

File No: LTD/1688

November 2013

**NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS NOTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT SCHEME  
(NICNAS)**

**PUBLIC REPORT**

**Decanedioic acid, polymer with 1,2,3-propanetriol, octanoate (INCI name: Capryloyl  
Glycerin/Sebacic Acid Copolymer)**

This Assessment has been compiled in accordance with the provisions of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act 1989* (Cwlth) (the Act) and Regulations. This legislation is an Act of the Commonwealth of Australia. The National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) is administered by the Department of Health, and conducts the risk assessment for public health and occupational health and safety. The assessment of environmental risk is conducted by the Department of the Environment.

For the purposes of subsection 78(1) of the Act, this Public Report may be inspected at our NICNAS office by appointment only at Level 7, 260 Elizabeth Street, Surry Hills NSW 2010.

This Public Report is also available for viewing and downloading from the NICNAS website or available on request, free of charge, by contacting NICNAS. For requests and enquiries please contact the NICNAS Administration Coordinator at:

Street Address:	Level 7, 260 Elizabeth Street, SURRY HILLS NSW 2010, AUSTRALIA.
Postal Address:	GPO Box 58, SYDNEY NSW 2001, AUSTRALIA.
TEL:	+ 61 2 8577 8800
FAX	+ 61 2 8577 8888
Website:	<a href="http://www.nicnas.gov.au">www.nicnas.gov.au</a>

**Director  
NICNAS**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

SUMMARY .....	3
CONCLUSIONS AND REGULATORY OBLIGATIONS .....	3
ASSESSMENT DETAILS.....	5
1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS.....	5
2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL.....	5
2.1. Identity of the Notified Polymer.....	5
2.2. Identity of Analogues.....	6
3. COMPOSITION.....	6
4. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES .....	6
5. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION.....	7
6. HUMAN HEALTH IMPLICATIONS .....	7
6.1. Exposure Assessment.....	7
6.1.1. Occupational Exposure.....	7
6.1.2. Public Exposure.....	8
6.2. Human Health Effects Assessment .....	9
6.3. Human Health Risk Characterisation .....	10
6.3.1. Occupational Health and Safety .....	10
6.3.2. Public Health.....	11
7. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS.....	11
7.1. Environmental Exposure & Fate Assessment .....	11
7.1.1. Environmental Exposure.....	11
7.1.2. Environmental Fate .....	11
7.1.3. Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC).....	12
7.2. Environmental Effects Assessment.....	12
7.2.1. Predicted No-Effect Concentration.....	13
7.3. Environmental Risk Assessment.....	13
<u>APPENDIX A: PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES .....</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>APPENDIX B: TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS.....</u>	<u>15</u>
B.1. Genotoxicity – bacteria .....	15
B.2. Genotoxicity – in vitro .....	15
<u>APPENDIX C: ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND ECOTOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS .....</u>	<u>17</u>
C.1. Environmental Fate.....	17
C.1.1. Ready biodegradability .....	17
C.2. Ecotoxicological Investigations.....	17
C.2.1. Acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates.....	17
C.2.2. Algal growth inhibition test .....	18
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	20

## SUMMARY

The following details will be published in the NICNAS *Chemical Gazette*:

ASSESSMENT REFERENCE	APPLICANT(S)	CHEMICAL OR TRADE NAME	HAZARDOUS CHEMICAL	INTRODUCTION VOLUME	USE
LTD/1688	Bronson & Jacobs Pty Ltd	Decanedioic acid, polymer with 1,2,3-propanetriol, octanoate (INCI name: Capryloyl glycerin/sebacic acid copolymer)	ND*	≤ 60 tonne/s per annum	Emollient in cosmetic and personal care products

\*ND = not determined

## CONCLUSIONS AND REGULATORY OBLIGATIONS

### **Hazard classification**

Based on the available information, the notified polymer is not recommended for classification according to the *Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals* (GHS), as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia, or the *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC, 2004).

### **Human health risk assessment**

Under the conditions of the occupational settings described, the notified polymer is not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to the health of workers.

When used in the proposed manner, the notified polymer is not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to public health.

### **Environmental risk assessment**

On the basis of the PEC/PNEC ratio and the assessed use pattern, the notified polymer is not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to the environment.

### **Recommendations**

#### CONTROL MEASURES

#### Occupational Health and Safety

- A person conducting a business or undertaking at a workplace should implement the following engineering controls to minimise occupational exposure to the notified polymer during reformulation processes:
  - Enclosed, automated processes, where possible
- A person conducting a business or undertaking at a workplace should implement the following safe work practices to minimise occupational exposure during handling of unfinished blended bulk raw material containing the notified polymer at ≤ 56% concentration:
  - Avoid contact with skin and eyes
- A person conducting a business or undertaking at a workplace should ensure that the following personal protective equipment is used by workers to minimise occupational exposure to unfinished blended bulk raw material containing the notified polymer at ≤ 56% concentration:
  - Coveralls, goggles, impervious gloves

Guidance in selection of personal protective equipment can be obtained from Australian, Australian/New Zealand or other approved standards.

- A copy of the (M)SDS should be easily accessible to employees.
- If products and mixtures containing the notified polymer are classified as hazardous to health in accordance with the *Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)* as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia, workplace practices and control procedures consistent with provisions of State and Territory hazardous substances legislation should be in operation.

#### Disposal

- The notified polymer should be disposed of to landfill.

#### Emergency procedures

- Spills or accidental release of the notified polymer should be handled by physical containment, collection and subsequent safe disposal.

### Regulatory Obligations

#### *Secondary Notification*

This risk assessment is based on the information available at the time of notification. The Director may call for the reassessment of the chemical under secondary notification provisions based on changes in certain circumstances. Under Section 64 of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act (1989)* the notifier, as well as any other importer or manufacturer of the notified chemical, have post-assessment regulatory obligations to notify NICNAS when any of these circumstances change. These obligations apply even when the notified polymer is listed on the Australian Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS).

