

File No: STD/1385

June 2011

**NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS NOTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT SCHEME
(NICNAS)**

FULL PUBLIC REPORT

Novacron Red LS-BN

This Assessment has been compiled in accordance with the provisions of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act 1989* (Cwlth) (the Act) and Regulations. This legislation is an Act of the Commonwealth of Australia. The National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) is administered by the Department of Health and Ageing, and conducts the risk assessment for public health and occupational health and safety. The assessment of environmental risk is conducted by the Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities.

For the purposes of subsection 78(1) of the Act, this Full Public Report may be inspected at our NICNAS office by appointment only at Level 7, 260 Elizabeth Street, Surry Hills NSW 2010.

This Full Public Report is also available for viewing and downloading from the NICNAS website or available on request, free of charge, by contacting NICNAS. For requests and enquiries please contact the NICNAS Administration Coordinator at:

| | |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Street Address: | Level 7, 260 Elizabeth Street, SURRY HILLS NSW 2010, AUSTRALIA. |
| Postal Address: | GPO Box 58, SYDNEY NSW 2001, AUSTRALIA. |
| TEL: | + 61 2 8577 8800 |
| FAX | + 61 2 8577 8888 |
| Website: | www.nicnas.gov.au |

**Director
NICNAS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <u>FULL PUBLIC REPORT</u> | 3 |
| 1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS | 3 |
| 2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL | 3 |
| 3. COMPOSITION | 3 |
| 4. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES | 4 |
| 5. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION | 4 |
| 6. HUMAN HEALTH IMPLICATIONS | 5 |
| 6.1 Exposure assessment | 5 |
| 6.1.1 Occupational exposure | 5 |
| 6.1.2 Public exposure | 6 |
| 6.2 Human health effects assessment | 6 |
| 6.3 Human health risk characterisation | 8 |
| 6.3.1 Occupational health and safety | 8 |
| 6.3.2 Public health | 9 |
| 7. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS | 9 |
| 7.1 Environmental Exposure & Fate Assessment | 9 |
| 7.1.1 Environmental Exposure | 9 |
| 7.1.2 Environmental fate | 10 |
| 7.1.3 Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC) | 10 |
| 7.2 Environmental effects assessment | 10 |
| 7.2.1 Predicted No-Effect Concentration | 11 |
| 7.3 Environmental risk assessment | 11 |
| 8. CONCLUSIONS AND REGULATORY OBLIGATIONS | 11 |
| <u>APPENDIX A: PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES</u> | 14 |
| <u>APPENDIX B: TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS</u> | 17 |
| B.1. Acute toxicity – oral | 17 |
| B.2. Acute toxicity – dermal | 17 |
| B.3. Irritation – skin | 18 |
| B.4. Corrosion – skin | 18 |
| B.5. Irritation – eye | 20 |
| B.6. Repeat dose toxicity | 21 |
| B.7. Genotoxicity – bacteria | 22 |
| B.8. Genotoxicity – in vitro | 23 |
| B.9. Skin sensitisation – mouse local lymph node assay (LLNA) | 23 |
| <u>APPENDIX C: ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND ECOTOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS</u> | 25 |
| C.1. Environmental Fate | 25 |
| C.1.1. Ready biodegradability | 25 |
| C.1.2. Inherent biodegradability | 25 |
| C.2. Ecotoxicological Investigations | 26 |
| C.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish | 26 |
| C.2.2. Acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates | 27 |
| C.2.3. Algal growth inhibition test | 27 |
| C.2.4. Inhibition of microbial activity | 28 |
| <u>BIBLIOGRAPHY</u> | 29 |

FULL PUBLIC REPORT**Novacron Red LS-BN****1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS**

APPLICANT(S)

Huntsman Advanced Materials Pty Ltd (ABN: 93 091627879)
Gate 3, 765 Ballarat Rd Deer Park VIC 3023

Chemiplas Australia Pty Ltd (ABN: 29 003 056 808)
Level 3, 112 Wellington Parade
East Melbourne VIC 3002

NOTIFICATION CATEGORY

Standard: Chemical other than polymer (more than 1 tonne per year)

EXEMPT INFORMATION (SECTION 75 OF THE ACT)

Data items and details claimed exempt from publication: Chemical name, Other names, CAS number, Molecular and Structural formulae, Molecular weight, Analytical data, Methods of detection, Degree of purity, Hazardous impurities, Non-hazardous impurities, Import volume.

VARIATION OF DATA REQUIREMENTS (SECTION 24 OF THE ACT)

Variation to the schedule of data requirements is claimed as follows: Dissociation constant, Flash point, Acute inhalation toxicity, and In vivo genotoxicity study.

PREVIOUS NOTIFICATION IN AUSTRALIA BY APPLICANT(S)

None

NOTIFICATION IN OTHER COUNTRIES

EU

2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL

MARKETING NAME(S)

Novacron Red LS-BN (product containing the notified chemical at >75%)

MOLECULAR WEIGHT

>1000 Da (free acid form of main component).

All other known components have molecular weights > 500 Da, and in many cases > 1000 Da.

ANALYTICAL DATA

Reference UV/Vis, NMR, and HPLC spectra were provided.

3. COMPOSITION

DEGREE OF PURITY Reaction mixture (>75% active in dyeing)

ADDITIVES/ADJUVANTS

None

4. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

APPEARANCE AT 20°C AND 101.3 kPa: Dark red powder or granule

| Property | Value | Data Source/Justification |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Melting Point | >400°C | Measured |
| Boiling Point | >400°C at 98.2 kPa | Measured |
| Density | 1.728 x 10 ³ kg/m ³ at 19.8°C | Measured |
| Vapour Pressure | 8.96 x 10 ⁻⁴⁴ kPa at 25°C | Measured |
| Surface Tension | 66.1 mN/m at 20.2°C | Measured |
| Water Solubility | 74.1 g/L | Measured |
| Hydrolysis as a Function of pH | t _{1/2} = 2126 h at pH 4, 25°C t _{1/2} = 100 h at pH 7, 25°C | Measured |
| Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water) | log Pow = -4.32 | Measured |
| Adsorption/Desorption | log K _{oc} < 1.25 | Measured |
| Dissociation Constant | Not determined | The notified chemical is a salt and expected to be ionised under environmental conditions |
| Particle Size | Inhalable fraction (<100 µm): 100% Respirable fraction (<10 µm): 78% MMD* = 5.7 µm | Measured |
| Flash Point | Not determined | The notified chemical is a solid |
| Flammability (Solid) | Not determined to be flammable | Measured |
| Autoignition Temperature | 300°C (auto-flammable) | Measured |
| Explosive Properties | Not explosive | Measured (study not provided) |
| Oxidizing Properties | Not an oxidant | Measured |

* MMD = Mass Median Diameter

DISCUSSION OF PROPERTIES

For full details of tests on physical and chemical properties, refer to Appendix A. Note that particle size of imported material is larger than the tested material, as it is in a non-dusting form.

Reactivity

The notified chemical is chemically stable and will not decompose under normal ambient conditions. It is also considered to be inert in terms of oxidizing properties. However, rapid hydrolysis will occur in alkaline solutions (>pH 9).

Dangerous Goods classification

Based on the submitted physical-chemical data in the above table, the notified chemical is not classified according to the Australian Dangerous Goods Code (NTC, 2007). However, the data above do not address all Dangerous Goods endpoints. Therefore, consideration of all endpoints should be undertaken before a final decision on the Dangerous Goods classification is made by the introducer of the chemical.

5. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION

MODE OF INTRODUCTION OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

The product containing the notified chemical (>75% active in dyeing) will be imported into Australia in powder or granular form in robust anti-static polyethylene lined 25-kg fibreboard containers and transported from wharf to the contracted warehouse.

MAXIMUM INTRODUCTION VOLUME OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

| Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Tonnes | 5-20 | 5-20 | 5-20 | 5-20 | 5-20 |

PORT OF ENTRY

Melbourne or Sydney

IDENTITY OF MANUFACTURER/RECIPIENTS

Chemiplas Australia Pty Ltd will supply the products containing the notified chemical directly to dye houses in Australia.

TRANSPORTATION AND PACKAGING

The notified chemical will be imported in polyethylene lined 25-kg fibreboard container and transported by road directly to the customer warehouses for storage.

USE

The notified chemical will be used in dye for cellulosic textiles for apparel, sheeting and other uses. It will be used in dye houses only.

OPERATION DESCRIPTION

The product containing the notified chemical (>75% active in dyeing) will be imported into Australia in anti-dusting form, either as a powder with a particle size range of 150-200 microns or as granules with a particle size of 300 microns. Although most of the notified chemical imported will be sold as received, a small amount (less than 100 kg per year) may be repacked into smaller containers as samples or for use in mill trials. Repackaging, if required, will take place at the importer's facility.

The notified chemical will be used in several dye houses nationally. At the dyehouses, the product containing the notified chemical will be weighed (approximately 2.5 kg on average per 500 L of water) in a dispensary equipped with local exhaust ventilation and poured through a hatch into the enclosed dyeing vat. In the enclosed dyeing vat, approximately 500 L of water is added to prepare the finished dye solution (containing 0.5% of the notified chemical). Small samples of the dye solution will be removed for quality control testing.

