File No: LTD/1206

4 November 2005

NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS NOTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT SCHEME (NICNAS)

FULL PUBLIC REPORT

CS 11580

This Assessment has been compiled in accordance with the provisions of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act 1989* (Cwlth) (the Act) and Regulations. This legislation is an Act of the Commonwealth of Australia. The National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) is administered by the Department of Health and Ageing, and conducts the risk assessment for public health and occupational health and safety. The assessment of environmental risk is conducted by the Department of the Environment and Heritage.

For the purposes of subsection 78(1) of the Act, this Full Public Report may be inspected at:

Library
Australian Safety and Compensation Council
25 Constitution Avenue
CANBERRA ACT 2600
AUSTRALIA

To arrange an appointment contact the Librarian on TEL + 61 2 6279 1162 or email ascc.library@dewr.gov.au

This Full Public Report is available for viewing and downloading from the NICNAS website or available on request, free of charge, by contacting NICNAS. For requests and enquiries please contact the NICNAS Administration Coordinator at:

Street Address: 334 - 336 Illawarra Road MARRICKVILLE NSW 2204, AUSTRALIA.

Postal Address: GPO Box 58, SYDNEY NSW 2001, AUSTRALIA.

TEL: + 61 2 8577 8800 FAX + 61 2 8577 8888 Website: www.nicnas.gov.au



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ULL PUBLIC REPORT	
1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS	
2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL	
3. COMPOSITION	
4. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION	4
5. PROCESS AND RELEASE INFORMATION	5
5.1. Distribution, transport and storage	5
5.2. Operation description	
5.3. Occupational exposure	
5.4. Release	
5.5. Disposal	
5.6. Public exposure	
6. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES	
7. TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS	
7.1. Acute toxicity – oral	
·	
•	
7.3. Acute toxicity – inhalation	
7.4. Irritation – skin	
7.5. Irritation – eye	
7.6. Skin sensitisation	
7.7. Repeat dose toxicity	
7.8. Genotoxicity – bacteria	
7.9. Genotoxicity – in vitro	
7.10. Toxicokinetic assessment	14
8. ENVIRONMENT	15
8.1. Environmental fate	15
8.1.1. Ready biodegradability	15
8.1.2. Bioaccumulation	16
8.2. Ecotoxicological investigations	16
8.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish	
8.2.2. Acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates	
8.2.3. Algal growth inhibition test	
8.2.4. Inhibition of microbial activity	
9. RISK ASSESSMENT	
9.1. Environment	
9.1.1. Environment – exposure assessment	
9.1.2. Environment – exposure assessment	
9.2. Human health	
9.2.1. Occupational health and safety – exposure assessment	
9.2.2. Public health – exposure assessment	
9.2.3. Human health – effects assessment	
9.2.4. Occupational health and safety – risk characterisation	
9.2.5. Public health – risk characterisation	
10. CONCLUSIONS – ASSESSMENT LEVEL OF CONCERN FOR THE ENVIR	
HUMANS	
10.1. Hazard classification	20
10.2. Environmental risk assessment	21
10.3. Human health risk assessment	
10.3.1. Occupational health and safety	21
10.3.2. Public health	
11. MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET	
11.1. Material Safety Data Sheet	
11.2. Label	
12. RECOMMENDATIONS	
12.1. Secondary notification	
12.1. Secondary notification 13. BIBLIOGRAPHY	
L.). DIDLIVUKAFO I	22

FULL PUBLIC REPORT

CS 11580

1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS

APPLICANT(S)

Fujifilm Australia Pty Ltd (ABN 80 000 064 433) of 114 Old Pittwater Road Brookvale NSW 2100.

NOTIFICATION CATEGORY

Limited-small volume: Chemical other than polymer, (1 tonne or less per year).

EXEMPT INFORMATION (SECTION 75 OF THE ACT)

Data items and details claimed exempt from publication:

Chemical Name, Other Names, CAS Number, Molecular and Structural Formulae, Molecular Weight, Spectral Data, Purity, Hazardous and Non-hazardous Impurities, Additives/Adjuvants, Import Volume, Use Details, Identity of Manufacturer/Recipients.

VARIATION OF DATA REQUIREMENTS (SECTION 24 OF THE ACT)

Variation to the schedule of data requirements is claimed as follows:

Dissociation Constant, Flash Point, Acute Inhalation Toxicity, and Bioaccumulation.

PREVIOUS NOTIFICATION IN AUSTRALIA BY APPLICANT(S)

None.

NOTIFICATION IN OTHER COUNTRIES

Japan (June 2002), Belgium (December 2002), and USA (January 2004).

2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL

MARKETING NAME(S) CS 11580

3. COMPOSITION

DEGREE OF PURITY

Low

HAZARDOUS IMPURITIES/RESIDUAL MONOMERS

None are present at above the relevant cut off level for classification of the notified chemical as a hazardous substance.

4. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION

Mode of Introduction of Notified Chemical (100%) Over Next 5 Years Imported as fully formulated products.

MAXIMUM INTRODUCTION VOLUME OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

Year	1	2	3	4	5
Tonnes	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1

USE

As an ingredient (<3%) in liquid photographic developers used in the photofinishing industry.

5. PROCESS AND RELEASE INFORMATION

5.1. Distribution, transport and storage

PORT OF ENTRY Sydney.

IDENTITY OF MANUFACTURER/RECIPIENTS Fujifilm Australia Pty Ltd.

TRANSPORTATION AND PACKAGING

The notified chemical will be transported by road and distributed throughout Australia as a component of an end-use product in sealed high-density polyethylene (HDPE) bottles, which are packed in corrugated carton boxes (cartridges). No repackaging will occur in Australia. Storage will be in a cool dry environment out of direct sunlight.

5.2. Operation description

No manufacturing, reformulation, filling or refilling of bottles will be carried out in Australia. For replacement of the photographic developer, operators or end users in photofinishing laboratories will load the cartridge into a processor machine, a fully automated and enclosed system, with no contact between the chemicals and the operator. When the machine's door is closed, the valves of the cartridge open automatically and the processing agents in the bottles will flow into the replenisher tank. Bottle cleaning will also occur automatically within the machine.

