File No: EX/84 (LTD/1200)

15 March 2006

# NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS NOTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT SCHEME (NICNAS)

## **FULL PUBLIC REPORT**

## **Polymer in Mirapol Surf S500**

This Assessment has been compiled in accordance with the provisions of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act 1989* (Cwlth) (the Act) and Regulations. This legislation is an Act of the Commonwealth of Australia. The National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) is administered by the Department of Health and Ageing, and conducts the risk assessment for public health and occupational health and safety. The assessment of environmental risk is conducted by the Department of the Environment and Heritage.

For the purposes of subsection 78(1) of the Act, this Full Public Report may be inspected at:

Library
Australian Safety and Compensation Council
25 Constitution Avenue
CANBERRA ACT 2600
AUSTRALIA

To arrange an appointment contact the Librarian on TEL + 61 2 6279 1162 or email ascc.library@dewr.gov.au

This Full Public Report is available for viewing and downloading from the NICNAS website or available on request, free of charge, by contacting NICNAS. For requests and enquiries please contact the NICNAS Administration Coordinator at:

Street Address: 334 - 336 Illawarra Road MARRICKVILLE NSW 2204, AUSTRALIA.

Postal Address: GPO Box 58, SYDNEY NSW 2001, AUSTRALIA.

TEL: + 61 2 8577 8800 FAX + 61 2 8577 8888 Website: www.nicnas.gov.au



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

FULI	PUBLIC REPORT	
1.	APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS	4
2.	IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL	4
3.	COMPOSITION	4
4.	INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION	5
5.	PROCESS AND RELEASE INFORMATION	5
	5.1. Distribution, transport and storage	5
	5.2. Operation description	5
	5.3. Occupational exposure	6
	5.4. Release	
	5.5. Disposal	
	5.6. Public exposure	
6.	PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES	
7.	TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS	
	7.1. Acute toxicity – oral	
	7.2. Irritation – skin	
	7.3. Irritation – eye	
	7.4.1. Skin sensitisation	
	7.4.2. Skin sensitisation – mouse local lymph node assay (LLNA)	
	7.5. Genotoxicity – bacteria	
8.	ENVIRONMENT	
	8.1. Environmental fate	
	8.1.1. Ready biodegradability	
	8.1.2. Bioaccumulation	
	3.2. Ecotoxicological investigations	
	8.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish	
	8.2.2. Acute/chronic toxicity to aquatic invertebrates	
	8.2.3. Algal growth inhibition test	
9.	RISK ASSESSMENT	
	9.1. Environment	
	9.1.1. Environment – exposure assessment	
	9.1.2. Environment – effects assessment	
	9.1.3. Environment – risk characterisation	
	9.2. Human health	
	9.2.1. Occupational health and safety – exposure assessment	
	9.2.2. Public health – exposure assessment	
	9.2.3. Human health – effects assessment	
	<ul> <li>9.2.4. Occupational health and safety – risk characterisation</li></ul>	
10	9.2.5. Public health – risk characterisation	
	MANS	
111	10.1. Hazard classification	
	10.1. Hazard classification	
	10.3. Human health risk assessment	
	10.3.1. Occupational health and safety	
	10.3.2. Public health	
11		
11	11.1. Material Safety Data Sheet	
	11.2. Label	
12		
	12.1. Secondary notification	
13	·	22

## **FULL PUBLIC REPORT**

## Polymer in Mirapol Surf S500

#### 1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS

APPLICANT(S)

Holder of the original assessment certificate (No. 2173, LTD/1200):

Rhodia Australia Pty Ltd (ABN 24 050 029 000) of 352 Ferntree Gully Road, Notting Hill VIC, 3168

Applicant for an extension of the original assessment certificate:

Reckitt Benckiser (Australia) Pty Ltd (ABN 17 003 274 655) of 44 Wharf Road, West Ryde NSW 2114.

NOTIFICATION CATEGORY

Limited: Polymer with NAMW > 1000

EXEMPT INFORMATION (SECTION 75 OF THE ACT)

Data items and details claimed exempt from publication:

Chemical Identity

Spectral data

Polymer constituents and residual monomers

Identity of impurities

Additives/adjuvants

Estimated import volume

Detailed use

Formulation details

Identity of recipient

VARIATION OF DATA REQUIREMENTS (SECTION 24 OF THE ACT)

No variation to the schedule of data requirements is claimed.

PREVIOUS NOTIFICATION IN AUSTRALIA BY APPLICANT(S)

None

NOTIFICATION IN OTHER COUNTRIES

US 2004

## 2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL

MARKETING NAME(S)

The notified polymer is imported as an ingredient of Mirapol Surf S500.

METHODS OF DETECTION AND DETERMINATION

METHOD Infrared (IR) Spectroscopy and Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC)

Remarks Spectra provided

### 3. COMPOSITION

DEGREE OF PURITY >99%

HAZARDOUS IMPURITIES/RESIDUAL MONOMERS

All residual monomers and hazardous impurities are present below the relevant cut-offs for classification of the notified polymer as a hazardous substance.

#### **DEGRADATION PRODUCTS**

The notified polymer is expected to be stable under normal conditions of use and storage. On combustion or on thermal decomposition (pyrolysis), oxides of carbon, sulfur and nitrogen may be released.

LOSS OF MONOMERS, OTHER REACTANTS, ADDITIVES, IMPURITIES

The residual monomers are present with the notified polymer in solution and therefore may be released during use. The residual monomer content is very low.

#### 4. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION

Mode of Introduction of Notified Chemical (100%) Over Next 5 Years

The notified polymer will be imported as an ingredient (< 10%) in Mirapol Surf S500.

In addition, Reckitt Benckiser (Australia) Pty Ltd will import the notified polymer as an ingredient of ready to use finished formulated hard surface cleaner.

