

File No: LTD/1090

10 October 2003

**NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS NOTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT SCHEME  
(NICNAS)**

**FULL PUBLIC REPORT**

**Yellow Dye 1**

This Assessment has been compiled in accordance with the provisions of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act 1989* (Cwlth) (the Act) and Regulations. This legislation is an Act of the Commonwealth of Australia. The National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) is administered by the Department of Health and Ageing, and conducts the risk assessment for public health and occupational health and safety. The assessment of environmental risk is conducted by the Department of the Environment and Heritage.

For the purposes of subsection 78(1) of the Act, this Full Public Report may be inspected at:

Library  
National Occupational Health and Safety Commission  
25 Constitution Avenue  
CANBERRA ACT 2600  
AUSTRALIA

To arrange an appointment contact the Librarian on TEL + 61 2 6279 1161 or + 61 2 6279 1163.

This Full Public Report is available for viewing and downloading from the NICNAS website or available on request, free of charge, by contacting NICNAS. For requests and enquiries please contact the NICNAS Administration Coordinator at:

Street Address:	334 - 336 Illawarra Road MARRICKVILLE NSW 2204, AUSTRALIA.
Postal Address:	GPO Box 58, SYDNEY NSW 2001, AUSTRALIA.
TEL:	+ 61 2 8577 8800
FAX	+ 61 2 8577 8888.
Website:	<a href="http://www.nicnas.gov.au">www.nicnas.gov.au</a>

**Director  
Chemicals Notification and Assessment**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

FULL PUBLIC REPORT .....	3
1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS .....	3
2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL .....	3
3. COMPOSITION.....	3
4. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION .....	3
5. PROCESS AND RELEASE INFORMATION.....	4
5.1. Distribution, Transport and Storage.....	4
5.2. Operation Description.....	4
5.3. Occupational exposure.....	4
5.4. Release.....	4
5.5. Disposal .....	5
5.6. Public exposure .....	5
6. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES.....	5
7. TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS .....	8
7.1. Acute toxicity – oral .....	8
7.2. Acute toxicity – dermal.....	9
7.3. Irritation – skin.....	9
7.4. Irritation – eye .....	10
7.5. Skin sensitisation .....	10
7.6. Repeat dose toxicity.....	12
7.7. Genotoxicity – bacteria.....	13
7.8. Genotoxicity – in vitro.....	15
7.9. Genotoxicity – in vivo .....	15
8. ENVIRONMENT.....	16
8.1. Environmental fate .....	16
8.1.1. Ready biodegradability.....	16
8.1.2. Bioaccumulation .....	17
8.2. Ecotoxicological investigations.....	17
8.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish.....	17
8.2.2. Acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates .....	17
8.2.3. Algal growth inhibition test .....	18
8.2.4. Inhibition of microbial activity .....	19
9. RISK ASSESSMENT.....	21
9.1. Environment.....	21
9.1.1. Environment – exposure assessment.....	21
9.1.2. Environment – effects assessment.....	21
9.1.3. Environment – risk characterisation.....	21
9.2. Human health .....	21
9.2.1. Occupational health and safety – exposure assessment.....	21
9.2.2. Public health – exposure assessment.....	22
9.2.3. Human health - effects assessment.....	22
9.2.4. Occupational health and safety – risk characterisation.....	22
9.2.5. Public health – risk characterisation.....	23
10. CONCLUSIONS – ASSESSMENT LEVEL OF CONCERN FOR THE ENVIRONMENT AND HUMANS .....	23
10.1. Hazard classification.....	23
10.2. Environmental risk assessment.....	23
10.3. Human health risk assessment.....	23
10.3.1. Occupational health and safety.....	23
10.3.2. Public health.....	23
11. MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET .....	23
11.1. Material Safety Data Sheet.....	23
11.2. Label .....	23
12. RECOMMENDATIONS.....	24
12.1. Secondary notification .....	24
13. BIBLIOGRAPHY .....	26

**FULL PUBLIC REPORT****Yellow Dye 1****1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS**

## APPLICANT(S)

Hewlett Packard Australia Pty Ltd (ABN 74 004 394 763)

31-41 Joseph Street

Blackburn VIC 3130

## NOTIFICATION CATEGORY

Limited-small volume: Chemical other than polymer, (1 tonne or less per year).

## EXEMPT INFORMATION (SECTION 75 OF THE ACT)

Data items and details claimed exempt from publication:

Identity of chemical;

Composition;

Use; and

Import volume

## VARIATION OF DATA REQUIREMENTS (SECTION 24 OF THE ACT)

Variation to the schedule of data requirements is claimed as follows:

Flash point;

Particle size;

Dissociation constant; and

Bioaccumulation

## PREVIOUS NOTIFICATION IN AUSTRALIA BY APPLICANT(S)

None

## NOTIFICATION IN OTHER COUNTRIES

EU, US and Switzerland

**2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL**

## MARKETING NAME(S)

Yellow Dye 1

**3. COMPOSITION**

## DEGREE OF PURITY

High

**4. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION**

## MODE OF INTRODUCTION OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

The notified chemical will be imported as a component of printing inks in pre-packed cartridges. The inks will contain a maximum of <5% notified chemical.

## MAXIMUM INTRODUCTION VOLUME OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

<i>Year</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Tonnes</i>	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1

## USE

As a dye in printing equipment.

## 5. PROCESS AND RELEASE INFORMATION

### 5.1. Distribution, Transport and Storage

## PORT OF ENTRY

Melbourne VIC

## IDENTITY OF MANUFACTURER/RECIPIENTS

Hewlett Packard Australia Pty Ltd  
31-41 Joseph Street  
Blackburn VIC 3130

## TRANSPORTATION AND PACKAGING

The notified chemical will be imported by ship as pre-packaged cartridges. The cartridges will be packed in sturdy cardboard boxes and would normally be transported and distributed to customers by road.

### 5.2. Operation Description

No reformulation or repackaging of the product occurs in Australia. The sealed ink-jet cartridge is delivered to the end-user in its original packaging. The ink-jet cartridge will be handled by service technicians and office workers when replacing spent cartridges in the printer.

### 5.3. Occupational exposure

#### *Number and Category of Workers*

<i>Category of Worker</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>Exposure Duration</i>	<i>Exposure Frequency</i>
Service technicians	Approx 10	8 h/day (approx.)	230 days/year (approx.)
Office workers	Approx 1000	5 - 10 minutes	Approx. 10 days/year

#### *Exposure Details*

Office workers and customer service engineers will replace spent ink cartridges. Replacement of printing cartridges involves removal of the old printing cartridge from the printing machine and directly loading the new cartridge. These workers may have dermal contact with very small quantities of the notified chemical if they touch the print heads while replacing cartridges, or on handling printed paper or film, particularly if the paper is handled before the ink is adequately dried or if printing to a non-absorbent substrate occurs by error. After the ink is dry the notified chemical is bound to the paper matrix and is not expected to be readily bioavailable.

Trained customer service engineers will maintain and clean printing machines.

