File No: SN/9

1 May 2020

### NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS NOTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT SCHEME

### **FULL PUBLIC REPORT**

#### ChEster 306

This Assessment has been compiled in accordance with the provisions of the *Industrial Chemicals* (Notification and Assessment) Act 1989 (the Act) and Regulations. This legislation is an Act of the Commonwealth of Australia. The National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) is administered by the National Occupational Health and Safety Commission which also conducts the occupational health & safety assessment. The assessment of environmental hazard is conducted by the Department of the Environment and the assessment of public health is conducted by the Department of Health and Aged Care.

For the purposes of subsection 78(1) of the Act, copies of this full public report may be inspected by the public at the Library, National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, 92-94 Parramatta Road, Camperdown NSW 2050, between the following hours:

 Monday - Wednesday
 8.30 am - 5.00 pm

 Thursday
 8.30 am - 8.00 pm

 Friday
 8.30 am - 5.00 pm

Copies of this full public report may also be requested, free of charge, by contacting the Administration Coordinator on the fax number below.

For enquiries please contact the Administration Coordinator at:

Street Address: 92 -94 Parramatta Rd CAMPERDOWN NSW 2050, AUSTRALIA

Postal Address: GPO Box 58, SYDNEY NSW 2001, AUSTRALIA

Telephone: (61) (02) 9577 9514 FAX (61) (02) 9577 9465

Director Chemicals Notification and Assessment

## **FULL PUBLIC REPORT**

#### ChEster 306

#### 1. APPLICANTS AND DETAILS OF SECONDARY NOTIFICATION

Assessment of ChEster 306 was carried out under the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act 1989* (the IC(NA) Act), as NA/728, with the Summary Report of the assessment published in the *Chemical Gazette* of 6 June 2000. Under Section 64(1)(a) of the IC(NA) Act, the Assessment Report required the secondary notification of ChEster 306 upon the availability of specified environmental information.

Chevron Chemical Australia of 385 Bourke Street MELBOURNE VIC 3000 (ARBN 001 010 037) and Baker Hughes Inteq of 5 Stoneham Street BELMONT WA 6104 (ACN 004 752 050) have complied with this requirement.

In accordance with Section 65 of the IC(NA) Act, a notice requiring the secondary notification of ChEster 306 was published in the *Chemical Gazette*. The notice of 5 September 2000 stipulated the following data were required to undertake further assessment of ChEster 306:

- 1. Environmental Hazard Characterisation.
- 2. Material Safety Data Sheet.
- 3. Ecotoxicity: Aquatic Toxicity early life stage study for fathead minnow (freshwater); and chronic toxicity study for *Daphnia magna* (freshwater) and a sediment toxicity study of the marine sediment reworker, *Corophium volutator*.

Biodegradation – assessment of biodegradability in seawater.

This report, SN/9 represents the revised environmental assessment for ChEster 306. New studies submitted by the applicants and considered in this secondary notification assessment are located in this report at Sections:

## **BIODEGRADATION:**

FRESHWATER,	8.2.3	Petrofree, Finagreen (Geotech 1999).
Anaerobic Conditions		
MARINE	8.2.4	ChEster 304 (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1999a)
	8.2.4	Petrofree (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1999b).
BIOACCUMULATION:	8.2.6	EXP-89 (KM Lab 1998)
ECOTOXICITY:		
FRESHWATER SPECIES	10.1.2	Crustacea, Indigenous Species - Nigeria (Technology
		Partners International Laboratories Ltd 1999)
	10.1.4.b	Alga, Growth Inhibition (Wildlife International Ltd 1999b)
	10.2.1	Fish, Early Life Stage Test (Wildlife International Ltd 1999d)
	10.2.2	Cladoceran Life Cycle (21-day renewal) Chronic Toxicity
		Test (Wildlife International Ltd 1999c)
MARINE SPECIES	10.5.1	96 Hour Static Acute Toxicity (Environmental Enterprises
		USA Inc 1998)
	10.5.2.a	Acute Toxicity to Marine Copepods (Fawley Aquatic
		Research Laboratories Ltd 1999a)
	10.5.2.b	Acute Toxicity to Marine Copepods (Stillmeadow Inc 1998b)
	10.5.3.a	10-day Static Sediment Toxicity Test to Marine Amphipods
		(Fawley Aquatic Research Laboratories Ltd 1999b)
	10.5.3.b	10-day Static Sediment Toxicity Test to Marine Amphipods
		(Stillmeadow Inc 1998a)
	10.5.4.a	Algal Growth Inhibition Test (Fawley Aquatic Research
		Laboratories Ltd 1999c)
	10.5.4.b	Algal Growth Inhibition Test (Stillmeadow Inc 1998c)
FIELD MONITORING	10.6	Environmental Effects of a Discharge of Drill Cuttings
PROGRAM		Contaminated with Ester-Based Drilling Muds in the North
		Sea (Daan R et al 1996)