MAXIMUM INTRODUCTION VOLUME OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

Year	1	2	3	4	5
Tonnes Rhodia Australia Pty Ltd	< 3	< 3	< 3	< 3	< 3
Reckitt Benckiser (Australia) Pty Ltd	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3

USE

The notified polymer will be used as an ingredient in a hard surface cleaner, such as a toilet bowel cleaner.

#### 5. PROCESS AND RELEASE INFORMATION

## 5.1. Distribution, transport and storage

PORT OF ENTRY Sydney

## IDENTITY OF RECIPIENTS

The hard surface cleaner formulation will occur at a site in New South Wales. Once formulated the hard surface cleaner will be distributed to retail outlets throughout Australia.

## TRANSPORTATION AND PACKAGING

Mirapol Surf S500 containing the notified polymer will be imported and stored in 205 L metal drums and will be transported by road direct from the dockyard to the customer's warehouse in NSW for storage prior to reformulation into the end use product. It is envisaged that up to six shipments will be made per year. The formulated hard surface cleaner will initially be packaged in 500 mL screw-top plastic bottles but packsize may vary (typically up to 1 L) if the notified polymer is used in other hard surface cleaner products.

## 5.2. Operation description

Hard surface cleaner formulation and supply

During reformulation, the 205 L drums containing < 10% notified polymer will be taken from the

warehouse to the blending room by forklift. The drum opening will be unscrewed and a dip tube will be inserted into the drum and the required amount of the polymer will be added to an enclosed 10,000 L stainless steel blending vessel using a diaphragm pump. The transfer line will be flushed with clean water at the end of the transfer operation.

Once blending has been completed, a sample containing < 1% notified polymer will be removed from a port hole in the blending tank and taken to the laboratory for analysis.

The finished product containing < 1% notified polymer will be pumped to the automated and enclosed filling line. The product will be filled into 500 mL plastic bottles using a multi-head filling machine. The screw caps are inserted onto the bottles within the filling machine. The closed bottles are then transferred to the packing area on a stainless steel conveyor. Workers will transfer the bottles into cardboard cartons. The cartons will be sealed by a packaging machine.

Maintenance workers will only be required during a breakdown or during routine maintenance. Maintenance work will only be conducted after the line has been flushed out.

The finished and packaged end use product will be transported to retail distribution centres. From there it will be transported and stored in the supermarket warehouses. Supermarket workers will open the cartons, remove the plastic bottles and stack them on the supermarket shelves.

## End use

There is potential for the formulated cleaning products (containing no more than 1% notified polymer) to be used occupationally.

Cleaning products are generally applied with a cloth or sponge, by mop or brush or by spray followed by wiping. Toilet bowl cleaners are usually applied directly from the bottle

In some cases, the cleaning product will be diluted with water prior to application. The dilution factor, which is often on the label, depends on the type of surface to be cleaned, the soil loading, and the type and method of application.

## 5.3. Occupational exposure

Number and Category of Workers

Category of Worker	Number	Exposure Duration	Exposure Frequency
Transport to customer warehouse	2	2	6
Production mixer	1	2	40
Filling line operators	5	8	40
QC	2	0.5	40
Maintenance	2	2	5
Transport to supermarket warehouse	10	2	52
Supermarket workers	Approx. 5000	8	300

## Exposure Details

Import, transport and distribution

During transport and storage, workers are unlikely to be exposed to the notified polymer except when packaging is accidentally breached. Transport and warehouse workers will wear overalls and safety boots. In addition, warehouse workers will wear safety glasses and a hardhat.

## Hard surface cleaner formulation and supply

The production mixer will open the drums and connect/disconnect the pumping equipment. This worker will also operate the blending vessel. Dermal and possibly ocular exposure to the notified polymer at a concentration of < 10% could occur from accidental spills or splashes and from contact with contaminated pumping equipment. This worker will wear protective overall and a rubber apron, safety boots, elbow length impervious gloves, safety glasses and a hardhat.

As maintenance work will only be conducted after the line has been flushed out, these workers are not expected to be exposed to the notified polymer.

QC staff may be exposed to the notified polymer at a concentration of < 1% during sampling and analysis. Workers will wear a lab coat, safety boots and safety glasses.

Filling line workers will only be exposed to formulated product where the notified polymer is in a diluted form (< 1% concentration). Since the filling line is automated and the filling machine is enclosed, these workers are only likely to come into contact with accidentally spilt material or from damaged plastic bottles.

Supermarket workers will only be exposed to the notified polymer at a concentration of < 1% in the event of a leak from damaged containers. The likely route of exposure will be dermal.

#### End use

Exposure to no more than 1% of the notified polymer could occur during final application of the cleaning products or during their addition to water if dilution is required. The main route of exposure is expected to be dermal, although ocular exposure to splashes is possible and inhalation of aerosols could occur when using a spray-on pump. The level of exposure will vary depending on the method of application and work practices employed to minimise splashes and spills. Workers will usually wear rubber gloves.

### 5.4. Release

#### RELEASE OF CHEMICAL AT SITE

Reformulation

The notified polymer will be imported as an aqueous solution and will be reformulated locally into a consumer end use product. During reformulation release to the environment could occur as a result of:

- Accidental spills (17.5 kg/year)
- Residues in empty 205 L drums (25 kg/year)
- Cleaning of blending equipment and transfer lines (25 kg/year)
- Damaged end use bottles (25 kg/year)

Empty drums are rinsed and sent to drum recycling, with the rinsate going to the onsite treatment plant. Any spilt material will be collected using a suitable adsorbent material and placed in a container sealed ready for disposal. Residues which cannot be collected will be washed into the onsite treatment plant. The rinsate from cleaning equipment and transfer lines will be sent to the onsite waste water treatment plant. The contents of the damaged end use bottles will be collected and added to subsequent batches.

