File No: LTD/1023

June 2002

NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS NOTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT SCHEME (NICNAS)

FULL PUBLIC REPORT

Polymer in E-4224

This Assessment has been compiled in accordance with the provisions of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act 1989* (Cwlth) (the Act) and Regulations. This legislation is an Act of the Commonwealth of Australia. The National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) is administered by the National Occupational Health and Safety Commission which also conducts the occupational health and safety assessment. The assessment of environmental hazard is conducted by the Department of the Environment and Heritage and the assessment of public health is conducted by the Department of Health and Ageing.

For the purposes of subsection 78(1) of the Act, this Full Public Report may be inspected at:

Library National Occupational Health and Safety Commission 25 Constitution Avenue CANBERRA ACT 2600 AUSTRALIA

To arrange an appointment contact the Librarian on TEL + 61 2 6279 1161 or + 61 2 6279 1163.

This Full Public Report is available for viewing and downloading from the NICNAS website or available on request, free of charge, by contacting NICNAS. For requests and enquiries please contact the NICNAS Administration Coordinator at:

Street Address: 334 - 336 Illawarra Road MARRICKVILLE NSW 2204, AUSTRALIA.

Postal Address: GPO Box 58, SYDNEY NSW 2001, AUSTRALIA.

TEL: + 61 2 8577 8800 FAX + 61 2 9577 8888. Website: www.nicnas.gov.au

Director Chemicals Notification and Assessment

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	IC REPORT	
1. APP	LICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS	3
2. IDE	NTITY OF CHEMICAL	3
3. CON	MPOSITION	4
4. INT	RODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION	4
5. PRO	CESS AND RELEASE INFORMATION	4
5.1.	Distribution, Transport and Storage	4
5.2.	Operation Description	4
5.3.	Occupational exposure	5
5.4.	Release	5
5.5.	Disposal	
5.6.	Public exposure	
	SICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES	
7. TOX	XICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS	
7.1.	Acute toxicity – oral	
7.2.	Acute toxicity - dermal	
7.3.	Irritation – skin	
7.4.	Irritation - eye	9
7.5.	Genotoxicity - bacteria	
	/IRONMENT	
8.1.	Environmental fate	
8.1.1		
8.1.2		
8.2.	Ecotoxicological investigations	
8.2.1	J .	
8.2.3	6 6	
	X ASSESSMENT	
9.1.	Environment	
9.1.1	1	
9.1.2 9.1.3		
9.1.3 9.2.	Human health	
9.2. 9.2.1		
9.2.1		
9.2.2	±	
9.2.3		
9.2.5		18
	ONCLUSIONS – ASSESSMENT LEVEL OF CONCERN FOR THE ENVIRONM	
	IUMANS	
10.1.	Hazard classification.	
10.2.	Environmental risk assessment.	
10.3.	Human health risk assessment.	
10.3		
10.3		
11. N	MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET	
11.1.	Material Safety Data Sheet	
11.2.	Label	
12. F	RECOMMENDATIONS	
12.1.	Secondary notification	20
13 F	RIBLIOGRAPHY	20

FULL PUBLIC REPORT

Polymer in E-4224

1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS

APPLICANT

Cytec Australia Holdings Pty Ltd (ABN 45 081 148 629) Suite 1, 1st Floor, 21 Solent Circuit Norwest Business Park Baulkham Hills, NSW 2153.

NOTIFICATION CATEGORY

Limited: Polymer with NAMW ≥ 1000 (greater than 1 tonne per year).

EXEMPT INFORMATION (SECTION 75 OF THE ACT)

Data items and details claimed exempt from publication:

Chemical name

Other names

CAS number

Molecular formula

Structural formula

Spectral data

Identity and weight % of toxic or hazardous impurities

Non-hazardous impurities

Identity and weight % of additives and adjuvants

Polymer ingredients and weight % Number average molecular weight

Residual monomers and other reactants

Low molecular weight polymer

Degradation products

Loss of monomers, additives, impurities

VARIATION OF DATA REQUIREMENTS (SECTION 24 OF THE ACT)

No variation to the schedule of data requirements is claimed.

PREVIOUS NOTIFICATION IN AUSTRALIA BY APPLICANT

None

NOTIFICATION IN OTHER COUNTRIES

USA: TSCA

Canada: CEPA Schedule VI

Korea: NIER, MOL

2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL

MARKETING NAME(S) E-4224 CT-648-98 SUPERFLOC C-4224 Flocculant CYSEP 4224 Processing Aid SUPERFLOC C-2124 Flocculant

METHODS OF DETECTION AND DETERMINATION

ANALYTICAL The notified polymer may be characterised using infrared and ¹³C nmr spectroscopy.

Метнор

Remarks Reference spectra have been provided.

3. COMPOSITION

DEGREE OF PURITY Approximately 99 %

HAZARDOUS IMPURITIES/RESIDUAL MONOMERS

None present at above the relevant cutoffs for classification of the notified polymer as a hazardous substance (NOHSC, 1999b).

4. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION

Mode of Introduction of Notified Chemical (100%) Over Next 5 Years

The notified polymer will not be manufactured, reformulated or repackaged in Australia. It will be imported as the product E-4224, containing 10 - 30 % notified polymer in aqueous solution.

Maximum Introduction Volume of Notified Chemical (100%) Over Next 5 Years

The import volume will be in the range of 2 - 11 tonnes per annum during the first five years of importation, averaging around 7 tonnes per annum.

USE

The notified polymer will be used as a flocculant for liquid/solid separation processes in wastewater treatment, including oil refinery wastewater, and also for separation of fermentation broths in biotechnology applications. Approximately 80 % will be used in oil refineries, with the remainder evenly split between the other uses.

5. PROCESS AND RELEASE INFORMATION

5.1. Distribution, Transport and Storage

PORT OF ENTRY Sydney

IDENTITY OF MANUFACTURER/RECIPIENTS

Initially United Transport Services warehouse at Arndell Park, NSW; thence to a variety of customer sites.

TRANSPORTATION AND PACKAGING

The notified polymer will be imported by sea by container, initially in 20 L pails, and later in 200 L drums and 1000 L Intermediate Bulk Containers (IBCs). It is likely that different types and sizes of containers will be used for different products as the scale of use is likely to differ. Transport to the warehouse and customer sites will be by road.