The dye solution containing the notified chemical will be transferred through an enclosed system to a tank, and then dispensed into an enclosed dyeing machine. The dyeing process is mainly automated with the cloth driven by mechanical rollers. The system is mainly enclosed to prevent splashes and spills. Manual handling of wet cloth will occur during transport to the wash off batch on a pin chain. However, the cloth will be wrapped up in plastic film.

Over 90% of the dye is bound covalently to the substrate (cloth) and excess dye is washed off. The textile is then dried by hydroextraction followed by heating. The moist cloth is always wrapped up in plastic film during various washing and drying processes. Cleaning and maintenance is performed by the machine operators. This involves flushing the holding and mixing tanks with water.

6. HUMAN HEALTH IMPLICATIONS

6.1 Exposure assessment

6.1.1 Occupational exposure

NUMBER AND CATEGORY OF WORKERS

| <i>Category of Worker</i> | <i>Number</i> | <i>Exposure Duration (hours per day)</i> | <i>Exposure Frequency (days per year)</i> |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Transport drivers | 5-10 | 0.5-12 | 30 - 60 |
| Warehouse operators | 4 – 8/site | 0.5 | 100 – 150 |
| Batch area operators (weighing, dissolving, transfer and QA sampling) | 5 – 10/site | 0.5 | 180 – 240 |
| Dye machine operators (dyeing, fixing, cleaning and maintenance) | 5 – 10/site | 1 | 180 – 240 |

EXPOSURE DETAILS

Transport and Storage

Worker exposure to the notified chemical in neat form during importation, transportation and storage is not expected, except in the event of an accident where the packaging may be breached.

Preparation of dye solution and End Use Application

There is a possibility of dermal, ocular, and inhalation exposure to the notified chemical during weighing out and pouring of the notified chemical into the enclosed dyeing vat. However, exposure will be minimised by the anti-dusting formulation introduced, the use of purpose-designed dispensary, local exhaust ventilation and PPE such as elbow-length PVC gloves, safety glasses/faceshield and protective overalls when handling the notified chemical.

Exposure will also be minimised by the use of an enclosed system to transfer prepared dye solution to the tank and also by the use of an enclosed dyeing machine. Exposure during manual handling of wet cloth during transportation to the wash off batch on a pin chain and during further washing and drying processes will be minimised by the use of plastic film to wrap up the wet cloth.

During cleaning and maintenance processes, dermal, ocular and inhalation (to aerosols) exposure could occur. Workers are expected to wear an organic vapour cartridge respirator, gloves, safety glasses and overalls to minimise exposure.

6.1.2. Public exposure

The product containing the notified chemical will only be available to industrial users. Therefore, the general public will not be exposed to the notified chemical as such. However, the general public may be exposed through the use of dyed textiles such as apparel, sheeting and other uses.

The notifier has stated that over 90% of the dye is bound covalently to the substrate (cloth). In this regard, the notifier has provided fixation/exhaustion curves and fastness results. The excess dye will be washed off, and the textile will be dried by hydroextraction followed by heating. During washing and drying, unfixed dye will be washed off. Although no leaching/bleeding study has been provided by the notifier, considering almost 100% fixation and low concentration of the notified chemical in the dye solution, a significant amount of the notified chemical is not expected to be released from the dyed textile over time.

Therefore, considering the lack of availability of the notified chemical to the public and the fact that a significant amount of the notified chemical is not expected to be released from the dyed textile over time, public exposure to the notified chemical is not considered to be significant.

6.2. Human health effects assessment

The results from toxicological investigations conducted on the notified chemical are summarised in the table below. Details of these studies can be found in Appendix B.

| <i>Endpoint</i> | <i>Result and Assessment Conclusion</i> |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Rat, acute oral toxicity | LD50 >2000 mg/kg bw; low toxicity |
| Rat, acute dermal toxicity | LD50 >2000 mg/kg bw; low toxicity |
| Rat, acute inhalation toxicity | not determined |
| Rabbit, skin irritation | not-irritating |
| In Vitro Test – Human Skin Model | not-corrosive |
| Rabbit, eye irritation | Irritating (persistent colouration of the eye) |
| Mouse, skin sensitisation – Local lymph node assay | no evidence of sensitisation up to 20% conc. |
| Rat, repeat dose oral toxicity – 28 days. | NOEL 200 mg/kg/day |
| Mutagenicity – bacterial reverse mutation | non mutagenic |
| Genotoxicity – in vitro - Chinees Hamster V79 Cells | non genotoxic |

Toxicokinetics, metabolism and distribution.

No data were provided to assess toxicokinetics of the notified chemical. As the notified chemical is highly hydrophilic (log Pow < -4.32) with a high molecular weight >1000 Da), dermal absorption of the notified chemical is expected to be limited. This is consistent with the lack of systemic effects in the acute dermal toxicity study.

Acute toxicity.

The notified chemical is of low acute oral and dermal toxicity, with oral and dermal LD50 of being >2000 mg/kg bw. Although no acute inhalation study has been conducted, exposure is expected to be limited by its low vapour pressure and the non-dusting form of the imported notified chemical.

Irritation and Sensitisation.

The notified chemical was not irritating to the skin of rabbit.

An *in vitro* study to assess the corrosive potential of the notified chemical by means of the Human Skin Model Test was also assessed. The study concluded that, under the conditions of the test reported, the notified chemical was non corrosive to skin.

In the eye irritation study provided, NICNAS noted that persistent slight red staining of conjunctivae and sclera was visible up to the end of the observation period (21 days) in two animals out of three tested. No other abnormal effect was noted in the eyes and no abnormal findings were also observed in the cornea or iris of any animal at any of the examination times. The persistency of slight red staining of conjunctivae and sclera in eyes is most likely due to the administration of red dye (test substance) per se rather than a reflection of any intrinsic property of the test substance.

It was not a skin sensitiser up to 20% concentration, based on the results of the LLNA in mice.

Repeated Dose Toxicity

In an oral toxicity study, rats were fed 50, 200 or 1000 mg/kg bw/day of the notified chemical for 28 days. There were no deaths and no test substance-related significant effects on clinical observations, mean food consumption, mean body weight gain, Functional Observational Battery tests, mean fore- & hindlimb grip strength values, and mean locomotor activity at any dose level. However, reddish colouration of the faeces was noted in all treated animals until day 4 of the recovery period. As this finding is commonly observed following oral administration of dyes, it is not considered to be an adverse finding.

There were also no test substance-related effects on laboratory finding. However, red colouration of individual urine samples was noted and was considered to be a result of excretion of the test substance by the kidneys. The urinary colouration was reversible after the recovery period.

Histological examination revealed changes in the kidneys of the males and females in the high-dose group, consisting of vacuolation of tubular epithelial cells with finely granular eosinophilic inclusions, and elevated degrees of severity and/or incidence of tubular basophilia. These changes persisted in the recovery animals. Tubular changes were paralleled by increased mean degree of severity and/or incidence of interstitial inflammatory infiltrates.

Necrosis of tubular cells (grade 1-2) was noted in rats of high dose group. This finding was not seen after the treatment period in control rats nor in rats of low dose and mid dose groups. The finding was nearly completely reverted in rats necropsied after the recovery period.

The No Observed Effect Level (NOAEL) was established as 200 mg/kg bw/day in this study, based on histological changes in the kidney in the rats of high dose group (1000 mg/kg bw/day).

Mutagenicity and Carcinogenicity

The notified chemical was found to be negative in a bacterial reverse mutation test, and also showed no evidence of clastogenicity in a Mammalian Chromosome Aberration Test, using Chinese Hamster V79 Cells. Therefore, based on the available information, the notified chemical is unlikely to be genotoxic.

No data were provided to assess the potential for carcinogenicity of the notified chemical.

NICNAS noted that the notified chemical is an azo dye and contains a functional group, which has a structural alert for carcinogenicity and mutagenicity. In general, azo dyes are a concern for their potential induction of mutagenicity and carcinogenicity. The azo linkage is the most labile portion of an azo dye molecule, and it is readily enzymatically metabolised in mammals, including man (SCCNFP, 2002). Liver azo reductase enzymes reductively cleave the molecule into component amines. Some metabolism may also occur in the cells of the bladder wall, and during percutaneous absorption. Anaerobic intestinal bacteria are also capable of reductive

cleavage of the azo linkage.

The aromatic amines that arise from the azo reduction of azo dyes are thought to be activated through their *N*-oxidation by cytochrome P450 isozymes (SCCNFP, 2002). These *N*-hydroxylarylamines may be further glucuronated (activated) or acetylated (inactivated), which may influence their mutagenicity (Bartsch, 1981). Under acidic pH, they form reactive nitrenium ions that can alkylate bases in DNA, particularly the nucleophilic centres in guanine. This mechanism is thought to contribute to the carcinogenicity of many azo dyes.

In addition, azo dyes are renowned for their content of impurities, particularly for the presence of component arylamines (SCCNFP, 2002; Øllgaard *et al* 1998). Such impurities are thought to contribute to their carcinogenicity, as these species may be more readily absorbed, and activated as carcinogens. The analysis of the notified chemical found that it contains very low levels of primary unsulfonated aromatic amines as an impurity. As such, the impurities are unlikely to contribute to carcinogenicity of the notified chemical.