### 5.4. Release

## RELEASE OF CHEMICAL AT SITE

No release is expected as reformulation of the ink containing the notified chemical will not take place in Australia.

## RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM USE

Release of the ink solution to the environment is not expected under normal use since the ink cartridges are designed to prevent leakage. If leakage or accidental spill occurs when changing spent cartridges, the ink will be contained with absorbent material, which will presumably be disposed of in landfill.

Ultimately, all of the notified chemical will be released to the environment. Printed paper to which the

notified chemical will be bound will eventually be buried in landfill or incinerated. The chemical may also be released in effluent from de-inking processes. Residues left in empty cartridges (estimated as <10% of ink) will most likely be disposed of to landfill.

Recycling of treated paper may result in the release of a small proportion of the notified chemical to the aquatic compartment. Waste paper is repulped using a variety of chemical treatments which result in fibre separation and ink detachment from the fibres. The wastes are expected to go to trade waste sewers. It is estimated that about 20% of the ink printed on paper will enter paper recycling and up to 60% of the ink is recovered during recycling.

The low percentage of notified chemical in the ink and the paper recycling process contributes to low and highly diffuse release of the chemical to the aquatic compartment.

### 5.5. Disposal

The disposal of uncured inks will be largely confined to residues contained in the cartridge systems that do not allow the replacement of individual colours. These residues are expected to remain in the cartridge housing and will be disposed of by landfill.

### 5.6. Public exposure

The notified chemical will not be manufactured, reformulated or packaged in Australia. The imported inkjet cartridges may be transported by air, ship, rail, or truck to their distribution location. The ink is contained in the cartridge and the physical design of the cartridge prevents handlers from accidentally touching the ink. The design also prevents leakage of ink. Contact with very small quantities of ink during changing cartridges or on handling incompletely dried printed material may occur.

The public may be exposed to the notified chemical in the event of an accident during transport involving extensive breakage of cartridges.

## 6. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

**Appearance at 20°C and 101.3 kPa** Flaky solid.

**Melting Point/Freezing Point** > 250°C

METHOD	EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.1 Melting/Freezing Temperature.
REMARKS	Melting point could not be determined due to decomposition, rearrangement or auto-oxidation.
TEST FACILITY	RCC Notox (1990a)

**Density** 1350 kg/m<sup>3</sup> at 20°C

METHOD	EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.3 Relative Density.
REMARKS	The density of the test substance was determined using a gas comparison pycnometer, with helium as inert gas.
TEST FACILITY	RCC Notox (1990b)

**Vapour Pressure** < 0.037 kPa at 25°C.

METHOD	OECD TG 104 Vapour Pressure. EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.4 Vapour Pressure.
REMARKS	Static vapour pressure measurements were made with a capacitance manometer. The sample cell was immersed in a thermostatically controlled container. The measurements were made at temperatures of 25, 33 and 39°C. In order to determine the vapour pressure at each temperature, the results in the vapour pressure readings were extrapolated to the first measurement at each series.
TEST FACILITY	RCC Notox (1990c)

**Water Solubility**

Miscible in water at a 1:1 ratio.

METHOD	OECD TG 105 Water Solubility. EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.6 Water Solubility.
Remarks	A preliminary test was performed by adding 25.5 g of the test substance to 25 mL of distilled water. The mixture was stirred for 20 h at room temperature. Duplicate samples were taken and centrifuged. Both supernatants were analysed using HPLC. The mean value of the concentrations analysed was $1 \times 10^3$ g/L based on 1:1 (w/v) ratio.
	The result indicates that the notified chemical is readily soluble in water (Mensink <i>et al.</i> , 1995).
TEST FACILITY	RCC Notox (1990d)

**Fat Solubility**

0.0031 mg/100 g HB 307 at 37°C

METHOD	OECD TG 116 Fat Solubility of Solid and Liquid Substances.
Remarks	In the preliminary test, approximately 1 g of the test substance was added to 25 mL liquefied standard fat (HB 307) and shaken for approximately 16.5 h at 37°C. The concentration of the test substance was determined using HPLC. In the main study the concentration of the test substance in the fat phases was determined directly using HPLC after the shaking periods of 3, 24, 49 and 71 h. The results in the main study differed significantly from the preliminary test. This difference could be accounted for by the presence of the fine solid particles in the supernatant of the preliminary test. Solubility in fat is low.
TEST FACILITY	RCC Notox (1990j)

**Hydrolysis as a Function of pH**

METHOD	OECD TG 111 Hydrolysis as a Function of pH. EC Directive 92/69/EEC C.7 Degradation: Abiotic Degradation: Hydrolysis as a Function of pH.
--------	---

<i>pH</i>	<i>T</i> (°C)	<i>t</i> <sub>½</sub>
4	50	>5 days
7	50	>5 days
9	50	>5 days

Remarks	The test was performed by placing the test solution (buffers of pH 4, 7 and 9) in a waterbath at 50°C in the dark. A sample was taken from each test solution at 0, 2.4 and 5 days. The concentration of the test solution was determined using HPLC. No significant hydrolysis was observed in the preliminary test over 5 days. The test substance was hydrolytically stable within the environmental pH range.
TEST FACILITY	RCC Notox (1990e)

**Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water)**log Pow at 20°C estimated as  $\leq -4.3$ 

METHOD	OECD TG 107 Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water), Shake-flask Method. EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.8 Partition Coefficient.
Remarks	The solubility of the test substance in n-octanol was determined by adding 0.19 g of the test substance to 50 mL n-octanol. After a stirring period of approximately 1.5 days, duplicate samples were taken and centrifuged at 20°C. The supernatants were taken and centrifuged a second and third time. The final supernatant was taken and analysed using HPLC. The n-octanol solubility was found to be $\leq 5 \times 10^{-2}$ g/L. Using the result of the water solubility test, the partition coefficient was determined to be $\leq 5 \times 10^{-5}$ g/L.
TEST FACILITY	RCC Notox (1990f)

**Adsorption/Desorption**log  $K_{oc}$  = 2.12.

METHOD	OECD TG 106 Adsorption - Desorption Using a Batch Equilibrium Method.		
<i>Soil Type</i>	<i>Organic Carbon Content (%)</i>	<i>pH</i>	<i>K<sub>oc</sub> (mL/g)</i>
1	0.6	4.8	246
2	1.8	5.5	60.2
3	0.6	7.3	88.1

**Remarks** Samples of three soil types characterised with respect to pH, organic carbon content, particle size distribution, cation exchange capacity and exchangeable cations and moisture content were used in the tests. In the adsorption step, duplicate wet soil/test solution mixtures and a wet soil/0.01 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution as soil control were prepared for each soil type. A control consisting of test solution with no soil was also prepared. The samples were shaken continuously for 16 h. After equilibration, the samples were centrifuged to separate the phases and an aliquot was taken for analysis by HPLC. In the desorption step, the supernatant removed during the adsorption step was replaced with fresh 0.01 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution and the process was repeated as in the adsorption step. Between 36 and 50% desorbed.