5.2. Operation Description

Only end-use applications of the notified polymer will occur in Australia. The procedures used for addition of the flocculant will vary depending on the scale and type of the operation for which the flocculant is used. In smaller scale operations, such as biotechnology applications, addition of the flocculant is likely to be a small, fully manual batch addition. In larger scale operations, such as wastewater treatment, flocculant addition will be via automatic dosing equipment. Addition levels will range between around 0.001 % or less notified polymer in wastewater treatment and of the order of 0.5 to 1 % notified polymer in biotechnology applications. The polymer adsorbs strongly to solids in the mixing tank, which are generally unwanted waste products. The resulting sludge, containing the polymer, is then removed from the system for disposal.

5.3. Occupational exposure

Number and Category of Workers

Category of Worker	Number	Exposure Duration	Exposure Frequency
Waterside workers	5 - 10	2-3 hr/day	10 − 20 days per year
Transport drivers	5 - 10	2-3 hr/day	10 − 20 days per year
Warehouse and storage workers	10 - 20	2-3 hr/day	10 − 20 days per year
Plant operators	20 - 60	1-8 hr/day	daily

Exposure Details

Waterside, transport and warehouse workers will only handle closed containers of the product containing the notified polymer. No exposure is expected except in the case of an accident involving damage to the packaging.

When the flocculant is added in an automated process, the feed may either be directly from the imported containers (drums or IBCs), or pumped to a large storage tank for the automated dosing system. In both cases, exposure of workers may occur when the imported containers are open for insertion of the pump or metering system and when the pump or metering system is withdrawn from the empty container. This exposure would normally be confined to dermal contact with drips and spills of the product containing 10-30% notified polymer on the surface of the equipment in contact with the flocculant. Accidental ocular contact from splashes may also occur. There may also be dermal exposure during sampling for quality control or during maintenance of the dosing system; contact with the wastewater containing very low levels of notified polymer may also occur.

For a manual process, the required volume of flocculant will be measured from the imported container, eg by dipping or decanting, and added to a vortex in a stirred open tank. Dermal exposure to the product containing 10 - 30 % notified polymer during decanting, and dermal and ocular exposure to splashes on addition to the open tank, may occur.

Eye protection such as splash goggles or face shield is used while handling the notified polymer solution, as the pH of the solution is in the range 3-3.5. Skin protection comprises impervious gloves, and apron and coveralls.

5.4. Release

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL AT SITE

No release of the notified polymer is expected during transport and storage except in the event of a transport accident.

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM USE

No release is anticipated during use of the notified polymer as a flocculant in biotechnology applications. In these applications, the flocculant is typically used in pre-treatment processes in which flocculation is followed by centrifuging and filtering. These procedures are expected to remove all of the solids, including the notified polymer, from the liquids. The desired end product will usually be contained in the aqueous supernatant liquid. Clearance of the supernatant of unwanted contaminants, which include the notified polymer, is proven using analytical techniques. The unwanted solid material is expected to end up in landfill.

Some release of the polymer into the aquatic environment is possible during oil refining and wastewater treatment application. For example, in water treatment applications at oil refineries, the flocculant is added at the end of the primary treatment stage and prior to secondary treatment. During secondary treatment, the solids containing the notified polymer are settled out, while the effluent goes through to tertiary treatment. Similar procedures are expected to occur in other wastewater treatment applications. The notifier claims that field trials indicate less that 0.002 % of the polymer will remain in the liquid following flocculation. As such, the notifier conservatively estimates 1 % of the flocculant could be released in the effluent while the remaining 99 % will be retained in the solid flocculated wastes.

Assuming a maximum annual import volume of 50 tonnes of imported product, and a 99 % removal by binding, up to 10890 kg/year will be released to landfill for all sites including residues in containers; and 110 kg/year will be released into the sewer or waterways for all sites.

5.5. Disposal

The waste sludge, containing the notified polymer, is expected to be disposed in landfill.

5.6. Public exposure

It is expected that during transport, storage and use, exposure of the general public will be minimal, except in the event of an accidental spill of aqueous preparations containing the notified polymer. Care should be taken as these will render surfaces very slippery. Spills should be contained and absorbed with inert material and placed into appropriate labelled containers. Water and absorbent material should be added to the spill until the "slipperiness" is removed. Waste should be recycled if possible, or disposed of by thermal treatment or incineration in accordance with local, state, and federal regulations.

As a component in flocculant products for industrial use, the notified polymer will not be sold to the public. Once used the notified polymer will be contained in flocculated solid matter and disposed to landfill. Consequently, public exposure to the notified polymer is unlikely.

6. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

No test reports for physical and chemical properties were provided. A number of the quoted values are for the imported product, E-4224, which is a 10 - 30 % aqueous solution of notified polymer.

Appearance at 20°C and 101.3 kPa White, grainy solid; imported as a slightly off-white

dispersion with a polyamine odour.

Boiling Point 100°C at 101.3 kPa

Remarks Result for product E-4224.

Density Approximately 1100 kg/m³

Remarks Result for product E-4224.

Vapour Pressure 2.4 kPa at 20°C

Remarks Result for product E-4224. The notified polymer is not expected to be volatile due

to its high molecular weight and ionic nature.

Water Solubility 69.6 to 79.5 % w/w at 20°C

METHOD EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.6 Water Solubility (Flask Method).

Remarks The marketed products are solutions of 10 - 30 % notified polymer in water. A

water solubility test was performed by placing various amounts of test material in double-distilled water, and shaking the test vessels at 30°C for 72 hours. The material was then allowed to stand for 24 hours before estimating solubility visually. The water solubility range could not be narrowed down further due to the gelatinous nature of the test material in water. At high concentrations the test material absorbed the double-distilled water to form a very viscous immobile gel.

TEST FACILITY SafePharm Laboratories Ltd (2002).

Hydrolysis as a Function of pH Not determined

Remarks Side chains may be hydrolysed under extreme pH conditions.

Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water) Not determined

METHOD EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.8 Partition Coefficient (Shake Flask Method).

Remarks Analytical Method: Gel permeation chromatography

Following a preliminary test, a definitive test was performed with 2 replicates of three test ratios each of water:octanol. Test mixtures were shaken by inversion for 5 minutes. After separation, aliquots of both phases were removed for analysis.

