# NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS NOTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT SCHEME (NICNAS)

## **FULL PUBLIC REPORT**

## Polyacrylate-13

This Assessment has been compiled in accordance with the provisions of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act 1989* (Cwlth) (the Act) and Regulations. This legislation is an Act of the Commonwealth of Australia. The National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) is administered by the Department of Health and Ageing, and conducts the risk assessment for public health and occupational health and safety. The assessment of environmental risk is conducted by the Department of the Environment and Heritage.

For the purposes of subsection 78(1) of the Act, this Full Public Report may be inspected at:

Library
Australian Safety and Compensation Council
25 Constitution Avenue
CANBERRA ACT 2600
AUSTRALIA

To arrange an appointment contact the Librarian on TEL + 61 2 6279 1162 or email ascc.library@dewr.gov.au

This Full Public Report is available for viewing and downloading from the NICNAS website or available on request, free of charge, by contacting NICNAS. For requests and enquiries please contact the NICNAS Administration Coordinator at:

Street Address: 334 - 336 Illawarra Road MARRICKVILLE NSW 2204, AUSTRALIA.

Postal Address: GPO Box 58, SYDNEY NSW 2001, AUSTRALIA.

TEL: + 61 2 8577 8800 FAX + 61 2 8577 8888. Website: www.nicnas.gov.au

Director NICNAS

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

FULI	L PUBLIC REPORT	. 3
1.	APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS	. 3
2.	IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL	. 3
3.	PLC CRITERIA JUSTIFICATION	. 3
4.	PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES	. 4
5.	INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION	. 4
6.	HUMAN HEALTH IMPLICATIONS	. 5
	6.1. Exposure Assessment	. 5
	6.2. Toxicological Hazard Characterisation	. 5
	6.3. Human Health Risk Assessment	. 6
7.	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS	. 6
	7.1. Exposure Assessment	. 6
	7.2. Environmental Hazard Characterisation	. 7
	7.3. Environmental Risk Assessment	. 7
8.	CONCLUSIONS	
	8.1. Level of Concern for Occupational Health and Safety	. 7
	8.2. Level of Concern for Public Health	. 7
	8.3. Level of Concern for the Environment	
9.	MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET	-
	9.1. Material Safety Data Sheet	. 8
10	RECOMMENDATIONS	. 8
	10.1. Secondary Notification	. 8

## **FULL PUBLIC REPORT**

## Polyacrylate-13

#### 1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS

APPLICANT(S)

Bronson & Jacobs Pty Ltd (ABN 81 000 063 249)

5 Parkview Drive

Australia Centre

Olympic Park NSW 2127

NOTIFICATION CATEGORY

Polymer of Low Concern

EXEMPT INFORMATION (SECTION 75 OF THE ACT)

Data items and details claimed exempt from publication:

Chemical Name, Other Names, CAS Number, Molecular and Structural Formulae, Molecular Weight, Polymer Constituents, Residual Monomers/Impurities, Concentration of polymer in product.

VARIATION OF DATA REQUIREMENTS (SECTION 24 OF THE ACT)

No variation to the schedule of data requirements is claimed.

PREVIOUS NOTIFICATION IN AUSTRALIA BY APPLICANT(S)

None

NOTIFICATION IN OTHER COUNTRIES

Canada (2005)

#### 2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL

MARKETING NAME(S)

Polyacrylate-13

SEPIPLUS 400 (aqueous mixture of polyacrylate-13, polyisobutene and polysorbate-20)

MOLECULAR WEIGHT (MW)

Number Average Molecular Weight (Mn) >10000

REACTIVE FUNCTIONAL GROUPS

The notified polymer contains only low concern functional groups.

#### 3. PLC CRITERIA JUSTIFICATION

Criterion met
(yes/no/not applicable)
Yes

The notified polymer meets the PLC criteria.

#### 4. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

Appearance at 20°C and 101.3 kPa

**Glass Transition Temp** 

**Density** 

Water Solubility

**Dissociation Constant** 

Reactivity

**Degradation Products** 

Viscous, translucent to opaque, white to pale yellow, emulsion (SEPIPLUS 400) > 200°C.

No glass transition temperature was observed below the decomposition

temperature of 200°C.

100 kg/m<sup>3</sup> at 20°C (SEPIPLUS 400)

Stated to be insoluble. Polymer is dispersible

in water and forms a gel.

The notified polymer contains a salt of a very strong acid, and therefore it is expected to remain dissociated throughout the environmental pH range of 4-9.

Stable under normal environmental

conditions.

None under normal conditions of use.

#### 5. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION

MAXIMUM INTRODUCTION VOLUME OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

Year	1	2	3	4	5
Tonnes	0.5	1	1	1	1

USE AND MODE OF INTRODUCTION AND DISPOSAL

#### **Mode of Introduction**

The notified polymer will be imported as a component of the product SEPIPLUS 400 in up to 70% concentration. This polymer emulsion will be transported in 30 kg polyethylene drums from the dock to a holding warehouse, and then to the customer by road.