5.3. Occupational exposure

Number and Category of Workers

Category of Worker	Number	Exposure Duration	Exposure Frequency
Transport and warehouse workers	22	30 min/day	5 days/week
Photoprocessing operators	600	6 hr/day	5 days/week
Chemical disposal operators	50	1 hr/day	1 day/week
Service engineers	Small	short	

Exposure Details

The notified chemical will be handled only within sealed bottles and cartridges. Transport and photoprocessing workers therefore are unlikely to be exposed to the notified chemical except when packaging is accidentally breached. Should a spill occur, it is expected to be contained and collected using absorbent materials, and placed into suitable containers for recovery or disposal in accord with the MSDS and official regulations.

Chemical disposal workers may be potentially exposed to the notified chemical when collecting liquid waste from Waste Collection tanks at photographic laboratories. However, exposure would be of short duration and with low concentrations of the notified chemical.

Service engineers may be intermittently exposed to the notified chemical contained in the cartridge via skin contact during cleaning and maintenance tasks. The service engineers will wear gloves and receive appropriate training in servicing techniques.

Contact with photographic media developed and finished with the developers containing the notified chemical is unlikely to result in dermal exposure as the chemical will be washed out in subsequent processing steps such as bleach-fixing and rinsing processes.

5.4. Release

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL AT SITE

Since the notified chemical will not be manufactured or reformulated locally, there will be no environmental exposure associated with these processes in Australia.

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM USE

No release to the environment is anticipated for the notified chemical, however some of the preparation may be lost to the waste water of the minilab as a result of cleaning the production equipment. This however will involve very small quantities.

5.5. Disposal

The waste of the photo-minilabs is collected and disposed of as chemical waste. Since the notified chemical is not consumed or converted in the process, approximately 0.8% ends up in waste water and 99.2% is collected and disposed off as chemical waste after usage in the mini-labs.

5.6. Public exposure

The notified chemical is intended for use in the photofinishing industry only. There may be potential for dermal exposure of the public to photographic media containing residues of the notified chemical. However, such exposure would be negligible. Public exposure to the notified chemical in the event of a transport accident or spillage is unlikely.

6. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

Appearance at 20°C and 101.3 kPa

Pale yellow liquid (<30% in water)

Freezing Point -1.6°C

METHOD OECD TG 102 Melting Point/Melting Range.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.1 Melting/Freezing Temperature.

Remarks At a temperature of -0.6°C and -0.4°C, the notified chemical became cloudy and

started to freeze. At a temperature of -1.6°C, it was completely solid.

TEST FACILITY Notox (2002b)

Boiling Point 99-101°C at 101.3 kPa

METHOD OECD TG 103 Boiling Point.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.2 Boiling Temperature.

Remarks The test was performed using a differential scanning calorimeter. The boiling

residue reacts or decomposes at >275°C.

TEST FACILITY Notox (2002c)

Density $1100 \text{ kg/m}^3 \text{ at } 20 \pm 0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$

METHOD OECD TG 109 Density of Liquids and Solids.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.3 Relative Density.

Remarks The test was performed using a glass pycnometer at a nominal volume of 10mL.

TEST FACILITY Notox (2002d)

Vapour Pressure 2.20 kPa at 20°C

METHOD OECD TG 104 Vapour Pressure.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.4 Vapour Pressure.

Remarks The vapour pressure at 20°C was extrapolated from the vapour pressure curve

using static vapour pressure measurements made with a capacitance manometer.

They are 5876 Pa at 37.02°C, 4336 Pa at 31.52°C, and 3086 Pa at 25.62°C.

TEST FACILITY Notox (2002e)

Water Solubility >1000 g/L at 20°C

METHOD OECD TG 105 Water Solubility.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.6 Water Solubility.

Remarks The flask method was used. During a preliminary test, the notified chemical was

determined to be miscible with water in at least a 1:1 (w/v) ratio by visual

observation. Therefore, no main study was performed. The pH of the aqueous

solution was 8.3. The temperature was 20.0 ± 1.0 °C.

TEST FACILITY Notox (2002f)

Hydrolysis as a Function of pH

Hydrolytically stable

METHOD

OECD TG 111 Hydrolysis as a Function of pH.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC C.7 Degradation: Abiotic Degradation: Hydrolysis as a

Function of pH.

рН	T (°C)	t _{1/2} <hours days="" or=""></hours>
4	25	>1 year
7	25	>1 year
9	25	>1 year

Remarks Less than 10% hydrolysis was observed at 50°C after 5 days in all buffer solutions.

Hence, the notified chemical is hydrolytically stable.

TEST FACILITY Notox (2002g)

Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water)

 $\log P_{\rm ow} \le -6$ at 20° C

METHOD OECD TG 117 Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water).

EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.8 Partition Coefficient.

Remarks The Estimation method was used.

The solubility of the notified chemical at 20°C was $\leq 0.6 \times 10^{-3}$ g/L in n-octanol and > 1000 g/L in water. The partition coefficient (n-octanol/water), P_{ow} , calculated as a quotient of the n-octanol solubility and water solubility of the notified chemical, is

 $\leq 6x10^{-7} (\log P_{ow} \leq -6).$

TEST FACILITY Notox (2002h)

Adsorption/Desorption

 $\log K_{oc} \le -2.10$ (worst case value)

METHOD Expert Statement – calculated using the QSAR method described in the Technical

Guidance Document on Risk Assessment (European Commission, 1996).

Remarks For calculation of adsorption/desorption of the notified chemical, several chemical

classes such as non hydrophobics (I), alcohols (II) and triazines (III) having different QSARs and a log $P_{ow} \le -6.0$ for the notified chemical were used:

(I) $\log K_{oc} = 0.52 \log P_{ow} + 1.02 \le -2.10$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{(II)} & \log \, K_{oc} = 0.39 \, \log \, P_{ow} + 0.50 \leq \text{-}1.84 \\ \text{(III)} & \log \, K_{oc} = 0.30 \, \log \, P_{ow} + 1.50 \leq \text{-}0.30 \\ \end{array}$

 $\log K_{oc} \le -2.10$ (worst case value ie lowest adsorption to soil, based on the $\log P_{ow}$ of the notified chemical). In conclusion, the different QSARs give different outcomes of the $\log K_{oc}$. For risk assessment purposes, the worst case calculated value should be used, in view of all uncertainties using QSAR.

