

File No: STD/1206

July 2006

**NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS NOTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT SCHEME
(NICNAS)**

FULL PUBLIC REPORT

Dynasylan 9116

This Assessment has been compiled in accordance with the provisions of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act 1989* (Cwlth) (the Act) and Regulations. This legislation is an Act of the Commonwealth of Australia. The National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) is administered by the Department of Health and Ageing, and conducts the risk assessment for public health and occupational health and safety. The assessment of environmental risk is conducted by the Department of the Environment and Heritage.

For the purposes of subsection 78(1) of the Act, this Full Public Report may be inspected at:

Library
Australian Safety and Compensation Council
25 Constitution Avenue
CANBERRA ACT 2600
AUSTRALIA

To arrange an appointment contact the Librarian on TEL + 61 2 6279 1162 or email ascc.library@dewr.gov.au

This Full Public Report is available for viewing and downloading from the NICNAS website or available on request, free of charge, by contacting NICNAS. For requests and enquiries please contact the NICNAS Administration Coordinator at:

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Street Address: | 334 - 336 Illawarra Road MARRICKVILLE NSW 2204, AUSTRALIA. |
| Postal Address: | GPO Box 58, SYDNEY NSW 2001, AUSTRALIA. |
| TEL: | + 61 2 8577 8800 |
| FAX | + 61 2 8577 8888 |
| Website: | www.nicnas.gov.au |

**Director
NICNAS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| FULL PUBLIC REPORT | 3 |
| 1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS | 3 |
| 2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL | 3 |
| 3. COMPOSITION..... | 4 |
| 4. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION..... | 4 |
| 5. PROCESS AND RELEASE INFORMATION..... | 4 |
| 5.1. Distribution, transport and storage..... | 4 |
| 5.2. Operation description..... | 4 |
| 5.3. Occupational exposure..... | 4 |
| 5.4. Release..... | 5 |
| 5.5. Disposal | 5 |
| 5.6. Public exposure..... | 5 |
| 6. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES..... | 5 |
| 7. TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS | 8 |
| 7.1. Acute toxicity – oral | 8 |
| 7.2. Acute toxicity – dermal..... | 8 |
| 7.3. Acute toxicity – inhalation..... | 9 |
| 7.4a. Irritation – skin | 9 |
| 7.4b. Irritation – skin | 10 |
| 7.5. Irritation – eye..... | 11 |
| 7.6. Skin sensitisation | 11 |
| 7.7. Repeat dose toxicity - inhalation..... | 12 |
| 7.8. Genotoxicity – bacteria..... | 14 |
| 7.9. Genotoxicity – in vitro..... | 14 |
| 8. ENVIRONMENT..... | 15 |
| 8.1. Environmental fate..... | 15 |
| 8.1.1. Ready biodegradability | 15 |
| 8.1.2. Bioaccumulation | 16 |
| 8.2. Ecotoxicological investigations | 17 |
| 8.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish..... | 17 |
| 8.2.2. Acute/chronic toxicity to aquatic invertebrates..... | 17 |
| 8.2.3. Algal growth inhibition test | 18 |
| 9. RISK ASSESSMENT | 19 |
| 9.1. Environment | 19 |
| 9.1.1. Environment – exposure assessment..... | 19 |
| 9.1.2. Environment – effects assessment | 19 |
| 9.1.3. Environment – risk characterisation..... | 20 |
| 9.2. Human health..... | 20 |
| 9.2.1. Occupational health and safety – exposure assessment | 20 |
| 9.2.2. Public health – exposure assessment..... | 20 |
| 9.2.3. Human health – effects assessment..... | 20 |
| 9.2.4. Occupational health and safety – risk characterisation | 21 |
| 9.2.5. Public health – risk characterisation..... | 21 |
| 10. CONCLUSIONS – ASSESSMENT LEVEL OF CONCERN FOR THE ENVIRONMENT AND HUMANS..... | 21 |
| 10.1. Hazard classification..... | 21 |
| 10.2. Environmental risk assessment | 21 |
| 10.3. Human health risk assessment | 21 |
| 10.3.1. Occupational health and safety..... | 21 |
| 10.3.2. Public health..... | 21 |
| 11. MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET | 21 |
| 11.1. Material Safety Data Sheet | 21 |
| 11.2. Label | 22 |
| 12. RECOMMENDATIONS..... | 22 |
| 12.1. Secondary notification | 22 |
| 13. BIBLIOGRAPHY | 23 |

FULL PUBLIC REPORT**Dynasylan 9116****1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS**

APPLICANT(S)

Plastral Pty Ltd. (ABN 68 000 144 132)

11b Lachlan St.

Waterloo NSW 2017

NOTIFICATION CATEGORY

Standard: Chemical other than polymer (more than 1 tonne per year).

EXEMPT INFORMATION (SECTION 75 OF THE ACT)

Data items and details claimed exempt from publication:

- Chemical Name
- CAS Number
- Molecular Formula
- Structural Formula
- Molecular Weight
- Import Amounts
- Composition Including Purities, Impurities and By product
- Identity of Sites
- Used Details

VARIATION OF DATA REQUIREMENTS (SECTION 24 OF THE ACT)

Variation to the schedule of data requirements is claimed as follows:

- Hydrolysis
- Dissociation constant
- Absorption/Desorption
- Octanol-Water partition coefficient
- Flammability
- Autoignition temperature
- Explosive properties
- Reactivity
- Acute inhalation toxicity test
- Acute dermal toxicity test
- Subacute toxicity test
- Ames-test
- Biodegradation

PREVIOUS NOTIFICATION IN AUSTRALIA BY APPLICANT(S)

None

NOTIFICATION IN OTHER COUNTRIES

USA, Canada, Korea, China, EU, Philippines.

2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL

OTHER NAME(S)

Hexadecyltrimethoxysilane

n-Hexadecyltrimethoxysilane

AY 43-216MC

Dynasylan 9116
Si 116
Silane 116
Silane Si 116

3. COMPOSITION

DEGREE OF PURITY
>80%

4. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION

MODE OF INTRODUCTION OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

The notified chemical will be imported as a component (1-5%) of a polyethylene plastic compound (pellet form) in 500 kg or 1000 kg cardboards.

MAXIMUM INTRODUCTION VOLUME OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

| <i>Year</i> | <i>1</i> | <i>2</i> | <i>3</i> | <i>4</i> | <i>5</i> |
|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| <i>Tonnes</i> | 30-100 | 30-100 | 30-100 | 30-100 | 30-100 |

USE

The notified chemical will be used as an additive for polyethylene to make plastic articles.

5. PROCESS AND RELEASE INFORMATION

5.1. Distribution, transport and storage

PORT OF ENTRY
Melbourne

IDENTITY OF MANUFACTURER/RECIPIENTS

Imported polymer compound containing the notified chemical will be received by the notifier and stored at third party warehouse(s) in Victoria.