#### Reformulation/manufacture processes

The notified polymer will not be manufactured in Australia. Reformulation will be carried out at several cosmetic manufacturing sites.

In a typical process, a compounder will weigh out the notified polymer manually into a separate container. This will then be manually added to a mixing tank, along with other ingredients. During the blending a chemist may take samples of the product containing the notified polymer using a dip tube. After the blending is complete a packer will supervise the use of a line filler and capper to transfer the finished product into the retail bottles. The packaged cosmetic products will then be stored and handled by a store person.

#### Use

The notified polymer (as a component of SEPIPLUS 400) is used as a thickener for cosmetic gels, creams and lotions at levels of up to 2%. Typical applications are:

- -in skin gel-creams at levels of 1.0-1.5% notified polymer;
- -in self-tanning light emulsions at levels of 0.5-1.0% notified polymer.

#### 6. HUMAN HEALTH IMPLICATIONS

#### 6.1. Exposure Assessment

#### OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE

Transport and storage

Transport and warehousing workers are expected to have dermal and ocular contact with the notified polymer solution and products containing the notified polymer only in the event of accidental spillages.

#### Reformulation

Dermal and ocular exposure to the notified polymer solution (up to 70% polymer) may occur due to drips, spills and splashes during weighing out and manual addition to the blending tank. The compounder is expected to wear personal protective equipment such as glasses, gloves and coveralls. Dermal and ocular exposure to the polymer solutions may potentially occur during other processes involving the notified polymer such as sampling, cleaning, maintenance, or by accidental spills during the packing process. However, exposure to significant amounts of the notified polymer is limited because of the largely automated processes, and the engineering controls and personal protective equipment worn by workers.

#### Beauty Industry

Intermittent, wide-dispersive use with direct handling is expected to occur among cosmeticians and beauticians. According to EASE (1997) modelling of this work environment, dermal exposure in the range of 1-5 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>/day of products containing up to 2% of the notified polymer could result.

#### PUBLIC EXPOSURE

Since the notified polymer will be in products sold to the general public, widespread public exposure to the notified polymer at up to 2% concentration is expected. Exposure to the notified polymer will vary depending on individual use patterns. Typical use patterns for potential applications:

- 1.2 g of skin cream containing 1.0-1.5% notified polymer is applied to the hands/face once or twice a day;
- 8.0 g of self-tanning lotion containing 0.5-1.0% notified polymer is applied to the body once a day.

#### 6.2. Toxicological Hazard Characterisation

The notified polymer meets the PLC criteria and can therefore be considered to be of low hazard. This is supported by toxicological endpoints observed in testing conducted on SEPIPLUS 400 (up to 70% notified polymer).

Endpoint	Result	Classified?	Effects	Test Guideline
1. Rat, acute oral	LD50 > 2000 mg/kg	no	Observed?	OECD TG 423
	bw			
2. Genotoxicity - bacterial	non mutagenic	no	no	OECD TG 471
reverse mutation				
3. Dermal irritation – human 48-	non irritating at 3%	no	yes	In house procedure
hour occlusive single patch test				
4. Eye irritation – <i>in vitro</i> HET-	non irritating at 3%	no	no	In house procedure
CAM* test				T 1 1
5. Eye irritation – in vitro	non irritating at 5%	no	no	In house procedure
RBCA** method				
6. Skin sensitisation – human	no evidence of	no	yes	In house procedure
repeat insult patch test	sensitisation at 5%			

<sup>\*</sup> HET-CAM: Hen's egg test-chorio allantoic membrane.

<sup>\*\*</sup> RBCA: Red blood cell aggregation

#### Dermal irritation

Very slight to slight erythema was observed in 2/20 human subjects following 48 hour exposure to the notified chemical. All effects had reversed by 48 hours after patch removal. Therefore SEPIPLUS 400 is not classified as an irritant.

#### Skin sensitisation

One subject (out of 49) showed slight erythema on days 5,8 and 10 of the induction phase and at the induction site immediately after challenge. No reactions were observed at the challenge site, therefore SEPIPLUS 400 did not induce any skin sensitisation; it was well tolerated.

Although the *in vitro* eye irritation tests and human patch tests are not validated for classification, the negative results in all tests indicate low hazard of the notified polymer solution.

#### 6.3. Human Health Risk Assessment

#### OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY

Although exposure to the notified polymer could occur during reformulation processes such as weighing out, sampling or cleaning and maintenance, the risk to workers is considered to be low due to the intrinsic low hazard of the notified polymer.

#### PUBLIC HEALTH

Although the public will be exposed to the notified polymer during use of cosmetic products such as creams and lotions, the risk to public health is considered to be low due to the predicted low hazard of the notified polymer and the low concentration of the notified polymer in the products.