TEST FACILITY Notox (2002i)

Dissociation Constant

Not determined

Remarks The notified chemical contains a number of strong acid functionalities which are

expected to remain deprotonated throughout the environmental pH range (4-9).

Particle Size Not applicable

Remarks The notified polymer is a liquid at room temperature.

Surface Tension $73.0 \text{ mN/m at } 20 \pm 0.5^{\circ}\text{C}$

METHOD OECD TG 115 Surface Tension of Aqueous Solutions.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.5 Surface Tension.

Remarks Concentration: 1.199 g/L. Based on the criteria as outlined in the guideline, the

notified chemical should not be regarded as a surface active material.

TEST FACILITY Notox (2002j)

Flash Point No flash point found

METHOD EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.9 Flash Point.

Remarks The test was performed using a Pensky-Martens closed cup apparatus. The notified

chemical solution started to boil at 101°C.

TEST FACILITY Notox (2002k)

Flammability Limits Not flammable

METHOD EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.12 Flammability (Contact with Water).

Remarks The notified chemical did not react with water that might lead to evolution of

highly flammable gases in dangerous quantities. It is known to be water soluble.

TEST FACILITY Notox (20021)

Autoignition Temperature >650°C

METHOD 92/69/EEC A.15 Auto-Ignition Temperature (Liquids and Gases).

Remarks No auto-ignition temperature was found at a temperature range of 200-650°C.

TEST FACILITY Notox (2002m)

Explosive Properties Not explosive

METHOD EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.14 Explosive Properties.

Remarks Test was not performed as the notified chemical does not contain any chemically

unstable or highly energetic groups that might lead to an explosion.

TEST FACILITY Notox (2002n)

Reactivity Stable under normal environmental conditions

Remarks There are no known hazardous decomposition products or incompatibility with

other substances. However, the notified chemical is combustible and will burn in a

fire, evolving noxious fumes such as oxides of carbon, sulphur, and nitrogen.

7. TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

Endpoint and Result	Assessment Conclusion	
Rat, acute oral LD50 >2000 mg/kg bw	low toxicity	
Rat, acute dermal LD50 >2000 mg/kg bw	low toxicity	
Rat, acute inhalation	test not conducted	
Rabbit, skin irritation	non-irritating	
Rabbit, eye irritation	non-irritating	
Guinea pig, skin sensitisation – adjuvant test	no evidence of sensitisation	
Rat, repeat dose oral toxicity – 28 days	NOAEL = 1000 mg/kg bw/day	
Genotoxicity – bacterial reverse mutation	non mutagenic	
Genotoxicity – in vitro chromosomal aberration test	non genotoxic	
Toxicokinetic assessment	low absorption/bioavailability	

7.1. Acute toxicity – oral

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 423 Acute Oral Toxicity – Acute Toxic Class Method.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC B.1tris Acute Oral Toxicity - Acute Toxic Class

Method.

Species/Strain Rat/Wistar Crl:(WI) BR Vehicle Undiluted as supplied

Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations.

RESULTS

Group	Number and Sex	Dose	Mortality
	of Animals	mg/kg bw	
I	3 females	2000	0/3
II	3 males	2000	0/3

LD50 >2000 mg/kg bw

Signs of Toxicity Lethargy was noted among all females and in one male on day 1. The

mean body weight gain by the animals over the study period was

considered to be normal.

Effects in Organs No abnormalities were found at macroscopic post mortem examination of

the animals.

Remarks - Results No correction was made for the purity of the notified chemical.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is of low toxicity via the oral route.

TEST FACILITY Notox (2002o)

7.2. Acute toxicity – dermal

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 402 Acute Dermal Toxicity.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC B.3 Acute Toxicity (Dermal).

Species/Strain Rat/Wistar Crl:(WI) BR
Vehicle Undiluted as supplied

Type of dressing Occlusive

Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations.

RESULTS

Group	Number and Sex	Dose	Mortality	
	of Animals	mg/kg bw		
I	5 females	2000	0/3	
II	5 males	2000	0/3	
LD50	>2000 mg/kg bw			
Signs of Toxicity - Local	•	Erythema, scales and/or scabs were seen on the treated skin area of three out of five females during the observation period (15 days).		
Signs of Toxicity - Systemic	The males were calm on day 1. No signs of systemic toxicity were noted in the females. The changes noted in body weight gain in males and females were within the range expected for rats used in this type of study and were therefore considered not indicative of toxicity.			
Effects in Organs	No abnormalities were found at macroscopic post mortem examination of the animals.			
Remarks - Results	No correction was	made for the purity of the n	otified chemical.	
	_ :	pwing of the in		

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is of low toxicity via the dermal route.

TEST FACILITY Notox (2002p)

7.3. Acute toxicity – inhalation

Remarks Test was not conducted. Inhalation exposure to the notified chemical

would be unlikely to pose a significant health risk due to its low oral and dermal toxicity together with its availability only as diluted aqueous

solutions.

7.4. Irritation – skin

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 404 Acute Dermal Irritation/Corrosion.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC B.4 Acute Toxicity (Skin Irritation).

Species/Strain Rabbit/New Zealand White

Number of Animals 3 males

Vehicle Undiluted as supplied

(No correction was made for the purity of the notified chemical.)

Observation Period 72 hours
Type of Dressing Semi-occlusive

Remarks – Method No significant protocol deviations

RESULTS

exposure to the notified chemical. No staining of the treated skin was observed. No symptoms of systemic toxicity were observed in the

animals during the test period and no mortality occurred.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is non-irritating to the skin.

Test Facility Notox (2002q)

7.5. Irritation – eye

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 405 Acute Eye Irritation/Corrosion.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC B.5 Acute Toxicity (Eye Irritation).

Species/Strain Rabbit/New Zealand White

Number of Animals 3 males Observation Period 72 hours

Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations

RESULTS

Remarks - Results Instillation of 0.1 mL of the notified chemical (no correction was made

for the purity of the chemical) into one eye of each of three rabbits did not result in irritation of the conjunctivae in any of the animals. No iridial irritation or corneal opacity was observed, and treatment of the eyes with 2% fluorescein 24 h after instillation of the notified chemical revealed no corneal epithelial damage in any of the animals. There was no evidence of ocular corrosion. No staining of (peri) ocular tissues by the notified chemical was observed. No symptoms of systemic toxicity were observed

in the animals during the test period and no mortality occurred.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is non-irritating to the eye.