Toxicity for reproduction.

No data were provided to assess the potential for reproductive and developmental toxicity of the notified chemical.

Health hazard classification

Based on the data provided, the notified chemical is classified as hazardous according to the *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC, 2004), with the following risk phrase:

R41: Risk of serious eye damage

6.3. Human health risk characterisation

6.3.1. Occupational health and safety

The primary risk to workers from exposure to the notified chemical is eye irritation. Considering the possibility of persistency of the dye in the eyes if exposed, eye protection should be used to prevent any exposure to the notified chemical.

There is a risk for potential occupational exposure (dermal, ocular and inhalation) to the notified chemical during various processes involving the notified chemical such as importation, transport, storage, weighing out and pouring of the notified chemical into the enclosed dyeing vat. During importation, transport and storage, the risk of occupational exposure is minimal and considered acceptable as workers will only be exposed to the notified chemical in the case of an accident involving damage to the packaging and to wrapping.

The notified chemical is a dark red powder, with the majority of particles in the respirable range (<10 µm - 78%). However, the commercial form of the notified chemical that will be imported into Australia will contain anti-dusting agent and will be imported either as a powder with a particle size range of 150-200 microns or as granules with a particle size of 300 microns.

Therefore, the risk of inhalation exposure during weighing out and pouring of the notified chemical into the enclosed dyeing vat is expected to be low. The exposure during weighing out and pouring of the notified chemical into the enclosed dyeing vat will also be minimised by the use of purpose-designed dispensary, local exhaust ventilation, and PPE such as elbow-length PVC gloves, safety glasses/faceshield and protective overalls during these procedures.

The risk of occupational exposure during preparation of dye solution and end use application of dye solution containing 0.5% notified chemical is also expected to be low and considered acceptable, as enclosed system will be used to transfer prepared dye solution to the tank and dyeing machine is also an enclosed and mainly automated system with the cloth driven by mechanical rollers. If the dyeing machine has to be opened in the case of malfunction, exposure will be minimised with the use of eye protection, gloves and overalls.

Furthermore, as a plastic film will be used to wrap up wet cloth, the risk of occupational exposure during manual handling of wet cloth, during transportation to the wash off batch on a pin chain and during further washing and drying processes, is also expected to be low and considered acceptable. Also, due to the covalent linkage of the dye to the substrate, it is not expected that there will be any exposure to the free chemical following the washing steps.

During cleaning and maintenance, as workers will wear a respirator with an organic vapour cartridge, gloves, safety goggles and overalls and they will only be exposed to a low concentration of the notified chemical (0.5%), the risk of occupational exposure is expected to be low and considered acceptable.

Considering the dye formulation with an anti-dusting agent, and use of engineering controls and PPE, the risk to workers from using the product containing the notified chemical is not considered to be unacceptable.

6.3.2. Public health

The product containing the notified chemical will only be available to industrial users. Therefore, the general public will not be exposed to the notified chemical. However, the general public may be exposed to the notified chemical through the use of dyed textiles such as apparel, and sheeting.

The notified chemical will be present at a low concentration (0.5%) in the dye. The notifier states that almost 100% of the notified chemical will be bound covalently to the substrate (cloth) (as shown in fixation/exhaustion curves and fastness study provided). It is also noted that dyed textile material will be dried by hydroextraction followed by heating and it is expected that unfixed dye will be washed off during washing and heating cycles. Therefore, a significant amount of the notified chemical is not expected to be released from the dyed textile over time.

Therefore, considering all of the above and the hazard profile of the notified chemical, the risk of public exposure to the notified chemical is not considered to be significant and considered acceptable.

7. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

7.1. Environmental Exposure & Fate Assessment

7.1.1 Environmental Exposure

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL AT SITE

No manufacture or reformulation of the notified chemical will take place locally. Therefore, there will be no environmental exposure associated with this process in Australia. Release to the environment may occur in the event of an accident during transport or storage. Repacking of the dye (< 100 kg/annum) may take place however the release from this process is expected to be negligible.

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM USE

Nearly all of the dye containing the notified chemical will be removed from the import container liners by shaking and < 1 kg of the chemical per annum will remain as residue. Waste due to spills is expected by the notifier to be < 10 kg per annum. Dissolution of the dye takes place in an enclosed vat and the dye is pumped through a closed system to the dyeing machine. Therefore, release of the chemical is not expected at this stage.

The dye will be used to colour cellulosic textiles by the exhaust dyeing method. Once the dye has diffused into the fibre matrix it reacts with active sites on the substrate producing strong covalent bonds. The fabric is then dried and steamed to fix the dye to cellulose and then the unreacted dye is washed off. Fixation data provided by the notifier indicates that the fixation rate of the notified chemical to be > 90%. The notified chemical adsorbed to the fabric with the dye will not be released to the environment. The rinsate generated via fabric rinsing should contain up to 10% of the notified chemical imported.

The dye washed off the fabric will be discharged to the dyehouse effluent system, where cationic flocculation will be used to remove the anionic dyestuff. The treated effluent containing traces of the notified chemical will be disposed of to the sewer and sludge containing the notified chemical will be disposed of to landfill. The dye will be used in a small number of dyehouses and is not expected to be used in country dyehouses.

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM DISPOSAL

Any solid waste generated at the dyehouse including the residue in empty import containers will be disposed of as chemical waste. Articles containing dye are expected to be eventually disposed of to landfill.

7.1.2 Environmental fate

Studies submitted by the notifier indicated the notified chemical is not readily biodegradable or inherently biodegradable, however the notified chemical may hydrolyse under environmental conditions. The potential for bioaccumulation of the notified chemical is low due to its very high water solubility, large molecular weight and low log P_{OW} . It is expected that most of the dye not fixed to textiles (< 10% of the imported notified chemical) will be flocculated and collected and disposed according to State/Territory regulations. Due to its high water solubility, notified chemical residues released to STPs are not likely to be removed from influent by adsorption to sludge. However, notified chemical released to surface waters is expected to disperse and degrade. The majority of imported notified chemical will be covalently bound to textiles (> 90%) or contained in flocculated sludge and will ultimately end up in landfill. In landfill the notified chemical may be mobile and is expected to degrade slowly by biotic and abiotic pathways forming water and oxides of carbon, nitrogen, sulfur and inorganic salts.

For the details of the environmental fate studies, refer to Appendix C.

7.1.3 Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC)

The dye will be used in a small number of dyehouses. The environmental hazard has been determined for a typical dyehouse located in a metropolitan area. The Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC) is estimated below assuming a single point release and an estimated maximum daily use of 100 kg (annual import volume/work days = 20,000 kg / 200 days).

| Calculation Factor | Value |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Typical use of notified chemical | 100 kg |
| Amount of notified chemical in wastewater (fixation rate 90%) | 10 kg |
| Typical daily volume of dye house wash-water effluent | 2.90 ML |
| Concentration in dyehouse effluent | 3.45 mg/L |
| Dilution factor in sewage treatment plant | 1:100 |
| Concentration in effluent from sewage treatment plant | 34.5 µg/L |
| Predicted environmental concentrations (PECs) in receiving waters | |
| PEC River (Dilution Factor 1:1) | 34.5 µg/L |
| PEC Ocean (Dilution Factor 1:10) | 3.45 µg/L |

7.2. Environmental effects assessment

The results from ecotoxicological investigations conducted on the notified chemical are summarised in the table below. Details of these studies can be found in Appendix C.

| Endpoint | Result | Assessment Conclusion |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Fish Toxicity (96 h) | LC50 > 100 mg/L | Not harmful |
| Daphnia Toxicity (48 h) | EC50 > 100 mg/L | Not harmful |
| Algal Toxicity (72 h) | E _r C50 > 120 mg/L | Not harmful |
| Inhibition of Bacterial Respiration | IC50 > 1000 mg/L | No toxic effect |

Under the Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (United Nations, 2009) the notified chemical is considered to be not harmful to fish, aquatic invertebrates and algae, and therefore is not classified for acute or long-term hazard.

7.2.1 Predicted No-Effect Concentration

The lower limit of the median effect concentrations from ecotoxicological studies on the notified chemical was used to calculate the PNEC. An assessment factor of 100 was used as acute toxicity endpoints are available for the effects of the notified chemical on aquatic species from three trophic levels.

| Predicted No-Effect Concentration (PNEC) for the Aquatic Compartment | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|------|
| LC50 (Fish), EC50 (Daphnia) | > 100 | mg/L |
| Assessment Factor | 100 | |
| PNEC: | > 1000 | µg/L |

7.3. Environmental risk assessment

| Risk Assessment | PEC µg/L | PNEC µg/L | Q |
|-----------------|----------|-----------|-----------------------|
| Q - River | 34.5 | 1000 | 0.0345 |
| Q - Ocean | 3.45 | 1000 | 3.45×10^{-3} |

The Risk Quotients ($Q = \text{PEC}/\text{PNEC}$) for the worst case discharge scenario have been calculated to be < 1 for the river and ocean compartments. Furthermore, flocculation is expected to efficiently remove the notified chemical from the dyehouse waste stream. If released to surface waters, the notified chemical is expected to disperse and degrade. It is not expected to bioaccumulate or have significant effect on aquatic biota. Therefore, the notified chemical is not expected to pose an unacceptable risk to the aquatic environment based on its reported use pattern.