Overall, it is estimated that up to 50 kg of the notified polymer will be sent to the onsite wastewater treatment plant. Due to the cationic nature of the polymer it is likely to adsorb to dissolved organic matter or suspended solids in the effluent or treatment plants, thus become part of the resultant sludge. Similarly, due to the anionic functionality present, there will be some binding to some metal cations, such as divalent calcium ions, within the waste stream. Thus, in the worst case, it could be assumed that 50% of the waster polymer would be removed within the treatment plant and end up in the sludge, which equates to approximately 25 kg. The sludge would be collected periodically and disposed of to landfill. The remaining 50% of the waste polymer, approximately 25 kg, is expected to be released to sewer.

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM USE

End-use

## Rhodia Australia Pty Ltd

It is anticipated that almost all of the notified polymer will enter the sewer as a result of use. When used as a toilet bowl cleaner, approximately, 0.05 g will be used per application. Based on a water volume of 7.5 L for a toilet bowl, the concentration of the polymer in the toilet bowl, assuming no adsorption to the ceramic walls, will be 6.7 mg/L. This will be diluted further (1:20) with waste water from other sources in the home and then enters the domestic sewer at a concentration of 0.335 mg/L

as a point source release.

Approximately 1% of the volume of the end use product is expected to remain in empty containers and will be disposed of along with the container to landfill in the domestic garbage collection. This equates to up to 30 kg/year of the notified polymer.

#### Reckitt Benckiser (Australia) Pty Ltd

An additional maximum 3 kg/year of the notified polymer will remain in empty containers and will be disposed of along with the container to landfill in the domestic garbage collection. The release to the sewer per application will be similar to that described for the original notifier.

## 5.5. Disposal

Effluent, containing up to 25 kg of the notified chemical, from the on-site treatment plant will be released to sewer. While the resultant sludge, containing up to 25 kg of the notified chemical, will be collected and disposed of to landfill on an as needed basis.

Collected spilt material will be disposed of to landfill. Any damaged product containers will be emptied, leaving up to 1% of residue, will then be disposed of to landfill.

### 5.6. Public exposure

Exposure to the notified polymer at a concentration of < 1% could occur during final application of the cleaning products or during their addition to water if dilution is required. The main route of exposure is expected to be dermal, although ocular exposure to splashes is possible and inhalation of aerosols could occur when using a spray-on pump. The level of exposure will vary depending on the method of application and measures taken to avoid splashes and spills. Toilet bowl cleaners are usually applied directly from the bottle to the internal surface of the toilet bowel, minimizing the possibility of exposure. Typical use information for some applications is provided below (European Commission, 2003a):

Product	Grams of Product/Task	Use Frequency (tasks per week)	Duration of Task
Surface cleaners liquid	30 – 110 (per 5 litre of water)	1-7	10 – 20 min
Surface cleaner spray	5 – 30	1-7	2 – 10 min
Toilet cleaner (liquid)	30	1-2	< 1 min

Since cleaning products are stored and used in a domestic environment, there is the possibility of accidental ingestion by a child.

## 6. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

The notified polymer is not isolated from solution. Limited physicochemical data has been provided for Mirapol Surf S500.

Appearance at 20°C and 101.3 kPa Colourless Liquid

**Boiling Point** 100°C at 101.3 kPa (estimated)

Remarks The boiling point of Mirapol Surf S500 is expected to be similar to that of water.

**Density**  $1120 \text{ kg/m}^3 \text{ at } 20^{\circ}\text{C}$ 

Remarks Data from MSDS. Study report not reviewed.

Vapour Pressure 3.17 kPa at 25°C

Remarks Based on the high molecular weight and structure of the notified polymer, the

> vapour pressure is expected to be low. Aqueous solutions of the notified polymer are expected to have a vapour pressure corresponding to no more than that of

water i.e. 3.17 kPa at 25 °C.

Water Solubility >240 g/L at 20°C

Remarks The water solubility of the notified polymer has not been determined. A 24%

aqueous solution of the notified polymer is clear indicating that the water

solubility of the polymer is at least 240 g/L.

Not determined Hydrolysis as a Function of pH

Remarks The manufacturer specifies a shelf life of 6 months for the aqueous polymer

solution at pH 4-5. Thus, the polymer is stable in water for this period at this pH

range. Furthermore, the polymer is resistant to biodegradation.

**Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water)** Not determined

Remarks The notified polymer is amphoteric and has high water solubility under acidic

conditions. Thus, it is expected to have a low Log Pow.

Adsorption/Desorption Not determined

Remarks The notified polymer is amphoteric and has high water solubility under acidic

> conditions. Thus, it is expected to have a low Log Pow and low affinity for organic matter in soil. However, the polymer is expected to bind strongly to

silicates in soil via ion exchange mechanisms.

**Dissociation Constant** Not determined

OECD TG 112 Dissociation Constants in Water. **METHOD** 

Remarks Although the notified polymer contains functional groups which have the potential

to undergo dissociation, the overall charge (anionic) of the notified polymer is not expected to change under environmental conditions (pH 4-9). The pH of Mirapol

Surf S500 is 4-5.

**Particle Size** Not determined

Remarks Notified polymer is not isolated from solution

Flash Point Not determined

Remarks The notified polymer is imported in aqueous form and therefore is unlikely to be

combustible in this form.

Flammability Limits Not determined

The notified polymer is imported in aqueous form. The notified polymer does not Remarks

react upon contact with water.

**Autoignition Temperature** Not determined

Remarks The notified polymer is imported in aqueous form and therefore is unlikely to self

ignite in this form.

Not determined **Explosive Properties** 

Remarks The notified polymer is imported in aqueous form and therefore is unlikely to be

explosive in this form. There are no chemical groups that would imply explosive

properties.

Reactivity

Remarks The notified polymer is manufactured as an aqueous solution which is stable under

normal conditions for at least 6 months.