The average log  $K_{oc}$  of 2.12 is considered to indicate high mobility in soil (McCall, 1980).

**TEST FACILITY** Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1997a)

**Dissociation Constant**

Not determined

**Remarks** The notified chemical contains aryl sulfonate groups which typically have pK<sub>a</sub> value of -1.0 to 1.0 and carboxylate groups which have pK<sub>a</sub> values of 1.0 to 4.0. The notified chemical is in a salt form and will be fully dissociated in water.

**Particle Size**

&lt;1% of &lt;100µm

**METHOD** OECD TG 110 Particle Size Distribution/Fibre Length and Diameter Distributions.  
**Remarks** Mass median aerodynamic diameter was not determined since negligible particles of the notified chemical were found to be in the inhalable range (100µm).

**TEST FACILITY** Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1997a)

**Surface Tension**

71.3 mN/m at 20°C

**METHOD** OECD TG 115 Surface Tension of Aqueous Solutions.  
 EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.5 Surface Tension.

**Remarks** The determination of the surface tension was performed by means of a tensiometer based on the ring method of Lecomte de Noüy. The force which was required to withdraw a horizontally suspended ring from the surface of the solution is measured. After completing the measurement, the ring was immersed below the surface again and the measurements were repeated until a constant surface tension was reached. The time passed since the solution was transferred to the measurement was recorded for each measurement. Based on the determined surface tension of 71.3 mN/m, the test substance is not considered to be surface active substance.

**TEST FACILITY** RCC Notox (1990k)

**Flash Point**

Not determined

**Remarks** The notified chemical is solid.

**Flammability Limits**

Not highly flammable.

METHOD EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.10 Flammability (Solids).  
 Remarks Test substance could not be ignited with a flame.  
 TEST FACILITY RCC Notox (1990g)

**Autoignition Temperature**

Not autoflammable up to 400 °C.

METHOD 92/69/EEC A.16 Relative Self-Ignition Temperature for Solids.  
 TEST FACILITY RCC Notox (1990h)

**Explosive Properties**

Not thermally or mechanically explosive.

METHOD EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.14 Explosive Properties.  
 TEST FACILITY RCC Notox (1990i)

**Oxidizing Properties**

No oxidising properties.

METHOD EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.17 Oxidizing Properties (Solids).  
 TEST FACILITY RCC Notox (1990l)

**Reactivity**

Remarks The notified chemical is stable under normal conditions of use.

**7. TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS**

<i>Endpoint and Result</i>	<i>Assessment Conclusion</i>
Rat, acute oral LD50 95 mg/kg bw	toxic
Rat, acute dermal LD50 > 2000 mg/kg bw	low toxicity
Rabbit, skin irritation	non-irritating
Rabbit, eye irritation	slightly irritating
Guinea pig, skin sensitisation - non-adjuvant test	no evidence of sensitisation.
Rat, oral repeat dose toxicity - 28 days.	NOEL = 1 mg/kg/day bw
Genotoxicity - bacterial reverse mutation	non mutagenic
Genotoxicity - in vitro chromosomal aberrations in human peripheral lymphocytes	non genotoxic
Genotoxicity - in vivo mouse bone marrow micronucleus test	non genotoxic

**7.1. Acute toxicity – oral**

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical.

METHOD OECD TG 401 Acute Oral Toxicity.  
 EC Directive 92/69/EEC B.1 Acute Toxicity (Oral).

Species/Strain Rat/Wistar

Vehicle Water prepared by reverse osmosis.

Remarks – Method A limit study of 5000 mg/kg bw was conducted. Since all animals died within 10 min of dosing, a Pilot Study was performed with 2 groups (1/sex), and treated at 500 or 50 mg/kg bw. All animals dosed at 500 mg/kg died within 1 hour following dosing and no mortality occurred among animals dosed at 50 mg/kg bw. Based on the result, dose levels of 320, 180 and 100 mg/kg bw were selected for the main study.



## RESULTS

<i>Group</i>	<i>Number and Sex of Animals</i>	<i>Dose mg/kg bw</i>	<i>Mortality</i>
1	5/sex	100	40% males, 80% females (60% sexes combined)
2	5/sex	180	100%
3	5/sex	320	100%
4	5/sex	5000	100%

LD50 95 mg/kg bw (estimated); 104 mg/kg in males, 81 mg/kg females.  
Signs of Toxicity Convulsions, lethargy, ataxia, piloerection.  
Effects in Organs In the 5000 mg/kg group: red areas in the glandular stomach, dark red liver, dilated pelvis of the right kidney.  
Remarks – Results All deaths occurred within 24 hours of dosing except for 1 female at 100mg/kg, which was found dead on day 4. All surviving animals had recovered from systemic toxicity by day 6 and showed body weight gain over the period of the study.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is toxic via the oral route.

TEST FACILITY RCC Notox (1991a)

**7.2. Acute toxicity – dermal**

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical.

METHOD OECD TG 402 Acute Dermal Toxicity – Limit Test.  
EC Directive 92/69/EEC B.3 Acute Toxicity (Dermal) – Limit Test.  
Species/Strain Rat/Wistar  
Vehicle Water prepared by reverse osmosis.  
Type of dressing Occlusive  
Remarks – Method No significant protocol deviations..

## RESULTS

<i>Group</i>	<i>Number and Sex of Animals</i>	<i>Dose mg/kg bw</i>	<i>Mortality</i>
1	5/sex	2000	1 of each sex

LD50 > 2000 mg/kg bw  
Signs of Toxicity - Local Yellow discoloration by the test substance in all animals. Small red wounds in 1 male.  
Signs of Toxicity - Systemic Lethargy on day 1 in 4/5 males and on day 2 in 4/4 males and females. One male showed piloerection on day 2.  
Effects in Organs Yellowish slimy content of the intestine in dead animals.  
Remarks – Results All animals showed bodyweight gain over the study period.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is of low toxicity via the dermal route.

TEST FACILITY RCC Notox (1990m)

**7.3. Irritation – skin**

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical.

METHOD OECD TG 404 Acute Dermal Irritation/Corrosion.  
EC Directive 92/69/EEC B.4 Acute Toxicity (Skin Irritation).  
Species/Strain Rabbit/New Zealand White  
Number of Animals 3 females

Vehicle Water prepared by reverse osmosis.  
 Observation Period 72 hours.  
 Type of Dressing Occlusive.  
 Remarks – Method No significant protocol deviations.

## RESULTS

Remarks – Results Yellow discolouration of treated area. Draize scores for erythema and oedema were zero in all animals during the 72-hour observation period.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is non-irritating to skin.

TEST FACILITY RCC Notox (1990n)

**7.4. Irritation – eye**

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical.

METHOD OECD TG 405 Acute Eye Irritation/Corrosion.  
 EC Directive 92/69/EEC B.5 Acute Toxicity (Eye Irritation).

Species/Strain Rabbit/New Zealand White  
 Number of Animals 3 females  
 Observation Period 7 days  
 Remarks – Method No significant protocol deviations.