#### 2. IDENTITY OF THE CHEMICAL

The chemical name, CAS number, and molecular and structural formulae have been exempted from publication in the Full Public Report and the Summary Report.

Marketing Name: ChEster 306

Molecular Weight: 298

**Method** of **Detection** Infra Red (IR) analysis;

and Determination: High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC).

Spectral Data: Spectral data (<sup>13</sup>C NMR, UV, GC-MS and IR spectra)

for the close chemical cogeners, secondary dodecyl propanoates (SDP) and secondary octadecyl

propanoates (SOP) were provided;

The spectra on SDP and SOP serve to identify the principal functionalities of the notified chemical,

ChEster 306.

#### 3. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

The notifier indicated that the available physico-chemical data on ChEster 306 were generated from in-house investigations. In support of claims for Variation of Schedule Requirements, test reports on the physicochemical properties of two close cogeners namely, SDP (C<sub>12</sub>) and SOP (C<sub>18</sub>) have been provided. The cogeners lie on either side of the notified chemical in respect of the molecular weight. The tests on the cogeners were conducted in facilities that complied with OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice, and based on methods that complied with OECD test guidelines and or EC Directive 92/69/EEC, (OECD 1995-1996; European Commission 1992) unless otherwise indicated.

The data on SDP and SOP are accepted for this assessment as valid representation of the physicochemical properties for ChEster 306, and adequate for the assessment of environmental fate and potential hazard. The data accepted for discussion in this assessment are indicated by an asterisk (\*) in the following table.

The data on SDP and SOP are also presented in support of the application for an assessment certificate for ChEster 306, which is assessed as NA/729 and consequently, SN/9.

The following physicochemical properties are those of ChEster 306, SDP and SOP.

	ChEster 306	SDP	SOP				
Appearance at 20°C & 101.3 kPa:	clear, li	ght yellow to brown	liquid				
<b>Boiling Point:</b>	> 330°C (see comments below)	> 240°C* (see comments below)	Not determined				
Freezing Point:	<-47°C	<-20°C	Not determined				
Density:	0.86 g/mL at 15.6°C	0.852 g/mL at 20°C	Not determined				
Vapour Pressure at 25°C:	<1.33 x 10 <sup>-5</sup> kPa	6.3 x 10 <sup>-5</sup> kPa*	Not determined				
Vapour Density (Air = 1):		Not determined					
Kinematic Viscosity at 40°C:	$4.94 \times 10^{-6} \text{m}^2/\text{sec}^*$	Not det	ermined				
Water Solubility at 20°C:	Not determined	$32 \pm 6 \mu g/L*$	Not determined				
Henry's Law Constant:	530 Pa.m³/mole* (see comments below)						
Partition Co-efficient (n-octanol/water) log Pow:	Not determined	>(	5.2*				
Adsorption/Desorption:	Log Koc	>4.8 (see comments	s below)				
Flash Point:	Not determined	131°C	Not determined				
Pour Point:	-47°C	Not det	ermined				
Autoignition Temperature:	Not determined 226°C 358°C						
<b>Explosive Properties:</b>	Not known to be explosive						
Reactivity/Stability:	Expected to be stable						

### **Comments on Physico-Chemical Properties**

Physico-chemical properties of SDP and SOP may differ slightly from those of ChEster 306, however, the differences are unlikely to be great.