TEST FACILITY Notox (2002r)

7.6. Skin sensitisation

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 406 Skin Sensitisation – Maximisation Test.

EC Directive 96/54/EC B.6 Skin Sensitisation – Maximisation Test.

Species/Strain Guinea pig/Dunkin Hartley

PRELIMINARY STUDY Maximum Non-irritating Concentration:

intradermal: <10% test solution topical: 100% test solution

(No correction was made for the purity of the notified chemical.)

MAIN STUDY

Number of Animals Test Group: 10 females Control Group: 5 females

INDUCTION PHASE Induction Concentration:

intradermal: 100% test solution at 1:1 mixture with Freund's Complete

Adjuvant or vehicle (Milli-U water) topical: 100% test solution

Signs of Irritation During induction, erythema (scores of 1 and 2) was noted in both test and

control animals (6/10 vs 3/5 for intradermal; 4/10 vs 3/5 for epidermal). The reactions noted after the epidermal induction were considered to be

enhanced by the SDS treatment.

CHALLENGE PHASE

1st challenge topical: 100% test solution Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations.

RESULTS

Remarks - Results No skin reactions were evident after the challenge exposure in the test and

control animals. No mortality occurred and no symptoms of systemic toxicity were observed. A separate positive control study with alphahexylcinnamic aldehyde confirmed the sensitivity of the test system.

CONCLUSION There was no evidence of reactions indicative of skin sensitisation to the

notified chemical under the conditions of the test.

TEST FACILITY Notox (2002s)

7.7. Repeat dose toxicity

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 407 Repeated Dose 28-day Oral Toxicity Study in Rodents.

EC Directive 96/54/EC B.7 Repeated Dose (28 Days) Toxicity (Oral).

Species/Strain Rat/Wistar Crl:(WI) BR

Route of Administration Oral – gavage

Exposure Information Total exposure days: 28 days
Dose regimen: 7 days per week

Post-exposure observation period: 0 day

Vehicle Milli-U water

Remarks - Method The following protocol deviations were noted:

1) Deviations from the maximum level of temperature occurred (with a

maximum of 0.5°C)

2) On day 7, the maximum time between the earliest and latest dose was exceeded by approximately 45 minutes

3) Cervix and vagina were examined microscopically from all control and

high dose females. Evaluations:

1) There were no signs among the animals that indicated an effect of the

2) Deviation was of a slight and incidental nature.

3) Additional examination, not required by the protocol. Based on the above evaluations, these deviations were considered not to have affected study integrity.

RESULTS

Group	Number and Sex	Dose*	Mortality
	of Animals	mg/kg bw/day	
I (control)	5 per sex	0	0/10
II (low dose)	5 per sex	50	0/10
III (mid dose)	5 per sex	150	0/10
IV (high dose)	5 per sex	1000	0/10

^{*}No correction was made for the purity of the notified chemical.

Mortality and Time to Death

No mortality occurred during the study period.

Clinical Observations

There were no clinical signs of toxicity or behavioural changes over the 28-day observation period that were considered to be related to treatment.

Incidental findings that were noted included alopecia and/or brown staining among females of the low and high dose groups. These findings are commonly noted in rats of this age and strain which are housed and treated under the conditions in this study. At the incidence observed, these were considered to be of no toxicological significance. No clinical signs were noted among the other animals.

No changes were observed in hearing ability, pupillary reflex, static righting reflex and grip strength in the animals treated with the notified chemical, when compared to control animals. The variation in motor activity did not indicate a relationship with treatment.

Body weight and body weight gain of treated animals remained in the same range as controls over the 4-week study period.

Food consumption before or after allowance for body weight between treated and control animals was similar.

Laboratory Findings - Clinical Chemistry, Haematology, Urinalysis

Haematological parameters of treated rats were considered not to have been affected by treatment. Statistically significant lower white blood cell count of animals receiving 50 mg/kg/day were considered to have arisen by chance and not to represent a change of biological significance.

There were no differences noted in clinical biochemistry between control and treated rats that were considered to be related to treatment.

The increased mean potassium value of high dose females was within the normal range and was not supported by other findings. Values in males of the intermediate dose groups achieving a level of statistical significance when compared to controls did so in the absence of a dose related response. Also, values were similar to comparable studies. Therefore, these changes were considered to be of no toxicological significance.

Effects in Organs

Organ weights and organ:body weight ratios of treated animals were considered to be similar to those of control animals. Statistically significant changes between relative thymus weights of males of the 150 mg/kg/day group and control males were considered not to be a sign of toxicity.

Macroscopic observations at necropsy did not reveal any alterations that were considered to have arisen as a result of treatment.

Incidental findings among control and treated animals included red discolouration of the lungs, thymus or mandibular lymph node, an accentuated lobular pattern or diaphragmatic hernia of the liver, pelvic dilation of the kidneys, nodules on the epididymides and fluid in the uterus. These findings are occasionally seen among rats used in these types of studies and in the absence of correlated microscopic findings and/or a dose-related

response, they were considered changes of no toxicological significance.

There were no microscopic findings recorded which could be attributed to treatment. All microscopic findings were within the range of background pathology encountered in Wistar rats of this age and strain and occurred at similar incidences and severity in both control and treated rats.

Remarks - Results

There were no changes at determination of clinical appearance, performance of functional observations, body weight and food consumption measurements, or alterations during haematological investigations, macroscopic examination, organ weight determination and microscopic examination that were considered to be an effect of treatment.

CONCLUSION

The No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) was established as 1000 mg/kg bw/day, which is the highest dose tested in this study.

TEST FACILITY Notox (2002t)

7.8. Genotoxicity - bacteria

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

OECD TG 471 Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test. **METHOD**

EC Directive 2000/32/EC B.13/14 Mutagenicity - Reverse Mutation Test

using Bacteria.

Plate incorporation procedure

Species/Strain S. typhimurium: TA1535, TA1537, TA98, TA100

E. coli: WP2uvrA

Metabolic Activation System

S9 fraction from Aroclor 1254 induced rat liver Concentration Range in a) With metabolic activation: 3, 10, 33, 100, 333, 1000, 3330,

Main Test 5000 µg/plate

b) Without metabolic activation: 3, 10, 33, 100, 333, 1000, 3330, 5000

μg/plate

Vehicle Undiluted as supplied

(No correction was made for the purity of the notified chemical.)