## RESULTS

<i>Lesion</i>	<i>Mean Score* Animal No.</i>			<i>Maximum Value</i>	<i>Maximum Duration of Any Effect</i>	<i>Maximum Value at End of Observation Period</i>
	1	2	3			
<i>Conjunctiva: redness</i>	1.7	1.7	0.7	2	72 hours	0
<i>Conjunctiva: chemosis</i>	0	0	0	1	1 hour	0
<i>Conjunctiva: discharge</i>						
<i>Corneal opacity</i>	0	0	0	0		0
<i>Iridial inflammation</i>	0	0	0	0		0

\*Calculated on the basis of the scores at 24, 48, and 72 hours for EACH animal.

Remarks – Results Yellow discolouration by the test material of the head and eyelids was observed in all animals.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is slightly irritating to the eye.

TEST FACILITY RCC Notox (1990o)

**7.5. Skin sensitisation**

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical.

METHOD OECD TG 406 Skin Sensitisation – Buehler test.  
 EC Directive 96/54/EC B.6 Skin Sensitisation – Buehler test.

Species/Strain Guinea pig/Himalayan albino  
 PRELIMINARY STUDY Maximum Non-irritating Concentration:  
 topical: 25% w/w

MAIN STUDY  
 Number of Animals Test Group: 20 Control Group: 10  
 induction phase Induction Concentration:  
 topical application: 10% w/w

Signs of Irritation None.  
 CHALLENGE PHASE

1<sup>st</sup> challenge  
Remarks – Method

topical application: 10% w/w  
Induction was conducted for 6 hours, 9 times over three weeks.

## RESULTS

Remarks – Results	During the irritation pre-test, the animal treated with 50% w/w test material died the day after application. Symptoms preceding death included pale skin, tremors, lacrimation and hypothermia.
	During the main study, no signs of skin sensitisation and systemic toxicity were observed, and no mortality occurred.
CONCLUSION	There was no evidence of reactions indicative of skin sensitisation to the notified chemical under the conditions of the test.
TEST FACILITY	RCC Notox (1990p)

**7.6. Repeat dose toxicity**

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified chemical.
METHOD	OECD TG 407 Repeated Dose 28-day Oral Toxicity Study in Rodents. EC Directive 96/54/EC B.7 Repeated Dose (28 Days) Toxicity (Oral).
Species/Strain	Rat/Wistar
Route of Administration	Oral – gavage.
Exposure Information	Total exposure days: 28 days; Dose regimen: 7 days per week; Post-exposure observation period: none
Vehicle	Water prepared by reverse osmosis; dose volume 5 mL/kg bw.
Remarks – Method	The maximum dose of 50 mg/kg/day bw was selected on the basis of effects at this dose in a 5-day range-finding study. On day 1, initial food weights were inadvertently not recorded; therefore food consumption during week 1 was determined as of day 4.

## RESULTS

<i>Group</i>	<i>Number and Sex of Animals</i>	<i>Dose mg/kg bw/day</i>	<i>Mortality</i>
I (control)	5/sex	0	None
II (low dose)	5/sex	1	None
III (mid dose)	5/sex	10	None
IV (high dose)	5/sex	50	None

*Mortality and Time to Death*

There were no unscheduled deaths during the study.

*Clinical Observations*

All high dose animals showed excessive salivation from day 16. On two occasions during week 2, regurgitation of the test substance occurred in 2 high dose females. Alopecia was observed in one high dose male between day 27 and day 29. Body weight, body weight gain and food consumption were not affected by treatment.

No abnormalities were found upon ophthalmoscopic examination of animals except for a pallor of retina in one low dose male on week 4.

*Laboratory Findings – Clinical Chemistry, Haematology, Urinalysis**Clinical chemistry:*

Urea levels in high dose males were slightly decreased. Slightly higher calcium levels in high dose males were within historical limits. Alanine aminotransferase levels were elevated in high dose animals.

*Haematology:*

No significant differences were observed.

*Effects in Organs*

No macroscopic effects or changes in organ weights were observed. Microscopic examination of the kidneys revealed slight necrosis of the proximal tubules in high dose animals. Very slight tubular necrosis or tubular basophilia was noted in mid dose animals.

## Remarks – Results

The alopecia observed in one high dose male is common in rats at this age and therefore not considered to be treatment related.

Slightly decreased urea in the blood serum of high dose animals may be the result of fluctuations due to the microscopic renal lesion. The cation of the notified chemical is known to exhibit a short half life due to active secretion into the tubules, probably by cells of the proximal tubule, which may provide an indication of the mechanism of toxicity observed in this study.

Salivation and regurgitation of test substance could be due to a bad taste of the test substance. However, the pharmacological effect of the notified chemical as a ganglion stimulating drug affecting the autonomic nerve system could activate the smooth muscle control and glandular secretion at low concentration of the notified chemical.

## CONCLUSION

The No Observed Effect Level (NOEL) was established as 1 mg/kg bw/day in this study, based on microscopic kidney effects.

TEST FACILITY RCC Notox (1991b)

**7.7. Genotoxicity – bacteria**

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical.

METHOD OECD TG 471 Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test.  
EC Directive 2000/32/EC B.13/14 Mutagenicity – Reverse Mutation Test using Bacteria.  
Preincubation procedure (Prival and Mitchell)

Species/Strain *S. typhimurium*:  
TA1535, TA1537, TA98, TA100

Metabolic Activation System S9 fraction from livers of uninduced Syrian Golden Hamsters.

Concentration Range in  
Main Test a) With metabolic activation: 100 - 5000 µg/plate.  
b) Without metabolic activation: 100 - 5000 µg/plate.

Vehicle Water (test substance); Saline (-S9); Dimethylsulfoxide (+S9).

Remarks – Method No significant protocol deviations.

## RESULTS

## Remarks – Results

Experiment 1 showed a nearly 2-fold increase in the number of revertants for TA1535 and TA100 tester strains in the absence of metabolic activation. This occurred at low dose, and no dose-response was seen. In Experiment 2, no substantial increase in the number of revertant colonies was seen in any strain either in the presence or absence of metabolic activation. Appropriate positive and negative control values were within background historical ranges, indicating that the test conditions were optimal and that the test system responded appropriately.

## CONCLUSION

The notified chemical was not mutagenic to bacteria under the conditions of the test.

TEST FACILITY

RCC Notox (1990p)

**7.8. Genotoxicity – in vitro**

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified chemical.
METHOD	OECD TG 473 In vitro Mammalian Chromosomal Aberration Test.
Cell Type/Cell Line	Human lymphocytes.
Metabolic Activation System	Aroclor 1254 induced rat liver S9.
Vehicle	Water.
Remarks – Method	No significant protocol deviation.