TRANSPORTATION AND PACKAGING

Imported polymer compound containing the notified chemical will be imported in 500 kg or 1000 kg plastic lined and sealed cardboard (also called "Octabins") which will be transported from wharf by road and stored at a site in VIC before they are distributed to manufacturers in Australia. Local distribution will be by road.

5.2. Operation description

Reformulation/manufacturing

The plastic compound containing the notified chemical will be imported in a form suitable for the direct feeding into an automated extrusion line. No repackaging of the imported product will be carried out in Australia.

The polymer compound containing the notified chemical will be automatically fed into an enclosed extrusion machine and be extruded to form plastic article. The newly formed article will then be subjected to a humid environment which will cause the notified chemical to permanently and irreversibly bond to the polymer substrate. All manufacturing operations will be performed in an enclosed and automatic system.

End use

Articles made from the polymer will find use in a variety of both industrial and domestic purposes.

5.3. Occupational exposure

Number and Category of Workers

| <i>Category of Worker</i> | <i>Number</i> | <i>Exposure Duration</i> | <i>Exposure Frequency</i> |
|---------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Waterside and Transport | 10 | 1-2 | 10 |
| Warehouse | 3 | 2 | 24 |
| Manufacturing | 2 | 1 | 365 |

Exposure Details

Waterside, transport and warehouse workers will not open imported containers of the polymer compound containing the notified chemical. The possibility of exposure to the notified chemical on breaching the containers is minimal as the notified chemical has a very low vapour pressure and is encapsulated in the polymer compound. Workers will routinely wear protective overalls and safety footwear.

Worker exposure during manufacturing is unlikely as the polymer compound containing the notified chemical will be automatically fed into an enclosed extrusion machine. All manufacturing operations will be performed in an enclosed and automatic system. The possibility of worker exposure to the notified chemical on spilling of imported polymer compound containing the notified chemical is minimal as the notified chemical has a very low vapour pressure and is encapsulated in the polymer compound. In addition, personal protective equipment will be routinely used and will include safety glasses, gloves, protective coveralls and safety footwear.

No worker exposure is possible during end uses as the notified chemical is totally transformed and permanently bonded to the polymer carrier during the manufacturing process.

5.4. Release**RELEASE OF CHEMICAL AT SITE**

A polymer in pellet form encapsulates the notified chemical which is automatically fed into an enclosed extrusion machine and is extruded to form plastic articles. The chemical will undergo hydrolysis when exposed to humid conditions to permanently bond to the polymer compound. In the event of spills it would be expected that the chemical be reused to the extent practicable. The pellets containing the notified chemical are expected to be easily physically removed from the packaging. Residue in packaging is expected to be approximately 20 g per 500 kg "octabin" resulting in a wastage rate of 0.004%. Therefore, up to 4 kg will be disposed per annum.

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM USE

The notified chemical is totally transformed and permanently bonded to the polymer carrier during the manufacturing process. No release to the environment is possible.

5.5. Disposal

Residues from empty containers will be disposed to landfill.

5.6. Public exposure

No public exposure is possible during use of plastic articles for industrial and domestic use as the notified chemical is totally transformed and permanently bonded to the polymer carrier during the manufacturing process.

The public may be exposed to the notified chemical in the unlikely event of an accident during transportation of the polymer compound containing the notified chemical.

6. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

Appearance at 20°C and 101.3 kPa

Colourless liquid

Melting Point/Freezing Point

1.4 °C

METHOD OECD TG 102 Melting Point/Melting Range.
EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.1 Melting/Freezing Temperature.
Remarks Using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)
TEST FACILITY Infracor GmbH (2003a)

Boiling Point

350°C at 100.5 kPa

METHOD OECD TG 103 Boiling Point.
EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.2 Boiling Temperature.
Remarks Using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)
TEST FACILITY Infracor GmbH (2003b)

Density890 kg/m³ at 20°C

METHOD OECD TG 109 Density of Liquids and Solids.
EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.3 Relative Density.
TEST FACILITY Infracor GmbH (2003c)

Vapour Pressure

0.133 kPa at 25°C

METHOD MPBPWIN Program, Version 1.40
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000
TEST FACILITY Degussa (2003)

Water Solubility

Not applicable

Remarks It is reactive and unstable in water.

Hydrolysis as a Function of pH

Not applicable

Remarks Hydrolyses in water, with spontaneous hydrolysis at any pH other than 7.

Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water)

Not applicable

Remarks Spontaneous hydrolysis of the notified chemical does not allow measurement of O/W partition coefficient.

Adsorption/Desorption

Not applicable

Remarks The notified chemical will undergo hydrolysis upon contact with moisture or water to release methanol and reactive silanols. The silanols will irreversibly become chemically bonded to siliceous and other oxides in soils. It is expected that the adsorption to soil will be near 100%.

Dissociation Constant

Not applicable

Remarks The notified chemical does not contain functional groups which are likely to dissociate. Spontaneous hydrolysis of the notified chemical does not allow measurement of dissociation constants in water.

Flash Point

165 °C

METHOD DIN 51758
TEST FACILITY Degussa , Germany (no test report was provided)

Flammability Limits

Not determined

Remarks The notified chemical is classified as a combustible liquid. However, the product is not expected to reach a temperature high enough to form combustible vapours in

air.

Autoignition Temperature Not determined, but estimated to be > 165 °C

Remarks No test data is available.

Explosive Properties Not determined

Remarks The notified chemical has no potential to detonate as a result of heat, shock or friction.

Reactivity Not determined

Remarks The notified chemical has no oxidising properties. It will undergo hydrolysis in the presence of water, and methanol is a product of this reaction (this will not occur with the imported product).

ADDITIONAL TESTS

Viscosity 7 mPas at 20°C

METHOD DIN 53015

Remarks The test report is not available.

7. TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

The notified chemical produces methanol after hydrolysis. Some of the toxicity studies were conducted using analogous chemicals that are considered to be acceptable analogues of the notified chemical:

Analogue chemical 1 – Silane, trimethoxy- : this substance is similar to the notified chemical in that it has three methoxy groups on one of its constituent silanes. The end alkyl group is replaced by a hydrogen atom. This analogue is significantly more volatile. It is claimed that because of the higher volatility, this chemical would have more adverse toxicity and can be considered as the worse case effect.

Analogue chemical 2 - Silane, octyltrimethoxy-: this substance is similar to the notified chemical. The only difference is that the alkyl group is C5 instead of C3.

