at 96 hours. The average exposure concentration was calculated to be 0.11 mg/L. Due to the low solubility of test

reached.

Three fish were exposed to WAFs prepared at loading rates of 1.0 and 10 mg/L in the range-finding test, deviating from the protocol whereby at least 7 fish must be used for each test concentration. However, this deviation was not considered to affect the results interpretation, as no mortality was observed at any test loading rate during the test period.

substance, concentration levels that might be toxic to fish could not be

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is not harmful to fish up to the limit of its solubility.

TEST FACILITY NOTOX (2012e)

C.2.2. Acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified polymer

METHOD OECD TG 202 Daphnia sp. Acute Immobilisation Test – Static.

Species Daphnia magna
Exposure Period 48 hours
Auxiliary Solvent None

Water Hardness 180 mg CaCO₃/L

Analytical Monitoring HPLC

loading rate initially prepared. The stock solutions of the test substance were prepared at the loading rates of 1.0, 10 and 100 mg/L individually. The solutions were stirred for 1 hour followed with 30 minutes settlement. The clear and colourless water accommodation fractions

(WAFs) were subsequently siphoned and used as test solutions.

A combined limit/range-finding test was performed according to the guideline without significant deviation from the protocol.

RESULTS

Concentration Number of D. magna Number Immobilised

Nominal (mg/L of WAF)	Actual (mg/L)*		24 h	48 h
Control	N/A	20	0	2
1.0	0.0022	10	0	6
10	0.015	10	0	0
100	0.16	20	0	2

^{*} Concentration determined for the test medium at the start of the test

EC50 > 0.16 mg/L at 48 hours

NOEC Not reported

Remarks - Results 6 daphnids were observed to be immobilised when exposed to the test

medium at the loading rate of 1.0 mg/L WAFs in the range-finding test. This was considered to be due to other physical elements rather than chemical toxicity of the test substance, since no immobilisation and less than 10% of the test daphnids were observed at the loading rate of 10

mg/L and 100 mg/L WAFs, respectively.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is not harmful to aquatic invertebrates up to the

limit of its water solubility.

TEST FACILITY NOTOX (2012f)

C.2.3. Algal growth inhibition test

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified polymer

METHOD OECD TG 201 Alga, Growth Inhibition Test - Static

Species Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata

Exposure Period 72 hours

Concentration Range Nominal: 0, 1.0, 10 and 100 mg/L

Actual: N/A, N/A, N/A and 6.8 mg/L (time weight average

concentration)

Water Hardness 24 mg CaCO₃/L

Analytical Monitoring HPLC

Remarks - Method The test substance was not completely soluble in the test medium at the

loading rate initially prepared. The stock solutions of the test substance were prepared at the loading rates of 1.0, 10 and 100 mg/L individually. The test solutions were stirred for 1 hour followed with 30 minutes settlement. The clear and colourless water accommodation fractions

(WAFs) were subsequently siphoned and used as test solutions.

A combined limit/range-finding test was performed according to the guideline without significant deviation from the protocol.

RESULTS

Bio	mass	Grov	vth
E_bC50	NOE_bC	ErC50	NOErC
mg/L at 72 h	mg/L	mg/L at 72 h	mg/L
> 6.8*	Not reported	> 6.8*	6.8

^{*} Time weight average concentration during the test

100 mg/L was determined to be 11 mg/L at the start of the test and dropped to 60% and further to 50% of the initial after 24 and 72 hours of exposure, respectively. The time weight average concentration was calculated to be 6.8 mg/L. Due to the low solubility of test substance, concentration levels that might be toxic to algae could not be reached.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is not harmful to algae up to the limit of its water

solubility.