<i>Metabolic Activation</i>	<i>Test Substance Concentration (µg/mL)</i>	<i>Exposure Period</i>	<i>Harvest Time</i>
<i>Present</i>			
Test 1	0*, 39.06, 78.13, 156.25, 312.5, 625, 1250*, 2500*, 5000*	4 h	16 h
Test 2	0*, 312.5, 625, 1250*, 2500*, 5000*	4 h	16 h
	0*, 1250, 2500, 5000*	4 h	40 h
<i>Absent</i>			
Test 1	0*, 39.06, 78.13, 156.25, 312.5, 625, 1250*, 2500*, 5000*	20 h	20 h
Test 2	0*, 312.5, 625, 1250*, 2500*, 5000*	20 h	20 h
	0*, 1250, 2500, 5000*	44 h	44 h

\*Cultures selected for metaphase analysis.

**RESULTS**

Remarks – Results	<p>Neither cytotoxicity nor precipitation was observed in both experiments.</p> <p>In Experiment 1, a single statistically significant increase in aberrant cells (without gaps) was recorded for 5000 µg/mL test concentration without metabolic activation. However, the frequency of cells with aberrations was low (2.5%) and when compared with a zero value for the control group, the number of aberrant cells became statistically significant.</p> <p>In Experiment 2, no statistically significant increase in the frequency of cells with chromosomal aberrations or polyploid cells either in the absence or presence of metabolic activation.</p>
CONCLUSION	The notified chemical was not clastogenic to human lymphocytes treated in vitro under the conditions of the test.
TEST FACILITY	Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998)

**7.9. Genotoxicity – in vivo**

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified chemical.
METHOD	OECD TG 474 Mammalian Erythrocyte Micronucleus Test.
Species/Strain	Mouse/Swiss
Route of Administration	Oral – intubation.
Vehicle	Water.
Remarks – Method	In a pilot study, 3 animals/sex/group were treated orally with 500, 300, 200 and 100 mg/kg bw test substance. Three animals dosed at 400 mg/kg, and one animal of 300 and 200 mg/kg bw each died within a few hours. Surviving animals at 400 mg/kg bw showed lethargy and ataxia, and one animal also showed tremors. Lethargy was observed in animals dosed at 300 and 200 mg/kg bw. All animals recovered within one day.

Animals dosed at 100 mg/kg bw did not show any signs of toxicity. Therefore, 100 mg/kg bw was selected as an appropriate dose for the micronucleus test.

<i>Group</i>	<i>Number and Sex of Animals</i>	<i>Dose mg/kg bw</i>	<i>Sacrifice Time Hours</i>
I, II, III (Vehicle controls)	5/sex	0	24, 48, 72
IV	5/sex	100	24
V	5/sex	100	48
VI	5/sex	100	72
VII (Positive control)	5/sex	50 (CP)	48

CP = cyclophosphamide

#### RESULTS

Doses Producing Toxicity 200, 300, 500 mg/kg bw  
 Genotoxic Effects Negative.  
 Remarks – Results No increase in the frequency of micronuclei was observed in all dosed groups at any sampling time.

#### CONCLUSION

The notified chemical was not clastogenic in this in vivo micronucleus test under the conditions of the test.

#### TEST FACILITY

RCC Notox (1990q)

## 8. ENVIRONMENT

### 8.1. Environmental fate

#### 8.1.1. Ready biodegradability

##### TEST SUBSTANCE

Notified chemical.

##### METHOD

OECD TG 301 D Ready Biodegradability: Closed Bottle Test.

EEC Test Method C.6: Closed Bottle Test.

Inoculum Filtered secondary effluent.

Exposure Period 28 days

Auxiliary Solvent None.

Analytical Monitoring BOD

Remarks – Method Test concentrations of 1 and 5 mg/L were used. Each test included parallel series for the determination of oxygen depletion, with and without inoculum and positive control sodium acetate at 2 mg/L. All bottles were incubated in the dark during the test period. The oxygen concentration was determined in duplicate using oxygen electrode on days 0, 5, 15 and 28.

#### RESULTS

<i>Test substance</i>			<i>Sodium acetate</i>	
<i>Day</i>	<i>% degradation</i>		<i>Day</i>	<i>% degradation</i>
	1 mg/L	4.8 mg/L		
5	8	1	5	92
15	2	1	15	92
28	13	6	28	104

Remarks – Results After 28 days of incubation, biodegradation at 1 mg/L and 4.8 mg/L of the notified chemical reached 13% and 6%, respectively. The control substance was degraded by more than 60% within 5 days, thus satisfying



the requirement that the reference substance had to attain >60% degradation, confirming the validity of the study.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical cannot be classed as ready biodegradable.

TEST FACILITY RCC Notox (1990r)

### 8.1.2. Bioaccumulation

No bioaccumulation study was conducted. In view of the negative log  $P_{ow}$  and high water solubility, the bioaccumulation potential is considered to be low (Connell, 1990).

## 8.2. Ecotoxicological investigations

### 8.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified chemical
METHOD	OECD TG 203 Fish, Acute Toxicity Test - static EC Directive 92/69/EEC C.1 Acute Toxicity for Fish - static
Species	<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>
Exposure Period	96 hours
Auxiliary Solvent	None.
Water Hardness	11.8°DH
Analytical Monitoring	Analysis of the test preparations showed concentrations remaining steady throughout the exposure period.
Remarks – Method	Because no toxicity was observed in the range-finding test, the final study was a limit test. In this limit test 3 groups of 10 fish were exposed for 96 h in a static system to the maximum concentration of 1000 mg/L and ten fish were exposed to untreated medium. There was no feeding from 24 h before the test and during the test period. Mortality was recorded at 3.5, 24, 48, 72 and 96 h following the start of exposure. Duplicate samples were taken from the vessels containing 0 and 1000 mg/L at time (t) = 0 and 96 h. to determine the stability of the test substance under test conditions. A reference test using pentachlorophenol was also performed to check the sensitivity of the test system.

### RESULTS

LC50	> 1000 mg/L at 96 hours.
NOEC	1000 mg/L at 96 hours.
Remarks – Results	During 96 h of exposure no mortality of fish or any other effects were observed at the nominal concentration of 1000 mg/L. During the exposure period the actual concentration remained >80% of the nominal concentration of 1000 mg/L. The 96 h LC50 for carp exposed to the notified chemical was >1000 mg/L.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is not toxic to *Cyprinus carpio* (Mensink *et al.*, 1995).

TEST FACILITY RCC Notox (1990s)

### 8.2.2. Acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified chemical
METHOD	OECD TG 202 Daphnia sp. Acute Immobilisation Test and Reproduction Test - static. EC Directive 92/69/EEC C.2 Acute Toxicity for Daphnia - static.
Species	<i>Daphnia magna</i>
Exposure Period	48 hours

Auxiliary Solvent	None.
Water Hardness	11.8°DH
Analytical Monitoring	Test substance stated to be stable for 96 hours in water.
Remarks – Method	The static <i>Daphnia</i> bioassay was conducted in a 250 mL glass beaker. The lighting was maintained on a 16-h daylight photoperiod. All test organisms were observed once every 24 h for mortality and immobility. 20 daphnia were used for each test concentrations of 0, 1, 1.8, 3.2, 5.6, 10, 18, 32, 56 and 100 mg/L. Duplicate test of 10 daphnia was used for each concentration. A reference test using potassium dichromate was also used in a 48 h acute toxicity study. Water quality parameters of temperature, dissolved oxygen and pH were measured throughout the test period and were within acceptable limits.