Remarks - Method Two independent tests were conducted, each in triplicate.

RESULTS

Metabolic	Test Substance Concentration (μg/plate) Resulting in:			
Activation	Cytotoxicity in	Cytotoxicity in	Precipitation	Genotoxic Effect
	Preliminary Test	Main Test		
Absent				
Test 1	>5000	>5000	>5000	Negative
	(TA100 &	(other strains)		
	WP2uvrA)	,		
Test 2		>5000	>5000	Negative
		(all strains)		C
Present				
Test 1	>5000	>5000	>5000	Negative
	(TA100 &	(other strains)		•
	WP2uvrA)	,		
Test 2		>5000	>5000	Negative
		(all strains)		J

Remarks - Results

All other bacterial strains showed negative responses over the entire dose range, ie no dose-related, two-fold increase in the number of revertants in two independently repeated experiments. The vehicle and positive controls responded appropriately.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical was not mutagenic to bacteria under the conditions

of the test.

TEST FACILITY Notox (2002u)

7.9. Genotoxicity – in vitro

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 473 In vitro Mammalian Chromosome Aberration Test.

EC Directive 2000/32/EC B.10 Mutagenicity - In vitro Mammalian

Chromosome Aberration Test.

Cell Type/Cell Line Peripheral human lymphocytes

Metabolic Activation System S9 fraction from Aroclor 1254 induced rat liver

Vehicle Undiluted as supplied

(No correction was made for the purity of the notified chemical.)

Remarks - Method Two independent tests were conducted, each in triplicate.

Metabolic Activation	Test Substance Concentration (μg/mL)	Exposure Period	Harvest Time
Absent		1 01100	Time
Test 1	1000*, 3330*, 5000*	3 h	24 h
Test 2	1000*, 3330*, 5000*	24 h or 48 h	24 h or 48 h
Present			
Test 1	1000*, 3330*, 5000*	3 h	24 h
Test 2	1000*, 3330*, 5000*	3 h	48 h

^{*}Cultures selected for metaphase analysis.

RESULTS

Metabolic	Tes	st Substance Concentra	eg in:	
Activation	Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test	Cytotoxicity in Main Test	Precipitation	Genotoxic Effect
Absent	·			
Test 1	>5000	>5000	>5000	Negative
Test 2		>5000	>5000	Negative
Present				
Test 1	>5000	>5000	>5000	Negative
Test 2		>5000	>5000	Negative

Remarks - Results

The number of cells with chromosomal aberrations found in the vehicle control cultures were within the laboratory historical control data range. Both in the presence and absence of S9-mix, the notified chemical did not induce a statistically significant or biologically relevant increase in the number of cells with chromosomal aberrations in two independent experiments. In test 1, several polyploid cells were observed, but since these cells were also observed in cultures treated with vehicle, and since the number of polyploid cells did not increase with dose, this observation was regarded not biologically relevant. The vehicle and positive controls responded appropriately.

CONCLUSION

The notified chemical was not clastogenic to human lymphocytes treated in vitro under the conditions of the test.

TEST FACILITY

Notox (2002v)

7.10. Toxicokinetic assessment

TEST SUBSTANCE

Notified chemical

ASSESSMENT

The acute oral and dermal toxicity of the notified chemical is low (LD50>2000 mg/kg bw). The 28-day toxicity study also revealed that the chemical has a relatively low toxicity, with a NOAEL of 1000 mg/kg/day. Therefore, an extensive toxicokinetic assessment is considered of limited value.

The water solubility of the notified chemical is high (>1000 g/L), caused by the presence of the strongly polar sulfonic acid groups. The strong polarity of these groups makes it very unlikely that this compound easily passes the gastrointestinal wall. Therefore, it is to be expected that the oral bioavailability, and thus the systemic exposure, of the notified chemical will be low.

In the case absorption of the chemical occurs, extensive hydroxylation of the aromatic rings is anticipated, followed by a rapid sulphation or glucuronidation. Another possibility is that dealkylation at the secondary amines occurs. The resulting metabolites, as well as the parent compound will be extensively excreted via urine or bile.

The notified chemical will show a low volume of distribution equalling extracellular body water (approximately 0.7 L per kg bw). Accumulation in fatty tissues is not anticipated. The plasma protein binding is expected to be low.

Since the bioavailability of dermally applied compounds can be assumed to be zero for substances with a log P_{ow} below -1 and over 5 or a relative molecular mass over 700, it is not to be expected that the notified chemical will be absorbed through the skin.

Based on the expected kinetic behaviour in the body, as described above, the notified chemical will hardly be absorbed after oral administration, because of the presence of strongly polar groups in the molecule. If absorption occurs, the notified chemical will be extensively metabolised in the liver. Therefore, accumulation in the body during prolonged exposure will be very low.

This is supported by the low systemic toxicity observed in both the acute and subacute toxicity studies.

TEST FACILITY Notox (2002x)

8. ENVIRONMENT

8.1. Environmental fate

8.1.1. Ready biodegradability

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 301 B Ready Biodegradability: CO2 Evolution Test.

Directive 92/69/EEC, C.4/C.4C

Inoculum Activated sludge freshly obtained from a municipal sewage treatment

plant "Waterschap de Maaskant" 's Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands. The sludge was kept under continuous aeration until further treatment. Before use, the sludge was allowed to settle for 30-90 minutes and the liquid decanted for use as inoculum at the rate of 10mL/L of mineral

medium.

Exposure Period 28 days Auxiliary Solvent None

Analytical Monitoring Carbon dioxide produced during the test was reacted with barium

hydroxide in a gas scrubbing bottle and precipitated as barium carbonate. The amount of carbon dioxide was determined by titrating the remaining barium hydroxide with 0.05M standardised hydrochloric acid solution.