The two analogues are considered suitable for this assessment.

| <i>Endpoint and Result</i> | <i>Assessment Conclusion</i> |
|--|---|
| Rat, acute oral (LD ₅₀ >5002mg/kg bw) | Low toxicity |
| Rat, acute dermal | Moderately to severely irritating to the skin |
| Rat, acute inhalation | Not performed |
| Rabbit, skin irritation | Moderately irritating |
| Rabbit, eye irritation | Slightly irritating |
| Guinea pig, skin sensitisation - adjuvant test | No evidence of sensitisation |
| Rat, inhalation repeat dose toxicity - 28 days | NOAEL not established |
| Genotoxicity - bacterial reverse mutation | Non mutagenic |
| Genotoxicity – in vitro chromosomal aberration | Non genotoxic |

7.1. Acute toxicity – oral

| | |
|----------------|---|
| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical |
| METHOD | OECD TG 401 Acute Oral Toxicity – Limit Test. |
| Species/Strain | Rat/ Bor: WISW (SPFCpb) |
| Vehicle | None, undiluted test substance |

RESULTS

| <i>Group</i> | <i>Number and Sex of Animals</i> | <i>Dose mg/kg bw</i> | <i>Mortality</i> |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 1 | 5/sex | 5002 | None |

| | |
|-------------------|----------------|
| LD50 | >5002 mg/kg bw |
| Signs of Toxicity | None |
| Effects in Organs | None |

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is of low toxicity via the oral route.

TEST FACILITY ASTA Pharma AG (1989a)

7.2. Acute toxicity – dermal

| | |
|------------------|---|
| TEST SUBSTANCE | Analogue chemical 1 (Silane, trimethoxy-) |
| METHOD | No Test Guidelines was indicated. |
| Species/Strain | Rabbit/New Zealand White |
| Vehicle | None, untitled test substance |
| Type of dressing | Occlusive |
| Exposure period | 24 h |

Remarks – Method

The study was conducted to evaluate nephrotoxic potential. Test substance was applied to the clipped and intact skin of the trunk. Doses used 4 and 12 mL/kg. Observations for skin reactions were made at 1h, 7 days and 14 days after removal of patches.

Body weights were recorded before dosing, 7 days and 14 days after dosing. At death or sacrifice, each animal was subjected to gross pathologic evaluation. Only the kidneys and urinary bladders were evaluated microscopically.

No individual observations for skin reactions and body weight were provided in the study report.

RESULTS

| <i>Group</i> | <i>Number and Sex of Animals</i> | <i>Dose</i> | <i>Mortality</i> |
|--------------|----------------------------------|-------------|------------------|
| 1 | 4 females | 12 mL/kg | 4/4 |
| 2 | 4 females | 4 mL/kg | 1/4 |

Signs of Toxicity - Local

Cutaneous effects at both doses - erythema, edema, necrosis, ecchymoses, fissuring, desquamation, alopecia and scabs. In addition, the dosed area of each rabbit had a leather-like texture.

Signs of Toxicity - Systemic
Effects on kidneys and urinary bladder

Sluggishness, prostration, discharge around nose at both doses. Necropsy of rabbits that died revealed dark red lungs, pale tan lungs with dark red areas (in 1 animal), gas-filled intestines (in 1) and a trace amount of blood in the urine (in 1). Necropsy of rabbits that survived revealed maroon and dark red lungs (in 1) and dark red kidneys (in 1).

The only microscopical lesions observed in the kidneys were moderate focal tubular dilation in one animal at 12 mL/kg and minimal multifocal mineralization in 1 animal at 4 mL/kg.

CONCLUSION

The test substance was moderately to severely irritating to the skin.

TEST FACILITY

Bushy Run Research Centre, (1991)

7.3. Acute toxicity – inhalation

TEST SUBSTANCE

Not performed

7.4a. Irritation – skin

TEST SUBSTANCE

Notified chemical

METHOD

OECD TG 404 Acute Dermal Irritation/Corrosion.

Species/Strain

Rabbit/White Russian (Albino)

Number of Animals

3 males

Vehicle

None

Exposure Period

4 h

Observation Period

10 days

Type of Dressing

Occlusive patch

RESULTS

| <i>Lesion</i> | <i>Mean Score*</i> <i>Animal No.</i> | | | <i>Maximum Value</i> | <i>Maximum Duration of Any Effect</i> | <i>Maximum Value at End of Observation Period</i> |
|------------------------|---|---|---|----------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | |
| <i>Erythema/Eschar</i> | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 9 days | 0 |
| <i>Oedema</i> | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 9 days | 0 |

*Calculated on the basis of the scores at 24, 48, and 72 hours for EACH animal.

| | |
|-------------------|---|
| Remarks – Results | Well defined erythema, slight to severe edema; also observed escharosis and formation of skin scales. The Primary Irritation Index is 3.6. Systemic toxic effects did not occur after exposure. The general condition of the test animals was not affected. |
| CONCLUSION | The notified chemical is moderately irritating to the skin. |
| TEST FACILITY | ASTA Pharma AG (1989b) |

7.4b. Irritation – skin

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| TEST SUBSTANCE | Analogue chemical 1 (Silane, trimethoxy-) |
| METHOD | No Test Guidelines was indicated. |
| Species/Strain | Rabbit/New Zealand White |
| Number of Animals | 6 (3 males and 3 females) |
| Vehicle | Water |
| Observation Period | 14 days |
| Type of Dressing | Occlusive |
| Remarks – Method | 0.5 mL test substance was applied to the clipped and intact skin under a gauze patch and was loosely covered with impervious sheeting. The exposure duration is inconsistent in the study report provided – it states 4 hours in the test procedures, but is 1 hour and 3 minutes in the Result tables. Skin reactions were scored by the method of Draize at 1 hour, 2, 3, 7, 10 and 14 days after dosing. |

RESULTS

| <i>Lesion</i> | <i>Mean Score*</i> | <i>Maximum Value</i> | <i>Maximum Duration of Any Effect</i> | <i>Maximum Value at End of Observation Period</i> |
|------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| <u>1 hour exposure</u> | | | | |
| <i>Erythema/Eschar</i> | 1.9 | 2.0 | 7 days | 0 |
| <i>Oedema</i> | 2.1 | 2.2 | 7 days | 0 |
| <u>3 min. exposure</u> | | | | |
| <i>Erythema/Eschar</i> | 1.6 | 1.8 | 7 days | 0 |
| <i>Oedema</i> | 1.7 | 2.0 | 3 days | 0 |

*Calculated on the basis of the scores at 24, 48, and 72 hours for ALL animals.

| | |
|-------------------|---|
| Remarks – Results | <p><i>Skin reactions after 1 hour exposure:</i></p> <p>Moderate erythema and oedema were observed in all animals up to 72 hours after exposure, with 3 animals showing slight erythema and oedema on day 7. All erythema and oedema were clear by day 10.</p> <p>Other effects were also observed including ecchymoses, narcosis, ulceration, fissuring, desquamation, alopecia, scabs, and sloughing of skin from dose site, most of which occurred from day 3.</p> <p><i>Skin reactions after 3 minutes exposure:</i></p> |
|-------------------|---|

Erythema and oedema were observed in all animals that are similar to the 1 hour exposure but slightly minor in severity. Fissuring, desquamation, and alopecia were observed from day 3.