SDP was found to decompose above 240°C, by differential scanning calorimetry – even under nitrogen atmosphere. Consequently, the boiling point was found experimentally to be greater than 240°C under atmospheric pressure (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1999c).

The vapour pressure of SDP was determined using a vapour pressure balance based on the iseniscope method (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1999e) whereby a linear relationship is obtained from a plot of the logarithm of the equilibrium vapour pressure versus reciprocal temperature. This linear relation was determined on three separate samples of the material, and one typical result was —

$$Log_{10}$$
 [vapour pressure (Pa)] = -3045/ Temperature (K) + 9.06

The mean vapour pressure at 25°C from three such linear determinations gave the vapour pressure  $6.3 \times 10^{-2}$  Pa. ChEster 306 could be expected to be slightly less volatile.

Water solubility was determined for SDP at  $20^{\circ}$ C using the flask method (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1999c). The test was performed in triplicate, by stirring weighed aliquots of SDP into water at  $30^{\circ}$ C, and allowing to stand for at least 24 hours at  $20^{\circ}$ C. The aqueous phase was then separated by centrifugation, and the quantity of dissolved compound determined using gas chromatography (GC). For all three replicates, the water solubility was less than  $6.77 \times 10^{-5}$  g/L.

Another study on SDP (Wildlife International Ltd 1999a) gives water solubility at 32  $\pm$  6  $\mu g/L$  at 20°C. Because of the lower level of detection achievable with GC-mass spectroscopy, the water solubility determined from this method is the preferred value for assessment purposes. The water solubility of ChEster 306 is expected to be less than 32  $\pm$  6  $\mu g/L$ , as it contains two more methylene groups than SDP.

The Henry's Law Constant is a measure of the degree of partitioning of a compound between the aqueous phase and the atmosphere, and is calculated according to the relation –

```
H = Vapour pressure (Pa) x Molecular weight (g/mole)/ Water solubility (g/m).
```

Taking the water solubility as  $32 \mu g/L$ , the vapour pressure as  $6.3 \times 10^{-2}$  Pa and molecular weight of 270 g/mole, an estimate for H is  $530 \text{ Pa.m}^3/\text{mole}$  at  $25^{\circ}\text{C}$ . As ChEster 306 is expected to be less soluble in water, the Henry's Law Constant for ChEster 306 is expected to be greater than  $530 \text{ Pa.m}^3$ . ChEster 306 is appreciably volatile, and the Henry's Law Constant estimate indicates that it would partition from the water phase to the atmosphere.

The ester bond of ChEster 306 may be susceptible to hydrolysis under extreme pH, but not in the usual environmental pH region 4 to 9. The hydrophobic nature of the molecule is also likely to hinder the close approach of water molecules to the susceptible ester linkages, further reducing the potential for hydrolytic cleavage.

The n-octanol/water partition coefficient was determined for SDP and SOP by the HPLC method (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1999c) Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1998w)

where the retention time of the chemical on a C<sub>8</sub> column was compared with that of six reference compounds of known Log Pow. The reference compounds included benzene with the lowest Log Pow of 2.1 and DDT with the highest Log Pow of 6.2. The retention time of both SDP and SOP exceeded that of DDT. Therefore, the Log Pow for ChEster 306 is also expected to exceed 6.2.

The bioaccumulation potential as determined by measuring the n-octanol/water partition coefficient by HPLC has been investigated for EXP 89, a drilling mud containing ChEster 304 and other components (not identified). (KM Lab 1998). The test method was based on the retention times of the EXP 89 components on a C<sub>18</sub> column. It was found that 60% of the material had a Log Pow of 5.7, while other components had higher or lower values. The weighted average Log Pow for EXP 89 was 5.6. The results of this test indicate that the Log Pow for ChEster 304 is around 5.7.

No quantitative estimates for adsorption/desorption were provided, but the high values for Log Pow indicate correspondingly large values for Log Koc. Lyman, 1982 gives a number of relations for estimation of Log Koc from values of Log Pow, all of which (as expected) give large values for this parameter. As an example, using a value for Log Pow of 6.2, their equation 4-8

$$Log Koc = 0.544 \times Log Pow + 1.377,$$

results in a Log Koc of 4.8. Values of Log Koc in excess of 3 indicate high affinity for the organic component of soils and sediments, and low mobility in these media.