The results indicate the test substance will have a poor affinity for lipids.

TEST FACILITY SafePharm Laboratories Ltd (2002).

Adsorption/Desorption

Not determined

Remarks

The notified polymer is expected to bind strongly to negatively charged soil particles and organic matter. Polycationic polymers, designed for use as flocculants, bind with dissolved organic carbon in the water column and eventually settle out and accumulate in sediments (Nabholz *et al* 1993).

The notifier, as a conservative estimate, anticipates 99 % of the notified polymer will be removed as solid waste, citing field trials showing clearance of polymer in liquid to < 20 ppm (< 0.002 %). The notifier provided raw data from field trials which showed settling rates, but no data on the final concentrations of the polymer remaining in the supernatant.

Dissociation Constant

Not determined

Remarks The notified polymer does not contain any acidic or basic functional groups. Full

dissociation of the ionic groups is expected in aqueous solution.

Particle Size Not determined

Remarks The notified polymer is never isolated from aqueous solution.

Flash Point > 100°C

Remarks Pensky-Martens closed cup.

Flammability Limits Not flammable

Autoignition Temperature Will not self-ignite

Explosive Properties Not explosive

Reactivity

Remarks The notified polymer is expected to be stable under normal environmental

conditions.

7. TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

Endpoint and Result	Assessment Conclusion
Rat, acute oral LD50 > 2000 mg/kg bw	low toxicity
Rat, acute dermal LD50 > 2000 mg/kg bw	low toxicity
Rabbit, skin irritation	slightly irritating
Rabbit, eye irritation	slightly irritating
Genotoxicity - bacterial reverse mutation	non mutagenic

7.1. Acute toxicity – oral

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified polymer.

METHOD OECD TG 423 Acute Oral Toxicity – Acute Toxic Class Method.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC B.1tris Acute Oral Toxicity – Acute Toxic Class

Method.

Species/Strain Rat/Sprague-Dawley CD (Crl:CD(SD)IGS BR)
Vehicle Distilled water, dose volume 40 mL/kg bw

Remarks - Method The notified polymer was administered in two doses of 20 mL/kg bw, at a

1 hour interval

RESULTS

Group	Number and Sex	Dose	Mortality					
	of Animals	mg/kg bw						
I	3 per sex	2000	0/6					
LD50	> 2000 mg/kg bw							
Signs of Toxicity		All animals showed hunched posture which cleared by 3 days at dosing. Piloerection and/or diarrhoea were observed in five animals the day of dosing						
Effects in Organs	No gross abnormalit	ties were seen at necropsy.						
Remarks - Results	All animals gained v	weight through the study.						
Conclusion	The notified polyme	er is of low toxicity via the	oral route.					
TEST FACILITY	SafePharm Laborato	ories Ltd (1999a)						

7.2. Acute toxicity - dermal

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified polymer.

METHOD OECD TG 402 Acute Dermal Toxicity – Limit Test.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC B.3 Acute Toxicity (Dermal) – Limit Test.

Species/Strain Rat/Sprague-Dawley CD (Crl:CD(SD)IGS BR)

Vehicle Distilled water
Type of dressing Semi-occlusive.

Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations

RESULTS

Group	Number and Sex of Animals	Dose mg/kg bw	Mortality
I	5 per sex	2000	0/10
LD50 Signs of Toxicity - Local Signs of Toxicity - Systemic Effects in Organs Remarks - Results	No gross abnormalit	ema was observed. systemic toxicity were obsites were seen at necropsy. weight through the study.	
Conclusion	The notified polyme	er is of low toxicity via the	dermal route.
TEST FACILITY	SafePharm Laborato	ories Ltd (1999b)	

7.3. Irritation – skin

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified polymer.

METHOD OECD TG 404 Acute Dermal Irritation/Corrosion.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC B.4 Acute Toxicity (Skin Irritation).

Species/Strain Rabbit/New Zealand White

Number of Animals 3

Vehicle Moistened with distilled water

Observation Period 3 days

Type of Dressing Semi-occlusive.

Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations

RESULTS

Lesion		an Sco iimal I		Maximum Value	Maximum Duration of Any	Maximum Value at End of	
					Effect	Observation Period	
	1	2	3				
Erythema/Eschar	0.33	0	0.33	1	24 hr	0	
Oedema	0	0	0	0	-	0	

^{*}Calculated on the basis of the scores at 24, 48, and 72 hours for EACH animal.

Remarks - Results Slight erythema was seen at 1 hour and 24 hours in two animals, clearing

by 48 hours.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is slightly irritating to skin.

TEST FACILITY SafePharm Laboratories Ltd (1999c)

7.4. Irritation - eye

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified polymer.

METHOD OECD TG 405 Acute Eye Irritation/Corrosion.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC B.5 Acute Toxicity (Eye Irritation).

Species/Strain Rabbit/New Zealand White

Number of Animals 3 Observation Period 7 days

Remarks - Method An observation was made at 7 days to determine the reversibility of the

observed irritation.

RESULTS

Lesion	Mean Score* Animal No.		Maximum Value	Maximum Duration of Any Effect	Maximum Value at End of Observation Period	
	1	2	3			
Conjunctiva: redness	1.7	1.7	2.0	2	72 hours	0
Conjunctiva: chemosis	1.0	1.7	2.0	2	72 hours	0
Conjunctiva: discharge	1.3	1.7	2.0	3	72 hours	0
Corneal opacity	0	0	0	0	-	0
Iridial inflammation	0	0	0	0	-	0

^{*}Calculated on the basis of the scores at 24, 48, and 72 hours for EACH animal.

Remarks - Results All animals showed severe conjunctival irritation at 1 hour after dosing;

the irritation persisted beyond 72 hours, with scores of 2 for redness, chemosis and discharge at 72 hours in one animal. All signs of conjunctival irritation cleared by day 7. No evidence of corneal or iris

irritation was observed.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is slightly irritating to the eye.

TEST FACILITY SafePharm Laboratories Ltd (1999d)

7.5. Genotoxicity - bacteria

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified polymer.

METHOD OECD TG 471 Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test.

EC Directive 2000/32/EC B.13/14 Mutagenicity – Reverse Mutation Test

using Bacteria.