### 7. TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

The following toxicological studies were conducted using an aqueous solution of the notified polymer and Mirapol Surf S500.

Endpoint and Result	Assessment Conclusion
Rat, acute oral	low toxicity, LD50 >2000 mg/kg bw
Rabbit, skin irritation	non-irritating
Rabbit, eye irritation	non-irritating
Guinea pig, skin sensitisation – adjuvant test	no evidence of sensitisation
Skin Sensitisation - LLNA	evidence of sensitisation
Genotoxicity – bacterial reverse mutation	non mutagenic

## 7.1. Acute toxicity – oral

TEST SUBSTANCE Aqueous solution of the notified polymer

METHOD OECD TG 423 Acute Oral Toxicity – Acute Toxic Class Method.

Species/Strain Rat/Sprague Dawley Vehicle Purified water

Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations.

The dose-level of the test item was adjusted taking into account the %

concentration of the notified polymer.

## RESULTS

Group	Number and Sex	Dose	Mortality
	of Animals	mg/kg bw	
I	3 females	2000*	0
II	3 females	2000*	0

<sup>\*</sup> notified polymer.

LD50 >2000 mg/kg bw (notified polymer)

Signs of Toxicity Hypoactivity, piloerection and dyspnea were observed in the first three

treated females on day 1; hypoactivity and dyspnea persisted on day 2. In the three other treated females, piloerection and dyspnea were noted on

day 1 only.

The overall bodyweight gain was not affected by treatment.

Effects in Organs Remarks - Results No apparent abnormalities noted at necropsy.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is of low toxicity via the oral route.

TEST FACILITY CIT (2003a)

## 7.2. Irritation – skin

TEST SUBSTANCE Aqueous solution of the notified polymer

METHOD OECD TG 404 Acute Dermal Irritation/Corrosion.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC B.4 Acute Toxicity (Skin Irritation).

Species/Strain Rabbit/New Zealand White

Number of Animals

Vehicle Test substance administered as supplied

Observation Period 72 hours Type of Dressing Semi-occlusive.

Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations

## RESULTS

Lesion		Mean Score* Animal No.		Maximum Value	Maximum Duration of Any Effect	Maximum Value at End of Observation Period
	1	2	3			
Erythema/Eschar	0	0	0	0	N/A	0
Oedema	0	0	0	0	N/A	0

<sup>\*</sup>Calculated on the basis of the scores at 24, 48, and 72 hours for EACH animal.

#### Remarks - Results

CONCLUSION The notified polymer as tested is non-irritating to the skin.

TEST FACILITY CIT (2003b)

## 7.3. Irritation – eye

TEST SUBSTANCE Aqueous solution of the notified polymer

METHOD OECD TG 405 Acute Eye Irritation/Corrosion.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC B.5 Acute Toxicity (Eye Irritation).

Species/Strain Rabbit/New Zealand White

Number of Animals 3

Observation Period 72 hours

Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations.

## RESULTS

Lesion		Mean Score* Animal No.		Maximum Value	Maximum Duration of Any Effect	Maximum Value at End of Observation Period
	1	2	3	ranc	oj iny Ejject	of coscivation i circu
Conjunctiva: redness	0	0	0	0	N/A	0
Conjunctiva: chemosis	0	0	0	0	N/A	0
Conjunctiva: discharge	0	0	0	0	N/A	0
Corneal opacity	0	0	0	0	N/A	0
Iridial inflammation	0	0	0	0	N/A	0

<sup>\*</sup>Calculated on the basis of the scores at 24, 48, and 72 hours for EACH animal.

## Remarks - Results

CONCLUSION The notified polymer as tested is non-irritating to the eye.

TEST FACILITY CIT (2003c)

#### 7.4.1. Skin sensitisation

TEST SUBSTANCE Mirapol Surf S500

METHOD OECD TG 406 Skin Sensitisation – Magnusson and Kligman

EC Directive 96/54/EC B.6 Skin Sensitisation - Magnusson and Kligman

Species/Strain Guinea pig/Hartley Crl: (HA) BR
PRELIMINARY STUDY Maximum Non-irritating Concentration:

intradermal: Irritation observed up to 25% test substance

topical: 100% test substance

MAIN STUDY

Number of Animals Test Group: 20 Control Group: 10

INDUCTION PHASE Induction Concentration:

intradermal: 25% test substance in 0.9% NaCl topical: 100% test substance

Signs of Irritation Marked local reactions (unspecified) at the intradermal injection sites

were noted in a few animals (unspecified) of the treated group between

days 16 and 20.

CHALLENGE PHASE

1<sup>st</sup> challenge topical: 100% test substance

Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations

As the test item was shown to be non-irritating during the preliminary test, the animals of both groups were treated with 0.5 mL of sodium lauryl sulfate at a concentration 10% prior to the topical induction phase.

#### RESULTS

Animal	Challenge Concentration	Number of Animals Showing Skin Reactions after:				
		I <sup>st</sup> challenge		2 <sup>nd</sup> challenge		
		24 h	48 h	24 h	48 h	
Test Group	100% test substance	1/20	1/20	-	-	
Control Group	100% test substance	0/10	0/10			

Remarks - Results In the treated group, a discrete erythema as noted in one animal at the 24-

hour observation and in another at the 48-hour observation.

CONCLUSION There was no evidence of reactions indicative of skin sensitisation to the

test substance under the conditions of the test.

TEST FACILITY CIT (2004a)

## 7.4.2. Skin sensitisation – mouse local lymph node assay (LLNA)

TEST SUBSTANCE Aqueous solution of the notified polymer

METHOD OECD TG 429 Skin Sensitisation: Local Lymph Node Assay

Species/Strain Mouse/CBA/J
Vehicle Methyl ethyl ketone

Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations.