## RESULTS

Concentration mg/L		Number of <i>D. magna</i> per replicate	Number Immobilised	
Nominal	Actual		24 h*	48 h*
0		10	0, 0	0
1.0		“	0, 0	0
1.8		“	1, 0	1, 0
3.2		“	0, 0	1, 0
5.6		“	0, 5	0, 1
10		“	0, 1	0, 1
18		“	5, 4	3, 2
32		“	5, 4	6, 7
56		“	3, 1	7, 7
100		“	3, 4	10, 10

\* At least some of the organisms appeared to be immobile because they stuck to the yellow coloured particles present in the medium and to each other. The score at 48 hours was taken after organisms were gently separated from each other and from the particles.

LC50	30.1 mg/L at 48 hours [CI: 23.7-38.0 mg/L]
NOEC	10 mg/L at 48 hours
Remarks – Results	All results were based on nominal concentrations. After 24 h of exposure, immobilisation of more than one daphnia was recorded at 5.6 mg/L and in the range of 18-100 mg/L. However, there was no clear concentration/response relation. Furthermore, yellow coloured particles were seen and one or more organisms appeared to be stuck to these particles and to each other. After 48 h of exposure the daphnia were scored for immobilisation before and after they were separated from each other and from the particles. The scoring before this treatment results in no clear concentration/response relation whereas the scoring after treatment showed concentration/ response relation.

It is unclear why insoluble material was encountered for this very soluble dye, and the results should be viewed with caution.

CONCLUSION	The notified chemical is slightly toxic to <i>Daphnia magna</i> (Mensink <i>et al.</i> , 1995), probably due to a physical rather than chemical effect.
------------	---

TEST FACILITY	RCC Notox (1990t)
---------------	-------------------

**8.2.3. Algal growth inhibition test**

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified chemical.
METHOD	OECD TG 201 Alga, Growth Inhibition Test. EC Directive 92/69/EEC C.3 Algal Inhibition Test.
Species	<i>Selenastrum subspicatus</i>
Exposure Period	72 hours

Concentration Range	
Nominal	0-100 mg/L
Actual	99–100% of nominal
Auxiliary Solvent	ISO-medium.
Water Hardness	Not stated.
Analytical Monitoring	The concentration and stability of the test substance were verified using HPLC at 0 and 72 h.
Remarks – Method	Following a preliminary range-finding study, the green alga <i>Scenedesmus subspicatus</i> was exposed to an aqueous dispersion of the test material at a concentration of 100 mg/L (six replicate flasks) for 72 h under constant illumination and shaking at a temperature of 12°C. Samples of algal populations were removed daily and cell concentrations determined for each control and treatment group.

## RESULTS

<i>Biomass</i>		<i>Growth</i>	
<i>E<sub>b</sub>C<sub>50</sub></i> mg/L at 72 h	<i>NOEC</i> mg/L	<i>E<sub>r</sub>C<sub>50</sub></i> mg/L at 24 - 48 h	<i>NOEC</i> mg/L
> 100	≥ 100	> 100	≥ 100

Remarks – Results	All results were based on nominal concentrations. It was clear that neither the growth or the biomass of the green algae were affected by the presence of the test material at the nominal concentration of 100 mg/L over the 72 h exposure period.
-------------------	---

CONCLUSION	The notified chemical was very slightly toxic to algae under the conditions of the study (Mensink <i>et al.</i> , 1995).
------------	--

TEST FACILITY	Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1997b)
---------------	--

**8.2.4. Inhibition of microbial activity**

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified chemical.
----------------	--------------------

METHOD	OECD TG 209 Activated Sludge, Respiration Inhibition Test. EC Directive 88/302/EEC C.11 Biodegradation: Activated Sludge Respiration Inhibition Test.
--------	--

Inoculum	Sewage sludge.
Exposure Period	0.5 and 3 hours
Concentration Range	0-3200 mg/L
Nominal	
Auxiliary Solvent	none
Water Hardness	100 mg/L as CaCO <sub>3</sub> /L
Analytical Monitoring	
Remarks – Method	

The test material was aerated for a period of 3 h at 21°C in the presence of activated sewage sludge with the addition of a synthetic sewage as a respiratory substrate. Based on the preliminary range finding study, the test concentrations of 32, 100, 320, 1000 and 3200 mg/L were used. The rate of respiration was determined after 30 min and 3 h contact time and compared to the data for the control and reference material 3,5-dichlorophenol.

RESULTS	
IC50	> 3200 mg/L (30 min); 4000 mg/L (3 h) for test substance 11 mg/L (30 min); 8.6 mg/L for 3,5-dichlorophenol

Remarks – Results	All results were based on the nominal concentrations. The validation criteria for the control respiration rates and reference materials for EC50
-------------------	--

values were satisfied. The increase in toxicity of the test material after 3 h contact time is considered to be a time dependent effect and not an effect of increased solubilisation as the test material was completely soluble at the concentration tested.

**CONCLUSION**

The notified chemical may be considered at worst, very slightly toxic to sewage treatment bacteria.

**TEST FACILITY**

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1997c)

## 9. RISK ASSESSMENT

### 9.1. Environment

#### 9.1.1. Environment – exposure assessment

##### Release

Most of the dye will be bound to paper and eventually be disposed by landfill. However, some paper will be recycled and due to the high water solubility of the dye, a large proportion will remain in the aqueous phase. Recycling may take place in a number of centres throughout Australia. The predicted concentration in sewage effluent on a nationwide basis is estimated as 0.28 µg/L.

##### Fate

The substance is not expected to bioaccumulate due to its high water solubility. Abiotic or slow biotic processes are expected to be largely responsible for the degradation of the notified chemical as it is not readily biodegradable. Incineration of waste paper will destroy the compound with the generation of water vapour and oxides of carbon, sulphur and nitrogen. As a consequence of its anionic nature, the notified chemical is likely to be immobilised through adsorption onto soil particles and sediments.

#### 9.1.2. Environment – effects assessment

In summary the aquatic toxicity data indicate:

Rainbow trout: 96 h LC50	>1000 mg/L
<i>Daphnia magna</i> : 48 h LC50	30.1 mg/L
<i>Selenastrum subspicatus</i> : 72 h E <sub>50</sub>	>100 mg/L

Using the lowest LC50 of 30.1 mg/L for *Daphnia magna*, a predicted no effect concentration (PNEC) of 0.3 mg/L has been derived by dividing the LC50 value by a safety factor of 100 since toxicity data are available for all three trophic levels.