Plate incorporation procedure

S. typhimurium: TA1535, TA1537, TA98, TA100 Species/Strain

E. coli: WP2 uvrA

Metabolic Activation System 10 % rat liver S9 fraction from animals pretreated with phenobarbitone

and β-naphthoflavone

a) With metabolic activation: $50 - 5000 \mu g/plate$. Concentration Range in

Main Test b) Without metabolic activation: $50 - 5000 \mu g/plate$. Vehicle

Polyethylene glycol (PEG 400)

Remarks - Method Two independent experiments were performed in triplicate.

RESULTS

Remarks - Results No signs of cytotoxicity were observed at any concentration. Precipitation

was observed at and above 1500 µg/plate in all tests.

No increases in the number of revertant colonies were seen in either test,

either in the presence or absence of metabolic activation.

Appropriate positive controls were used and in all cases produced large increases in the number of revertant colonies, confirming the sensitivity

of the test system.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer was not mutagenic to bacteria under the conditions

of the test.

TEST FACILITY SafePharm Laboratories Ltd (1999e)

8. **ENVIRONMENT**

8.1. **Environmental fate**

8.1.1. Ready biodegradability

TEST SUBSTANCE E-4224 (15-22 % notified polymer in water)

METHOD OECD TG 301 D Ready Biodegradability: Closed Bottle Test.

Inoculum Effluent from a sewage treatment plant

Exposure Period 28 days **Auxiliary Solvent** None

Analytical Monitoring Concentration of dissolved oxygen

Remarks - Method A total of 5 vessels were prepared for the test. These were as follows:

(1) the mineral medium, containing only mineral medium solution, (2) the inoculum blank, containing only the microbial inoculum, (3) the procedural control, containing 1 and 2, and sodium benzoate, (4) the test suspension, containing inoculum and a nominal concentration of 7.73 mg/L of test substance, and (5) the toxicity control, containing inoculum, the test substance and sodium benzoate. At intervals of 0, 7, 10, 14, 21, and 28 days, a portion of the test solution was syphoned off for measurement of the dissolved oxygen concentration. Samples were

also analysed for the nitrite and nitrate concentration.

RESULTS

C'	T-648-98	Sodiu	m benzoate
Day	% degradation	Day	% degradation
14	0	14	83.2
28	0	28	89.2

Remarks - Results

The overall mean oxygen depletion for the test suspension after 28 days was < 0.0 mg O₂/mg test substance indicating 0 % degradation. This

compared to 89 % of the reference substance degraded after 28 days. The toxicity control did not inhibit degradation, since 35 % degradation

of the reference material occurred within 14 days.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is not readily biodegradable.

TEST FACILITY Toxikon Corporation (1999a).

8.1.2. Bioaccumulation

No test data for bioaccumulation were provided by the notifier. However, high molecular weight and low affinity for lipids of the notified polymer would preclude it moving across biological membranes, and hence the polymer should not bioacccumulate.

8.2. Ecotoxicological investigations

8.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish

Test 1

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified polymer (84 % purity)

METHOD OECD TG 203 Fish, Acute Toxicity Test–semi-static conditions.

Species Rainbow Trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)

Exposure Period 96 hours Auxiliary Solvent None

Water Hardness 100 mg CaCO₃/L

Analytical Monitoring Test concentrations by spectrophotometry

Remarks - Method Following a preliminary range-finding study, fish were exposed, in

groups of 10, to an aqueous solution of the test material containing nominal concentrations of 0.10, 0.18, 0.32, 0.56 and 1.0 mg/L. The number of mortalities and sub-lethal effects were determined 3 and 6 hours after the start of the test and then daily until the end of the test period. The measured test concentrations at 0 and 24 hours in the stock solution were near nominal, whereas measured concentrations at 48 and 73 hours were 21% and 196% of nominal. The variability was considered to be possibly due to the non-specific method of analysis.

RESULTS

ESULIS								
Concentration mg/L		Number of Fish	Mortality					
Nominal	Actual		6h	24h	48h	72h	96h	
Control		10	0	0	0	0	0	
0.10		10	0	0	0	0	0	
0.18		10	0	0	0	0	0	
0.32		10	0	0	0	0	0	
0.56		10	0	0	0	4	6	
1.0		10	0	0	9	10	10	

LC50 0.53 mg/L at 96 hours (CI = 0.45 - 0.63 mg/L)

NOEC (or LOEC) 0.32 mg/L at 96 hours.

Remarks – Results The highest test concentration resulting in 0% mortality was 0.32 mg/L,

and the lowest test concentration resulting in 100% mortality was 1.0 mg/L. Sublethal effects of exposure to the notified polymer were observed at test concentrations of 0.56 mg/L and above. These included swimming at the surface, swimming at the bottom of the test vessel and

loss of equilibrium.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is highly toxic to rainbow trout (Mensink et al.

1995).

TEST FACILITY SafePharm Laboratories Ltd (1999f).

Test 2

TEST SUBSTANCE

Notified polymer (84 % purity)

METHOD

Species Exposure Period Auxiliary Solvent Water Hardness Analytical Monitoring Remarks – Method Acute Toxicity Test Mitigated by Humic Acid. US EPA Draft Ecological Effects Test Guideline OPPTS 850.1085

Rainbow Trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss)

96 hour None

121 mg CaCO₃/L

Dissolved organic carbon for the concentration of humic acid.

Following a preliminary range-finding test, fish were exposed, in two groups of 10, to aqueous solutions containing nominal concentrations of 3.2, 5.6, 10, 18 and 32 mg/L of the test substance, and using dilution water with humic acid at a concentration of 10 mg/L. A further test was performed using nominal concentrations of 10, 18, 32, 56 and 100 mg/L and dilution water containing 20 mg/L of humic acid.

Test solutions containing humic acid were observed to form brown solutions/dispersions upon preparation at 0 hours. After 24 hours, the dilution water containing 10 mg/L humic acid, and 0 (control), 10, 18 and 32 mg/L of test substance, remained brown solutions/dispersions. At concentrations of 3.2 and 5.6 mg/L a heavy brown precipitate was observed at the bottom of the test vessel. In the test solutions containing 20 mg/L of humic acid, a brown precipitate formed in vessels with concentrations of 10 and 18 mg/L of the test material.