8. CONCLUSIONS AND REGULATORY OBLIGATIONS

Hazard classification

Based on the data provided, the notified chemical is classified as hazardous according to the *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* [NOHSC:1008(2004)], with the following risk phrase:

R41: Risk of serious eye damage

The classification of the notified chemical using the Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS) (United Nations 2003) is presented below. This system is not mandated in Australia and carries no legal status but is presented for information purposes.

| | <i>Hazard category</i> | <i>Hazard statement</i> |
|----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Eye irritation | Category 1 | Causes serious eye damage |

Human health risk assessment

Under the conditions of the occupational settings described, the notified chemical is not considered to pose an unacceptable risk to the health of workers.

When used in the proposed manner, the notified chemical is not considered to pose an unacceptable risk to public health.

Environmental risk assessment

On the basis of the PEC/PNEC ratio and the reported use pattern, the notified chemical is not considered to pose an unacceptable risk to the environment.

Recommendations

REGULATORY CONTROLS

Hazard Classification and Labelling

- Safe Work Australia should consider the following health hazard classification for the notified chemical:
 - R41: Risk of serious eye damage
- Use the following risk phrase for products/mixtures containing the notified chemical:

- Conc \geq 10%: Xi; R41
- 5% \leq conc < 10%: Xi; R36

Material Safety Data Sheet

- The MSDS provided by the notifier should be amended as follows:
 - Include R41 hazard classification and safety phrase with respect to eyes

CONTROL MEASURES

Occupational Health and Safety

- Employers should implement the following engineering controls to minimise occupational exposure to the notified chemical as introduced and as diluted for use:
 - Local exhaust ventilation during weighing out and pouring of the notified chemical into the enclosed dyeing vat.
 - Enclosed and automated processes during dye preparation and end use dye application.
- Employers should implement the following safe work practices to minimise occupational exposure during handling of the notified chemical as introduced and as diluted for use:
 - Avoid contact with eyes.
 - Do not inhale dust/vapour.
- Employers should ensure that the following personal protective equipment is used by workers to minimise occupational exposure to the notified chemical as introduced and as diluted for use:
 - Chemical resistant gloves
 - Goggles
 - Coveralls

Guidance in selection of personal protective equipment can be obtained from Australian, Australian/New Zealand or other approved standards.

- A copy of the MSDS should be easily accessible to employees.
- If products and mixtures containing the notified chemical are classified as hazardous to health in accordance with the *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* [NOHSC:1008(2004)] workplace practices and control procedures consistent with provisions of State and Territory hazardous substances legislation must be in operation.

Disposal

- The notified chemical should be disposed of to landfill.

Emergency procedures

- Spills or accidental release of the notified chemical should be handled by physical containment, collection and subsequent safe disposal.

Regulatory Obligations

Secondary Notification

This risk assessment is based on the information available at the time of notification. The Director may call for the reassessment of the chemical under secondary notification provisions based on changes in certain circumstances. Under Section 64 of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act (1989)* the notifier, as well as any other importer or manufacturer of the notified chemical, have post-assessment regulatory obligations to notify NICNAS when any of these circumstances change. These obligations apply even when the notified chemical is listed on the Australian Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS).

Therefore, the Director of NICNAS must be notified in writing within 28 days by the notifier, other importer or manufacturer:

- 1) Under Section 64(1) of the Act; if
 - the notified chemical is introduced in other than a non-dusting form and/or in which greater than 25% of particles have a diameter less than 10µm;or
- (2) Under Section 64(2) of the Act; if
 - the function or use of the chemical has changed from dye for cellulosic textiles, or is likely to change significantly;
 - the amount of chemical being introduced has increased from 20 tonnes/annum, or is likely to increase, significantly;
 - the chemical has begun to be manufactured in Australia;
 - additional information has become available to the person as to an adverse effect of the chemical on occupational health and safety, public health, or the environment.

The Director will then decide whether a reassessment (i.e. a secondary notification and assessment) is required.

No additional secondary notification conditions are stipulated.

Material Safety Data Sheet

The MSDS of a product containing the notified chemical provided by the notifier was reviewed by NICNAS. The accuracy of the information on the MSDS remains the responsibility of the applicant.

APPENDIX A: PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES**Melting Point** >400°C

| | |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Method | OECD TG 102 Melting Point/Melting Range EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.1 Melting/Freezing Temperature |
| Remarks | The test substance did not melt at temperature of up to 400°C under the conditions of the test. Decomposition started at about 280°C. |
| Test Facility | RCC Ltd (2008a) |

Boiling Point >400°C at 98.2 kPa

| | |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Method | OECD TG 103 Boiling Point EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.2 Boiling Temperature. |
| Remarks | The test substance did not boil at temperature of up to 400°C under the conditions of the test. Decomposition started at about 280°C. |
| Test Facility | RCC Ltd (2008a) |

Density 1.728 x 10³ kg/m³ at 19.8°C

| | |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Method | OECD TG 109 Density of Liquids and Solids. EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.3 Relative Density. |
| Remarks | Determined using the gas comparison pycnometer method. |
| Test Facility | RCC Ltd (2008b) |

Vapour Pressure 8.96 x 10⁻⁴⁴ kPa at 25°C

| | |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Method | OECD TG 104 Vapour Pressure. EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.4 Vapour Pressure. |
| Remarks | Determined using Modified Watson Correlation method. The boiling point used in this calculation was 1041.19 °C, which was estimated using Meissner's method. |
| Test Facility | RCC Ltd (2008c) |

Water Solubility 74.1 g/L

| | |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Method | OECD TG 105 Water Solubility. EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.6 Water Solubility. |
| Remarks | Simplified Flask Method with HPLC Analysis. The test substance was ground in a mortar and 3 samples of 4 g were each added to about 20 mL water and stirred for 24 hours. After filtration (0.2 µm Nylon) the solution was diluted by a factor of 1:5000 with water. The test substance was quantified by HPLC and water solubility calculated. |
| Test Facility | RCC Ltd (2008d) |

Hydrolysis as a Function of pH

| | |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Method | OECD TG 111 Hydrolysis as a Function of pH. EC Directive 92/69/EEC C.7 Degradation: Abiotic Degradation: Hydrolysis as a Function of pH. |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

| <i>pH</i> | <i>T (°C)</i> | <i>t</i> _{1/2} (<i>hours</i>) |
|-----------|---------------|------------------------------------------|
| 4 | 25 | 2126 |
| 4 | 50 | 323 |
| 4 | 70 | 60 |
| 4 | 80 | 48 |
| 7 | 25 | 100 |
| 7 | 50 | 7 |
| 7 | 60 | 2 |
| 7 | 70 | 1 |
| 9 | 50 | unstable |

| | |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Remarks | As the test substance was found to be unstable at pH 4, 7 and 9, further testing was |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

performed in order to calculate the rate constant. Two peaks were observed. Results for the main peak are reported (the second peak had a half life less than the main peak at pH 4 ($t_{1/2}$ = 485 h) and no hydrolysis observed at pH 7 and 9).

Test Facility Harlan Laboratories (2009a)

Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water) $\log P_{ow} = -4.32$

Method In-house method

Remarks Based on the results of the preliminary test, neither the flask method nor the HPLC-method were applicable for the determination of the $\log P_{ow}$. Therefore, the value was estimated from the ratio of solubilities of the test substance in water (74.098 g/L) and n-octanol (3.577 mg/L), respectively.

Test Facility RCC Ltd (2008d)

Adsorption/Desorption $\log K_{oc} < 1.25$

Method OECD TG 121: Estimation of the Adsorption Coefficient (K_{oc}) on Soil and on Sewage Sludge using High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)

Remarks Stock solution was prepared by dissolving the test substance in a solvent mixture of water and methanol (45:55; v/v). An aliquot of stock solution was diluted with solvent mixture to obtain a test solution with concentration of 117.75 µg/mL. The pH was determined to be 6.9. The test solution was injected 3 times in a HPLC system and its retention time was compared with several reference compounds. The test substance had a shorter retention time than the reference item with the lowest $\log K_{oc}$ and hence is an upper limit is reported.

Test Facility Harlan Laboratories (2009b)

Particle Size

Method EC, Directorate General JRC, Joint research Centre. 'Particle Size Distribution, Fibre Length and Diameter Distribution' Draft Guidance Documents, EUR 20268 EN (2002), Part 5.2 "Laser scattering / diffraction".

| <i>Range (µm)</i> | <i>Mass (%)</i> |
|-------------------|-----------------|
| <1.1 | 5 |
| <1.7 | 10 |
| <5.7 | 50 |
| <14 | 90 |

Remarks The particle size was found to range from approximately 0.3 to 300 µm. The mass median diameter (MMD) was determined to be 5.7 µm.

Test Facility RCC Ltd (2008e)

Flammability (Solid) Not determined to be flammable

Method EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.10 Flammability (Solids).
EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.12 Flammability in contact with water
EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.13 Pyrophoric properties.

Remarks The test substance could not be ignited with flame during the preliminary test (contact time of about 2 minutes). Therefore, no main test was performed. The test substance also did not ignite spontaneously when on contact with water or humid air and shows no risk with respect to pyrophoric properties.