Remarks - Method None

Test	substance	Sodi	um acetate
Day	% Degradation	Day	% Degradation
2	1	2	6
5	1	5	43
7	3	7	62
9	5	9	75
14	5	14	88
19	6	19	94
23	6	23	98
27	10	27	100
29	10	29	100

Remarks - Results

The relative degradation values calculated from the measurements performed during the test period revealed 16% degradation of the test substance in test bottle A and no significant degradation in test bottle B (5%). The mean degradation in both bottles was 10%. Hence, the test material did not meet the criteria for ready biodegradability.

In the toxicity control the test substance was found to be not inhibitory on

microbial activity.

CONCLUSION The test substance cannot be considered to be readily biodegradable

according to the OECD criteria

TEST FACILITY Notox (2002aa)

8.1.2. Bioaccumulation

No bioaccumulation data were provided. However, the bioaccumulation potential of the notified chemical is low due to its high water solubility and the low lipid solubility and $\log P_{\rm ow}$.

8.2. Ecotoxicological investigations

8.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 203 Fish, Acute Toxicity Test - Static.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC C.1 Acute Toxicity for Fish - Static.

Species Carp (Cyprinus carpio)

Exposure Period 96 hours Auxiliary Solvent None

Water Hardness 250 mg CaCO₃/L

Analytical Monitoring HPLO

Remarks – Method Analysis of the samples taken during the range-finding study showed that

the measured concentration of the notified chemical was in agreement with nominal at the start of the test (90%) and did not decrease by more than 20% during the 96-hour test period (93% after 24 hours of exposure and

99% after 96 hours of exposure).

RESULTS

Concentration mg/L		Number of Fish		Mortality			
Nominal	Actual		4 h	24 h	48 h	72 h	96 h
0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0
100	100	7	0	0	0	0	0

LC50 >100 mg/L at 96 hours. NOEC 100 mg/L at 96 hours. Remarks – Results Under the conditions of the study, the notified chemical did not induce

lethal effects in carp at 100 mg/L after 96 hours of exposure. Thus, the LC50 96h for Carp exposed to the notified chemical is >100 mg/L. At 24 h all fish were observed swimming at the bottom of the tank in the test

vessel containing 100 mg/L. This was not observed at later times.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is very slightly toxic to Cyprinus carpio (carp)

according to Mensink et al. (1995).

TEST FACILITY Notox (2002bb)

8.2.2. Acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 202 Daphnia sp. Acute Immobilisation Test and Reproduction

Test - Static

250 mg CaCO₃/L

EC Directive 92/69/EEC C.2 Acute Toxicity for Daphnia - Static.

Species Daphnia magna
Exposure Period 48 hours

Auxiliary Solvent

Water Hardness

Analytical Monitoring

Remarks – Method Analysis of the samples taken during the pre-test showed that the

measured concentrations of all samples taken at the start of the test were between 98 and 102 mg/L. During the exposure period the measured concentrations of the samples taken from the vessels which were kept in the dark remained constant. Therefore the study was continued with a range-finding test and a limit test, which were both performed in the dark. All test solutions were clear and colourless. Oxygen content (8.7-9.2 mg O_2/L), pH (7.9-8.2) and temperature (19.8-20.7°C) were satisfactorily

maintained.

RESULTS

Concentration mg/L		Number of D. magna	Number I	mmobilised
Nominal	Actual		24 h	48 h*
0		10	0	0
3.5		10	0	0(1)
10		10	0	0(1)
35		10	0	0
100		10	0	0(1)

^{*} Between brackets: number of daphnids observed trapped at the surface of the test solutions. These daphnids were reimmersed in the respective solutions before scoring of mobility.

EC50 >100 mg/L at 48 hours NOEC (or LOEC) 100 mg/L at 48 hours

the test concentrations after 48 hours of exposure.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is very slightly toxic to *Daphnia magna* according

to Mensink et al. (1995).

TEST FACILITY Notox (2002cc)

8.2.3. Algal growth inhibition test

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 201 Alga, Growth Inhibition Test.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC C.3 Algal Inhibition Test. ISO Standard 8692, 1st Edition, 15 November 1989

Fresh water Algae Selenastrum capricornutum Species 72 hours

Exposure Period

Concentration Range

Nominal 0-100 mg/L Actual 0-100 mg/LAuxiliary Solvent Not applicable Water Hardness 24 mg CaCO₃/L

Analytical Monitoring

Remarks - Method None

RESULTS

Biomass		Growth			
E_bC50	NOEC	E_rC50	NOEC		
mg/L at 72 h	mg/L	mg/L at 72 h	mg/L		
>100	100	>100	100		
Remarks – Results	$(E_bC50 (0-72h)$ EC50 for cell g	The EC50 values could not be estimated for both growth inhibition ($E_bC50~(0\text{-}72h)$) and growth rate reduction ($E_rC50~(0\text{-}72h)$) Both the EC50 for cell growth inhibition ($E_bC50:0\text{-}72h$) and the EC50 for growth rate reduction ($E_rC50:0\text{-}72h$) were above a loading of 100 mg/L.			
CONCLUSION	Under the conditions of the study with <i>Selenastrum capricornutun</i> inhibition of cell growth or reduction of growth rate was recorded a mg/L of the notified chemical.				

8.2.4. Inhibition of microbial activity

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 209 Activated Sludge, Respiration Inhibition Test.

Notox (2002dd)

EC Directive 67/548 amended 1987 (87/302) Part C, Publication No

L133, adopted May 30, 1988.

Municipal sewage treatment plant "Waterschap de Maaskant" 's Inoculum

Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands.

Exposure Period Concentration Range 0.5 hours 100 mg/L

Nominal

TEST FACILITY

Remarks - Method

RESULTS

IC50 >100 mg/L NOEC 100 mg/L

Remarks - Results No significant inhibition of respiration rate of the sludge was recorded at

100 mg/L of the notified chemical. A duplicate measurement confirmed

the result.

CONCLUSION Under the conditions of the test, the notified chemical was not toxic to

waste water (activated sludge) bacteria at a concentration of 100 mg/L.

TEST FACILITY Notox (2002ee)

9. RISK ASSESSMENT

9.1. Environment

9.1.1. Environment – exposure assessment

The notified chemical will be imported into Australia as a component in prepackaged photographic developer solutions to be used at photo-minilabs. Since the notified chemical is not consumed or converted in the developing process, approximately 0.8% ends up in waste water and 99.2% is collected as chemical waste after usage in the mini-labs. The waste from the photo-minilabs is collected and disposed of as chemical waste. At the waste contractor's site the waste will enter the liquid waste stream and be sent to the onsite treatment works before being discharged to sewer.