#### 9.1.3. Environment – risk characterisation

The notified chemical will enter environmental compartments indirectly by disposal of waste paper (for recycling, to landfill or for incineration) and by direct release from discarded printer cartridges at landfill sites. Based on the import volume, method of packaging and low concentration in ink, release of the notified chemical to the environment is expected to be low and widespread. Waste from the recycling process includes sludge which is dried and disposed of to landfill, and any of the notified chemical partitioned to the supernatant water will be released to sewer.

The PEC/PNEC ratio for the aquatic environment, assuming nationwide use, is  $0.28/300 = 9 \times 10^{-4}$  and  $0.028/300 = 9 \times 10^{-5}$ , for freshwater and marine water, respectively. This value is significantly less than 1, indicating no immediate concern to the aquatic compartment. This value is expected to be much lower given that not all paper to which the ink is applied will be recycled thus limiting the exposure of the notified chemical to sewer.

### 9.2. Human health

#### 9.2.1. Occupational health and safety – exposure assessment

Office workers and customer service engineers may be intermittently exposed to the notified chemical contained in the ink cartridge when replacing the spent ink cartridge, and during repair maintenance and cleaning of ink jet printers. Customer service engineers may potentially come in contact with the notified chemical more often than office workers. Exposure is expected to be controlled through the design of the ink cartridges and the printing machines. Customer service engineers often wear cotton disposable gloves. Pre-packed ink cartridges are sealed and worker exposure to the ink is minimised by the use of the replacement procedures recommended by the manufacturer.

Exposure may occur upon handling printed matter. However, very little printing ink is used per sheet of paper and it would not be separately available for exposure or dermal uptake as it is

fused and fixed to the printed surface.

Waterside, warehouse and transport workers are unlikely to be exposed to the notified chemical unless the packaging is breached.

#### 9.2.2. Public health – exposure assessment

The printing ink will be available for use in home printers. The public will have dermal exposure to the notified chemical in the printing ink when inserting or removing a damaged cartridge and clearing paper jams. The ink is contained in the cartridge and the physical design of the cartridge prevents handlers from dermal exposure. The cartridge design also prevents leakage of ink.

Public exposure will also occur by dermal contact with printed media treated with ink containing <5 % notified chemical.

#### 9.2.3. Human health - effects assessment

In rats, the notified chemical was toxic by oral route but of low toxicity by dermal route. Sixty percent (combined sexes) of animals treated orally with 100 mg/kg bw (the lowest dose tested), died; all deaths were within 24 hours of dosing except for one female found dead on day 4. Signs of oral toxicity include convulsions, lethargy, ataxia and piloerection. The notified chemical is classified as 'toxic if swallowed' on the basis of its acute oral toxicity. Acute inhalation toxicity study was not performed.

In rabbits, the notified chemical was not a skin irritant but it was a slight eye irritant. Slight redness of the conjunctiva persisted up to 72 hours after treatment. There was no evidence of skin sensitisation in a non-adjuvant study in guinea pigs.

In a 28-day oral repeat dose toxicity study in rats, microscopic examination revealed slight necrosis of the proximal tubules in high dose animals and very slight tubular necrosis or tubular basophilia in mid dose animals. Salivation and regurgitation was also observed, which may be due to the pharmacologic effect of the notified chemical as a ganglion-stimulating drug. Hence, low concentration of the notified chemical could activate the smooth muscle control and glandular secretion. Given the effects observed in the kidneys, the no observed effect level (NOEL) was established as 1 mg/kg bw/day (the lowest dose tested). The maximum dose tested was 50% of the dose which produced 60% mortality in acute oral toxicity testing, and it is probable that the observed effects at 10 and 50 mg/kg bw are sub-lethal acute effects.

The notified chemical showed negative results in the bacterial mutation assay, *in vitro* chromosomal aberration test and *in vivo* bone marrow micronucleus test in the absence and presence of metabolic activation (S9). The results indicate that the notified chemical was neither mutagenic nor genotoxic under the conditions of the studies.

On the basis of the data supplied, the notified chemical would be classified as a toxic (T) substance under the NOHSC Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances (NOHSC, 1999) and warrants the risk phrase: R25 – Toxic if swallowed.

#### 9.2.4. Occupational health and safety – risk characterisation

The loading and removal of a cartridge into or from its containment area in a printer can be readily accomplished without any contact with ink. Skin contact with the ink may occur if an attempt is made to insert or remove a damaged cartridge or to correct a paper-jam.

The cartridges are not refilled. Spent cartridges contain on average <10% of remaining ink. The remaining ink is contained within the cartridge and cannot be removed without breaking the cartridge. Ink on paper will be bound to the paper and is unlikely to be transferable to a person's skin.

Overall, the risk of adverse effects arising from exposure to the notified chemical is low due to the low potential for exposure and low concentration of notified chemical in the printing ink.

Although the notified chemical is toxic via the oral route, ingestion of the notified chemical is very unlikely when used as a component of printing inks.

Based on the expected low exposures, the health risk posed to office workers, and customer service engineers by the notified chemical is very low. In addition, the occupational health risk to waterside, warehouse and transport workers is negligible, considering the small quantities in individual ink cartridges and the low hazard presented by the chemical.

#### **9.2.5. Public health – risk characterisation**

Given that the manner of exposure for the public is similar to that for office workers performing the same tasks, the risk from public exposure to the notified chemical is considered to be low.

### **10. CONCLUSIONS – ASSESSMENT LEVEL OF CONCERN FOR THE ENVIRONMENT AND HUMANS**

#### **10.1. Hazard classification**

Based on the available data the notified chemical is classified as hazardous under the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances*. The classification and labelling details are: R25 – Toxic if swallowed.

According to the OECD (2002) Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals, the notified chemical is categorised as Acute Toxicity Category 3. The following labelling requirements are applicable to the notified chemical:

Symbol: Skull and crossbones  
Signal word: Danger  
Hazard statement: Toxic if swallowed

#### **10.2. Environmental risk assessment**

On the basis of the PEC/PNEC ratio:

The chemical is not considered to pose a risk to the environment based on its reported use pattern.

#### **10.3. Human health risk assessment**

##### **10.3.1. Occupational health and safety**

There is Low Concern to occupational health and safety under the conditions of the occupational settings described.

##### **10.3.2. Public health**

There is No Significant Concern to public health when used as a component of printing inks.

### **11. MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET**

#### **11.1. Material Safety Data Sheet**

The MSDS of the products containing the chemical provided by the notifier was in accordance with the NOHSC *National Code of Practice for the Preparation of Material Safety Data Sheets* (NOHSC, 1994a). It is published here as a matter of public record. The accuracy of the information on the MSDS remains the responsibility of the applicant.

#### **11.2. Label**

The label for the products containing the chemical provided by the notifier was in accordance with the NOHSC *National Code of Practice for the Labelling of Workplace Substances* (NOHSC, 1994b). The accuracy of the information on the label remains the responsibility of the applicant.