Test Facility RCC Ltd (2008f)

Autoignition Temperature 300°C (auto-flammable)

| | |
|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Method | EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.16 Relative Self-Ignition Temperature for Solids. |
| Remarks | Applying a linear increase in temperature of about 0.5°C/min, the test substance showed an exothermic reaction starting at about 295°C. At the end of the measurement, the test item was carbonised and coloured black. The test substance is auto-flammable under the conditions of the test. |
| Test Facility | RCC Ltd (2008g) |

Surface Tension 66.1 mN/m at 20.2°C

| | |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Method | OECD TG 115 Surface Tension of Aqueous Solutions. EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.5 Surface Tension. |
| Remarks | Surface tension of the notified chemical was determined at a concentration of about 0.1% in water. Based on the criteria outlined in the EEC Guidelines, the notified chemical is not a surface active substance. |
| Test Facility | RCC Ltd (2008h) |

Oxidizing Properties Not an oxidant

| | |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Method | EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.17 Oxidizing Properties (Solids). |
| Remarks | The test substance showed no burning at all or only surface burning. |
| Test Facility | RCC Ltd (2008i) |

APPENDIX B: TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

B.1. Acute toxicity – oral

| | |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical |
| METHOD | OECD TG 423 Acute Oral Toxicity – Acute Toxic Class Method. |
| Species/Strain | Rat/HanRcc:WIST |
| Vehicle | Water |
| Remarks - Method | Animals were treated by oral gavage |

RESULTS

| <i>Group</i> | <i>Number and Sex of Animals</i> | <i>Dose mg/kg bw</i> | <i>Mortality</i> |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 1 | 3 (female) | 2000 | 0 |
| 2 | 3 (female) | 2000 | 0 |

LD50 >2000 mg/kg bw

Signs of Toxicity A slightly ruffled fur was observed in 2 animals at 3 hours after treatment and persisted up to 5 hours after treatment. Soft faeces were observed in all animals 5 hours after treatment. Discoloured purple faeces or purple/black faeces were observed in all animals 5 hours after treatment & persisted up to test day 2.

Effects in Organs No macroscopic findings were recorded at necropsy. All group 2 animals were found to have an enlarged spleen at necropsy.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is of low toxicity via the oral route.

TEST FACILITY RCC Ltd (2008j)

B.2. Acute toxicity – dermal

| | |
|------------------|------------------------------------|
| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical |
| METHOD | OECD TG 402 Acute Dermal Toxicity. |
| Species/Strain | Rat/ HanRcc:WIST |
| Vehicle | Water |
| Type of dressing | Semi-occlusive |
| Remarks - Method | |

RESULTS

| <i>Group</i> | <i>Number and Sex of Animals</i> | <i>Dose mg/kg bw</i> | <i>Mortality</i> |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 1 | 5 males/5 females | 2000 | 0 |

LD50 >2000 mg/kg bw

Signs of Toxicity - Local No clinical signs were observed during the course of the study. A slight red staining was observed in all males & females on test day 2 and persisted up to test days 3 and 5. A very slight erythema was observed in 2 males from test day 6 up to test days 10 & 15 (the end of observation period). Scaling was recorded in one male on test day 4 & 5 and in two males on test day 5. Additionally, one male was observed with scabs on test days 10 & 15.

Signs of Toxicity - Systemic None
Effects in Organs None

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is of low toxicity via the dermal route.

TEST FACILITY Harlan Laboratories Ltd (2009b)

B.3. Irritation – skin

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 404 Acute Dermal Irritation/Corrosion.
 Species/Strain Rabbit/New Zealand White
 Number of Animals 3
 Vehicle Water
 Observation Period 10 days
 Type of Dressing Semi-occlusive
 Remarks - Method The duration of application was four hours. The scoring of skin reactions was performed at 1, 24, 48 and 72 hours as well as 7 & 10 days after removal of the dressing.

RESULTS

| <i>Lesion</i> | <i>Mean Score*</i> <i>Animal No.</i> | | | <i>Maximum Value</i> | <i>Maximum Duration of Any Effect</i> | <i>Maximum Value at End of Observation Period</i> |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---|---|----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | |
| <i>Erythema/Eschar</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Oedema</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

*Calculated on the basis of the scores at 24, 48, and 72 hours for EACH animal.

Remarks - Results Marked red staining of the skin produced by the test substance (red dye) was observed in all animals at 1 hour after treatment, which persisted slightly up to the 72-hour reading or up to 7 days after treatment. Due to this staining, no assessment of erythema formation could be made at 1 hour after the treatment.
 From 24 hours after the treatment onwards, the intensity of the staining was reduced and a full assessment of skin reactions was possible. Neither erythema nor any other skin reactions were observed at any of the observation times.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is non-irritating to the skin.

TEST FACILITY Harlan Laboratories Ltd (2009c)

B.4. Corrosion – skin

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 431: In Vitro Skin Corrosion: Human Skin Model Test (Original Guideline adopted April 13, 2004)

Treatment:

Duplicate EpiDerm tissues were treated with the test item, positive, and negative controls for 2 different treatment intervals: 3 minutes and 1 hour. After pre-incubation of EpiDerm tissues was completed (1 hour for each treatment medium), medium was replaced by 0.9 mL fresh assay medium in all four 6-well plates. 50 µl of deionised water (negative control) was added into the first insert atop the EpiDerm tissue. The procedure was repeated with the second tissue. It was proceeded with test item and the positive control in the same manner until all tissues of the same treatment interval were dosed. The 6-well plates were placed into the incubator (37±1.5°C, 0.5% CO₂).

After the end of the treatment interval, the first insert was removed

immediately from the 6-well plate. Using a wash bottle, the tissue was gently rinsed with PBS to remove any residual test material. Excess PBS was removed by gently shaking the insert and blotting the bottom with blotting paper. The insert was placed in the prepared holding plate. It was proceeded with test item and the positive control in the same manner until all EpiDerm tissues were dosed.

MTT Assay:

For MTT (2H-tetrazolium, 2-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-3,5-diphenyl-, bromide (1:1)), two 24-well plates were prepared before end of the tissue pre-warming period. 300 µl of the MTT solution was added to each well and the plates were kept in an incubator (37±1.5°C, 0.5% CO₂), until use.

After the treatment procedure was completed for all tissues of each time point, cell culture inserts were transferred from the holding plates to the MTT-plates. Further procedures were performed to complete the MTT assay. Finally, optical density was measured at 570 nm without reference filter. Mean values were calculated from the 3 wells per tissue.

Remarks - Method

After treatment with the negative control, the absorbance values were well above the required acceptability criterion of mean OD ≥ 0.8 for both treatment intervals thus showing the quality of the tissues. Treatment with the positive control induced a decrease in the relative absorbance as compared to the negative control to 21.9% for the 3 minutes treatment interval and 8.2% for the 1 hour treatment intervals, thus ensuring the validity of the test system.

Acceptance criteria:

The absolute optical density (OD) 570 nm of the negative control tissues in the MTT-test is an indicator of tissue viability obtained in the testing laboratory after shipping and storing procedure and under specific conditions of the assay. Tissue viability is meeting the acceptance criterion if the mean OD of the two tissues is OD ≥ 0.8.

An assay is meeting the acceptance criterion if mean relative tissue viability of the Positive Control is ≤ 30%.

RESULTS

Remarks - Results

The notified chemical did not stain the MTT solution blue colour after 1 hour incubation and therefore, it was concluded that the notified chemical did not reduce MTT.

| Dose group (treatment interval) | Mean Absorbance @ 570 nm of Tissue 1 and Tissue 2 | Relative absorbance (% of negative control) |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Negative Control (3 min) | 1.758 | 100.0 |
| Positive Control (3 min) | 0.385 | 21.9 |
| Test substance (3 min) | 1.522 | 86.6 |
| Negative Control (1 hr) | 1.533 | 100.0 |
| Positive Control (1 hr) | 0.125 | 8.2 |
| Test substance (1 hr) | 0.147 | 74.8 |

After treatment with the test substance, the relative absorbance values were decreased to 86.6% after 3 minutes treatment and 74.8% after 1 hour treatment. Both values were well above the threshold for corrosivity (50% for 3 minutes treatment and 15% for 1 hour treatment). Therefore, the test

substance was not considered corrosive.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical was considered to be non-corrosive under the conditions of the test.

TEST FACILITY RCC Ltd (2008k)

B.5. Irritation – eye

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 405 Acute Eye Irritation/Corrosion.
 Species/Strain Rabbit/New Zealand White
 Number of Animals 3
 Observation Period 21 Days
 Remarks - Method Observation period was extended to 21 days due to red staining of the eye tissues.

RESULTS

| Lesion | Mean Score* Animal No. | | | Maximum Value | Maximum Duration of Any Effect | Maximum Value at End of Observation Period |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | |
| <i>Conjunctiva: redness</i> | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 72 hrs | 0 |
| <i>Conjunctiva: chemosis</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Conjunctiva: discharge</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Corneal opacity</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>Iridial inflammation</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

*Calculated on the basis of the scores at 24, 48, and 72 hours for EACH animal.