A worst-case scenario is considered assuming that all of the liquid waste containing the notified chemical will be discharged to the sewer. A maximum of 1000 kg per annum was estimated (as a worst-case) to be discharged into the Australian sewage system and subsequently enter the sewage treatment plants. The daily release on a nationwide basis to receiving waters is estimated to be 2.86 kg/day (based on discharge occurring 350 days per year). The worst-case predicted environmental concentration (PEC) in sewage effluent on a nationwide basis is estimated as 0.71 μ g/L (Environment Australia, 2003). Assuming a national population of 20.1 million and that each person contributes an average 200 L/day to overall sewage flows, with no removal of the chemical during sewage treatment. Based on the respective dilution factors of 0 and 10 for inland and ocean discharges of effluents, the PECs of the notified chemical in freshwater and marine water may approximate 0.71 μ g/L and 0.071 μ g/L, respectively.

Import containers will automatically be rinsed in situ before disposal to landfill. Hence the import containers will contain little of the notified chemical.

9.1.2. Environment – effects assessment

The results of the aquatic toxicity tests are listed below.

Organism	Duration	End Point	mg/L (Measured)
Fish	96 h	LC50	>100
Daphnia	48 h	EC50	>100
Algae	72 h	E_bC50	>100
_		E_rC50	>100
Microorganisms	0.5 h	IC50	>100

A predicted no effect concentration (PNEC - aquatic ecosystems) of >1 mg/L has been derived by dividing the end point of >100 mg/L by a worst-case scenario uncertainty (safety) factor of 100 (as toxicity data are available for three trophic levels).

9.1.3. Environment – risk characterisation

The risk quotient (RQ) values (PEC/PNEC) for the aquatic environment were determined as follows assuming the chemical is released to the sewer nationwide and that the chemical is not removed in STP.

Location	PEC	PNEC	RQ		
	$\mu g/L$	μg/L			
Worst Case					
Ocean outfall	0.071	1000	<<1		
Inland River	0.71	1000	<<1		

The resulting RQ values for the discharge to the aquatic environment are well below 1 for both fresh and marine water, indicating no immediate concern to the aquatic compartment. Further, the notified chemical is expected to photodegrade (as noted in the aquatic toxicity tests) further reducing the PEC and the risk quotients.

Based on the proposed use pattern the notified chemical is not expected to pose an unacceptable risk to the health of aquatic life.

9.2. Human health

9.2.1. Occupational health and safety – exposure assessment

Photographic developer bottles and cartridges are sealed and worker exposure to the developer solution is minimised by following the manufacturer's instructions on handling, replacing and disposing of photographic cartridges. Exposure by inhalation is expected to be negligible due to the physicochemical properties of the notified chemical, ie high molecular weight, low vapour pressure and high water solubility.

Up to hundred maintenance workers will be potentially exposed to the developers containing the notified chemical. However, they are adequately trained and wear disposable gloves to minimise the skin exposure. In addition, spillage is unlikely because of the fully enclosed cartridges. Personnel involved in cleaning-up of spills should protect themselves against respiratory, skin and eye exposure.

9.2.2. Public health – exposure assessment

The photodevelopers containing the notified chemical are not available for sale to the public. The potential for public exposure to the notified chemical via dermal contact with printed photographic media is assessed as negligible.

9.2.3. Human health – effects assessment

The notified chemical has a low acute oral and dermal toxicity in rats (LD50>2000 mg/kg/bw). It is not irritating to the skin and eyes of the rabbit. However, scaling was seen in 3/5 treated females in the acute dermal study indicating a potential for skin irritation. It shows no sensitising activity in an adjuvant study in guinea pigs. However, it should be noted that the purity of the notified chemical is of <30%. The NOAEL was established to be 1000 mg/kg bw/day, which is the highest dose tested in a 28-day repeat dose oral study in rats. The notified chemical was not mutagenic in a bacterial reverse mutation assay, and did not reveal any genotoxic potential in an in vitro test.

Based on the available data, the notified chemical is not classified as a hazardous substance in accordance with the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC, 2004).

9.2.4. Occupational health and safety – risk characterisation

The OHS risk presented by the notified chemical is expected to be low, given the low hazard of the chemical, the packaging design of photographic cartridges, the good work practices and safety measures including use of appropriate personal protective equipment by workers. Although inhalation exposure to the developer solution is unlikely, photographic processors should be positioned in well-ventilated areas.

For routine handling of photographic cartridges, the following precautions are recommended: (1) Avoid contact of the developer solution with the eyes, skin and clothing; (2) Wash hands after use with soap and cold water. The photo-processor should be positioned in well-ventilated areas to avoid accumulation of any dusts, gases or fumes.

9.2.5. Public health – risk characterisation

Given the notified chemical will only be used in the photographic industry, the risk to public health is considered negligible.

10. CONCLUSIONS – ASSESSMENT LEVEL OF CONCERN FOR THE ENVIRONMENT AND HUMANS

10.1. Hazard classification

Based on the available data the notified chemical is not classified as hazardous under the NOHSC Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances.

As a comparison only, the classification of notified chemical using the Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS) (United Nations, 2003) is presented below. This system is not mandated in Australia and carries no legal status but is

presented for information purposes.

The notified chemical is not classified under the Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS) for both health and environmental hazards.

10.2. Environmental risk assessment

On the basis of the PEC/PNEC ratio, the notified chemical is not considered to pose a risk to the environment based on its reported use pattern.

10.3. Human health risk assessment

10.3.1. Occupational health and safety

There is Low Concern to occupational health and safety under the conditions of the occupational settings described.

10.3.2. Public health

There is Negligible Concern to public health when used in the proposed manner.

11. MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

11.1. Material Safety Data Sheet

The MSDS of the notified chemical provided by the notifier was in accordance with the NOHSC *National Code of Practice for the Preparation of Material Safety Data Sheets* (NOHSC, 2003). It is published here as a matter of public record. The accuracy of the information on the MSDS remains the responsibility of the applicant.

11.2. Label

The label for the product (CP-49E PC) containing the notified chemical provided by the notifier was in accordance with the NOHSC *National Code of Practice for the Labelling of Workplace Substances* (NOHSC, 1994). The accuracy of the information on the label remains the responsibility of the applicant.