Therefore, the Director of NICNAS must be notified in writing within 28 days by the notifier, other importer or manufacturer:

- (1) Under Section 64(1) of the Act; if
  - the polymer has a number-average molecular weight of less than 1000;
  - the polymer is intended to exceed 10% concentration in skin conditioners, creams, body washes, toiletries, hair care, moisturisers and aerosol deodorants;  
the polymer is intended to exceed 25% concentration in cosmetic sunscreen formulations, makeup and lip care products, and non-spray deodorants; or
- (2) Under Section 64(2) of the Act; if
  - the function or use of the polymer has changed from an emollient in cosmetic and personal care products, or is likely to change significantly;
  - the amount of polymer being introduced has increased, or is likely to increase, significantly;
  - the polymer has begun to be manufactured in Australia;
  - additional information has become available to the person as to an adverse effect of the polymer on occupational health and safety, public health, or the environment.

The Director will then decide whether a reassessment (i.e. a secondary notification and assessment) is required.

No additional secondary notification conditions are stipulated.

#### *(Material) Safety Data Sheet*

The (M)SDS of a product containing the notified polymer provided by the notifier was reviewed by NICNAS. The accuracy of the information on the (M)SDS remains the responsibility of the applicant.

## ASSESSMENT DETAILS

### 1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS

This notification has been conducted under the cooperative arrangement with Canada. The health and environmental hazard assessment components of the Canadian report were provided to NICNAS and, where appropriate, used in this assessment report. The other elements of the risk assessment and recommendations on safe use of the notified polymer were carried out by NICNAS.

#### APPLICANT(S)

Bronson & Jacobs Pty Ltd (ABN: 81000 063 249)  
70 Marple Avenue  
VILLAWOOD NSW 2163

#### NOTIFICATION CATEGORY

Limited (Reduced fee notification): Synthetic polymer with  $M_n \geq 1000$  Da – Polymer has been assessed by a competent authority under a comparable category.

#### EXEMPT INFORMATION (SECTION 75 OF THE ACT)

Data items and details claimed exempt from publication: structural formulae, molecular weight, and polymer constituents.

#### VARIATION OF DATA REQUIREMENTS (SECTION 24 OF THE ACT)

Variation to the schedule of data requirements is claimed as follows: Adsorption/desorption.

#### NOTIFICATION IN OTHER COUNTRIES

Canada (2012)

### 2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL

#### 2.1. Identity of the Notified Polymer

#### MARKETING NAME(S)

LexFeel N5  
LexFeel N20  
LexFeel N50  
LexFeel N100  
LexFeel N200  
LexFeel N350  
LexFilm GSC  
Lexfilm Natural GSC

#### CAS NUMBER

1190099-88-7

#### CHEMICAL NAME

Decanedioic acid, polymer with 1,2,3-propanetriol, octanoate (INCI name: Capryloyl glycerin/sebacic acid copolymer)

#### OTHER NAME(S)

Capryloyl Glycerin/Sebacic Acid Copolymer (INCI name)

#### MOLECULAR FORMULA

$(C_{10}H_{18}O_4 \cdot C_3H_8O_3)_x \cdot xC_8H_{16}O_2$

#### MOLECULAR WEIGHT

> 1,000 Da

#### ANALYTICAL DATA

Reference GPC spectra were provided.

## 2.2. Identity of Analogues

One analogue was provided for the notified polymer.

CAS NUMBER

68130-55-2.

CHEMICAL NAME

Hexanedioic acid, mixed esters with decanoic acid, heptanoic acid, octanoic acid and pentaerythritol

OTHER NAME(S)

Pentaerythrityl adipate/caprate/caprylate/heptanoate (INCI name)

MOLECULAR WEIGHT

> 500 Da

## 3. COMPOSITION

DEGREE OF PURITY

100%

HAZARDOUS IMPURITIES/RESIDUAL MONOMERS

None

NON HAZARDOUS IMPURITIES/RESIDUAL MONOMERS (> 1% BY WEIGHT)

None

ADDITIVES/ADJUVANTS

None

## 4. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

APPEARANCE AT 20 °C AND 101.3 kPa: Viscous liquid

Property	Value	Data Source/Justification*
Melting Point/Freezing Point	< 25 °C	Measured
Boiling Point	323 °C at 101.3 kPa	Measured
Density	1,040 kg/m <sup>3</sup> at 20 °C	Measured
Reid Vapour Pressure	0.002 kPa at 30 °C	Measured
Water Solubility	<0.001 g/L at 20 °C	Measured
Hydrolysis as a Function of pH	$t_{1/2}$ > 1 year at 25 °C (pH 4.0, 7.0, and 9.0)	Measured
Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water)	log Pow = 5.1	Measured
Adsorption/Desorption	log K <sub>oc</sub> = 4.24	Measured
Dissociation Constant	Not determined	Does not contain dissociable functionalities
Flash Point	231 °C	Measured
Flammability	Non flammable	Measured
Autoignition Temperature	-	Measured
Explosive Properties	Non explosive	Measured
Oxidising Properties	Non oxidising	Measured

\* Shriram (2012)

DISCUSSION OF PROPERTIES

For full details of tests on physical and chemical properties, refer to Appendix A.

*Reactivity*

The notified polymer is expected to be stable under normal conditions of use.

**Physical hazard classification**

Based on the submitted physico-chemical data depicted in the above table, the notified polymer is not recommended for hazard classification according to the *Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)*, as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia.

**5. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION****MODE OF INTRODUCTION OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS**

The notified polymer will not be manufactured in Australia. It will be imported as a blended bulk raw material containing the notified polymer at  $\leq 56\%$  concentration or as a component of finished cosmetic and personal care products containing the notified polymer at  $\leq 25\%$  concentration.