CONCLUSION

No conclusions were made by the study author(s). Based on the description of the test result, the test substance is considered moderately irritating.

TEST FACILITY

Bushy Run Research Centre, (1991)

7.5. Irritation – eye

TEST SUBSTANCE

Notified chemical

METHOD

OECD TG 405 Acute Eye Irritation/Corrosion.

Species/Strain

Rabbit/White Russian (Albino)

Number of Animals

3 (2 males, 1 female)

Observation Period

6 days

RESULTS

| <i>Lesion</i> | <i>Mean Score*</i> <i>Animal No.</i> | | | <i>Maximum Value</i> | <i>Maximum Duration of Any Effect</i> | <i>Maximum Value at End of Observation Period</i> |
|-------------------------------|---|-----|-----|----------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | |
| <i>Conjunctiva: redness</i> | 1 | 0.7 | 1 | 1 | 4 days | 0 |
| <i>Conjunctiva: chemosis</i> | 1 | 1 | 1.3 | 2 | 4 days | 0 |
| <i>Conjunctiva: discharge</i> | 0.3 | 0 | 0.3 | 1 | 1 day | 0 |
| <i>Corneal opacity</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 |
| <i>Iridial inflammation</i> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 |

*Calculated on the basis of the scores at 24, 48, and 72 hours for EACH animal.

REMARKS

The primary irritation index was 6. Hyperemia, crimson discoloration, and slight swelling with partial eversion of the lids was observed.

CONCLUSION

The notified chemical is slightly irritating to the eye.

TEST FACILITY

ASTA Pharma AG (1989c)

7.6. Skin sensitisation

TEST SUBSTANCE

Notified chemical

METHOD

OECD TG 406 Skin Sensitisation – Buehler Test.

EC Directive 96/54/EC B.6 Skin Sensitisation – Buehler Test.

Species/Strain

Guinea pig/Dunkin-Harley, females

PRELIMINARY STUDY

Maximum Non-irritating Concentration:

topical: 20% test substance in corn oil

MAIN STUDY

Number of Animals

Test Group: 20

Control Group: 10

INDUCTION PHASE

Induction Concentration:

topical application 50% test substance in corn oil

Signs of Irritation

A slight to moderate erythema was observed in test group animals at the first induction following 6 hours topical exposure to the test substance at 50% concentration. A slight erythema was noted in 2 of 20 test animals following the second induction. No reaction was observed in any animals following the third induction.

CHALLENGE PHASE

1st challenge

Topical application: 20% test substance in corn oil.

Remarks – Method

Body weight was observed at Day 1 and termination of the study.

RESULTS

| <i>Animal</i> | <i>Challenge Concentration</i> | <i>Number of Animals Showing Skin Reactions after:</i> | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | | <i>1st challenge</i> | | <i>2nd challenge</i> | |
| | | <i>24 h</i> | <i>48 h</i> | <i>24 h</i> | <i>48 h</i> |
| <i>Test Group</i> | 20% test substance in corn oil | 0 | 0 | NA | NA |
| <i>Control Group</i> | corn oil | 0 | 0 | NA | NA |

Remarks – Results

Body weight changes were similar between the treated and control groups.

CONCLUSION

No evidence of reactions indicative of skin sensitisation to the notified chemical under the conditions of the test.

TEST FACILITY

RTC Research Toxicology Centre (2004)

7.7. Repeat dose toxicity - inhalation

TEST SUBSTANCE

Analogue chemical 1 (Silane, trimethoxy-)

METHOD

No test guidelines were indicated.

In accordance with GLP regulations

Species/Strain

Rats/Sprague-Dawley (Albino)

Route of Administration

Inhalation – whole body

Exposure Information

Total exposure days: 20 days

Dose regimen: 5 days per week for 4 weeks

Duration of exposure (inhalation): 7 hours/day

Post-exposure observation period: No

Physical Form

Vapour

Remarks – Method

All groups of animals were treated concurrently except for group IV (high dose) due to high mortality rate occurred in this group.

RESULTS

| <i>Group</i> | <i>Number and Sex of Animals</i> | <i>Dose/Concentration ppm</i> | | <i>Mortality</i> |
|----------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | | <i>Nominal</i> | <i>Actual</i> | |
| I (control) | 10 male/10 female | 0 | | 0 |
| II (low dose) | 10 male/10 female | 0.5 ppm | | 0 |
| III (mid dose) | 10 male/10 female | 5 ppm | | 4 male/ 4 female |
| IV (high dose) | 10 male/10 female | 10 ppm | | 10 male/10 female |

Mortality:

Four of 10 rats of each sex of the mid dose group and all animals of the high dose group were found dead or sacrificed in a moribund condition during the course of treatment.

Clinical observations:

Clinical symptoms in the mid and high dose groups consisted primarily of lung congestion during the first week of treatment with nose discharge and a general weakness developing during week 2, leading to eventual death. No abnormalities were observed in the low dose group.

Significant dose dependent reduction in body weights of treated male and female rats. The magnitude of this reduction was greatest in the high dose group, less in the intermediate dose group and only marginally different from the controls in the low dose males. Bodyweight did not differ from the control group for females in the low dose group.

Significant dose-dependent reduction in absolute food consumption of treated male and female rats. The magnitude of this reduction was greatest in the high dose group, less in the intermediate dose group and only marginally different from the controls in the low dose group.

Haematology:

A decreased leucocyte count was observed in females of the low dose group. In mid and high dose animals a dose dependent increase in erythrocyte count, haemoglobin, and haematocrit was accompanied by a dose dependent decrease in leucocyte count and the proportion of lymphocytes and an increase in the proportion of segmented neutrophils.

Blood Chemistry

There was no effect on blood chemistry parameters in treated animals of the low and mid dose group compared to the control group. Abnormal blood chemistry parameters were observed in surviving animals of the high dose group.

Urinalysis

There was no effect on the urinary parameters in treated animals of the low and mid dose group compared to the control group. High mortality in the high dose group precluded analysis.

*Morphological findings:**Gross Pathology*

All animals had diffuse congestion of the lung and focal reddening in the gastrointestinal tract however, the incidence and severity was greater in treated animals. Findings in mid and high dose animals included areas of atelectasis and focal reddening in the lung and a failure of the lung to collapse when removed from the thoracic cavity.

Organ Weight

Increased lung weight in males of the mid and high dose groups.