The flash point of SDP was determined using the closed cup equilibrium method (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1999d). The autoignition temperature for SDP and SOP was determined by heating an aliquot of the test substance in a flask and observing for any ignition (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1999d), Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1998v). ChEster 306 is not classified as a Dangerous Good for transport by road or rail but is identified in the Material Safety Data Sheet as a combustible liquid.

ChEster 306 contains no acidic or basic functionalities and dissociation constant data are not relevant.

The measured kinematic viscosity of ChEster 306 meets the criteria of aspiration hazard defined in the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC 1999).

#### 4. PURITY OF THE CHEMICAL

**Degree of Purity:** 100%

Toxic or Hazardous

**Impurities:** None

Non-hazardous Impurities

(> 1% by weight): None

Additives/Adjuvants: None

## 5. USE, VOLUME AND FORMULATION

#### Use

ChEster 306 has been identified for use as a base fluid for invert drilling mud on offshore oil and natural gas drilling operations.

### **Volume and Transport**

ChEster 306 will not be manufactured in Australia, but will be imported by ship in 200 L drums or 8 000 L marine isotanks. Where drums are used, they are loaded into a container (78 drums per container) prior to shipment. Over the next five years the anticipated import volume of ChEster 306 is up to 1 000 tonnes per annum. An annual import of 1 000 tonnes, (and with a specific gravity of 0.85 g/cm) equates to 1 160 000 L of ChEster 306 and would require the importation of 5 800 drums, or 145 marine isotanks per annum. The notifier indicated that imports may exceed 1 000 tonnes per annum, but could not make predictions as to possible volumes.

The quantity of drilling mud used in drilling the wells depends on drill well location. The notifier indicated that a typical oil/gas drilling platform may use 150 tonnes of ChEster 306 annually although the drilling depth and number of holes drilled are difficult to predict.

From the initial port of arrival the drums or isotanks containing ChEster 306 are delivered by truck to a storage and drilling mud blending facility. The prepared drilling mud is transported by tanker truck to docks, pumped into storage tanks on ships, then transported to the offshore platform. Up to 300 m³ of drilling mud may be transported to the platform. The transfer of the mud from the ship to storage tanks on the platform is effected using special hoses and couplings.

#### **Formulation**

The drilling mud will be prepared at purpose built facilities (at Dampier in WA). ChEster 306 will be blended at 33-50% with water, emulsifiers, fluid loss additives, viscosity modifiers and barium sulphate<sup>1</sup> in high shear mixers and pumped to an onsite storage tank. While no details were provided in the submission, it is understood that the facilities at which drilling mud is prepared are provided with adequate bunds to contain spills. All spilt material would be disposed of by incineration or by other accepted methods.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The barium sulphate is used as a weighing agent to increase the density of the drilling fluid and control formation pressures in the wellbore during drilling operations.

## **Drilling Operations**

During drilling operations, the mud is pumped down the drill shaft. It functions as a lubricant for the drills and a carrier fluid for removing the solid cuttings (that is, the rock removed from the bore hole). Drilling mud is pumped down the centre of the (hollow) drilling rods and is extruded through holes in the cutting head, which is of larger bore than the shaft of drilling rods. The mud then fills the annular region between the bore hole (typically 31.1 cm in diameter (Cobby 1999) and the drilling shaft, and as it is pushed back towards the surface carries the drill cuttings with it. The bore hole is cased and fitted with valves and plumbing on the drilling platform, the solid cuttings are separated from the fluid mud through a series of shaker and solids separation units. The cuttings are automatically discharged overboard through a pipe set a little below the sea surface, but far above the sea floor.

While most of the drilling mud is recovered in this manner, it is inevitable that some will remain adsorbed on the surface of the cuttings and may be entrained between the particles of solid waste, and will be discarded overboard with these cuttings. All drilling fluid, other than that adsorbed to the drill cuttings, is recovered and recirculated through the drill string on a continuous basis. No whole drilling fluid is discharged overboard. At the end of the drilling phase all the drilling fluid is recovered and returned to shore for storage until required on another well. It is important to note that stringent procedures are used to ensure that there is no loss of whole drilling fluid to the environment at any stage of the drilling and transport operations. Drilling fluids adhering to the disposed cuttings may constitute up to 10% by weight of cuttings.