**MAXIMUM INTRODUCTION VOLUME OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS**

<i>Year</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Tonnes</i>	5-10	10-20	20-30	40-50	50-60

**PORT OF ENTRY**

Various ports

**IDENTITY OF MANUFACTURER/RECIPIENTS**

Bronson & Jacobs Pty Ltd

**TRANSPORTATION AND PACKAGING**

The notified polymer will be imported by ship as a blended bulk raw material containing the notified polymer at  $\leq 56\%$  concentration, contained in 7 gallon HDPE closed head pails and/or 55 gallon stainless steel drums with tamper-evident closures. The notified polymer will be transported by road from port to the notifier's warehousing facilities in Melbourne and/or Sydney for storage and later distributed to manufacturers/reformulators. Once formulated into cosmetic and personal care products containing the notified polymer at  $\leq 25\%$  concentration and packaged into consumer packaging (e.g. plastic tubes, jars, bottles, and sticks), these products will be packed into shippers and transported by road to various warehousing facilities or directly to retail outlets around Australia.

The notified polymer will also be imported by ship as a component of finished cosmetic and personal care products containing the notified polymer at  $\leq 25\%$  concentration, contained in consumer packaging packed in bulk cartons. The notified polymer will be transported by road from port to the distributor's site for storage and later distributed by road to various warehousing facilities or directly to retail outlets around Australia.

**USE**

The notified polymer will be used as an emollient in cosmetic and personal care leave-on and rinse-off products - skin conditioners, creams, body washes, toiletries, hair care, moisturisers and aerosol deodorants, at  $\leq 10\%$  concentration. The notified polymer will also be used as an emollient in anhydrous cosmetic sunscreen formulations, makeup and lip care products, and non-spray deodorants at  $\leq 25\%$  concentration..

**OPERATION DESCRIPTION**

The notified polymer will not be manufactured in Australia. Blended bulk raw material containing the notified polymer at  $\leq 56\%$  concentration will be formulated into finished cosmetic and personal care products containing the notified polymer at  $\leq 25\%$  concentration and packaged into consumer packaging (e.g. plastic tubes, jars, bottles, and sticks).

**6. HUMAN HEALTH IMPLICATIONS****6.1. Exposure Assessment****6.1.1. Occupational Exposure****CATEGORY OF WORKERS**

<i>Category of Worker</i>	<i>Exposure Duration</i>	<i>Exposure Frequency</i>
---------------------------	--------------------------	---------------------------

	(hours/day)	(days/year)
Transport from dock to warehouse	1-2	30
Warehouse	1-2	30
Transport from warehouse to formulators	1-2	20
Reformulation worker	1-3	30
Retail workers	> 8	240
Professionals	> 8	240

#### EXPOSURE DETAILS

##### *Transport and Storage*

The notified polymer will be imported by ship as an unfinished blended bulk raw material containing the notified polymer at  $\leq 56\%$  concentration in sealed 7 gallon HDPE closed head pails and or 55 gallon stainless steel drums with tamper-evident closures. The raw material will be transported by road from the wharf to the notifier's site for storage prior to being distributed to manufacturers and reformulators.

The notified polymer will also be imported by ship as a component of finished cosmetic and personal care products containing the notified polymer at  $\leq 25\%$  concentration packaged in consumer packaging packed in bulk cartons. The finished products will be transported by road to distributors and thereon by road to retailers.

Transport and storage workers are not expected to be exposed to the notified polymer except in the unlikely event of an accident. In such a case dermal, ocular, and inhalation exposure may occur. Dockside and warehouse workers are expected to wear personal protective Equipment (PPE) including overalls and gloves.

##### *Local reformulation into finished formulations*

The imported unfinished blended bulk raw material containing the notified polymer at  $\leq 56\%$  concentration will undergo various reformulation processes depending on the intended product and reformulation site. Typically, the raw material will be weighed, transferred to a mixing vessel, and undergo a blending process using automated and closed vessels. Quality control testing will be implemented during the process. The final finished product will be transferred to a storage tank, from which an automated system will be used to fill consumer packaging containers of various types and sizes including plastic tubes, jars, bottles, and sticks with the finished product. The containers will then be sealed and packaged into cardboard transport cartons, themselves packed into shippers before being transported by road to warehouses or directly to retail outlets.

Reformulation equipment will typically be cleaned with hot water and rinsed after every batch.

Workers may be exposed to the unfinished blended bulk raw material containing the notified polymer at  $\leq 56\%$  concentration during its transfer from the imported container into the mixing vessel and also during quality control testing conducted during the reformulation process. Dermal, ocular, and inhalation exposure may occur. It is anticipated that workers involved in reformulation will wear appropriate PPE including safety glasses, safety shoes, impervious gloves and overalls. It is also anticipated that adequate local ventilation will be provided as well as enclosed mixing vessels and filling areas and that the reformulation process will involve automated processes.

##### *Retail workers*

Retail workers will shelve finished cosmetic and personal care products containing the notified polymer at  $\leq 25\%$  concentration packaged in consumer packaging containers of various types and sizes including plastic tubes, jars, bottles, and sticks. Retail workers are not expected to be exposed to the notified polymer except in the unlikely event of an accident. In such a case dermal, ocular, and inhalation exposure may occur. Retail workers are not expected to wear PPE protection.

##### *End-use by hairdresser and beauty salon professionals*

Hairdresser and beauty salon professionals will apply finished cosmetic and personal care products containing the notified polymer at  $\leq 25\%$  concentration to clients by hand, applicator, spray, and aerosol. These workers are not expected to wear PPE protection.