Remarks - Results No clinical signs were observed during the course of study & there was no mortality.
 The test substance (red dye) produced red staining of the eye. Marked staining was observed in the treated eyes of all animals one hour after treatment. From 24 hours after treatment, slight red staining was noted in the treated eyes, persisting up to 14 days in one animal, and up to the end of the observation period (21 days) in the other two animals.
 From 24 hours to 72 hours after exposure to the test substance, slight reddening of the conjunctivae was noted in all animals. Thereafter, no abnormal findings were observed in the treated eye of any animal until 21 days after treatment.

CONCLUSION NICNAS noted that persistent slight red staining of conjunctivae & sclera was visible up to the end of the observation period (21 days) in the two animals. No other abnormal effect was noted in the eyes. No abnormal findings were also observed in the cornea or iris of any animal at any of the examination. It is likely that the persistency of slight red staining of conjunctivae & sclera is most likely due to the administration of red dye (test substance) per se and rather than a reflection of any intrinsic property of the test substance.
 NICNAS also noted that eye irritation scores were below the classification for eye irritation, according to the *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC, 2004). However, as the notified chemical caused persistent eye colouration that lasted in 2 animals up to 21 days, the notified chemical was considered to cause serious eye damage, according to the *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC, 2004). Therefore, the notified chemical is classified with the risk phrase 'Risk of serious eye damage (R41)'.

TEST FACILITY Harlan Laboratories Ltd (2009d)

B.6. Repeat dose toxicity

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD EC Directive 96/54/EC B.7 Repeated Dose (28 Days) Toxicity (Oral).
 Species/Strain Rat/Wistar
 Route of Administration Oral – gavage
 Exposure Information Total exposure days: 28 days;
 Dose regimen: 7 days per week;
 Post-exposure observation period: 14 days recovery
 Vehicle Water
 Remarks - Method No significant deviation from test protocol.
 Recovery animals were sacrificed after 14-day treatment-free recovery period.

RESULTS

| <i>Group</i> | <i>Number and Sex of Animals</i> | <i>Dose mg/kg bw/day</i> | <i>Mortality</i> |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| I (control) | 5/sex | 0 | 0 |
| II (low dose) | 5/sex | 50 | 0 |
| III (mid dose) | 5/sex | 200 | 0 |
| IV (high dose) | 5/sex | 1000 | 0 |
| V (control recovery) | 5/sex | 0 | 0 |
| VI (high dose recovery) | 5/sex | 1000 | 0 |

Mortality and Time to Death

All animals survived until schedule necropsy.

Clinical Observations

No significant clinical observations were noted in animals administered the test substance. The mean food consumption and mean body weight developments were unaffected by the administration of the test substance. However, reddish colouration of the faeces was noted in all treated animals, until day 4 of the recovery period. As this finding is commonly observed following oral administration of dyes, it is not considered to be an adverse finding.

No significant finding was also evident during the Functional Observational Battery tests at week 4. Similarly, no test substance related differences in the mean fore- & hindlimb grip strength values or the mean locomotor activity were noted.

Laboratory Findings – Clinical Chemistry, Haematology, Urinalysis

No significant laboratory finding was noted. However, colouration of individual urine samples was noted and was considered to be a result of excretion of the test substance by the kidneys. The urinary colouration was reversible after the recovery period.

Effects in Organs

At 50 mg/kg bw/day, reduction in mean relative epididymide weights was noted after four weeks of treatment. At 50 and 200 mg/kg bw/day, reduced mean absolute epididymide weights were noted after four weeks of treatment. However, as no effect on epididymide weights were noted at 1000 mg/kg bw/day, this effect was unlikely to be test substance related and is therefore, not considered to be an adverse effect. Also, at 1000 mg/kg bw/day, no differences to the mean absolute and relative organ weights were seen after the treatment period, when compared with the control animals of either sex.

Histological examination revealed changes in the kidneys of the males and females in the high-dose group, consisting of vacuolation of tubular epithelial cells with finely granular eosinophilic inclusions. Elevated degrees of severity and/or incidence of tubular basophilia were also noted in this group. These changes persisted in the recovery animals. Tubular changes were paralleled by increased mean degree of severity and/or incidence of interstitial inflammatory infiltrates. An increase in hyaline droplets also occurred in high-

dose males. These kidney changes were thought to be treatment-related.

Necrosis of tubular cells (grade 1-2) was noted in rats of high dose group. This finding was not seen after the treatment period in control rats nor in rats of low dose and mid dose groups. The finding was nearly completely reverted in rats necropsied after the recovery period. Tubular changes were deemed to be of an adverse nature.

Remarks – Results

Toxicologically relevant changes occurred in the kidneys in both sexes of the high-dose group.

CONCLUSION

The No Observed Effect Level (NOEL) was established as 200 mg/kg bw/day in this study, based on histological changes in the kidney in the high dose group (1000 mg/kg bw/day).

TEST FACILITY Harlan Laboratories Ltd (2009g)

B.7. Genotoxicity – bacteria

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 471 Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test.

Test 1: plate incorporation

Test 2: pre incubation procedure

Species/Strain *S. typhimurium*: TA1535, TA1537, TA98, TA100

E. coli: WP2 uvrA

Metabolic Activation System S9 fraction Phenobarbital/β-naphthoflavone induced rat liver

Concentration Range in a) With metabolic activation: 33-5000 µg/plate.

Main Test b) Without metabolic activation: 33-5000 µg/plate.

Vehicle Deionised Water

Remarks - Method

No significant protocol deviations.

In the pre-experiment, the concentration range of the test item was 3-5000 µg/plate. TA100 & WP2 uvrA experiments were repeated in Test 1 due to contamination. The pre-experiment is reported as Test 1. Since no toxic effects were observed at up to 5000 µg/plate, this concentration was chosen as maximal concentration. In Test 2, concentration used were 33, 100, 333, 1000, 2500 & 5000 µg/plate.

RESULTS

| Metabolic Activation | Test Substance Concentration (µg/plate) Resulting in: | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| | Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test | Cytotoxicity in Main Test | Precipitation | Genotoxic Effect |
| <i>Absent</i> | | | | |
| Test 1 | >5000 | Not performed | Not performed | Negative |
| Test 2 | Not performed | >5000 | >5000 | Negative |
| <i>Present</i> | | | | |
| Test 1 | >5000 | Not performed | Not performed | Negative |
| Test 2 | Not performed | >5000 | >5000 | Negative |

Remarks - Results

No substantial increase in revertant colony numbers of any of the five tester strains was observed following treatment with the notified chemical at any dose level, neither in the presence nor absence of metabolic activation.

The positive controls (sodium azide, 2-aminoanthracene, 4-nitro-o-phenylene-diamine, methyl methane sulfonate) showed a distinct increase in induced revertant colonies, confirming the efficacy of the test system.

CONCLUSION

The notified chemical was not mutagenic to bacteria under the conditions of the test.

TEST FACILITY RCC Ltd (2008l)

B.8. Genotoxicity – in vitro

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical (>75%)

METHOD OECD TG 473 In vitro Mammalian Chromosome Aberration Test.
EC Directive 2000/32/EC B.10 Mutagenicity - In vitro Mammalian Chromosome Aberration Test.

Species/Strain Chinese Hamster
Cell Type/Cell Line V79
Metabolic Activation System S9 fraction Phenobarbital/β-naphthoflavone induced rat liver
Vehicle Deionised Water
Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations.

| Metabolic Activation | Test Substance Concentration (µg/mL) | Exposure Period (hrs) | Harvest Time (hrs) |
|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| <i>Absent</i> | | | |
| Test 1 | 19.5, 39.1, 78.1, 156.3*, 312.5*, 625*, 1250, 2500, 5000 | 4 | 18 |
| Test 2 | 3.9, 7.8, 15.6, 31.3*, 62.5*, 125*, 250, 500, 1000 | 18 | 18 |
| <i>Present</i> | | | |
| Test 1 | 19.5, 39.1, 78.1*, 156.3*, 312.5*, 625, 1250, 2500, 5000 | 4 | 18 |
| Test 2 | 75, 100, 200, 300, 350*, 400*, 450* | 4 | 18 |

*Cultures selected for metaphase analysis.

RESULTS

| Metabolic Activation | Test Substance Concentration (µg/mL) Resulting in: | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| | Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test | Cytotoxicity in Main Test | Precipitation | Genotoxic Effect |
| <i>Absent</i> | | | | |
| Test 1 | Not performed | >625.0 | >625.0 | Negative |
| Test 2 | Not performed | >500.0 | >500.0 | Negative |
| <i>Present</i> | | | | |
| Test 1 | Not performed | >312.5 | >312.5 | Negative |
| Test 2 | Not performed | >450.0 | >450.0 | Negative |

Remarks - Results

Under the experimental conditions reported, the test item did not induce chromosome aberrations as determined by the chromosome aberration test in V79 cells (Chinese hamster cell line) *in vitro*.

Ethylmethane sulfonate (EMS) and cyclophosphamide (CPA) were used as positive controls and showed distinct increases in cells with chromosomal aberrations.

CONCLUSION

The notified chemical was not clastogenic to Chinese Hamster V79 Cells treated in vitro under the conditions of the test.