Histopathology

High dose animals had pulmonary lesions consisting of bronchitis and bronchiolitis in the large and medium sized bronchi as well as smaller bronchioles. This was accompanied by, in severe cases, complete desquamation of the bronchial epithelium with replacement by mixed inflammatory cells and obliteration of the bronchial lumina with a mucopurulent exudate. Mucous metaplasia of the bronchial epithelium was revealed in several cases and this change extended sometimes to the submucosal glands.

No treatment related findings were observed in low dose group animals.

Examination of bone marrow smears revealed in high dose animals marked change with general reversal of the myeloid-erythroid ratio (which correlated with the changes in erythrocyte and leucocyte counts found in the peripheral circulation), hypocellularity and general changes associated with moribund condition of the animals. Abundant megakaryocytes were noted in low dose animals.

Histopathological evaluation was not conducted on mid dose animals.

CONCLUSION

Inhalation of trimethoxysilane over 4 weeks resulted in chronic inflammatory changes to the lung, dose related changes in haematological indices and morphological changes to the bone marrow in treated rats. Changes in leucocyte counts were seen in females at the lowest dose tested a No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) cannot be determined for this study. A No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) was not established

TEST FACILITY

Bio Research Laboratories LTD. (1980)

7.8. Genotoxicity – bacteria

| | |
|----------------|--|
| TEST SUBSTANCE | Analogue chemical 2 (Silane, octyltrimethoxy-) |
| METHOD | OECD TG 471 Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test. EC Directive 2000/32/EC B.14 Mutagenicity – Reverse Mutation Test using Bacteria. Plate incorporation procedure <i>S. typhimurium</i> : TA1535, TA1537, TA98, TA100 S 9 liver microsome fraction Concentration Range in Main Test a) With metabolic activation: 33.3 to 5000µg/plate. b) Without metabolic activation: 33.3 to 5000µg/plate. Vehicle Ethanol |

RESULTS

| <i>Metabolic Activation</i> | <i>Test Substance Concentration (µg/plate) Resulting in:</i> | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| | <i>Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test</i> | <i>Cytotoxicity in Main Test</i> | <i>Precipitation</i> | <i>Genotoxic Effect</i> |
| <i>Present</i> | | | | |
| Test 1 | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |
| Test 2 | | Negative | Negative | Negative |
| <i>Absent</i> | | | | |
| Test 1 | Negative | Negative | Negative | Negative |
| Test 2 | | Negative | Negative | Negative |

CONCLUSION The test substance is not mutagenic to bacteria under the conditions of the test.

TEST FACILITY Anawa BIOSERVICE Scientific Laboratories GmbH (1996).

7.9. Genotoxicity – in vitro

| | |
|----------------|--|
| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical |
| METHOD | OECD TG 473 In vitro Mammalian Chromosomal Aberration Test. EC Directive 2000/32/EC B.17 Mutagenicity - In vitro Mammalian Cell Gene Mutation Test. Chinese hamster Ovary cells S 9 liver microsome fraction System Vehicle Ethanol |

| <i>Metabolic Activation</i> | <i>Test Substance Concentration (µg/mL)</i> | <i>Exposure Period</i> | <i>Harvest Time</i> |
|-----------------------------|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| <i>Present</i> | | | |
| Test 1 | 325 to 1300* | 3 hours | 20 hours |
| Test 2 | Not conducted [#] | | |
| <i>Absent</i> | | | |
| Test 1 | 325 to 1300* | 3 hours | 20 hours |
| Test 2 | 10.2 to 40.6* | 20 hours | 20 hours |

*Cultures selected for metaphase analysis. [#] Due to negative results obtained in Test 1.

RESULTS

| <i>Metabolic Activation</i> | <i>Test Substance Concentration (µg/mL) Resulting in:</i> | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| | <i>Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test</i> | <i>Cytotoxicity in Main Test</i> | <i>Precipitation</i> | <i>Genotoxic Effect</i> |
| <i>Present</i> | | | | |
| Test 1 | NA | 1300, 650 | 1300, 650 | Negative |
| Test 2 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| <i>Absent</i> | | | | |
| Test 1 | NA | 1300 | - | Negative |
| Test 2 | NA | 10.2 - 1300 | - | Negative |

NA, not applicable.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical was not clastogenic to Chinese hamster ovary cells treated in vitro under the conditions of the test.

TEST FACILITY RTC Research Toxicology Centre (2005)

8. ENVIRONMENT

8.1. Environmental fate

The ready biodegradability was conducted using an analogous chemical that is considered to be acceptable analogue of the notified chemical. It is n-Hexadecyltriethoxysilano (Analogue Chemical 3).

8.1.1. Ready biodegradability

TEST SUBSTANCE Analogue chemical 3 (n-Hexadecyltriethoxysilano)

METHOD Method for Testing Biodegradability of Chemical Substances by micro-organisms stipulated in the "Testing Methods for New Chemical Substances (13 July 1974, Kangpogyo No.5 Planning and Coordination Bureau, Environment Agency, Yakuhatu No. 615, Pharmaceutical Affairs Bureau, Ministry of Health and Welfare and 49 Kikyoku No. 392, Basic Industries Bureau, Ministry of International Trade and Industry, Japan. The test method is similar to OECD TG 301 C Ready Biodegradability: Modified MITI Test (I).

Inoculum Activated Sludge prepared from ten sites: Fukogawa city sewage plant, Fukushima industry sewage plant, Nakahama city sewage plant, Ochiai city sewage plant, Kitakami river, Shinano river, Yoshino river, Lake Biwa, Hiroshima bay, Dookai bay.

Exposure Period 28 Days

Auxiliary Solvent None specified

Analytical Monitoring Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD), HPLC for test substance and GC for ethanol as a decomposition product.

Remarks - Method The inoculum comprised of old and fresh activated sludge. Triplicate analysis was performed on 30 mg of test substance added to 300 mL of 100 mg/L activated sludge (vessels 1- 3). A control was run with 300 mL of purified water and no activated sludge (vessel 6). A control blank was run containing 300 mL of inoculum but no test substance or reference substance (vessel 5). A reference was also run using 30 mg (29.5 µL) of aniline (Vessel 4).
Temperature 25 ± 1 °C

RESULTS

Biodegradation of test substance and reference substance as determined by BOD and HPLC

| <i>Test substance</i> | | <i>Aniline</i> | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| <i>Day</i> | <i>% Degradation</i> | <i>Day</i> | <i>% Degradation</i> |
| 28 | 43 (BOD) | 28 | 70 |
| 28 | 74 (HPLC) | 28 | 79 |