RESULTS

	Test Substance Concentration mg/L		Mortal	ity in diluti	on water co	ntaining 10	mg/L of hu	mic acid
Nominal	Replicate	Fish	3h	6h	24h	48h	72h	96h
Control	R1	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
	R2	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.2	R1	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
	R2	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.6	R1	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
	R2	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	R1	10	0	1	10	10	10	10
	R2	10	0	1	10	10	10	10
18	R!	10	2	10	10	10	10	10
	R2	10	2	10	10	10	10	10
32	R1	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	R2	10	10	10	10	10	10	10

Test Substance Concentration mg/L		Number of Fish	Mortal	ity in diluti	mg/L of humic acid			
Nominal	Replicate		3h	6h	24h	48h	72h	96h
Control	R1	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
	R2	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	R1	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
	R2	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	R1	10	1	10	10	10	10	10
	R2	10	3	10	10	10	10	10
32	R1	10	2	10	10	10	10	10
	R2	10	2	10	10	10	10	10

56	R1	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	R2	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
100	R1	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	R2	10	10	10	10	10	10	10

LC50

NOEC (or LOEC)

Remarks - Results

CONCLUSION

TEST FACILITY

Test 3

TEST SUBSTANCE

METHOD Species

> Exposure Period Auxiliary Solvent

Water Hardness Analytical Monitoring

Remarks – Method

7.5 mg/L at 96 hours in dilution water containing 10 mg/L humic acid. 13 mg/L at 96 hours in dilution water containing 20 mg/L humic acid. 5.6 mg/L at 96 hours in dilution water containing 10 mg/L humic acid. 10 mg/L at 96 hours in dilution water containing 20 mg/L humic acid. In the dilution water containing 10 mg/L humic acid, sub-lethal effects of exposure to the test material were observed at concentrations of 10 and 18 mg/L. In the dilution water containing 20 mg/L humic acid, sub-lethal effects of exposure to the test material were observed at concentrations of 18 and 32 mg/L. The sub-lethal responses were swimming at the surface and at the bottom of the test vessels.

The presence of humic acid in the dilution water was observed to mitigate the toxicity of the test material to rainbow trout. The higher the concentrations of humic acid the greater the reduction in toxicity. The mitigating effects are thought to be due to the test material binding to the humic acid in the dilution water and thereby reducing bioavailability of the substance.

SafePharm Laboratories Ltd (1999g).

Notified polymer (84 % purity)

OECD TG 203 Fish, Acute Toxicity Test-semi-static conditions.

Bluegill Sunfish (Lepomis macrochirus)

96 hours None

100 mg CaCO₃/L

Stock solution concentrations.

Following a preliminary range-finding study, fish were exposed, in groups of 10, to an aqueous solution of the test material containing nominal concentrations of 0.56, 1.0, 1.8, 3.2 and 5.6 mg/L of test substance. The test concentrations in the test vessels were below the limit of quantification of the analytical method employed, while the measured stock solution concentrations of 100 mg/L were shown to be near nominal.

RESULTS

Concentra	tion mg/L	Number of Fish			Мо	rtality		
Nominal	Actual	•	3h	6h	24h	48h	72h	96h
Control		10	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.56		10	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.0		10	0	0	0	0	0	0
1.8		10	0	0	0	3	4	7
3.2		10	0	0	8	10	10	10
5.6		10	0	0	10	10	10	10

LC50

1.6 mg/L at 96 hours (CI = 1.3-1.9).

NOEC (or LOEC) 1.0 mg/L at 96 hours.

Remarks – Results The highest test concentration resulting in 0 % mortality was 1.0 mg/L,

while the lowest test concentration resulting in 100 % mortality was 3.2 mg/L. Sub-lethal effects of exposure to the notified substance were observed at concentrations of 1.8 mg/L and above. These effects were

swimming at the surface and at the bottom of the test vessels.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is moderately toxic to bluegill sunfish (Mensink et

al. 1995).

TEST FACILITY SafePharm Laboratories Ltd (1999h).

8.2.2. Acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified polymer (84 % purity)

METHOD OECD TG 202 Daphnia sp. Acute Immobilisation Test and

Reproduction Test – static test conditions.

Species Daphnia magna
Exposure Period 48 hours
Auxiliary Solvent None

Water Hardness 250 mg CaCO₃/L

Analytical Monitoring Test concentrations by spectrophotometric analysis.

Remarks - Method Following a preliminary range-finding test, 2 replicates of 10 animals

each were exposed to nominal concentrations of 0.32, 0.56, 1.0, 1.8, 3.2, 5.6, 10, 18 and 32 mg/L of the test substance. Analyses of the concentration of test material was carried out on 5.6, 10, 18, 32 mg/L the test concentrations at 0 and 48 hours, and 100 mg/L stock solution at 0 hours. Concentrations below 5.6 mg/L were below the detection limit. Measured test concentrations were in excess of 80 % of nominal, except for a marked decline (55 and 39 % of nominal) in concentrations of 18 and 32 mg/L at 48 hours. The decline was thought to be due to the

physical instability of the test material at these concentrations.

RESULTS

Concentration mg/L		Number of D. magna	Number Immobilised	
Nominal	Replicate		24 h	48 h
Control	R1	10	0	0
	R2	10	0	0
0.32	R1	10	0	0
	R2	10	0	0
0.56	R1	10	0	0
	R2	10	0	0
1.0	R1	10	0	0
	R2	10	0	0

1.8	R1	10	0	2
	R2	10	0	3
3.2	R1	10	0	7
	R2	10	0	6
5.6	R1	10	0	9
	R2	10	0	10
10	R1	10	6	10
	R2	10	3	10
18	R1	10	9	10
	R2	10	9	10
32	R1	10	10	10
	R2	10	10	10

LC50 11 mg/L at 24 hours

2.6 mg/L at 48 hours (CI = 2.2-3.1).

NOEC (or LOEC) 6.3 mg/L at 24 hours

Remarks - Results The EC₅₀ values are based on the time-weighted mean measured test

concentrations given the decline in the measured concentrations over the

48 hour exposure period.

CONCLUSION The test substance is moderately toxic to Daphnia magna (Mensink et

al. 1995).

TEST FACILITY SafePharm Laboratories Ltd (1999i).