TEST FACILITY Harlan Laboratories Ltd (2009g)

B.9. Skin sensitisation – mouse local lymph node assay (LLNA)

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 429 Skin Sensitisation: Local Lymph Node Assay

Species/Strain Mouse/CBA/CaOlaHsd
Vehicle Ethanol:Water (30:70)
Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations.
The highest test item concentration, which can be technically used was a 20% solution in ethanol/deionised water (30+70). The test item

concentrations in the main study were chosen based on the preliminary test.

Four animals were used per group.

RESULTS

| <i>Concentration (% w/w)</i> | <i>Proliferative response (DPM/lymph node)</i> | <i>Stimulation Index (Test/Control Ratio)</i> |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| <i>Test Substance</i> | | |
| 0 (vehicle control) | 461.4 | -- |
| 5 | 604.3 | 1.31 |
| 10 | 759.7 | 1.65 |
| 20 | 705.9 | 1.53 |
| <i>Positive Control (α-Hexylcinnamaldehyde)</i> | | |
| 0 (vehicle control) | 665.9 | -- |
| 5% | 1188.2 | 1.78 |
| 10% | 1225.8 | 1.84 |
| 25% | 3244.9 | 4.87 |

Remarks - Results

The animals did not show any clinical signs during the course of the study and no cases of mortality were observed.

The test item did not result in 3-fold or greater increase in the stimulation index with any of the test item concentration.

Positive control was conducted with α -Hexylcinnamaldehyde previously in February 2008. The positive control induced a stimulation index of >3, thereby confirming the suitability of the test system.

CONCLUSION

There was no evidence of induction of a lymphocyte proliferative response indicative of skin sensitisation to the notified chemical up to 20% concentration, under the conditions of the test.

TEST FACILITY

RCC Ltd (2008m)

APPENDIX C: ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND ECOTOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

C.1. Environmental Fate

C.1.1. Ready biodegradability

| | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical |
| METHOD | OECD TG 301 F Ready Biodegradability: Manometric Respirometry Test |
| Inoculum | Aerobic activated sludge from domestic wastewater treatment plant |
| Exposure Period | 28 days |
| Auxiliary Solvent | None |
| Analytical Monitoring | Oxygen consumption measured as pressure drop by electrode type manometer |
| Remarks - Method | No significant deviations from the test guidelines were reported |

RESULTS

| <i>Test substance</i> | | <i>Sodium Benzoate</i> | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| <i>Day</i> | <i>% Degradation*</i> | <i>Day</i> | <i>% Degradation*</i> |
| 5 | 0 | 5 | 80.5 |
| 10 | 2 | 10 | 90.5 |
| 14 | 0 | 14 | 93.5 |
| 20 | 4 | 20 | 95.0 |
| 28 | 4 | 28 | 97.0 |

*Based on mean of 2 replicates

Remarks - Results The results tabulated above are based on COD. There was no net oxygen consumption or carbon dioxide evolution in the abiotic control. The test substance had no apparent toxic or inhibitory effect on the inoculum, based on the results from the toxicity control. All validity criteria for the test were satisfied. The test substance was found to be not biodegradable under the test conditions.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is not readily biodegradable

TEST FACILITY RCC Ltd (2008n)

C.1.2. Inherent biodegradability

| | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical |
| METHOD | OECD TG 302C Inherent Biodegradability: Modified MITI Test (II) |
| Inoculum | Aerobic activated sludge from domestic wastewater treatment plant |
| Exposure Period | 28 days |
| Auxiliary Solvent | None |
| Analytical Monitoring | Oxygen consumption measured as pressure drop by electrode type manometer |
| Remarks – Method | <p>The following modifications were made: Activated sludge from only one source was used, the holding period for the activated sludge was maximum of 7 days, the test was conducted at 22°C and only BOD was measured. No other deviations to protocol were reported.</p> <p>The test item was test at 80 mg/L. Sodium benzoate was used at the reference substance at 100 mg/L concentration. Inoculum control, procedural control and toxicity control were also conducted.</p> |

RESULTS

| <i>Test substance</i> | | <i>Sodium Benzoate</i> | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| <i>Day</i> | <i>% Degradation</i> | <i>Day</i> | <i>% Degradation</i> |
| 1 | - | 1 | - |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | 65 |
| 7 | 0 | 7 | 78 |
| 10 | 0 | 10 | 86 |
| 14 | 0 | 14 | 91 |
| 21 | 0 | 21 | 92 |
| 28 | 0 | 28 | 93 |

Remarks – Results

The toxicity control attained 68% degradation after 14 days confirming that the test substance was not inhibitory to activated sludge bacteria. The reference substance showed the expected degradation profile, confirming the suitability of the inoculums and the validity of the test conditions. The deviations to protocol are not expected to significantly alter the outcome of the test.

CONCLUSION

The notified chemical is not inherently biodegradable

TEST FACILITY

RCC Ltd (2008o)

C.2. Ecotoxicological Investigations**C.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish**

TEST SUBSTANCE

Notified chemical

METHOD

OECD TG 203 Fish, Acute Toxicity Test – Semi- static
EC Directive 92/69/EEC C.1 Acute Toxicity for Fish – Semi- static

Species

Zebra fish (*Brachydanio rerio*)

Exposure Period

96 hours

Auxiliary Solvent

None

Water Hardness

125 mg CaCO₃/L

Analytical Monitoring

HPLC

Remarks – Method

Following a range-finding test a limit test was conducted in accordance with the guidelines above and with GLP compliance. No deviations to protocol were reported.

RESULTS

| <i>Concentration mg/L</i> | | <i>Number of Fish</i> | <i>Mortality</i> | | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| <i>Nominal</i> | <i>Actual</i> | | <i>3 h</i> | <i>24 h</i> | <i>48 h</i> | <i>72 h</i> | <i>96 h</i> |
| Control | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 100 | 98-104 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

LC50

> 100 mg/L at 96 hours (based on nominal concentration)

NOEC

> 100 mg/L at 96 hours (based on nominal concentration)

Remarks – Results

The analytically determined mean test item concentration in the test medium at the start and the end of the test medium renewal periods of 24 hours was 98 and 104% of the nominal value, respectively. Under the test conditions, the test substance was stable during the test period of 96 h. Therefore, all reported results are related to the nominal concentration. All validity criteria for the test were satisfied.

CONCLUSION

The notified chemical is not harmful to fish

TEST FACILITY

Harlan Laboratories (2009h)

C.2.2. Acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates

| | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical |
| METHOD | OECD TG 202 <i>Daphnia</i> sp. Acute Immobilisation Test - Static EC Directive 92/69/EEC C.2 Acute Toxicity for <i>Daphnia</i> - Static |
| Species | <i>Daphnia magna</i> |
| Exposure Period | 48 hours |
| Auxiliary Solvent | None |
| Water Hardness | 250 mg CaCO ₃ /L |
| Analytical Monitoring | Liquid chromatography |
| Remarks - Method | Following a range-finding test a definitive test was conducted in accordance with the guidelines above and with GLP compliance. No deviations to protocol were reported. |

RESULTS

| Nominal Concentration mg/L | Number of <i>D. magna</i> | Number Immobilised | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------|
| | | 24 h | 48 h |
| Control | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.5 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 46 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 100 | 20 | 0 | 0 |

| | |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| LC50 | > 100 mg/L at 48 hours (based on nominal concentration) |
| NOEC | ≥ 100 mg/L at 48 hours (based on nominal concentration) |
| Remarks - Results | The analytically determined test substance concentration in the test medium in the highest nominal concentration was 115% of nominal value. Under the test conditions, the test substance was stable during the test period of 48 h. Therefore, all reported results are related to the nominal concentration. All validity criteria for the test were satisfied. |

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is not harmful to aquatic invertebrates.

TEST FACILITY RCC Ltd (2008p)

C.2.3. Algal growth inhibition test

| | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical |
| METHOD | OECD TG 201 Alga, Growth Inhibition Test. EC Directive 92/69/EEC C.3 Algal Inhibition Test. |
| Species | <i>Scenedesmus subspicatus</i> |
| Exposure Period | 72 hours |
| Concentration Range | Nominal: 1, 3.2, 10, 32, 100 mg/L Actual: 0.52 (half of LOQ), 2.1, 7.8, 29, 120 mg/L (52%, 66%, 78%, 89%, 120% of nominal) |
| Auxiliary Solvent | None |
| Water Hardness | 24 mg CaCO ₃ /L |
| Analytical Monitoring | Liquid chromatography |
| Remarks - Method | As the test substance is a dye resulting in coloured test media, the light intensity was reduced. The protocol was modified by running two parallel experiments. Experiment A used the standard algal toxicity test protocol. Experiment B used light source which was filtered through a solution of the test substance prior to exposure of the algae in a separate container in order to control for the effects of the changes in the light. |

RESULTS

| <i>Experiment</i> | <i>Biomass</i> | | <i>Growth</i> | |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | <i>E_bC50 (95% CI)</i> <i>mg/L at 72 h</i> | <i>NOEC</i> <i>mg/L</i> | <i>E_rC50 (95% CI)</i> <i>mg/L at 72 h</i> | <i>NOEC</i> <i>mg/L</i> |
| A | 23 (9.7-68) | 0.52 | >120 (CI n.d.) | 7.8 |
| B | 63 (44-99) | 11 (EC10)* | >120 (CI n.d.) | 31 (EC10)* |

CI: confidence interval, n.d.: could not be determined

*NOECs not reported for Experiment B, EC10 value reported.