#### **6.1.2. Public Exposure**

Consumer cosmetic and personal care products will contain the notified polymer at  $\leq 25\%$  concentration packaged in consumer packaging containers of various types and sizes including plastic tubes, jars, bottles, and



sticks. These products will be applied by hand, applicator, spray, and aerosol. Consumers are not expected to wear PPE.

Public exposure to the notified polymer is expected to be widespread and frequent through daily use of cosmetic and personal care products containing the notified polymer. Exposure to the notified polymer will vary depending on individual use patterns. The principal route of exposure will be dermal, and accidental ocular exposure may also occur. Some ingestion may also occur from the use of facial or oral products e.g. lip products or toothpaste.

Public exposure to the notified polymer in Australia has been calculated using estimates for preservative exposure in the Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety' (SCCS's) Notes of Guidance for the Testing of Cosmetic Ingredients and their Safety Evaluation (SCCS, 2010) and applying the following assumptions:

- Bodyweight (BW) of 60 kg for females (SCCS, 2010);
- The maximum concentration of the notified polymer in cosmetic and personal care products as stated in the table below;
- 10% dermal absorption;
- An individual uses daily all product types containing the notified polymer;

Product type	Use level (mg/day)	Concentration of notified polymer (%)	Retention factor	Daily systemic exposure (mg/kg bw/day)
Body lotion	7820	10	1	1.30
Face cream	1540	10	1	0.26
Hand cream	2160	10	1	0.36
Deodorant (non-spray)	1500	25	1	0.63
Cosmetic Sunscreens	6000	25	1	2.50
Deodorant (aerosol/ethanol free)	690	10	1	0.12
Liquid Foundation	510	25	1	0.21
Lipstick, lip salve	57	25	1	0.02
Mascara	25	25	1	0.01
Eyeliners	5	25	1	0.00
Eye shadow	20	25	1	0.01
Makeup remover	5000	10	0.1	0.08
Hair styling products	4000	10	0.1	0.07
Shower gel	18670	10	0.01	0.03
Hand wash soap	20000	10	0.01	0.03
Shampoo	10460	10	0.01	0.02
Hair conditioner	3920	10	0.01	0.01
Facial cleanser	800	10	0.01	0.00
<b>Total daily systemic exposure:</b>				<b>5.66</b>

This exposure estimate was calculated using conservative use assumptions and is expected to reflect a worst case scenario. In reality, the level of exposure is expected to be lower than 5.66 mg/kg bw/day as it is assumed that consumers would not use all these products daily to the extent shown above.

## 6.2. Human Health Effects Assessment

The results from toxicological investigations conducted on the notified polymer and the analogue Hexanedioic acid, mixed esters with decanoic acid, heptanoic acid, octanoic acid and pentaerythritol submitted by the notifier are summarised in the following table. For full details of the studies on the notified polymer (other than those previously assessed in Canada), refer to Appendix B.

Endpoint	Test substance	Result and Assessment Conclusion
Rat, acute oral toxicity	Analogue	LD <sub>50</sub> > 2,000 mg/kg bw; low toxicity
Human RIPT	Notified polymer	Non-irritating or sensitising
Eye irritation (in vitro- HET-CAM)	Notified polymer (10%)	non-irritating
Rat, repeat dose dermal toxicity – 28 days.	Analogue	NOAEL = 500 mg/kg/day

Mutagenicity – bacterial reverse mutation	Notified polymer	non mutagenic
Genotoxicity – in vitro mammalian cell micronucleus test	Notified polymer	non genotoxic

#### *Toxicokinetics, metabolism and distribution.*

Based on the moderately high molecular weight (> 1000 Da) and the lipophilicity of the notified polymer (water solubility <  $1 \times 10^{-3}$  g/L at 20 °C; log Pow = 5.1) dermal absorption is expected to be limited. However the polymer contains a significant proportion of low molecular weight species < 1000 that may be dermally absorbed.

#### *Acute toxicity.*

There is no available acute toxicity data on the notified polymer. In an acute oral toxicity study, the analogue had a LD<sub>50</sub> greater than 2000 mg/kg/bw, indicating low acute toxicity.

#### *Irritation and sensitisation.*

The notified polymer has structural alerts for irritation, but was not irritating to eyes in a HET-CAM study. The HET-CAM assay has not yet been validated as a replacement test for the *in vivo* Draize test, and is not to be used for regulatory hazard classification purposes, based on a lack of adequate data (ICCVAM, 2010). Given the ICCVAM conclusions, it is uncertain whether the response in the HET-CAM assay for the notified polymer indicated non-irritancy potential for the notified polymer. However no irritation or sensitisation was seen in a human repeat insult patch test (RIPT) conducted on the notified polymer.

The potential for irritation at high concentrations cannot be ruled out.

#### *Repeated Dose Dermal Toxicity.*

There is no available repeated dose toxicity data on the notified polymer. In a 28 day dermal toxicity study in rats with the analogue polymer, animals treated with a dose of 500 mg/kg bw/day or greater exhibited the following local effects seen microscopically: reversible dose-related increase and severity of hyperplasia and hyperkeratosis of the epidermis and sebaceous gland hyperplasia. High dose females (2000 mg/kg/day) exhibited a significant increase in relative adrenal and brain weights, attributed to the lower final body weights of the treated animals. Based on these effects a NOAEL of 500 mg/kg/day was established for the analogue.

#### *Mutagenicity/Genotoxicity.*

The notified polymer was not mutagenic to bacteria in an *in vitro* reverse mutation study. It did not induce micronuclei in cultured human peripheral blood lymphocytes in an *in vitro* micronucleus test.

#### **Health hazard classification**

Based on the available information, the notified polymer is not recommended for classification according to the *Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)*, as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia, or the *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC, 2004).