Biodegradation of test substance as determined by BOD

| Test | Day 7 | | Day 14 | | Day 21 | | Day 28 | |
|----------|----------|-------|----------|-------|----------|-------|----------|-------|
| | BOD (mg) | % Deg | BOD (mg) | % Deg | BOD (mg) | % Deg | BOD (mg) | % Deg |
| Vessel 1 | 2.5 | 0 | 13.9 | 12 | 35.5 | 36 | 50.8 | 53 |
| Vessel 2 | 1.9 | 0 | 17.4 | 16 | 39.8 | 41 | 46.1 | 47 |
| Vessel 3 | 1.8 | 0 | 4.6 | 1 | 10.2 | 5 | 30.5 | 29 |
| Vessel 4 | 65.7 | 70 | 75.8 | 79 | 77.4 | 79 | 78.4 | 79 |
| Vessel 5 | 2.3 | - | 4.1 | - | 5.8 | - | 6.9 | - |
| Vessel 6 | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - | 0.0 | - |

Deg = degradation

Biodegradation of expected intermediate silanol compound

| Test | BOD – B (mg) | Sw – Ss (mg) | Ethanol Amount Theor. (mg) | TOD Ethanol (mg) | Silanol Amount Theor. (mg) | TOD Silanol (mg) | (BOD- B) Less TOD Ethanol (mg) | % Biodeg silanol |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|
| Vessel 1 | 43.9 | 27.2 | 9.7 | 20.2 | 21.3 | 54.7 | 23.7 | 43 |
| Vessel 2 | 39.2 | 24.1 | 8.6 | 17.9 | 18.9 | 48.6 | 21.3 | 44 |
| Vessel 3 | 23.6 | 13.4 | 4.8 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 27.0 | 13.6 | 50 |

The BOD of vessel 5 = B

The residual amount of vessel 5 less the residual of the average of the amounts of vessels 1- 3 = $S_w - S_s$

Biodeg = biodegradation;

Theor = Theoretical amount if all notified chemical underwent hydrolysis.

TOD = Theoretical Oxygen Demand when the test substance is completely oxidised.

Remarks - Results

The test substance is likely to be degraded in a two-step process. Firstly to ethanol and silanol. The ethanol is expected to be rapidly biodegraded, with the silanol undergoing slow degradation. The average degradation of the silanol is estimated as 46% after 28 days. The test substance in water only, as analysed by HPLC, showed that 98% of the test substance remained after 28 days. No ethanol was present in the GC analysis. The test substance was not dissolved at the initiation of the culturing and was white and cloudy at the termination of the culturing.

CONCLUSION

The test substance is not considered readily biodegradable, although the HPLC analysis demonstrates that the analogue degrades to intermediates likely to be ethanol and silanol.

TEST FACILITY

Karume Research Laboratories (1994)

8.1.2. Bioaccumulation

Not Tested. The notified chemical is unlikely to bioaccumulate as it hydrolyses rapidly in humid conditions and will permanently bond to mineral substances, rendering it biologically unavailable.

8.2. Ecotoxicological investigations

8.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical |
| METHOD | OECD TG 203 Fish, Acute Toxicity Test Limit Test semi-static. |
| Species | Brachiodanio rerio |
| Exposure Period | 96 hours |
| Auxiliary Solvent | Nil |
| Water Hardness | 210 mg CaCO ₃ /L |
| Analytical Monitoring | Observations for mortality and abnormal behaviour at 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours. |
| Remarks – Method | A range finding test was conducted using four replicates of ten fish subjected to nominal concentration of 1000 mg/L prepared as Water Available Fraction (WAF) of test substance. Four control tests were also run. Temperature 25 ± 1°C. pH 7.6 – 8.0 Dissolved Oxygen mg/L 6.1 – 8.1 |

RESULTS

| Concentration mg/L | | Number of Fish | Mortality | | | |
|--------------------|--------|----------------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Nominal | Actual | | 24 h | 48 h | 72 h | 96 h |
| 0 | | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1000 | | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| LC50 | > 1000 mg/L at 24 hours. > 1000 mg/L at 48 hours. > 1000 mg/L at 72 hours. > 1000 mg/L at 96 hours. |
| NOEC (or LOEC) | = 1000 mg/L at 96 hours. |
| Remarks – Results | No sign of harm to any fish. Oil like substance was seen on the surface of the test solutions. Not toxic to limits of test substance's solubility. |

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is practically non- toxic to fish.

TEST FACILITY TNO (1990a)

8.2.2. Acute/chronic toxicity to aquatic invertebrates

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| TEST SUBSTANCE | Notified chemical |
| METHOD | OECD TG 202 Daphnia sp. Acute Immobilisation Test and Reproduction Test - Static |
| Species | <i>Daphnia magna</i> |
| Exposure Period | 24 hours |
| Auxiliary Solvent | Nil |
| Water Hardness | 210 mg CaCO ₃ /L |
| Analytical Monitoring | Observations for mortality and abnormal behaviour at 24 and 48 hours. |
| Remarks - Method | A solution of 1000 mg/L of test substance was prepared by vigorously stirring for 24 hours. WAFs were drawn off after 24 hours and 48 hours of settling. Four replicates of 5 daphnia were subjected to WAF of nominal concentration of 1000 mg/L of test substance drawn at 24 and 48 hours. Controls were also run. Temperature 25 ± 1°C. pH 7.8 – 8.0 |

Dissolved Oxygen mg/L 7.7 – 8.8

RESULTS

| Concentration mg/L | | Number of <i>D. magna</i> | Number Immobilised 24 h |
|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Nominal | Settling Time hours | | |
| 0 | - | 20 | 0 |
| 1000 | 24 | 20 | 0 |
| 0 | - | 20 | 0 |
| 1000 | 48 | 20 | 0 |

LC50 > 1000 mg/L at 24 hours

Remarks - Results

Although a surface layer of the test substance was not visible to the naked eye, the observations of the daphnia in the WAF prepared after 24 hours indicated that a surface layer was present. The daphnia in the WAF prepared at 24 hours swam on the surface and were slower and paler than the control. No adverse effects were shown to the daphnia in the WAF prepared at 48 hours. Not toxic to limits of test substance's solubility.

CONCLUSION

The notified chemical is practically non- toxic to daphnia.

TEST FACILITY

TNO (1990b)

8.2.3. Algal growth inhibition test

TEST SUBSTANCE

Notified Chemical

METHOD

OECD TG 201 Alga, Growth Inhibition Test.

Species

Scenedesmus subspicatus

Exposure Period

96 hours

Concentration Range

Nominal: 0.01 – 31.8 mg/L (methanol)

Nominal: 0.009 – 29.5 mg/L (tertiary butanol)

Auxiliary Solvent

Methanol; tertiary butanol (TBA)

Water Hardness

Not determined

Analytical Monitoring

Microscopic examination of cells/ electronic particle counting.