### **RESULTS**

Concentration (% w/w)	Proliferative response (DPM/lymph node)	Stimulation Index (Test/Control Ratio)
Test Substance	(D1 M/tymph houe)	(10st/Control Ratio)
0 (vehicle control)	139.33	-
0.6%*	82.15	0.59
1.2%*	203.14	1.46
2.4%*	175.89	1.26
6%*	789.54	5.67

12%*	757.69	5.44
Positive Control		
A-hexacinnamaldehyde	4026.59	28.90

<sup>\*</sup> Concentration of notified polymer

Remarks - Results

There were no deaths or test substance-related clinical signs or remarkable body weight changes during the study period.

Residual test item was noted in all animals treated with 12% notified polymer on days 2, 3 and 6, in all the animals treated with 6% notified polymer on days 2 and 3 and in 1/4 animals treated with 6% on day 6 and in 2/4 animals treated with 2.4% notified polymer on days 3 and 6.

Less than 5% increase in ear thickness was noted in the animals treated with 0.6, 1.2 or 2.4% notified polymer. At the concentration of 6% a slight increase in ear thickness (14.56%) was noted between day 1 and day 6: however, as this was caused by a single animal in which residual test item was noted, it was not considered biologically relevant. At the concentration of 12%, a slight increase in ear thickness (16.98%) was recorded between day 1 and day 6. As it was associated with alopecia around the ears in all animals, the 12% concentration was considered as slightly irritating.

In the treated groups, a positive lymphoproliferative response (maximal SI=5.67%), which exceeded the threshold value of 3 for the SI, was noted at concentrations  $\geq$ 6%. As the increase in ear thickness noted at the concentration of 6% was not ascribed to an irritant effect of the test item, the lymphoproliferative response observed at this concentration was attributed to delayed contact hypersensitivity.

There was evidence of induction of a lymphocyte proliferative response indicative of skin sensitisation to the notified polymer.

CIT (2004b)

CONCLUSION

TEST FACILITY

## 7.5. Genotoxicity – bacteria

TEST SUBSTANCE

Species/Strain

Main Test

Vehicle

Aqueous solution of the notified polymer

Метнор

OECD TG 471 Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test.

EC Directive 2000/32/EC B.13/14 Mutagenicity – Reverse Mutation Test using Bacteria.

Plate incorporation procedure and preincubation procedure *S. typhimurium*: TA1535, TA1537, TA98, TA100, TA102

Metabolic Activation System
Concentration Range in

S9 fraction from Aroclor 1254 induced rat liver.

a) With metabolic activation:

312.5

a) With metabolic activation: 312.5 -5000 μg/plate
 b) Without metabolic activation: 312.5 -5000 μg/plate

Water

Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations.

The test item was tested in a preliminary test and two mutagenicity experiments. The preliminary test, both experiments without metabolic activation and the first experiment with metabolic activation were performed according to the direct plate incorporation method. The second experiment with metabolic activation was performed according to the preincubation method.

FULL PUBLIC REPORT: EX/84 (LTD/1200)

The dose-level of the test item was adjusted taking into account the % concentration of the notified polymer.

#### **RESULTS**

Metabolic	Test l	on (μg/plate)* Resulti	ing in:	
Activation	Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test	Cytotoxicity in Main Test	Precipitation	Genotoxic Effect
Absent	>5000			
Test 1		>5000	>5000	negative
Test 2		>5000	>5000	negative
Present	>5000			
Test 1		>5000	>5000	negative
Test 2		>5000	>5000	negative

\* Concentration of notified polymer

Remarks - Results

No toxicity or precipitation was observed. The test substance did not cause a marked increase in the number of revertants per plate of any of the tester strains either in the presence or absence of metabolic activation. Positive controls confirmed the sensitivity of the test system.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer was not mutagenic to bacteria under the conditions

of the test.

TEST FACILITY CIT (2004c)

#### 8. ENVIRONMENT

## 8.1. Environmental fate

## 8.1.1. Ready biodegradability

TEST SUBSTANCE Aqueous solution of the notified polymer

METHOD OECD TG 301 B Ready Biodegradability: CO2 Evolution Test (modified

Sturm)

Inoculum Aerated sludge from a treatment plant that treats predominantly domestic

effluent

Exposure Period 28 days Auxiliary Solvent None

Analytical Monitoring Barium hydroxide and then titration with 1M hydrochloric acid

Remarks - Method Reference substance – sodium acetate

Treatments:

- inoculum (12 mg/L SS) only 2 flasks
- test substance (at 10 mg/L TOC) and inoculum (12 mg/L SS) -2 flasks
- reference substance (at 10 mg/L TOC) and inoculum (12 mg/L SS) 1 flask
- Toxicity control: test substance (at 10 mg/L TOC), reference substance (at 10 mg/L TOC) and inoculum (12 mg/L SS) 1 flask

Temperature was maintained between 20 and 24°C. Aeration was at 30-10 mL/min. Dissolved oxygen and pH were measured daily.

RESULTS

Tes	Test substance		Sodium Acetate		Toxicity control	
Day	% Degradation	Day	% Degradation	Day	% Degradation	
3	0	3	17.23	3	9.57	
5	0	5	36.42	5	20.31	
7	0	7	50.35	7	27.43	
10	0	10	63.04	10	31.52	
14	0	14	68.24	14	33.42	
17	0	17	72.53	17	33.42	
21	0	21	74.98	21	33.42	
25	0	25	76.08	25	33.42	
28	0	28	78.03	28	33.42	

Remarks - Results

By day 14, the reference substance reached 68% degradation and the toxicity control had reached 33 %, thereby satisfying the greater than 60% and greater than 25% validity criteria. Throughout, the test substance duplicates did not differ from each other. All the study conditions were validated by the satisfaction of all criteria.

CONCLUSION

Under the conditions of the study, the test substance was not readily biodegradable.

TEST FACILITY

CIT (2003d)

#### 8.1.2. Bioaccumulation

No studies undertaken.