### **6.3. Human Health Risk Characterisation**

#### **6.3.1. Occupational Health and Safety**

Hairdressers will handle the notified polymer at ≤ 10% concentration in hair care products, similar to public use. Other beauty care professionals may handle the notified polymer at ≤ 25% in cosmetic products. Therefore, the risk for beauty care professionals who regularly use products containing the notified polymer is expected to be of a similar or lesser extent than that experienced by members of the public who use such products on a regular basis. For details of the public health risk assessment, see Section 6.3.2.

Staff involved in the formulation and quality control testing of cosmetic and personal care products may come in contact with the imported unfinished blended bulk raw material containing the notified polymer at ≤ 56% concentration. Exposure is expected to be limited during product formulation by the engineering controls and PPE used, and the enclosed and automated processes involved. Under the proposed occupational settings and provided that formulation control measures are being adhered to, the notified polymer is not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to workers.

Based on the information available, the risk to workers associated with use of the notified polymer at  $\leq 25\%$  concentration in cosmetic and personal care products is not considered to be unreasonable.

### 6.3.2. Public Health

The general public will be repeatedly exposed to the notified polymer during the use of cosmetic and personal care products containing the notified polymer at  $\leq 25\%$  concentration.

#### *Local effects*

Based on the information available, the notified polymer is not expected to cause adverse local effects.

#### *Systemic effects*

The repeated dose toxicity potential was estimated by calculation of the margin of exposure (MoE) of the notified chemical using the worst case exposure scenario from use of multiple products of 5.66 mg/kg bw/day (see Section 6.1.2) and the NOAEL of 500 mg/kg bw/day, which was established in the 28-day repeat dose toxicity study performed on the analogue chemical. The margin of exposure (MOE) was estimated to be 88.4 for a female using daily all types of products containing the notified polymer. A MOE greater than or equal to 100 is considered acceptable to account for intra- and inter-species differences. The MOE calculated for the notified polymer is an over estimation as it is highly unlikely that all products containing the notified polymer will be used together. Furthermore, the polymer itself is not expected to be absorbed through the skin and the MOE was estimated based on an exposure level of 6000 mg/day for cosmetic sunscreen, which does not take into account seasonal variations in the use of sunscreens. Therefore, in light of the conservative exposure scenario considered and based on the information available, the risk to the public associated with the use of the notified polymer at up to 10% concentration in skin conditioners, creams, body washes, toiletries, hair care, moisturisers and aerosol deodorants, and up to 25% concentration as an emollient in anhydrous cosmetic sunscreen formulations, makeup and lip care products, and non-spray deodorants, is not considered to be unreasonable.

## 7. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

### 7.1. Environmental Exposure & Fate Assessment

#### 7.1.1. Environmental Exposure

##### RELEASE OF CHEMICAL AT SITE

The notified polymer will not be manufactured in Australia; therefore, there will be no release from this activity. The notified polymer will be imported as a blended bulk raw material or as a component of finished formulations/cosmetic/personal care products ( $\leq 25\%$  w/w). Blending will be carried out in closed automated systems and the release from blending is expected to be very low. Accidental spills during reformulation are expected to be collected with inert material and disposed of to landfill. Empty containers are expected to be rinsed and the aqueous rinsates are expected to be disposed of in accordance with local regulations and industry standard operating practices. Usually, the rinsates are expected to go into a trade water system where it is anticipated to be treated prior to release into the sewer.

##### RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM USE

The notified polymer is a component in cosmetic and personal care products. Therefore, it is expected that the majority of the imported quantity will be released to the sewer.

##### RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM DISPOSAL

Wastes from spills are expected to be collected, contained and disposed of to landfill. Residue of the notified polymer in the empty containers is likely either to be disposed of to landfill, or to be washed to the sewer when containers are rinsed before recycling.

#### 7.1.2. Environmental Fate

The notified polymer is expected to be readily biodegradable based on the environmental fate study. For the details of the environmental fate studies please refer to Appendix C. The majority of the notified polymer will be disposed of to the sewer and, as it is a high molecular weight non-ionic polymer, it is estimated to be removed up to 90% in sewage treatment plant by partitioning to sediment and sludge (Boethling & Nabholz, 1996). The notified polymer that partitions to sludge will be removed with the sludge for disposal to landfill or used in soil remediation. Hence, it is not anticipated to be significantly bioavailable to aquatic organisms.

In the aquatic environment it is unlikely to bioaccumulate based on its high molecular weight. It is expected to degrade biotically and abiotically to form water and oxides of carbon.

### 7.1.3. Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC)

The calculation for the Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC) is summarised in the table below. Based on the reported use in cosmetic products, it was assumed that 100% of the total volume of notified polymer will be washed to the sewer on a nationwide basis over 365 days per year. It was conservatively assumed that 0% of the notified polymer partitions to sludge in Sewage Treatment Plants (STPs).

<i>Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC) for the Aquatic Compartment</i>		
Total Annual Import/Manufactured Volume	60,000	kg/year
Proportion expected to be released to sewer	100%	
Annual quantity of chemical released to sewer	60,000	kg/year
Days per year where release occurs	365	days/year
Daily chemical release:	164.38	kg/day
Water use	200	L/person/day
Population of Australia (Millions)	22.613	million
Removal within STP	0%	
Daily effluent production:	4,523	ML
Dilution Factor - River	1	
Dilution Factor - Ocean	10	
PEC - River:	36.35	µg/L
PEC - Ocean:	3.63	µg/L

The notified polymer is expected to partition to sludge and sediment, hence the removal of the notified polymer from influent by sewage treatment plant (STP) processes is expected. However, in this worst case model, the majority of the notified polymer is assumed to be released in effluent. STP effluent re-use for irrigation occurs throughout Australia. The agricultural irrigation application rate is assumed to be 1000 L/m<sup>2</sup>/year (10 ML/ha/year). The notified polymer in this volume is assumed to infiltrate and accumulate in the top 10 cm of soil (density 1500 kg/m<sup>3</sup>). Using these assumptions, irrigation with a concentration of 36.3 µg/L may potentially result in a soil concentration of approximately 242.3 µg/kg. Assuming accumulation of the notified polymer in soil for 5 and 10 years under repeated irrigation, the concentration of notified polymer in the applied soil in 5 and 10 years may be approximately 1.2 mg/kg and 2.4 mg/kg, respectively.