Remarks - Results

At the end of the test, the analytically determined test item concentrations in the analysed test media varied in the range from 52 to 117% of the nominal value. Therefore, the reported biological results are based on the geometric means of the concentrations measured at the start and end of the test.

The difference in the growth rates between Experiments A and B exceeded the criteria of 10% for the two highest concentration tested (29 and 120 mg/L). Thus, a toxic effect of the test item could not be excluded and the reduced growth rate could be entirely explained by reduced light intensity. All test validity criteria were satisfied.

CONCLUSION

The notified chemical is not harmful to algae (based on E_rC50)

TEST FACILITY

RCC Ltd (2008q)

C.2.4. Inhibition of microbial activity

TEST SUBSTANCE

Notified chemical

METHOD

OECD TG 209 Activated Sludge, Respiration Inhibition Test.
EC Directive 88/302/EEC C.11 Biodegradation: Activated Sludge Respiration Inhibition Test

Inoculum
Exposure Period
Concentration Range

Activated sludge from domestic wastewater treatment plant
3 hours
Nominal: 1000 mg/L
Actual: Not reported

Remarks – Method

No significant protocol deviations were reported

RESULTS

IC50
NOEC
Remarks – Results

> 1000 mg/L
1000 mg/L
The IC50 for the reference substance (3,5 dichlorophenol) was calculated to be 18 mg/L which was within the guideline range, confirming the suitability of activated sludge used.

CONCLUSION

The notified chemical is not inhibitory to microbial respiration

TEST FACILITY

RCC Ltd (2008r)

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Harlan Laboratories (2009a) Hydrolysis Determination at Different pH values (Harlan Laboratories Study C19387, 29 April 2009) Zelgliweg 1, 4452 Itingen, Switzerland, Harlan Laboratories Ltd. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Harlan Laboratories (2009b) Estimation of the Adsorption Coefficient On Soil Using High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) (Harlan Laboratories Study C19398, 7 Jan 2009) Zelgliweg 1, 4452 Itingen, Switzerland, Harlan Laboratories Ltd. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier)
- Harlan Laboratories Ltd (2009c). Notified chemical: Acute Dermal Toxicity Study in Rats. Harlan Laboratory Study No. C19411, January 2009. Harlan Laboratories Ltd, Wölferstrasse 4, 4414 Füllinsdorf, Switzerland. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Harlan Laboratories Ltd (2009d). Notified chemical: Primary skin irritation study in rabbits (4-hour Semi-Occlusive Application). Harlan Laboratory Study No. C19422, February 2009. Harlan Laboratories Ltd, Wölferstrasse 4, 4414 Füllinsdorf, Switzerland. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Harlan Laboratories Ltd (2009e). Notified chemical: Primary eye irritation study in rabbits. Harlan Laboratory Study No. C19433, February 2009. Harlan Laboratories Ltd, Wölferstrasse 4, 4414 Füllinsdorf, Switzerland. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Harlan Laboratories Ltd (2009f). Notified chemical: 28-Day Oral (Gavage) Toxicity Study in the Wistar Rat. Harlan Laboratory Study No. C19466, June 2009. Harlan Laboratories Ltd, Zelgliweg 1, 4452 Itingen, Switzerland. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Harlan Laboratories Ltd (2009g). Notified chemical: In Vitro Chromosome Aberration Test in Chinese Hamster V79 Cells. Harlan Laboratory Study No. C19444, April 2009. Harlan Laboratories Ltd, 4452 Itingen, Switzerland. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Harlan Laboratories (2009h). Notified chemical: Acute Toxicity to Zebra Fish (*Brachydanio rerio*) in a 96-Hour test (Harlan Laboratories Study C19400, 26 Mar 2009) Zelgliweg 1, 4452 Itingen, Switzerland, Harlan Laboratories Ltd. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier)
- NOHSC (1994) National Code of Practice for the Labelling of Workplace Substances [NOHSC:2012(1994)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.
- NOHSC (2003) National Code of Practice for the Preparation of Material Safety Data Sheets, 2nd edition [NOHSC:2011(2003)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.
- NOHSC (2004) Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances, 3rd edition [NOHSC:1008(2004)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, AusInfo.
- NTC (National Transport Commission) 2007 Australian Code for the Transport of Dangerous Goods by Road and Rail (ADG code), 7th Edition, Commonwealth of Australia
- RCC Ltd (2008a). Notified chemical: Determination of the Melting point, Melting range and the Boiling point/Boiling range. RCC Study No. B80616, June 2008. RCC Ltd, Zelgliweg 1, 4452 Itingen, Switzerland. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- RCC Ltd (2008b). Notified chemical: Determination of the Relative Density. RCC Study No. B80627, July 2008. RCC Ltd, Zelgliweg 1, 4452 Itingen, Switzerland. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- RCC Ltd (2008c). Notified chemical: Calculation of the Vapour Pressure. RCC Study No. B80638, July 2008. RCC Ltd, Zelgliweg 1, 4452 Itingen, Switzerland. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- RCC Ltd (2008d) Determination of the Water Solubility and the Partition Coefficient (n-Octanol/Water) (RCC Study number B80651, 15 Aug 2008) Zelgliweg 1, 4452 Itingen, Switzerland, RCC Ltd. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier)
- RCC Ltd (2008e). Notified chemical: Determination of the Particle Size Distribution. RCC Study No. B80706, May 2008. RCC Ltd, Zelgliweg 1, 4452 Itingen, Switzerland. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- RCC Ltd (2008f). Notified chemical: Determination of the Flammability and Evaluation of the Flammability in Contact with Water and Pyrophoric Properties. RCC Study No. B80662, May 2008. RCC Ltd, Zelgliweg 1, 4452 Itingen, Switzerland. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).

- RCC Ltd (2008g). Notified chemical: Determination of the Relative Self-Ignition Temperature. RCC Study No. B80684, May 2008. RCC Ltd, Zelgliweg 1, 4452 Itingen, Switzerland. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- RCC Ltd (2008h). Notified chemical: Determination of the Surface Tension of an Aqueous Solution. RCC Study No. B80640, May 2008. RCC Ltd, Zelgliweg 1, 4452 Itingen, Switzerland. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- RCC Ltd (2008i). Notified chemical: Determination of the Oxidising Properties (solids). RCC Study No. B80695, August 2008. RCC Ltd, Zelgliweg 1, 4452 Itingen, Switzerland. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- RCC Ltd (2008j). Notified chemical: Acute Oral Toxicity Study in Rats. RCC Study No. 1160703, March 2008. RCC Ltd, Wölferstrasse 4, 4414 Füllinsdorf, Switzerland. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- RCC Ltd (2008k). Notified chemical: In Vitro Corrosion-Human Skin Model Test. RCC Study No. 1160701, March 2008. RCC Ltd, Zelgliweg 1, 4452 Itingen, Switzerland. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- RCC Ltd (2008l). Notified chemical: Salmonella typhimurium & Escherichia coli Reverse Mutation Assay. RCC Study No. B80752, March 2008. RCC Ltd, Wölferstrasse 4, 4414 Füllinsdorf, Switzerland. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- RCC Ltd (2008m). Notified chemical: Local lymph Node assay in Mice. RCC Study No. B80717, May 2008. RCC Ltd, Wölferstrasse 4, 4414 Füllinsdorf, Switzerland. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- RCC Ltd (2008n) Ready Biodegradability in a Manometric Respirometry Test Including Determination of the Biochemical Oxygen Demand after 5 days (BOD₅) (RCC Study number B80818, 16 Jun 2008) Zelgliweg 1, 4452 Itingen, Switzerland, RCC Ltd. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- RCC Ltd (2008o) Inherent Biodegradability in a Manometric Respirometry Test (RCC Study number B80820, 16 Jun 2008) Zelgliweg 1, 4452 Itingen, Switzerland, RCC Ltd. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- RCC Ltd (2008p) Acute Toxicity to Daphnia magna in 48 hour immobilization test. (RCC Study number B80763, 18 Jul 2008). Zelgliweg 1, 4452 Itingen, Switzerland, RCC Ltd (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier)
- RCC Ltd (2008q) Toxicity to *Scenedesmus subspicatus* in a modified algal growth inhibition test for colored test substances (RCC Study number B80785, 21 Jul 2008). Zelgliweg 1, 4452 Itingen, Switzerland, RCC Ltd. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- RCC Ltd (2008r) Toxicity to Activated Sludge in a Respiration Inhibition Test (RCC Study number B80807, 13 Jun 2008) Zelgliweg 1, 4452 Itingen, Switzerland, RCC Ltd. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- SCCNFP (2002) The Safety Review Of The Use Of Certain Azo-Dyes In Cosmetic Products: Opinion Of The Scientific Committee On Cosmetic Products And Non-Food Products Intended For Consumers. SCCNFP/0495/01 (prepared in the context of Directive 76/768/EEC).
- United Nations (2009) Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS), 3rd revised edition. United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UN/ECE), <http://www.unece.org/trans/danger/publi/ghs/ghs_rev03/03files_e.html>.