8.2.3. Algal growth inhibition test

TEST SUBSTANCE E-4224 (15 – 22 % notified polymer in water)

METHOD In house test protocol, following OECD GLP and Guidelines.

Species Selenastrum capricornutum

Exposure Period 72 hours

Company to the Department of t

Concentration Range 0.0625, 0.125, 0.25, 0.5, and 1 mg whole material (wm)/L

Nominal

Concentration Range Not measured

Actual

Auxiliary Solvent None
Water Hardness Not reported

Analytical Monitoring Determination of the stability, purity, and characterization of the test

substance was not performed.

Remarks - Method Following a range-finding test, a definitive test was performed against

algal cells using 3 replicates of each test concentration and 6 for the controls. All test solutions were prepared by mixing the required amount of test substance with the algal medium. Algal counts were conducted at 24, 48 and 72 hours after the start of the test. The pH of the test medium varied between 7.0 and 7.8 over the test period. The EC₅₀ was

determined using probit analysis.

RESULTS After 72 hours of exposure, the percentage inhibition of cell growth

compared to the control ranged from $3.24\,\%$ at nominal concentrations of $0.065\,$ mg wm/L to $99.9\,\%$ at $1.0\,$ mg wm/L. Observation of cell morphology detected no changes in cells exposed to the test material

compared to the control.

EC50 $E_bC_{50} = 0.16 \text{ mg wm/L} (C.I. = 0.14-0.19 \text{ mg wm/L})$

E_rC₅₀ could not be calculated

NOEC (or LOEC) 0.0625 mg wm/L

Remarks - Results The test was performed using the end product (E-4224), which is

contained in a solution of water and other ingredients. As such, the

toxicity to algae may not be attributable to the notified polymer, but to one or a combination of the ingredients in the product, one of which is another cationic polymer at similar levels. The toxicity could be underestimated by up to a factor of 5 based on the concentration of the test material in the final product.

CONCLUSION The test substance is very highly toxic to algae (Mensink *et al.* 1995).

TEST FACILITY Toxikon Corporation (1999b).

9. RISK ASSESSMENT

9.1. Environment

9.1.1. Environment – exposure assessment

The notified polymer is a high molecular weight cationic polyelectrolyte intended for use as a flocculant in a number of industrial applications including biotechnology, oil refining and wastewater treatment applications. The polymer is able to flocculate suspended solids by scavenging negatively charged clays and humic substances, thereby forming larger flocs which are removed from the liquid phase by sedimentation or filtration.

The notifier, as a conservative estimate, anticipates 99 % of the notified polymer will be removed as solid waste, while the remaining 1 % of unconsumed flocculant could be discharged from each user site into the municipal sewer with the effluent water. It is expected that the 1 % of polymer released into the sewer from WWTP at user sites would continue to be adsorbed onto negatively charged colloidal material, and also eventually partition into sludge and sediments. Thus assuming a maximum annual import volume of 50 tonnes of end use product, being used evenly among 9 user sites over a 365 days period, we calculated the daily predicted environmental concentration (PEC) for the aquatic environment as follows:

A: Import volume of notified polymer (year 5)	11000 kg
B: Daily release per site (9 user sites)	3.35 kg
C: Loss by adsorption during use per site (99%)	3.31 kg
D: Release into company effluent (1%)	0.04 kg
E: Capacity of WWTP	2 ML
G: Concentration in company effluent	0.02 mg/L
H: Dilution factor in city sewer (1:125)	$1.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mg/L}$
I: Dilution factor in rural sewer (1:5)	$4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mg/L}$
J: Loss by adsorption in city sewer (99%)	$1.6 \times 10^{-6} \text{mg/L}$
K: Loss by adsorption in rural sewer (99%)	4×10^{-5} mg/L

These calculations assume all of the imported volume of polymer is used in oil refinery or wastewater treatment applications with on-site sewers. The values indicate that most of the polymer (up to 10890 kg) will be adsorbed and partition into solid phases in the on-site wastewater treatment facilities, where it will most likely be disposed to landfill with dewatered sludge.

The notified polymer is not expected to readily degrade either through biotic or abiotic mechanisms in the environment. No biodegradation of the end product containing the polymer occurred during the 28-day Ready Biodegradability test. However, the polymer contains groups on the side-chain which could undergo hydrolysis under extreme temperature and pH conditions leaving the polymer backbone intact.

9.1.2. Environment – effects assessment

The notifier provided test reports for two species of fish, and for daphnia and freshwater algae. The results of these tests indicate the notified polymer is moderately toxic to bluegill sunfish and daphnia, and is highly toxic to rainbow trout and freshwater algae. The most sensitive species is algae with an LC_{50} of 0.16 mg/L. The LC_{50} for algae could be as low as 0.03 mg/L given the test was conducted using nominal concentrations of the end product, which contained the notified polymer in a solution. The results of the Acute Toxicity Test Mitigated by Humic Acid indicate that the toxicity of the notified polymer is significantly reduced in the

presence of humic acid.

A predicted no effects concentration (PNEC) can be determined when at least one acute LC_{50} for each of the three trophic levels is available (ie. fish, daphnia, algae). The PNEC is calculated by taking the LC_{50} value of the most sensitive species, and dividing this value by an assessment safety factor. The most sensitive species was freshwater algae with a 96 hour LC_{50} of 0.16 mg/L (0.03 mg/L of notified polymer). Using a worst-case scenario safety factor of 100 (OECD), the PNEC_{aquatic} is 3×10^{-4} mg/L.

No information was provided on the toxicity of the substance to soil or sediment dwelling organisms. Polycationic polymers designed for use as flocculants bind with dissolved organic carbon in the water column and eventually settle out and accumulate in sediments (Nabholz *et al* 1993). As such these substance are a potential hazard to benthic organisms. However, sediment toxicity testing with species which ingest sediment has shown that polycationic polymers with charge densities > 3.0 cations/1000 MWn, (or with more than 4.2 % amine nitrogen) as is the case here, are not bioavailable to cause any toxicity and are thus of low concern (Nabholz *et al* 1993).