#### 6. OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE

## **Number and Category of Workers**

Import and Transportation: unknown;
Drill mud preparation 2 to 3 workers;

Drilling crew: approximately 20 workers per offshore platform.

#### **Nature of Work Done**

Dockside and Transport

Occupational exposure is not expected except in the event of a spill.

### **Drilling Mud Preparation**

Using automated systems, ChEster 306 is blended with other components to produce the drilling mud as described in Section 5. Preparation of the mud takes about one to two hours depending on batch size. During preparation, potential for dermal and ocular contact to ChEster 306 at 33 to 50% exists during any sampling that may occur of the drilling mud for quality control (QC) analysis. Exposure to ChEster 306 may also occur during maintenance of equipment or in the event of a spill. Formation of aerosol and consequent inhalation exposure is expected to be negligible given the automated addition systems and the high density of the drilling muds.

#### Drilling

The large size of drilling equipment suggests that drill operators will have potential for exposure to high volumes of drilling mud during manipulation of the drill when the drill bit is replaced or removed from the drill hole. There is potential for skin and eye contact during these activities. Formation of aerosol and consequent inhalation exposure is expected to be negligible given the high density of the drilling mud.

#### Drum and Isotank Recycling

During the cleaning of drums and isotanks for recycling, workers may receive eye and skin contact to water-slop containing residual notified chemical.

#### **Control Measures**

Personal protective equipment is expected to be mandatory for drilling crews. The Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) for ChEster 306 recommends impervious protective clothing, safety glasses with eye shields and neoprene or nitrile gloves. In addition, an organic vapour (Type A) filter respirator is recommended where exposure to airborne material may occur.

### **Worker Education and Training**

The notifier indicates that the pattern of use is non-dispersive, that is, workers exposed to ChEster 306 would be employees of the major international petroleum and drilling rig companies and would be well educated and trained in all aspects of drilling operation safety and chemical hazards, to achieve adequate control of exposure. Transport workers would also be educated in the occupational health and safety aspects of petroleum derived products.

### 7. PUBLIC EXPOSURE

It is expected that during transport and storage, the potential for exposure of the general public to the notified chemical will be low.

Onshore small spills should be cleaned up using appropriate technology such as sorbent materials or pumping before being transferred to suitable containers for recovery or disposal in accordance with local, state and federal regulations. Prompt attention to spillages will be needed to prevent spill and clean up material from entering waterways. All sources of ignition in the vicinity of the spill or released vapour should be eliminated. Where feasible and appropriate, contaminated soil should be removed.

The chemical will only be used on offshore drilling platforms, and the public will not be exposed during this operation.

Cleaning of used drums and isotanks will remove more than 95% of ChEster 306 from wastewater prior to discharge into sewers. Thus, disposal is unlikely to produce significant public exposure.

#### 8. ENVIRONMENTAL EXPOSURE

#### 8.1 RELEASE

The notifier indicated that very small quantities of residual ChEster 306 may be left in the 200 L drums and isotanks which are subsequently steam cleaned and reconditioned. The resultant oil/water emulsion is passed to an on site waste treatment facility where the oils are separated from the water, and eventually become incorporated into a waste sludge. The waste sludge is typically incinerated.

All ChEster 306 used in drilling mud is expected to be released with the waste drill cuttings to the marine environment as described above. The drill cuttings, containing up to 10% of the notified chemical, would eventually settle to the sea floor. Depending on factors such as particle size, sea conditions, weather conditions and ocean currents, the deposition may take some time. Also, it is likely that the distribution would be disperse, and that the discarded cuttings would be spread over a wide area of the sea floor. A typical production drilling platform may be responsible for over 10 individual bore holes, each between one and four km in depth. Given that the typical diameter of a production hole is 31.1 cm, each platform is estimated to produce between 3 000 and 12 000 m³ of rock cuttings with a weight of approximately 8 000 to 30 000 tonnes². Assuming that the cuttings contain 10% of drilling fluid, each pile of cuttings may contain up to 3 000 tonnes of discarded fluid. Note here, that the notified chemical is one of a class of materials used in the preparation of the drilling muds. These may include internal olefins, poly alpha olefins, linear alpha olefins, esters and acetals.