## 8.2. Ecotoxicological investigations

## 8.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish

TEST SUBSTANCE Aqueous solution of the notified polymer

METHOD OECD TG 203 Fish, Acute Toxicity Test – semi-static test.

Species Zebra fish (Danio rerio)

Exposure Period 96 hours Auxiliary Solvent None

Water Hardness 150± 20 mg CaCO<sub>3</sub>/L

Analytical Monitoring None

Remarks – Method Solutions were changed after 24, 48 and 72 hours. During the study, fish

were not fed and there was no aeration (unless required). Environmental conditions (including a light/dark cycle, temperature, pH and dissolved oxygen) were measured at the start and end and every 24 hours and were

maintained at desired levels.

The dose-level of the test item was adjusted taking into account the % concentration of the notified polymer.

RESULTS

Concentration mg/L*		Number of Fish		Mortality			
Nominal	Actual		2 h	24 h	48 h	72 h	96 h
0		7	0	0	0	0	0
0.01		5	0	0	0	0	0
0.1		5	0	0	0	0	0
1		5	0	0	0	0	0
10		5	0	0	0	0	0
100		7	0	0	0	0	0

## \*concentration of notified polymer

LC50 >100 mg/L at 96 hours. NOEC (or LOEC) 100 mg/L at 96 hours.

Remarks – Results No mortality or abnormal behaviour were observed. LC<sub>50</sub> calculated via

geometric mean.

All validity criteria were met.

CONCLUSION Under the study conditions, the notified polymer was not toxic to fish.

TEST FACILITY CIT (2003e)

## 8.2.2. Acute/chronic toxicity to aquatic invertebrates

TEST SUBSTANCE Aqueous solution of the notified polymer

METHOD OECD TG 202 Daphnia sp. Acute Immobilisation Test – static test.

Species Daphnia magna
Exposure Period 48 hours

Auxiliary Solvent None

Water Hardness 255 mg CaCO<sub>3</sub>/L

Analytical Monitoring None

Remarks - Method During the study, daphnid were not fed and there was no aeration (unless

required). Environmental conditions (including a light/dark cycle, temperature, pH and dissolved oxygen) were measured at the start and

end and were maintained at desired levels. The test solution was clear and colourless.

The dose-level of the test item was adjusted taking into account the %

concentration of the notified polymer.

## RESULTS

Concentration mg/L*		ncentration mg/L* Number of D. magna		Number Immobilised	
Nominal	Actual		24 h	48 h	
0	-	20	0	0	
0.01	-	20	0	0	
0.1	-	20	0	0	
1	-	20	0	0	
10	-	20	0	0	
100	-	20	0	0	

<sup>\*</sup>concentration of notified polymer

LC50 > 100 mg/L at 48 hours NOEC (or LOEC) 100 mg/L at 48 hours

calculated via geometric mean. All validity criteria were met.

CONCLUSION Under the study conditions, the notified polymer was not toxic to

daphnid.

TEST FACILITY CIT (2003f)

## 8.2.3. Algal growth inhibition test

TEST SUBSTANCE Aqueous solution of the notified polymer

METHOD OECD TG 201 Alga, Growth Inhibition Test.

Species Scenedesmus subspicatus

Exposure Period 72 hours

Concentration Range Nominal: 0.01, 0.1, 1.0 and 10 mg/L

None

Actual: Not determined

Auxiliary Solvent None

Water Hardness 34±07 mg CaCO<sub>3</sub>/L

Analytical Monitoring

Remarks - Method Test solutions were agitated throughout the study. Cell growth was

recorded every 24 hours.

The dose-level of the test item was adjusted taking into account the %

concentration of the notified polymer.

#### RESULTS

Bioma	USS	Grow	vth
$\mathrm{E_{b}C_{50}}$	NOEC	$E_rC_{50}$	NOEC
mg/L at 72 h	mg/L	Mg/L at 72 h	mg/L
>100*	100*	>100*	100*

<sup>\*</sup>concentration of notified polymer

Remarks - Results

CONCLUSION Under the conditions of the study, the notified polymer is not toxic to

algae.

TEST FACILITY CIT (2003g)

#### 9. RISK ASSESSMENT

#### 9.1. Environment

## 9.1.1. Environment – exposure assessment

The notified polymer is highly water soluble. It is expected to bind strongly to silicates in soil, metal ions and negatively charged particles in wastewater. Once bound, the polymer is expected to flocculate and settle. The polymer may degrade slowly under abiotic conditions.

## 9.1.2. Environment – effects assessment

The notified polymer meets the PLC criteria. Furthermore, the results of toxicity studies in fish, daphnia and algae demonstrate that the polymer is of low aquatic hazard. Using the  $EC_{50} > 100$  mg/L the predicted no effect concentration (PNEC) can be estimated using a safety factor of 100, since there are data for three trophic levels, to be >1 mg/L.

The polymer was also tested for biodegradation potential and found not to degrade under biotic conditions to any extent over a 28-day period under the conditions of the test.

Given that the notified polymer has a high molecular weight it is unlikely to cross biological membranes and bioconcentrate within aquatic organisms.

## 9.1.3. Environment – risk characterisation

Liquid effluent generated on the formulation site will go to an onsite treatment plant with effluent going to sewer and sludge going to landfill. As indicated previously up to 50% of the waste notified polymer will be removed, thus the following PEC due to reformulation can be calculated:

Amount of polymer sent to onsite treatment plant 50 kg/y
Daily polymer concentration in inffluent 0.137 kg/day

Daily polymer concentration after 50% removal	0.069 kg/day
Daily flow rate through treatment plant	80000 L/day
Average concentration in treatment plant effluent	0.86 mg/L
Average inflow to North Head STP	336 ML/day
PEC <sub>STP</sub>	0.002 ng/L
PECocean	0.0002 ng/L

The notified polymer is highly water soluble. The notified polymer is expected to bind strongly to silicates in soil, metal ions and negatively charged particles in wastewater. Once bound, the polymer is expected to flocculate and settle.