#### 7. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

#### 7.1. Exposure Assessment

#### ENVIRONMENTAL RELEASE

Release to the environment may be considered at several stages:

- 1. Transport of the notified polymer prior to formulation. This is not likely to constitute a major hazard, as the material is likely to be containerised, or in packaging designed to withstand impact. Accidental spills during transportation should be relatively easily recovered and disposed of, as described under Environmental Emergency Procedures.
- 2. Storage and product formulation. With the relatively low level use proposed for this product and with its formulation and dispensing in closed systems, it is unlikely that there will be any significant release to the environment. Formulation of the product is a batch process with a batch typically 6 tonne with each batch containing up to 120 kg of the notified chemical being produced in 4 hours, 16 batches per year. Emissions to waste water are possible while cleaning the equipment. It is estimated that 2-3% final product are rinsed into the waste water collection which then goes to a biological treatment plant. The treatment plant will be managed according to the requirements of Sydney Water or their equivalent.
- 3. End Use. Given the use pattern of the notified chemical, release is entirely expected to occur to the aquatic compartment. This release is expected to be relatively diffuse. A small amount of the notified polymer (<1.0%) will remain in containers and will be disposed of to land-fill via normal household rubbish collection.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL FATE

The majority of the total volume of notified polymer will be disposed of to sewer. In the sewage treatment plant, the notified polymer may end up in receiving waters or it may associate with sludge where it could be incinerated or be applied to agricultural land. The nature of creams and lotions indicates they could associate with sludge due to hydrophobic moieties or remain in solution due to hydrophilic moieties. Notified polymer that remains in the aquatic compartment is expected to eventually degrade via biotic and abiotic means. Similarly, notified polymer that is disposed of to agricultural land or to landfill is also expected to eventually degrade via biotic and abiotic means. The notified polymer should be relatively immobile and associate with soil and sediment, due to its very large molecular weight and net anionic charge. Notified polymer that is disposed of by incineration is expected to thermally degrade to form simple oxides of carbon, nitrogen, sulphur and sodium as well as water vapour.

#### 7.2. Environmental Hazard Characterisation

No ecotoxicological data were submitted. Anionic polymers are known to be moderately toxic to algae. The mode of toxic action is overchelation of nutrient elements needed by algae for growth. The highest toxicity is when the acid is on alternating carbons of the polymer backbone. This is unlikely to apply to the notified polymer. However, the toxicity to algae is likely to be further reduced due to the presence of calcium ions, which will bind to the functional groups.

#### 7.3. Environmental Risk Assessment

Since most of the polymer will be washed into the sewer, under a worst case scenario with no removal of the notified polymer in the sewerage treatment plant, the resultant predicted environmental concentration in sewerage effluent on a nationwide basis is calculated as follows:

Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC) for the Aquatic Compartment				
Annual quantity of chemical released to sewer	1,000	kg/year		
Days per year where release occurs	365	days/year		
Daily chemical release:	2.74	kg/day		
Water use	200.0	L/person/day		
Population of Australia (Millions)	20.496	million		
Daily effluent production:	4,099	ML		
Dilution Factor - River	1.0			
Dilution Factor - Ocean	10.0			
PEC - River:	0.67	μg/L		
PEC - Ocean:	0.07	μg/L		

As ecotoxicity data were not provided, it is not possible to determine a Predicted No-Effect Concentration nor subsequently a Risk Quotient. However, given the diffuse release pattern, the notified polymer is not expected to pose an unacceptable risk to the environment, given the qualitative aquatic toxicity discussed above.

#### 8. CONCLUSIONS

#### 8.1. Level of Concern for Occupational Health and Safety

There is Low Concern to occupational health and safety under the conditions of the occupational settings described.

## 8.2. Level of Concern for Public Health

There is No Significant Concern to public health when used in the proposed manner.

#### 8.3. Level of Concern for the Environment

The polymer is not considered to pose a risk to the environment based on its reported use pattern.

#### 9. MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

#### 9.1. Material Safety Data Sheet

The notifier has provided MSDS as part of the notification statement. The accuracy of the information on the MSDS remains the responsibility of the applicant.

#### 10. RECOMMENDATIONS

CONTROL MEASURES

Occupational Health and Safety

 No specific engineering controls, work practices or personal protective equipment are required for the safe use of the notified polymer itself, however, these should be selected on the basis of all ingredients in the formulation.

Guidance in selection of personal protective equipment can be obtained from Australian, Australian/New Zealand or other approved standards.

- A copy of the MSDS should be easily accessible to employees.
- If products and mixtures containing the notified polymer are classified as hazardous to health
  in accordance with the NOHSC Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances,
  workplace practices and control procedures consistent with provisions of State and Territory
  hazardous substances legislation must be in operation.

### Disposal

• The notified polymer should be disposed of by thermal decomposition in an incinerator or to landfill.

#### Emergency procedures

• Spills and/or accidental release of the notified polymer should be handled by physical containment, collection and subsequent safe disposal.

#### 10.1. Secondary Notification

The Director of Chemicals Notification and Assessment must be notified in writing within 28 days by the notifier, other importer or manufacturer:

#### (1) <u>Under subsection 64(1) of the Act</u>; if

 the notified polymer is introduced in a chemical form that does not meet the PLC criteria.

or

#### (2) <u>Under subsection 64(2) of the Act:</u>

- if any of the circumstances listed in the subsection arise.

The Director will then decide whether secondary notification is required.