9.1.3. Environment – risk characterisation

The notifier has indicated that at least 99 % of the polymer will partition into solid phases during application with the possibility of the remaining 1 % being released into the aquatic environment via industrial wastewater treatment facilities. Consequently, the daily PEC of the notified polymer discharged from each on-site treatment facility and into the domestic sewer of metropolitan and rural areas are in the order of 1.6×10^{-4} mg/L and 4×10^{-3} mg/L, respectively. The polycationic nature of the notified polymer indicates that it will continue to bind strongly to organic and clay colloids in the sewer. Hence the polymer is expected to be largely eliminated either by settling in clarification tanks or by adsorption onto organic biomass in the sewer. The daily PEC in the sewer, assuming a continued 99 % binding rate, is in the order of 1.6×10^{-6} and 4×10^{-5} mg/L (for metropolitan and rural areas, respectively). These values would be further reduced once the polymer is released into the natural receiving waters.

The ecotoxicity data indicate the notified polymer is moderately toxic to bluegill sunfish and daphnia, and is highly toxic to rainbow trout and algae. The PEC/PNEC ratios calculated using the worst case algal toxicity are 0.05 for a metropolitan area, and 0.13 for a rural area, indicating no immediate concern for either metropolitan or rural areas.

The presence of humic acid in the dilution water was observed to mitigate the toxicity of the test material to rainbow trout. The higher the concentrations of humic acid in the test water the greater the reduction in toxicity. It is thought that this occurred because the test material bound to the humic acid in the dilution water, thereby reducing its bioavailability. Consequently, in natural waters where dissolved organic material and humic acids are typically present (Bolto, 1994), it is expected that the toxicity of the notified polymer to aquatic organisms would be further reduced by its adsorption onto suspended colloids (Nabholz *et al* 1993).

The environmental exposure and overall environmental hazard from the use of the notified polymer in waste-water treatment and oil refinery applications is acceptable, provided that the notified polymer is used in the correct dose commensurate with the quantity of organic compounds and suspended solids in the treatment facilities, which would allow the predicted 99 % removal. However, given the substance is not readily biodegradable and is toxic to aquatic organisms, it is recommended that the following statements be included in the MSDS: "Toxic to aquatic organisms." "Avoid overdosing treatment water." "Contain all spills. Do not allow it to enter waterways."

9.2. Human health

9.2.1. Occupational health and safety – exposure assessment

When the flocculant is added in an automated process, exposure of workers may occur when the imported containers are open for insertion of the pump or metering system and when the pump or metering system is withdrawn from the empty container, and during sampling for scientific testing, and maintenance. For a manual process, the required volume of flocculant will be measured from the imported container, eg by dipping or decanting, and added to a vortex in a stirred open tank. This is expected to lead to a higher risk of exposure than automated addition due to the greater handling time and increased splash risk.

Exposure would normally be confined to dermal contact with drips and spills of the product containing 10 - 30 % notified polymer on the surface of the equipment in contact with the

flocculant. Accidental ocular contact from splashes may also occur.

Eye protection such as splash goggles or face shield is used while handling the notified polymer solution, as the pH of the solution is in the range 3 - 3.5. Skin protection comprises impervious gloves, and apron and coveralls.

9.2.2. Public health – exposure assessment

The notified polymer will not be sold to the public. Use as a flocculant for liquid/solid separation processes in industries such as oil refining, biotechnology (eg. fermentation processes) and wastewater treatment will result in disposal of flocculated solid matter containing the notified polymer to landfill.

9.2.3. Human health - effects assessment

The notified polymer was of low acute oral toxicity (LD50 > 2000 mg/kg bw) and low dermal toxicity (LD50 > 2000 mg/kg bw) in rats. it was a very slight skin irritant in rabbits, with maximum Draize scores of 1, and irritation persisting less than 48 hours. It was irritating to rabbit eyes, with conjunctival effects persisting beyond 3 days, although all effects cleared by 7 days. The effects were below the thresholds for classification of the notified polymer as an eye irritant. The notified polymer was not mutagenic in bacteria.

Based on the submitted data, the notified polymer is not classified as a hazardous substance in accordance with the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC, 1999b).

9.2.4. Occupational health and safety – risk characterisation

The notified polymer is not classified as a hazardous substance, however instillation of the notified polymer in rabbit eyes led to significant conjunctival irritation which persisted beyond three days. While the effects were below the threshold for classification, they represent a hazard which should be protected against. In addition the solutions are acidic, with a pH in the range 3 – 3.5. For these reasons, the safety phrases S25: Avoid contact with eyes and S39: Wear eye/face protection should be applied to the products containing the notified polymer. The notifier indicated that splash goggles or a face shield are worn while workers are handling the products.

It is not expected that dermal contact with the products will result in significant occupational risk, based on the low hazard associated with skin contact. Occupational risk will be confined (apart from in the case of accidents) to workers handling the imported products during dosing, sampling and maintenance, particularly in the case of manual addition where the risk of splashing is expected to be greatest. At any time these products are handled, eye protection should be worn; gloves and industrial clothing should also be used. After water treatment and flocculation of the solids, very little risk from the notified polymer is expected because of the tight binding of the polymer in the floc, and the low concentration of polymer remaining in the treated water.

9.2.5. Public health – risk characterisation

With public exposure to the notified polymer being unlikely, except in the event of an accidental spill, the hazard from public exposure to the notified polymer throughout all phases of its lifecycle is considered to be negligible.

10. CONCLUSIONS – ASSESSMENT LEVEL OF CONCERN FOR THE ENVIRONMENT AND HUMANS

10.1. Hazard classification

Based on the available data the notified polymer is not classified as hazardous under the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC, 1999b).

10.2. Environmental risk assessment

On the basis of the PEC/PNEC ratio: The polymer is not considered to pose a risk to the environment based on its reported use pattern, provided that the substance is used in the correct doses which are commensurate with the quantity of organic compounds and suspended solids and the facilities contain on-site treatment plants.

10.3. Human health risk assessment

10.3.1. Occupational health and safety

There is Low Concern to occupational health and safety under the conditions of the occupational settings described.

10.3.2. Public health

There is Negligible Concern to public health when used in industrial water treatment as specified in the notification.

11. MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

11.1. Material Safety Data Sheet

The MSDS of the products containing the notified polymer provided by the notifier were in accordance with the NOHSC *National Code of Practice for the Preparation of Material Safety Data Sheets* (NOHSC, 1994a). They are published here as a matter of public record. The accuracy of the information on the MSDS remains the responsibility of the applicant.