### 7.2. Environmental Effects Assessment

The results from ecotoxicological investigations conducted on the notified polymer are summarised in the table below. Details of these studies can be found in Appendix C. The analogue substance (Hexanedioic acid, mixed esters with decanoic acid, heptanoic acid, octanoic acid and pentaerythritol; CAS no. 68130-55-2), was used as read across to the notified polymer (ecotoxicity data for fish). The analogue substance has a highly similar chemical structure and functional properties as the notified polymer.

<i>Endpoint</i>	<i>Result</i>	<i>Assessment Conclusion</i>
<i>Measured - Notified polymer</i>		
Daphnia	EL50 (48 h) > 100 mg/L (Filtered WAF)*	Not harmful to aquatic invertebrates at its solubility limit
Algal	EL50 (72 h) > 100 mg/L (Filtered WAF)*	Not harmful to algae at its solubility limit
<i>Measured - Analogue</i>		
Fish	LC50 (96 h) > 5017 mg/L (US EPA, 2010)	Not expected to be harmful to fish at its solubility limit

\*Water Accommodated Fraction (WAF)

Classification should be based only on toxic responses observed in the soluble range. The ecotoxicity endpoints for the notified polymer, as well as its analogue, are much higher than the solubility limits of the notified polymer and its analogue. Hence, the notified polymer is not expected to be harmful to aquatic organisms at its solubility limit in the aquatic environment. Therefore, under the Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS) (United Nations, 2009), the notified polymer is not expected to be harmful to fish, invertebrates and algae on an acute or long term basis and is not formally classified under the GHS.

### 7.2.1. Predicted No-Effect Concentration

The Predicted No-Effect Concentration (PNEC) was calculated based on the lower limit of the endpoint for algae, and an assessment factor of 100. The assessment factor of 100 was used since measured ecotoxicological data were available for three trophic levels, which included two endpoints for the notified polymer and one endpoint for a very similar analogue.

<i>Predicted No-Effect Concentration (PNEC) for the Aquatic Compartment</i>		
EC50 (alga)	>100	mg/L
Assessment Factor	100	
PNEC:	> 1,000	µg/L

### 7.3. Environmental Risk Assessment

<i>Risk Assessment</i>	<i>PEC µg/L</i>	<i>PNEC µg/L</i>	<i>Q</i>
Q - River:	36.35	> 1000	< 0.036
Q - Ocean:	3.63	> 1000	< 0.004

The Risk Quotients (RQ = PEC/PNEC) for a conservative discharge scenario have been calculated to be < 1 for the river and ocean compartments. The notified polymer is expected to be rapidly biodegradable in the environment. It is unlikely to bioaccumulate based on its high molecular weight. The majority of notified polymer disposed of to the sewer is expected to be removed by partitioning to sludge and sediment during sewage treatment plant processes. As a result, it is not likely to be present in ecotoxicologically significant concentrations in the aquatic environment. Therefore, the notified polymer is not expected to pose an unreasonable risk to the environment on the basis of the assessed use pattern.

## APPENDIX A: PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

### Water Solubility < 0.001 g/L at 20 °C

Method	OECD TG 105 Water Solubility.
Remarks	Column Elution Method. The reported value was based on the test certificate, however, the test study report was not provided.
Test Facility	Shriram (2012)

### Hydrolysis as a Function of pH

Method	OECD TG 111 Hydrolysis as a Function of pH.
--------	---

<i>pH</i>	<i>T</i> (°C)	<i>t</i> <sub>1/2</sub>
4	25 °C	<i>t</i> <sub>1/2</sub> > 1 year
7	25 °C	<i>t</i> <sub>1/2</sub> > 1 year
9	25 °C	<i>t</i> <sub>1/2</sub> > 1 year

Remarks	Since preliminary studies for hydrolysis at 50 °C (as per due OECD guidelines TG - 111) carried out for a period of 5 days of the given sample indicated hydrolysis of the given sample to about < 10%, further experimentation was not carried out on the basis of this. It can be concluded that the sample does not hydrolyse under any of the pH conditions i.e., at pH 4.0, 7.0 and 9.0. The reported values were based on the test certificate, however, the test study report was not provided.
---------	--

Test Facility	Shriram (2012)
---------------	----------------

### Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water) log Pow = 5.1

Method	OECD TG 117 Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water).
Remarks	The reported value was based on the test certificate, however, the test study report was not provided.
Test Facility	Shriram (2012)

### Absorption Coefficient log K<sub>oc</sub> = 4.24

Method	OECD TG 121 Adsorption - Desorption
Remarks	The reported value was based on the test certificate, however, the test study report was not provided.
Test Facility	Shriram (2012)

## APPENDIX B: TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

### B.1. Genotoxicity – bacteria

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified polymer
METHOD	OECD TG 471 Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test. Plate incorporation procedure and Pre incubation procedure
Species/Strain	<i>S. typhimurium</i> : TA1535, TA1537, TA98, TA100, TA102
Metabolic Activation System	S9 from Aroclor 1254 induced rat liver.
Concentration Range in Main Test	a) With metabolic activation: 50 – 5000 µg/plate b) Without metabolic activation: 50 – 5000 µg/plate
Vehicle	Dimethyl sulphoxide
Remarks - Method	Concentrations were chosen on the basis of a preliminary test with TA100, with and without metabolic activation, at concentrations of 5000, 1600, 500, 160 and 50 µg/plate. As none of these concentrations showed cytotoxicity the results of the preliminary test were used in Test 1. Test 1 employed the plate incorporation procedure Test 2 employed the pre incubation procedure