For nation-wide use of the end product, a worst case scenario is where all of the imported notified polymer will be released to sewer. Therefore, a PEC can be estimated as follows, on the assumption that there is no removal in the STP.

Amount of polymer released	3000 kg/yr
Population of Australia	20 million
Amount of water used per person per day	200 L
Number of days used	365
$PEC_{STP}$	<u>3000 000 000</u>
	365X200X20 000 000
	$= 2 \mu g/L$
PECocean	0.2 µg/L

However, there is likely to be at least 50% removed in the STP, which means the PEC<sub>STP</sub> and PEC<sub>ocean</sub> will be 1  $\mu$ g/L and 0.1  $\mu$ g/L, respectively.

Using the above PNEC estimation (>1 mg/L) and the estimated worst case PEC (0.002 mg/L), the risk quotient (RQ = PEC/PNEC) is <0.002. With Q significantly less than 1 and based on the proposed use pattern of the notified polymer, the amount being imported and the nationwide use of the products and subsequent diffuse release, it is not expected to pose risk to the health of aquatic life.

After the additional import volume of the notified polymer by the extension applicant, the Q value still will be less than 1, therefore, it is not expected to pose risk to health of aquatic life.

#### 9.2. Human health

## 9.2.1. Occupational health and safety – exposure assessment

Hard surface cleaner formulation and supply

Only transport and storage workers and the production mixer have the potential for exposure to the notified polymer as introduced (concentration < 10%).

Transport and storage worker exposure to the notified polymer is expected to be negligible except in the case of an accidental spill.

Although the production mixer may be exposed to the notified polymer from accidental spills and from contact with contaminated pumping equipment, exposure is expected to be low due to the low concentration and the use of PPE.

Following formulation of the hard surface cleaner, filling line operators, QC staff, transport and storage workers, and supermarket workers have the potential to be exposed to the notified polymer (concentration < 1%)

Transport and storage worker, filling line workers and supermarket workers are not expected to be exposed to the notified polymer except in the event of an accident.

Exposure to the notified polymer by QC chemists is expected to be low due to low concentration

of the notified polymer, the small samples involved and the limited exposure time. Exposure would be limited by the use of PPE.

End use

Workers may be exposed to no more than 1% of the notified polymer during final application of the formulated cleaning products or during their addition to water if dilution is required. Although the level of exposure will vary depending on the method of application and work practices employed, exposure is considered to be low due to the low concentration of the notified polymer.

## 9.2.2. Public health – exposure assessment

Hard surface cleaners containing the notified polymer will be sold to the public and as such widespread public exposure is expected. Although the level of exposure will vary depending on the method of application and measures taken to avoid splashes and spills, public exposure is considered to be low due to the low concentration of the notified polymer.

Since these products will be stored and used in a domestic environment, there is also the possibility for children to be exposed to the notified polymer by accidental ingestion.

### 9.2.3. Human health – effects assessment

Acute toxicity.

The notified polymer was of low acute toxicity in rats.

Irritation and Sensitisation.

An aqueous solution of the notified polymer was non-irritating to eyes and skin. Although the irritation potential of the notified polymer itself cannot be ascertained from this study, the notified polymer is not introduced to Australia in this form. The concentration of the notified polymer as introduced is an order of magnitude less than the concentration in the aqueous solution which was tested in the skin and eye irritation studies and therefore the notified polymer as introduced is also considered to be non-irritating to skin and eyes.

In a mouse local lymph node assay (LLNA), an aqueous solution of the notified polymer induced delayed contact hypersensitivity and as such the notified polymer is considered to be a potential skin sensitiser. The EC<sub>3</sub> value for the notified polymer is equal to approximately 4%. However, Mirapol Surf S500 was negative in a skin sensitisation adjuvant test in guinea pigs, and therefore the notified polymer as introduced is unlikely to induce a skin sensitisation reaction.

Mutagenicity.

The notified polymer was negative in an Ames bacterial reverse mutation test.

Hazard classification for health effects.

Based on the results of the LLNA sensitisation assay, the notified polymer is classified as a hazardous substance in accordance with the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC 2004).

The notified polymer is only introduced into Australia in Mirapol Surf S500. Based on the results of a skin sensitisation adjuvant test, Mirapol Surf S500 is not classified as a hazardous substance in accordance with the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC, 2004).

## 9.2.4. Occupational health and safety – risk characterisation

The risks to workers who have the potential to come into contact with the notified polymer is considered to be low due to the limited exposure predicted and the expected low toxicity of the notified polymer as introduced. However, as the notified polymer itself is classified as a skin sensitiser, as a precaution workers handling the notified polymer prior to formulation should wear impervious gloves and avoid skin contact.

#### 9.2.5. Public health – risk characterisation

The risk to public health is considered to be low due to the expected low toxicity of the notified polymer at the concentrations supplied.

## 10. CONCLUSIONS – ASSESSMENT LEVEL OF CONCERN FOR THE ENVIRONMENT AND HUMANS

### 10.1. Hazard classification

Based on the available data, the notified polymer is classified as a hazardous substance in accordance with the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC 2004). The classification and labelling details are:

R43 May cause sensitisation by skin contact

The notified polymer is only introduced into Australia in a mixture. Based on the available data, this mixture is not classified as a hazardous substance in accordance with the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC, 2004).

and

As a comparison only, the classification of notified polymer using the Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS) (United Nations 2003) is presented below. This system is not mandated in Australia and carries no legal status but is presented for information purposes.

	Hazard category	Hazard statement	
Skin sensitiser	1	May cause allergic skin reaction	

## 10.2. Environmental risk assessment

On the basis of the PEC/PNEC ratio, the chemical is not considered to pose a risk to the environment based on its reported use pattern.