Remarks - Method

A range finding test was conducted by subjecting an algae test medium containing approximately 10^4 cells per mL to 0.0007, 0.007, 0.07, 0.60 and 8.0 mg/L of test substance using tertiary butanol as auxiliary solvent. Two preliminary inhibition tests were conducted by subjecting an algae test medium containing approximately 10^4 cells per mL to 0.003, 0.010, 0.032, 0.056, 0.10, 0.32, and 1.0; and 0.0032, 0.010, 0.032, 0.10, 0.32, 1.0, 3.2 and 10 mg/L of test substance using tertiary butanol as auxiliary solvent. Duplicate controls were run using algae and solvent only. A test substance without algae was run to determine the background count for the electronic particle count. The morphology of the algae was examined microscopically. The preliminary test showed that inhibiting effects could be expected at concentrations above 0.007 mg/L.

A test was conducted by subjecting duplicate algae test media containing approximately 10^4 cells per mL 0.01, 0.03, 0.10, 0.32, 1.0, 3.2, 10 and 32 mg/L using methanol and tertiary butanol as auxiliary solvent. A further test was conducted by subjecting duplicate algae test media containing approximately 10^4 cells per mL 0.009, 0.029, 0.094, 0.29, 0.94, 2.9, 9.4 and 29.5 mg/L using tertiary butanol as auxiliary solvent. A test substance without algae was run to determine the background count for the electronic particle count. The morphology of the algae was examined microscopically.

Temperature $23 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$.

Light intensity 60 – 120 $\mu\text{mol/sec/m}^2$

RESULTS

Preliminary Test

| <i>Biomass</i> <i>EbC50</i> (mg/L at 96 h) | <i>Growth</i> <i>ErC90</i> (mg/L at 96 h) |
|--|---|
| > 10 | > 10 |

Inhibition Test Using Methanol and TBA as Auxiliary Solvents.

| <i>Biomass</i> <i>EbC50</i> mg/L at 96 h | <i>Growth</i> <i>ErC50</i> mg/L at 96 h |
|--|---|
| > 31.8 (methanol) | > 31.8 (methanol) |
| > 29.5 (TBA) | > 29.5 (TBA) |

Remarks - Results

No abnormalities were noted. 31.8 and 29.5 mg/L were the highest tested concentrations using methanol and TBA, respectively.

CONCLUSION

The notified chemical is slightly to practically non- toxic to algae.

TEST FACILITY

TNO (1992)

9. RISK ASSESSMENT

9.1. Environment

9.1.1. Environment – exposure assessment

The notified chemical is encapsulated in a polymer matrix. During use to form plastic articles it is reacted to irreversibly bond to the polymer compound. No release of the notified chemical to the environment is expected from this route.

It is expected that up to 4 kg of the notified chemical will be disposed to landfill from packaging residue. The chemical is encapsulated in a polymer matrix. It is expected that the polymer matrix will eventually undergo in-situ degradation. When the notified chemical is exposed to the atmosphere it is likely to react and irreversibly bond with the polymer matrix. No release to the aquatic environment is expected from this route.

9.1.2. Environment – effects assessment

No toxic effects up to the limits of solubility of the notified chemical in tests to fish and daphnia were shown. It is expected that the chemical underwent hydrolysis followed by condensation to form an insoluble oil layer, with methanol as the by-product. Methanol is practically non – toxic to aquatic organisms. Auxiliary solvents were used to dissolve the notified chemical for the algae test. No toxic effects were shown to algae to the highest concentration tested. The following is a summary of the ecotoxicity results.

| Organism | Duration (hour) | End Point | Toxicity (mg/L) |
|------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Fish | 96 | LC50 | >1000 |
| Daphnia | 24 | LC50 | >1000 |
| Algae (methanol) | 96 | EbC50 & ErC50 | >31.8 |
| Algae (TBA) | 96 | EbC50 & ErC50 | >29.5 |

No end point was established so a PNEC cannot be calculated. However it will be above 295 $\mu\text{g/L}$.

9.1.3. Environment – risk characterisation

There is unlikely to be any release of the chemical to the aquatic environment. Although an exact Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC) cannot be calculated from the use pattern and the reactivity of the chemical with water, the PEC will be effectively zero. In addition, the notified chemical showed no toxicity to aquatic organisms to extent tested. Therefore, the notified chemical is not expected to pose an unacceptable risk to the environment.

9.2. Human health

9.2.1. Occupational health and safety – exposure assessment

The notified chemical will be imported as a component (1-5%) of a polyethylene plastic compound. During transport and storage, the possibility of exposure to the notified chemical on breaching the containers is minimal as the notified chemical has a very low vapour pressure and is encapsulated in the polymer compound.

Worker exposure during manufacturing is unlikely due to use of an enclosed and automatic system and the notified chemical will be imported in a form suitable for the direct feeding into an automated extrusion line. In addition, personal protective equipment will be routinely used to reduce exposure.

No worker exposure is possible during end uses as the notified chemical is totally transformed and permanently bonded to the polymer carrier during manufacturing.

9.2.2. Public health – exposure assessment

No public exposure is expected during use of plastic articles for industrial and domestic use as the notified chemical is totally transformed and permanently bonded to the polymer carrier during the manufacture of the articles. The public may be exposed to the notified chemical in the unlikely event of an accident during transportation of the polymer compound containing the notified chemical. However, the possibility is minimal due to very low vapour pressure and encapsulation of the notified chemical in the polymer compound.

9.2.3. Human health – effects assessment

Some of the toxicity studies provided were conducted using analogous chemicals that are considered to be acceptable analogues of the notified chemical.

The notified chemical is of low acute toxicity via oral exposure (LD50 > 5002 mg/kg/bw).

A number of signs of severe dermal irritation were seen in two skin irritation studies, one using the notified chemical and following OECD TG and one using an analogue chemical and not conducted with OECD TG. The signs of irritation persisted in all animals for more than 24 hours. Based on this evidence, the notified chemical is classified in accordance with the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC 2004) as:

R38 – irritating to skin

Eye irritation and skin sensitisation (Buehler Test) studies using the notified chemical and following OECD TG showed slight eye irritation and negative allergic reaction.

A 28-days repeated dose inhalation study was conducted using an analogue chemical resulted in chronic inflammatory changes to the lung, dose related changes in haematological indices and morphological changes to the bone marrow in treated rats. Changes in leucocyte counts were seen at the lowest dose tested (0.5 ppm) and no NOAEL can be determined for this study. It is not expected that the notified chemical will display effects more adverse than those observed with trimethoxysilane (analogue 1) in this repeat dose inhalation study. Further testing on the notified chemical would be required for a satisfactory assessment of effects from repeated exposure. Based on this study the notified chemical is likely to be irritating to respiratory system.:

R37 Irritating to respiratory system

There was no evidence of genotoxicity based on bacterial reverse mutation test (using an analogue chemical) and *in vitro* mammalian chromosome aberration test (using the notified chemical).

Based on the available data, the notified chemical is classified as a hazardous substance in accordance with the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC 2004).