#### RESULTS

<i>Metabolic Activation</i>	<i>Test Substance Concentration (µg/plate) Resulting in:</i>			
	<i>Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test</i>	<i>Cytotoxicity in Main Test</i>	<i>Precipitation</i>	<i>Genotoxic Effect</i>
<i>Absent</i>				
Test 1	> 5000	> 5000	> 5000	> 5000
Test 2	> 5000	> 5000	> 5000	> 5000
<i>Present</i>				
Test 1	> 5000	> 5000	> 5000	> 5000
Test 2	> 5000	> 5000	> 5000	> 5000

Remarks - Results      Doses were chosen on the basis of a preliminary study using TA100.  
No cytotoxic effect was observed at any concentration.  
Precipitation was observed at concentrations of 5000 and 1600 µg/plate, however the precipitation resolved upon incubation.

CONCLUSION      The notified polymer was not mutagenic to bacteria under the conditions of the test.

TEST FACILITY      IDEA (2013)

### B.2. Genotoxicity – in vitro

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified Polymer
METHOD	OECD TG 487 <i>In vitro</i> Mammalian Cell Micronucleus Test
Species/Strain	Human
Cell Type/Cell Line	Human peripheral lymphocytes
Metabolic Activation System	S9 from sodium phenobarbitone / β-naphthoflavone induced rat liver
Vehicle	Dimethyl sulphoxide
Remarks - Method	Blood from a single in-house donor was used in the study. Human lymphocytes division was stimulated using phytohemagglutinin The negative control was the solvent dimethyl sulphoxide. The positive controls used were mitomycin C, cyclophosphamide monohydrate and colchicine.

Metabolic Activation	Test Substance Concentration (µg/mL)	Exposure Period	Harvest Time
----------------------	--------------------------------------	-----------------	--------------

Absent			
Test 1	0.05*, 0.025*, 0.0125*	3	24
Test 2	0.05*, 0.025*, 0.0125*	24	24
Present			
Test 1	0.05*, 0.025*, 0.0125*	3	24

\*Cultures selected for metaphase analysis.

## RESULTS

<i>Metabolic Activation</i>	<i>Test Substance Concentration (µg/mL) Resulting in:</i>			
	<i>Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test (&gt;60%)</i>	<i>Cytotoxicity in Main Test (&gt;60%)</i>	<i>Precipitation</i>	<i>Genotoxic Effect</i>
<i>Absent</i>				
Test 1	> 0.05	> 0.05	≥ 0.05	Negative
Test 2	> 0.05	> 0.05	≥ 0.05	Negative
<i>Present</i>				
Test 1	> 0.05	> 0.05	≥ 0.05	Negative

### Remarks - Results

Cytotoxicity at the dose levels tested ranged from 40.3% to 56.6%.  
The test item did not result in a dose dependent increase in the number of micronucleus compared to the vehicle control group.  
The positive controls resulted in dose dependent increases in the incidence of micronucleus with statistical significance at 5% level under identical conditions.

### CONCLUSION

The notified polymer did not induce micronuclei in cultured human peripheral blood lymphocytes treated *in vitro* under the conditions of the test.

### TEST FACILITY

Bionneeds (2013a)



## **APPENDIX C: ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND ECOTOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS**

### **C.1. Environmental Fate**

#### **C.1.1. Ready biodegradability**

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified polymer
METHOD	OECD TG 301 B Ready Biodegradability: CO <sub>2</sub> Evolution Test
Inoculum	Activated sludge
Exposure Period	28 days
Auxiliary Solvent	None reported
Analytical Monitoring	TOC-V-CPH Carbon Analyzer
Remarks - Method	The test was conducted according to the guidelines above using good laboratory practice (GLP). No significant deviations from the test guidelines were reported.

#### RESULTS

<i>Test substance</i>		<i>Sodium benzoate</i>	
<i>Day</i>	<i>% Degradation</i>	<i>Day</i>	<i>% Degradation</i>
3	19.1	3	67.8
7	61.4	7	81.3
14	83.7	14	83.9
28	81.5	28	79.5

Remarks - Results All validity criteria for the test were satisfied. The reference compound, sodium benzoate, reached the 60% pass level by day 3 indicating the suitability of the inoculum. The toxicity control exceeded 25% biodegradation within 14 days showing that toxicity was not a factor inhibiting the biodegradability of the test substance. The degree of degradation of the notified polymer after the cultivation period was 81.5% and it reached the pass level within the 10-day window. Therefore, the test substance is classified as readily biodegradable according to the OECD (301 B) guideline.

CONCLUSION	The notified polymer is readily biodegradable
TEST FACILITY	Smithers (2012)

### **C.2. Ecotoxicological Investigations**

#### **C.2.1. Acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates**

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified polymer
METHOD	OECD TG 202 <i>Daphnia</i> sp. Acute Immobilisation Test – Static Test
Species	<i>Daphnia magna</i>
Exposure Period	48 hours
Auxiliary Solvent	None reported
Water Hardness	200 mg CaCO <sub>3</sub> /L
Analytical Monitoring	None reported
Remarks - Method	The test was conducted according to the guidelines above and good laboratory practice (GLP) principles. No significant deviations from the test guidelines were reported.

A Water Accommodated Fraction (WAF) of the notified polymer at the loading rates of 100 mg/L was prepared. A glass beaker containing the test item was placed on a stove at 45 ± 2 °C for a few minutes followed

by addition of reconstituted water. Then, the mixture was diluted with reconstituted water to 500 mL and put on a sonicator for minimum of 12 hours. The mixture was further filtered through a membrane filter of 0.2 µm. The filtrate was used as treatment solutions with appropriate dilutions. There were no flocculants observed in the treatment solutions on day 0.