12. RECOMMENDATIONS

CONTROL MEASURES

Occupational Health and Safety

- No specific engineering controls, work practices or personal protective equipment are required for the safe use of the notified chemical itself, however, these should be selected on the basis of all ingredients in the formulation.
 - Guidance in selection of personal protective equipment can be obtained from Australian, Australian/New Zealand or other approved standards.
- In the interest of occupational health and safety, the following guidelines and precautions should be observed for use of the notified chemical as introduced in developer bottles and cartridges:
 - Wearing cotton or disposable gloves during replacement of photographic bottles and cartridges, machine maintenance and repair services;
 - Adequate induction and training programs for service personnel.
 - Photographic machines should be positioned in well-ventilated areas.
- A copy of the MSDS should be easily accessible to employees.
- If products and mixtures containing the notified chemical are classified as hazardous to health in accordance with the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances*, workplace practices and control procedures consistent with provisions of State and Territory hazardous substances legislation must be in operation.

Disposal

• The notified chemical should be disposed of by incineration or to landfill in accordance with State/Territory waste disposal regulation.

Emergency procedures

 Spills of the notified chemical should be contained with an absorbent, inert material (soil, sand, sawdust, vermiculite) and collected in sealable, labelled containers for disposal.

12.1. Secondary notification

The Director of Chemicals Notification and Assessment must be notified in writing within 28 days by the notifier, other importer or manufacturer:

- (1) Under Section 64(2) of the Act:
 - if any of the circumstances listed in the subsection arise.

The Director will then decide whether secondary notification is required.

No additional secondary notification conditions are stipulated.

13. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- European Commission (1996) Technical Guidance Document in support of Commission Directive 93/67/EEC on Risk Assessment for new notified substances, Commission Regulation (EC) No 1488/94 on Risk Assessment for existing substances and Directive 98/8/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning the placing of biocidal products on the market. http://ecb.jrc.it/new-chemicals/> Accessed 2005 Oct 12.
- Mensink BJWG, Montforts M, Wijkhuizen-Maslankiewicz L, Tibosch H, & Linders JBHJ (1995) Manual for summarising and evaluating the environmental aspects of pesticides. Report No. 679101022. National Institute of Public Health and Environmental Protection, Bilthoven, The Netherlands.
- Notox (2002a) Development and validation of an analytical method for [notified chemical]. Project no. 340807. Hertogenbosch, Netherlands, Notox Safety & Environmental Research B.V. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Notox (2002b) Determination of the freezing temperature of [notified chemical]. Project no. 340548. Hertogenbosch, Netherlands, Notox Safety & Environmental Research B.V. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Notox (2002c) Determination of the boiling temperature of [notified chemical]. Project no. 346343. Hertogenbosch, Netherlands, Notox Safety & Environmental Research B.V. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Notox (2002d) Determination of the density of [notified chemical]. Project no. 340559. Hertogenbosch, Netherlands, Notox Safety & Environmental Research B.V. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Notox (2002e) Determination of the vapour pressure of [notified chemical]. Project no. 340561. Hertogenbosch, Netherlands, Notox Safety & Environmental Research B.V. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Notox (2002f) Determination of the water solubility of [notified chemical]. Project no. 340583. Hertogenbosch, Netherlands, Notox Safety & Environmental Research B.V. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Notox (2002g) Determination of the hydrolysis of [notified chemical] as a function of pH. Project no. 351833. Hertogenbosch, Netherlands, Notox Safety & Environmental Research B.V. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Notox (2002h) Determination of the partition coefficient (n-octanol/water) of [notified chemical]. Project no. 340594. Hertogenbosch, Netherlands, Notox Safety & Environmental Research B.V. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier).

- Notox (2002i) Adsorption/Desorption of [notified chemical]. Project no. 340651. Hertogenbosch, Netherlands, Notox Safety & Environmental Research B.V. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Notox (2002j) Determination of the surface tension of an aqueous solution of [notified chemical]. Project no. 340572. Hertogenbosch, Netherlands, Notox Safety & Environmental Research B.V. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Notox (2002k) Determination of the flash point of [notified chemical]. Project no. 340605. Hertogenbosch, Netherlands, Notox Safety & Environmental Research B.V. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Notox (2002l) Determination of the flammability (contact with water) of [notified chemical]. Project no. 340616. Hertogenbosch, Netherlands, Notox Safety & Environmental Research B.V. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Notox (2002m) Determination of the auto-ignition temperature of [notified chemical]. Project no. 340649. Hertogenbosch, Netherlands, Notox Safety & Environmental Research B.V. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Notox (2002n) Statement of the explosive properties of [notified chemical]. Project no. 340638. Hertogenbosch, Netherlands, Notox Safety & Environmental Research B.V. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Notox (2002aa) Determination of ready biodegradability: CO2 evolution test (modified sturm test) with [notified chemical]. Project no. 340774. Hertogenbosch, Netherlands, Notox Safety & Environmental Research B.V. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Notox (2002bb) 96-Hour acute toxicity study in carp with [notified chemical] (static). Project no. 340752. Hertogenbosch, Netherlands, Notox Safety & Environmental Research B.V. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Notox (2002cc) Acute toxicity study in *Daphnia magna* with [notified chemical] (static). Project no. 340763. Hertogenbosch, Netherlands, Notox Safety & Environmental Research B.V. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Notox (2002dd) Fresh water algal growth inhibition test with [notified chemical]. Project no. 340785. Hertogenbosch, Netherlands, Notox Safety & Environmental Research B.V. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Notox (2002ee) Activated sludge respiration inhibition test with [notified chemical] (contact time: 30 minutes). Project no. 340796. Hertogenbosch, Netherlands, Notox Safety & Environmental Research B.V. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- NOHSC (1994) National Code of Practice for the Labelling of Workplace Substances [NOHSC:2012(1994)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.
- NOHSC (2003) National Code of Practice for the Preparation of Material Safety Data Sheets, 2nd edn [NOHSC:2011(2003)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.
- NOHSC (2004) Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances [NOHSC:1008(2004)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, AusInfo.
- United Nations (2003) Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS). United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UN/ECE), New York and Geneva.