#### 10.3. Human health risk assessment

## 10.3.1. Occupational health and safety

There is Low Concern to occupational health and safety under the conditions of the occupational settings described.

#### 10.3.2. Public health

There is No Significant Concern to public health when used in the proposed manner.

### 11. MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

## 11.1. Material Safety Data Sheet

The MSDSs of Mirapol Surf S500 provided by the notifier and by the extension applicant were in accordance with the NOHSC *National Code of Practice for the Preparation of Material Safety Data Sheets* (NOHSC 2003). It is published here as a matter of public record. The accuracy of the information on the MSDS remains the responsibility of the applicant.

#### 11.2. Label

The labels for Mirapol Surf S500 provided by the notifier and by the extension applicant were in accordance with the NOHSC *National Code of Practice for the Labelling of Workplace Substances* (NOHSC 1994). The accuracy of the information on the label remains the

responsibility of the applicant.

#### 12. RECOMMENDATIONS

REGULATORY CONTROLS
Hazard Classification and Labelling

- The ASCC Chemicals Standards Sub-committee should consider the following health hazard classification for the notified polymer:
  - R43 May cause sensitisation by skin contact
  - S24 Avoid contact with skin
  - S37 Wear suitable gloves
- Use the following risk phrases for products/mixtures containing the notified polymer (unless the product/mixture has been tested for its sensitisation potential as a whole):
  - Conc >1%: R43 May cause sensitisation by skin contact

## CONTROL MEASURES Occupational Health and Safety

- Employers should implement the following safe work practices to minimise occupational exposure during handling of the notified polymer as introduced:
  - Avoid contact with skin
- Employers should ensure that the following personal protective equipment is used by workers to minimise occupational exposure to the notified polymer as introduced:
  - Impervious gloves

Guidance in selection of personal protective equipment can be obtained from Australian, Australian/New Zealand or other approved standards.

- A copy of the MSDS should be easily accessible to employees.
- If products and mixtures containing the notified polymer are classified as hazardous to health in accordance with the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances*, workplace practices and control procedures consistent with provisions of State and Territory hazardous substances legislation must be in operation.

## Environment

- The following control measures should be implemented by the reformulator to minimise environmental exposure during formulation of the notified polymer:
  - All process areas should be bunded with drains going to the on-site treatment plant.

### Disposal

• The notified polymer should be disposed of by landfill.

## Emergency procedures

• Spills/release of the notified polymer should be handled by containment with absorbent material and then placed in a sealable labelled container and disposed of to landfill.

## 12.1. Secondary notification

The Director of Chemicals Notification and Assessment must be notified in writing within 28

days by the notifier, other importer or manufacturer:

- (1) Under Section 64(1) of the Act; if
  - the notified polymer is introduced into Australia in products other than Mirapol Surf S500

or

- (2) Under Section 64(2) of the Act:
  - if any of the circumstances listed in the subsection arise.

The Director will then decide whether secondary notification is required.

#### 13. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- CIT (2003a) Acute Oral Toxicity in Rats "Acute Toxic Class Method" (Laboratory Study No. 26316 TAR, 21 November 2003) Evreux, France, CIT Safety and Health Research Laboratories. Sponsor: Rhodia HPCII, France (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- CIT (2003b) Acute Dermal Irritation in Rabbits (Laboratory Study No. 26314 TAL, 14 November 2003) Evreux, France, CIT Safety and Health Research Laboratories. Sponsor: Rhodia HPCII, France (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- CIT (2003c) Acute Eye Irritation in Rabbits (Laboratory Study No. 26315 TAL, 02 December 2003) Evreux, France, CIT Safety and Health Research Laboratories. Sponsor: Rhodia HPCII, France (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- CIT (2003d) Ready Biodegradability CO<sub>2</sub> Evolution Test (Laboratory Study Number 26424ECS, 1 December 2003). Evreux, France, CIT Safety and Health Research Laboratories. Sponsor: Rhodia HPCII, France (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- CIT (2003e) Acute Toxicity in *Danio Rerio* under semi-static conditions. Laboratory Study Number 26423EAP. Evreux, France, CIT Safety and Health Research Laboratories. Sponsor: Rhodia HPCII, France (unpublished report submitted by notifier).)
- CIT (2003f) Acute Toxicity in *Daphnia magna* under static conditions. Laboratory Study Number 26422EAD. Evreux, France, CIT Safety and Health Research Laboratories. Sponsor: Rhodia HPCII, France (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- CIT (2003g) Algal Growth Inhibition (Laboratory Study Number 26421EAA,. Evreux, France, CIT Safety and Health Research Laboratories. Sponsor: Rhodia HPCII, France (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- CIT (2004a) Skin Sensitisation test in Guinea Pigs (Laboratory Study No. 27199 TSG, 24 March 2004) Evreux, France, CIT Safety and Health Research Laboratories. Sponsor: Rhodia HPCII, France (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- CIT (2004b) Evaluation of skin sensitisation potential in mice using the local lymph node assay (LLNA) (Laboratory Study No. 26317 TSS, 25 March 2004) Evreux, France, CIT Safety and Health Research Laboratories. Sponsor: Rhodia HPCII, France (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- CIT (2004c) Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test (Laboratory Study No. 26265 MMO, 13 February 2004) Evreux, France, CIT Safety and Health Research Laboratories. Sponsor: Rhodia HPCII, France (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- NOHSC (1994) National Code of Practice for the Labelling of Workplace Substances [NOHSC:2012(1994)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.
- NOHSC (2004) Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances [NOHSC:1008(2004)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.
- NOHSC (2003) National Code of Practice for the Preparation of Material Safety Data Sheets, 2nd edn [NOHSC:2011(2003)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.

United Nations (2003) Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS). United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UN/ECE), New York and Geneva.