## RESULTS

<i>Nominal Concentration (mg/L)</i>	<i>Number of D. magna</i>	<i>Cumulative % Immobilised 48 h</i>
Control	20	0
100	20	0

LL50 > 100 mg/L at 48 hours

NOEL > 100 mg/L at 48 hours

Remarks - Results All validity criteria for the test were satisfied. The 48 hour EL50 was greater than 100 mg/L.

## CONCLUSION

The notified polymer is not harmful to aquatic invertebrates

## TEST FACILITY

BIONEEDS (2013b)

## C.2.2. Algal growth inhibition test

## TEST SUBSTANCE

METHOD	OECD TG 201 Alga, Growth Inhibition Test
Species	<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>
Exposure Period	72 hours
Concentration Range	Nominal: 100 mg/L
Auxiliary Solvent	Not reported
Water Hardness	Not reported
Analytical Monitoring	Not reported
Remarks - Method	The test was conducted according to the guidelines above and good laboratory practice (GLP) principles. No significant deviations from the test guidelines were reported.

A Water Accommodated Fraction (WAF) of the notified polymer at the loading rates of 100 mg/L was prepared. A glass beaker containing the test item was placed on a stove at  $45 \pm 2$  °C for a few minutes followed by addition of reconstituted water. Then, the mixture was diluted with reconstituted water to 500 mL and put on a sonicator for minimum of 12 hours. The mixture was further filtered through a membrane filter of 0.2 µm. The filtrate was used as treatment solutions with appropriate dilutions. There were no flocculants observed in the treatment solutions on day 0.

## RESULTS

<i>Biomass (72 h)</i>		<i>Growth (72 h)</i>	
<i>E<sub>y</sub>L50 (mg/L)</i>	<i>NOE<sub>y</sub>L (mg/L)</i>	<i>E<sub>r</sub>L50 (mg/L)</i>	<i>NOE<sub>r</sub>L (mg/L)</i>
> 100	> 100	> 100	> 100

Remarks - Results All validity criteria for the test were satisfied. The 72 hour E<sub>r</sub>L50 was greater than 100 mg/L.

## CONCLUSION

The notified polymer is not harmful to algae

TEST FACILITY

BIONEEDS (2013c)

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- BIONEEDS (2013a) In vitro Mammalian Micronucleus Test of Decanedioic acid, polymer with 1,2,3-propanetriol, octanoate (CAS No. 1190099-88-7) in Human Lymphocytes (Study No. BIO-TX 256, April, 2013) India, BIONEEDS (unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- BIONEEDS (2013b) Acute Immobilisation Study Of Decanedioic Acid, Polymer With 1,2,3-Propanetriol, Octanoate (CAS No. 1190099-88-7) On *Daphnia magna* (Study No. BIO-TX 251, February, 2013) India, BIONEEDS (unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- BIONEEDS (2013c) Growth Inhibition Study Of Decanedioic Acid, Polymer With 1,2,3-Propanetriol, Octanoate (CAS No. 1190099-88-7) On Alga (Study No. BIO-TX 250, April, 2013) India, BIONEEDS (unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Boethling RS & Nabholz VJ (1997) Environmental Assessment of polymers under the U.S. Toxic Substances Control Act. In: Hamilton, JD Sutcliffe R ed. Ecological Assessment of Polymers Strategies for Product Stewardship and Regulatory Programs, 1<sup>st</sup> ed. New York, Van Nostrand Reinhold, pp 187-234.
- IDEA (2013) Bacterial reverse mutation assay: determination of the mutagenic activity of a test item on Salmonella Typhimurium (Ames Tests) according to the OECD # 471 (Study No. 6.46\_5S- 16598-ID-13/02478, May, 2013) France, IDEA Lab (unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- ICCVAM (2010). ICCVAM Test Method Evaluation Report: Current Validation Status of In Vitro Test Methods Proposed for Identifying Eye Injury Hazard Potential of Chemicals and Products. Research Triangle Park, NC: National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences. Accessed online [30 October 2013]: <http://iccvam.niehs.nih.gov/methods/ocutox/MildMod-TMER.htm>
- MB (2011) Hen's Egg Test Chorioallantoic Membrane (HET-CAM) for Non-Opaque Materials (Study No. MB 11-20159.09, September, 2011) United States of America, MB Research Laboratories (unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- NOHSC (2004) Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances, 3rd edition [NOHSC:1008(2004)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, AusInfo.
- SCCS (2010) The SCCS's Notes of Guidance for the Testing of Cosmetic Ingredients and Their Safety Evaluation, 7<sup>th</sup> Revision. Adopted by the Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety (SCCS) during the 9<sup>th</sup> plenary meeting of 14 December 2010.
- Shriram (2012). Test Certificate (December, 2012) India, Shriram Institute for Industrial Research.
- Smithers (2012) Capryloyl Glycerin/Sebacic Acid Copolymer – Determination of the Biodegradability of a Test Substance Based on OECD Method 301 B (CO<sub>2</sub> Evolution Test) (Study No. 13848.6113, February, 2012) Massachusetts, USA, Smithers Viscient (unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- United Nations (2009) Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS), 3rd revised edition. United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UN/ECE), <[http://www.unece.org/trans/danger/publi/ghs/ghs\\_rev03/03files\\_e.html](http://www.unece.org/trans/danger/publi/ghs/ghs_rev03/03files_e.html)>.
- US EPA (2010) Robust Summaries For Substances in The HPV Aliphatic Esters Category Of The Aliphatic Esters Chemicals. Appendix – Robust Summaries for Aliphatic Esters “Polyol Esters” HPV Test Plan (March, 2010).