TEST FACILITY

NOTOX (2012g)

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- NOHSC (2004) Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances, 3rd edition [NOHSC:1008(2004)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, AusInfo.
- NOTOX (2011a) Assessment of Acute Oral Toxicity with Ebecryl® 3702 Radiation Curing Resin in the rat (Acute Toxic Class Method) (Study No. 497629, December, 2011). 's-Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands, NOTOX B.V. (Unpublished report provided by the notifier).
- NOTOX (2011b) Assessment of Acute Dermal Toxicity with Ebecryl® 3702 Radiation Curing Resin in the rat (Study No. 497630, December, 2011). 's-Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands, NOTOX B.V. (Unpublished report provided by the notifier).
- NOTOX (2011c) Acute Eye Irritation/Corrosion Study with Ebecryl® 3702 Radiation Curing Resin in the Rabbit (Study No. 497632, December, 2011). 's-Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands, NOTOX B.V. (Unpublished report provided by the notifier).
- NOTOX (2011d) Assessment of Contact Hypersensitivity to Ebecryl® 3702 Radiation Curing Resin in the Mouse (Local lymph Node Assay) (Study No. 497633, December, 2011). 's-Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands, NOTOX B.V. (Unpublished report provided by the notifier).
- NOTOX (2011e) Determination of 'Ready' Biodegradability: Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) Evolution Test (modified Sturm Test) of Ebecryl® 3702 Radiation Curing Resin (Study No. 497641, December, 2011) . 's-Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands, NOTOX B.V. (Unpublished report provided by the notifier).
- NOTOX (2012a) Determination of Physico-Chemical Properties of Ebecryl® 3702 Radiation Curing Resin (Study No. 497625, April, 2012) . 's-Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands, NOTOX B.V. (Unpublished report provided by the notifier).
- NOTOX (2012b) Repeated Dose 28-Day Oral Toxicity Study with Ebecryl® 3702 Radiation Curing Resin by Daily Gavage in the Rat (Study No. 497634, May, 2012). 's-Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands, NOTOX B.V. (Unpublished report provided by the notifier).
- NOTOX (2012c) Evaluation of the Mutagenic Activity of Ebecryl® 3702 Radiation Curing Resin in the *Salmonella typhimurium* Reverse Mutation Assay and the *Escherichia coli* Reverse Mutation Assay (with Independent Repeat) (Study No. 497636, January, 2012) . 's-Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands, NOTOX B.V. (Unpublished report provided by the notifier).
- NOTOX (2012d) Evaluation of the Ability of Ebecryl® 3702 Radiation Curing Resin to Induce Chromosome Aberrations in Cultured Peripheral Human Lymphocytes (with Repeat Experiment) (Study No. 497637, January, 2012) . 's-Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands, NOTOX B.V. (Unpublished report provided by the notifier).
- NOTOX B.V. (2012e) 96-Hour Acute Toxicity Study in Carp with Ebecryl® 3702 Radiation Curing Resin (Static) (Study No. 497640, April, 2012. 's-Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands, NOTOX B.V. (Unpublished report provided by the notifier).
- NOTOX B.V. (2012f) Acute Toxicity Study in *Daphnia Magna* with Ebecryl® 3702 Radiation Curing Resin (Static) (Study No. 497638, April, 2012). 's-Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands, NOTOX B.V. (Unpublished report provided by the notifier).
- NOTOX B.V. (2012g) Fresh Water Algal Growth Inhibition Test with Ebecryl® 3702 Radiation Curing Resin (Study No. 497639, April, 2012). 's-Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands, NOTOX B.V. (Unpublished report provided by the notifier).
- SWA (2012) Code of Practice: Managing Risks of Hazardous Chemicals in the Workplace, Safe Work Australia, http://www.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/sites/swa/about/publications/pages/managing-risks-of-hazardous-chemicals-in-the-workplace.
- United Nations (2009) Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS), 3rd revised edition. United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UN/ECE), http://www.unece.org/trans/danger/publi/ghs/ghs_rev03/03files_e.html >.
- WIL Research Europe (2012) Primary Skin Irritation/Corrosion Study with Ebecryl® 3702 Radiation Curing Resin in the Rabbit (4-Hour Semi-occlusive Application) (Study No. 500867, October, 2012). 's-Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands, WIL Research Europe B.V. (Unpublished report provided by the notifier).