## 12. RECOMMENDATIONS

### REGULATORY CONTROLS

#### Hazard Classification and Labelling

- The NOHSC Chemicals Standards Sub-committee should consider the following health hazard classification for the notified chemical:
  - R25 – Toxic if swallowed
- Use the following risk phrases for products/mixtures containing the notified chemical:
  - $\geq 25\%$ : R25 – Toxic if swallowed
  - $3\% \leq \text{conc} < 25\%$ : R22 – Harmful if swallowed.

### CONTROL MEASURES

#### Occupational Health and Safety

No special precautions are required for the notified chemical when used at low quantities as a component of ink cartridges for printers. However, in the interests of good occupational health and safety, the following guidelines and precautions should be observed for use of printing inks containing the notified chemical:

- Avoid contact with skin.
- Printers should be located in well-ventilated areas.
- Service personnel should wear cotton or disposable gloves when replenishing spent ink cartridges and servicing printers.

Guidance in selection of personal protective equipment can be obtained from Australian, Australian/New Zealand or other approved standards.

- A copy of the MSDS should be easily accessible to employees.
- If products and mixtures containing the notified chemical are classified as hazardous to health in accordance with the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances*, workplace practices and control procedures consistent with provisions of State and Territory hazardous substances legislation must be in operation.

#### Environment

- Do not allow material or contaminated packaging to enter drains, sewers or water courses.

#### Disposal

- The notified chemical should be disposed of in landfill or be destroyed through incineration.

#### Emergency procedures

- Spills/release of the notified chemical should be handled by collecting the cartridge intact and landfilled. Contain the spill and absorb with sawdust, sand or earth. Place used absorbent in suitable sealed containers and follow state or local regulation for the disposal of the waste.

### 12.1. Secondary notification

The Director of Chemicals Notification and Assessment must be notified in writing within 28 days by the notifier, other importer or manufacturer:

- (1) Under Section 64(2) of the Act:
  - if any of the circumstances listed in the subsection arise.

The Director will then decide whether secondary notification is required.



No additional secondary notification conditions are stipulated.

### 13. BIBLIOGRAPHY

Connell DW (1989) General characteristics of organic compounds which exhibit bioaccumulation. In: Connell DW ed. Bioaccumulation of xenobiotic compounds. Boca Raton, USA, CRC Press, pp 47-57.

Mensink BJWG, Montforts M, Wijkhuizen-Maslankiewicz L, Tibosch H. and Linders JBHJ (1995) Manual for summarising and evaluating the environmental aspects of pesticides. National Institute of Public Health and Environmental Protection, Bilthoven, The Netherlands. Report No. 679101022.

McCall, P. H., Swann, R. L., Laskowski, D. A., Unger, S. M., Vrona, S. A. and Dishburger, H. J. (1980). Estimation of Chemical Mobility in Soil from Liquid Chromatographic Retention times. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 24: 190-195.

NOHSC (1994a) National Code of Practice for the Preparation of Material Safety Data Sheets [NOHSC:2011(1994)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.

NOHSC (1994b) National Code of Practice for the Labelling of Workplace Substances [NOHSC:2012(1994)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.

NOHSC (1999) Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances [NOHSC:1008(1999)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, AusInfo.

RCC Notox (1990a) Determination of the Melting Point/Melting Range of the Notified Chemical. Project 036901. RCC Notox, The Netherlands.

RCC Notox (1990b) Determination of the Density of the Notified Chemical. Project 036923. RCC Notox, The Netherlands.

RCC Notox (1990c) Determination of the Vapour Pressure of the Notified Chemical. Project 036934. RCC Notox, The Netherlands.

RCC Notox (1990d) Determination of the Water Solubility of the Notified Chemical. Project 036967. RCC Notox, The Netherlands.

RCC Notox (1990e) Determination of the Hydrolysis of the Notified Chemical as a Function of pH. Project 036991. RCC Notox, The Netherlands.

RCC Notox (1990f) Determination of the Partition Coefficient (N-Octanol/Water) of the Notified Chemical. Project 036989. RCC Notox, The Netherlands.

RCC Notox (1990g) Determination of the Flammability of the Notified Chemical. Project 037057. RCC Notox, The Netherlands.

RCC Notox (1990h) Determination of the Auto-Flammability of the Notified Chemical. Project 037079. RCC Notox, The Netherlands.

RCC Notox (1990i) Determination of the Explosive Properties of the Notified Chemical. Project 037068. RCC Notox, The Netherlands.

RCC Notox (1990j) Determination of the Fat Solubility of the Notified Chemical. Project 036978. RCC Notox, The Netherlands.

RCC Notox (1990k) Determination of the Surface Tension of an Aqueous Solution of the Notified Chemical. Project 036945. RCC Notox, The Netherlands.

RCC Notox (1990l) Determination of the Oxidising Properties of the Notified Chemical. Project 037081. RCC Notox, The Netherlands.

RCC Notox (1990m) Assessment of Acute Dermal Toxicity with the Notified Chemical in the Rat. Project 037103. RCC Notox, The Netherlands.

RCC Notox (1990n) Primary Skin Irritation/Corrosion Study with the Notified Chemical. Project 037024. RCC Notox, The Netherlands.

RCC Notox (1990o) Acute Eye Irritation/Corrosion Study with the Notified Chemical. Project 037035. RCC Notox, The Netherlands.

RCC Notox (1990p) Evaluation of the Mutagenic Activity of the Azo Dye in the Ames Salmonella/Microsome Preincubation Test (with Independent Repeat). Project 037013. RCC Notox, The Netherlands.

RCC Notox (1990q) Micronucleus Test in Bone Marrow Cells of the Mouse with the Notified Chemical. Project 037002. RCC Notox, The Netherlands.

RCC Notox (1990r) Ready Biodegradability: 28 Days Closed Bottle Test with the Notified Chemical. Project 037158. RCC Notox, The Netherlands.

RCC Notox (1990s) 96-Hour Acute Toxicity Study in the Carp with the Notified Chemical. Project 037136. RCC Notox, The Netherlands.

RCC Notox (1990t) Acute Toxicity Study in *Daphnia magna* with the Notified Chemical. Project 037147. RCC Notox, The Netherlands.

RCC Notox (1991a) Assessment of Acute Oral Toxicity with the Notified Chemical in the Rat. Project 037092. RCC Notox, The Netherlands.

RCC Notox (1991b) Subacute 28-day Oral Toxicity with the Notified Chemical by Daily Gavage in the Rat. Project 037125. RCC Notox, The Netherlands.

Safepharm (1997a) Notified Chemical: Determination of General Physico-chemical Properties. Project No. 1094/004. Safepharm Laboratories Limited, UK.

Safepharm (1997b) Notified Chemical: Algal Inhibition Test. Project No. 1094/007. Safepharm Laboratories Limited, UK.

Safepharm (1997c) Notified Chemical: Assessment of the Inhibitory Effect on the Respiration of Activated Sewage Sludge. Project No. 1094/008. Safepharm Laboratories Limited, UK.

Safepharm (1998) Notified Chemical: Chromosome Aberration Test in Human Lymphocytes in vitro. Project No. 1094/006. Safepharm Laboratories Limited, UK.