9.2.4. Occupational health and safety – risk characterisation

The notified chemical will be imported in pellet forms at 1-5%. Inhalation exposure is not likely as the notified chemical is incorporated into the plastic compound. Considering that manufacturing processes are enclosed and automated and that the notified chemical is contained in the polyethylene plastic compound at a maximum of 5%, the risk of adverse health effects is low. However, respiratory and skin protection is required if workers are likely to handle the product containing the notified chemical.

9.2.5. Public health – risk characterisation

Due to the negligible exposure expected and low health concern, the risk of adverse effects to the public is considered to be insignificant.

10. CONCLUSIONS – ASSESSMENT LEVEL OF CONCERN FOR THE ENVIRONMENT AND HUMANS

10.1. Hazard classification

Based on the available data the notified chemical is classified as hazardous under the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances*. The classification and labelling details are:

R37/38 – Irritating to respiratory system and skin

As a comparison only, the classification of notified chemical using the Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS) (United Nations 2003) is presented below. This system is not mandated in Australia and carries no legal status but is presented for information purposes.

| | Hazard Category | Hazard Statement |
|----------|-----------------|------------------------|
| Irritant | 2 | Causes skin irritation |

10.2. Environmental risk assessment

The chemical is not considered to pose a risk to the environment based on its reported use pattern.

10.3. Human health risk assessment

10.3.1. Occupational health and safety

There is Low Concern to occupational health and safety under the conditions of the occupational settings described.

10.3.2. Public health

There is No Significant Concern to public health based on the reported use pattern.

11. MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

11.1. Material Safety Data Sheet

The MSDS of the notified chemical provided by the notifier was assessed in accordance with the

NOHSC *National Code of Practice for the Preparation of Material Safety Data Sheets* (NOHSC 2003). It is (They are) published here as a matter of public record. The accuracy of the information on the MSDS remains the responsibility of the applicant.

11.2. Label

The label for the notified chemical provided by the notifier was in accordance with the NOHSC *National Code of Practice for the Labelling of Workplace Substances* (NOHSC 1994). The accuracy of the information on the label remains the responsibility of the applicant.

12. RECOMMENDATIONS

REGULATORY CONTROLS

Hazard Classification and Labelling

- The Office of the ASCC, Department of Employment and Workplace Relations (DEWR), should consider the following hazard classification for the notified chemical:
 - R37/38 Irritating to respiratory system and skin
- The following risk phrases for products/mixtures containing the notified chemical apply:
 - ≥20% R37/38 Irritating to respiratory system and skin
- Products containing ≥20% notified chemical should carry the following warnings on the label:
 - S24 Avoid contact with skin
 - S25 Avoid contact with eyes
 - S36 Wear suitable protective clothing
 - S37 Wear suitable gloves

CONTROL MEASURES

Occupational Health and Safety

- A copy of the MSDS should be easily accessible to employees.
- If products and mixtures containing the notified chemical are classified as hazardous to health in accordance with the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances*, workplace practices and control procedures consistent with provisions of State and Territory hazardous substances legislation must be in operation.

Environment

Disposal

- The notified chemical should be disposed of by authorised landfill.

Emergency procedures

- Spills or accidental release of the notified chemical should be handled by physical collection for reuse of the spilled material to the extent practicable or disposal.

12.1. Secondary notification

The Director of Chemicals Notification and Assessment must be notified in writing within 28 days by the notifier, other importer or manufacturer:

- (1) Under Section 64(2) of the Act:

- if any of the circumstances listed in the subsection arise.

The Director will then decide whether secondary notification is required.

No additional secondary notification conditions are stipulated.

13. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- anawa BIOSERVICE Scientific Laboratories GmbH (1996) Reverse mutation assay using bacteria with Si 108. Project No. 961271, anawa BIOSERVICE Scientific Laboratories, Germany.
- ASTA Pharma AG (1989a) Silane Si 116 - Testing the acute toxicity after single oral administration. Study No. 872212, ASTA Pharma AG, Germany.
- ASTA Pharma AG (1989b) Silane Si 116 - Testing the primary irritation after single application to the skin of the rabbit (Patch Test). Study No. 872223, ASTA Pharma AG, Germany.
- ASTA Pharma AG (1989c) Silane Si 116 - Testing the primary irritation after single application to the eye of the rabbit. Study No. 872234, ASTA Pharma AG, Germany.
- Bio Research Laboratories LTD. (1980) An evaluation of the potential toxicity of inhaled Trimethoxysilane in the albino rat. Project No. 9331, Bio Research Laboratories LTD. US.
- Bushy Run Research Centre, (1991) Trimethoxysilane – Acute percutaneous toxicity and skin irritancy studies in the rabbit. Report No. 54-130, Bushy Run Research Centre, US.
- Degussa (2003) Estimation of the vapour pressure of Dynasylan 9116 by QSAR. Report No. 2003-0021-DKP, Degussa Product Safety, Germany.
- Infracor GmbH (2003a) Determination of the melting temperature of Dynasylan 9116. Report No. AN-ASB 0271.1, Infracor Chemistry Services, Germany.
- Infracor GmbH (2003b) Determination of the boiling temperature of Dynasylan 9116. Report No. AN-ASB 0271.2, Infracor Chemistry Services, Germany.
- Infracor GmbH (2003c) Determination of the relative density of Dynasylan 9116. Report No. AN-ASB 0271.3, Infracor Chemistry Services, Germany.
- Karume Research Laboratories (1994), Biodegradation test by micro-organisms, Test No. 12320, Chemical Biotesting Center Chemicals Inspection Testing Institute, Japan.
- NOHSC (1994) National Code of Practice for the Labelling of Workplace Substances [NOHSC:2012(1994)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.
- NOHSC (2004) Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances [NOHSC:1008(2004)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, AusInfo.
- NOHSC (2003) National Code of Practice for the Preparation of Material Safety Data Sheets, 2nd edn [NOHSC:2011(2003)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.
- RTC Research Toxicology Centre (2004) Dynasylan 9116 – Delayed dermal sensitisation study in guinea pigs (Buehler Test). Study No. 29740, RTC Research Toxicology Centre, Germany.
- RTC Research Toxicology Centre (2005) Dynasylan 9116 – Chromosome aberrations in Chinese hamster ovary cells in vitro. Study No. 29750. RTC Research Toxicology Centre, Germany.
- TNO (1990a), Acute toxicity to brachydanio rerio, Report No. R 89/473 Shoemakerstraat 97 2628 VK Delft, The Netherlands.
- TNO (1990b), Acute toxicity to daphnia magna, Report No. R 89/474 Shoemakerstraat 97 2628 VK Delft, The Netherlands.
- TNO (1992), Acute toxicity to scenedesmus subspicatus Part 2, Report No. R91/212 (Study No. MTB-89-0008-03) Shoemakerstraat 97 2628 VK Delft, The Netherlands.
- United Nations (2003) Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS). United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UN/ECE), New York and Geneva.