11.2. Label

The label for the products containing the notified polymer provided by the notifier were in accordance with the NOHSC *National Code of Practice for the Labelling of Workplace Substances* (NOHSC, 1994b). The accuracy of the information on the label remains the responsibility of the applicant.

12. RECOMMENDATIONS

REGULATORY CONTROLS

- Use the following warning statements and safety phrases for the imported products containing the notified polymer:
 - Toxic to aquatic organisms;
 - Avoid overdosing treatment water.
 - Contain all spills. Do not allow it to enter waterways;
 - S25: Avoid contact with eyes;
 - S39: Wear eye/face protection.

CONTROL MEASURES

Occupational Health and Safety

- Employers should ensure that the following personal protective equipment is used by workers to minimise occupational exposure to the notified polymer as introduced:
 - Safety goggles or face shield
 - Industrial clothing, impervious gloves.

Guidance in selection of personal protective equipment can be obtained from Australian, Australian/New Zealand or other approved standards.

- A copy of the MSDS should be easily accessible to employees.
- If products and mixtures containing the notified polymer are classified as hazardous to health in accordance with the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances*, workplace practices and control procedures consistent with provisions of

State and Territory hazardous substances legislation must be in operation.

Disposal

• The notified polymer should be disposed of by thermal treatment or incineration at approved facilities.

Emergency procedures

 Spills/release of the notified polymer should be absorbed onto an inert material and contained in sealed containers for disposal. Spills should not be allowed to enter waterways.

12.1. Secondary notification

The Director of Chemicals Notification and Assessment must be notified in writing within 28 days by the notifier, other importer or manufacturer:

- (1) Under Section 64(1) of the Act; if
 - If use will result in significant release from industrial effluent plants in rural areas without on-site treatment, it is recommended that a secondary notification should be made providing details of the plants effluent releases including sewerage capacity and flows to enable a more accurate assessment of hazard. In addition, full scientific test reports should be provided that prove the binding capabilities of the notified polymer and clarify the concentrations that will remain in the supernatant.

or

- (2) Under Section 64(2) of the Act:
 - if any of the circumstances listed in the subsection arise.

The Director will then decide whether secondary notification is required.

13. BIBLIOGRAPHY

Bolto B (1994). Polymeric flocculants in water purification. Water Chemistry Supplement 3, Chemistry in Australia, August 1994. p431-435.

Lewis R. J. (1996) Sax's Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials. New York, Van Nostrand Reinhold.

Mensink B. J. W. G., Montforts M., Wijkhuizen-Maslankiewicz L., Tibosch H. and Linders J. B. H. J. (1995). Report no. 679101022: Manual for Summarising and Evaluating the Environmental Aspects of Pesticides. National Institute of Public Health and Environmental Protection, Bilthoven, The Netherlands.

Nabholz J. V., Miller P. and Zeeman M. (1993). Environmental risk assessment of new chemicals under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) Section Five. In: (Landis *et al.* eds.) Environmental Toxicology and Risk Assessment p.40-55. American Society for Testing Materials, Philadelphia.

National Occupational Health and Safety Commission (1994a) National Code of Practice for the Preparation of Material Safety Data Sheets [NOHSC:2011(1994)]. Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra.

National Occupational Health and Safety Commission (1994b) National Code of Practice for the Labelling of Workplace Substances [NOHSC:2012(1994)]. Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra.

National Occupational Health and Safety Commission (1995) Adopted National Exposure Standards for Atmospheric Contaminants in the Occupational Environment, [NOHSC:1003(1995)]. In: Exposure Standards for Atmospheric Contaminants in the Occupational Environment: Guidance Note and National Exposure Standards. Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra.

National Occupational Health and Safety Commission (1999a) List of Designated Hazardous Substances [NOHSC:10005(1999)]. Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra.

National Occupational Health and Safety Commission (1999b) Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances [NOHSC:1008(1999)]. Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra.

SafePharm (1999a). CT-657-99: Acute Oral Toxicity Study in the Rat – Acute Toxic Class Method. Project Number: 971/057, SafePharm Laboratories Ltd, Derby, UK. (unpublished report)

SafePharm (1999b). CT-657-99: Acute Dermal Toxicity (Limit Test) in the Rat. Project Number: 971/058, SafePharm Laboratories Ltd, Derby, UK. (unpublished report)

SafePharm (1999c). CT-657-99: Acute Dermal Irritation Test in the Rabbit. Project Number: 971/059, SafePharm Laboratories Ltd, Derby, UK. (unpublished report)

SafePharm (1999d). CT-657-99: Acute Eye Irritation Test in the Rabbit. Project Number: 971/060, SafePharm Laboratories Ltd, Derby, UK. (unpublished report)

SafePharm (1999e). CT-657-99: Reverse Mutation Assay (Ames Test) using *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Escherichia coli*. Project Number: 971/070, SafePharm Laboratories Ltd, Derby, UK. (unpublished report)

SafePharm (1999f). CT-657-99: Acute toxicity to Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Project Number: 971/062, SafePharm Laboratories Ltd, Derby, UK. (unpublished report)

SafePharm (1999g). CT-657-99: Acute toxicity to Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) mitigated by humic acid. Project Number: 971/065, SafePharm Laboratories Ltd, Derby, UK. (unpublished report)

SafePharm (1999h). CT-657-99: Acute toxicity to Bluegill Sunfish (*Lepomis macrochirus*). Project Number: 971/063, SafePharm Laboratories Ltd, Derby, UK. (unpublished report)

SafePharm (1999i). CT-657-99: Acute toxicity to *Daphnia magna*. Project Number: 971/064, SafePharm Laboratories Ltd, Derby, UK. (unpublished report)

SafePharm (2002). CT-706-01: Determination of water solubility and partition coefficient. Project Number: 971/150, SafePharm Laboratories Ltd, Derby, UK. (unpublished report)

Toxikon (1999a). CT-648-98: Ready biodegradability: closed bottle test. Project no. 99J0002e, Toxikon Corporation, Jupiter, FLA USA. (unpublished report)

Toxikon (1999b). CT-648-98: Toxicity to freshwater Green Alga, *Selenastrum capricornutum*, under static test conditions. Project no. 99J0002f, Toxikon Corporation, Jupiter, FLA USA. (unpublished report)