Also, some of the residual fluid may remain "entrained" between particles of rock cuttings and not adsorbed to the surface of these solids. After discharge overboard this material would be expected to migrate to the sea surface, and could form a film on the surface of the water. The notifier acknowledged that while the formation of such a "slick" is possible, it would be unlikely to be observed except during extremely still weather and sea conditions. Any surface film formed from the notified chemical in this manner could be expected to slowly spread from the vicinity of the drilling rig to be eventually broken up by wind and waves. Since the compound is volatile (Henry's Law Constant around 530 Pa.m³mole-1), it is expected that most of the material would evaporate from the surface and enter the atmosphere.

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In reference 8 it is mentioned that cutting piles with volumes between 10 000 and 20 000 m<sup>3</sup> have been documented beneath some drilling platforms.

#### 8.2 **FATE**

#### 8.2.1 **Biodegradation**

ChEster 306 will be released in quantity to the sea floor. Since conditions within the marine benthic zone may be either aerobic or anaerobic, it is necessary to consider the fate of the material in both these environments.

## 8.2.2 Freshwater Studies - Aerobic Conditions (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1998h; Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1998u)

Test reports detailing results obtained in a carbon dioxide evolution test (Modified Sturm Test – OECD TG 301B) were provided for both SDP and SOP. SDP and SOP are close chemical cogeners of ChEster 306 and are considered valid analogues for assessing the ready biodegradability of the notified chemical. The tests on both compounds were conducted in triplicate, using the same sample of activated sludge to inoculate the test samples. Two standard tests (using sodium benzoate) and two controls (no added chemicals) were run in parallel with the tests on SDP and SOP. The tests were conducted at 21± 1°C in the dark, and the test substance was initially present at a concentration of 5 mg carbon/L. After the 28 day test period the concentration had decreased by 79%, for SDP, and 98% for SOP. For SOP, the degree of degradation had exceeded 60% ten days after reaching 10%, and this substance may be classified as readily biodegradable. However, while SDP exhibited rapid biodegradation, it had not attained 60% degradation ten days after reaching the 10% point (which was around one day after commencement of the test), and may not be considered readily biodegradable.

Toxicity control tests were also conducted (using inoculated test media containing both sodium benzoate and the test substances at 15 mg carbon/L). The test substances did not inhibit bacterial metabolism.

Given that the tests on both SDP and SOP were performed under identical conditions, using the same controls and standards, the significant difference between the degradation rates is to be noted, but no explanation for the differences is obvious. However, it may be concluded from these tests that ChEster 306 is likely to exhibit rapid biodegradation under aerobic conditions, but may not necessarily satisfy the criteria for ready biodegradability.

#### Freshwater Studies - Anaerobic Conditions

The anaerobic biodegradation of a fatty acid ester base fluid, Petrofree and a fatty acid ester base whole fluid<sup>3</sup>, Finagreen was investigated (Geotech 1999). Petrofree and Finagreen are both considered to be chemically very similar to ChEster 306. In the same study, the anaerobic biodegradation of a linear alkane whole fluid (Syn-Teq Para) and an olefinic whole fluid (Syn-Teq Olefin) was investigated.

Testing was based on the test method ISO CD 11734. The test materials (around 30 mg/L) were incubated with digested sewage sludge at 32°C under anaerobic conditions over a period 46 days. The degree of biodegradation was calculated from the amount of methane and carbon dioxide evolved.

Although not well explained in the report, the results of this test indicated that Finagreen was ultimately degraded to 58%, and Petrofree was ultimately degraded to 57%. The alkane and alkene fluids were degraded to 18 and 11%, respectively. Petrofree and Finnagreen extracts showed no presence of esters after 20 days. This indicates that the process of degradation was well advanced after this 20 day period.

The anaerobic degradation of drilling fluid components including, two unidentified fatty acid esters was investigated (Steber, 1995) using the ECETOC screening test (ECETOC 1988) whereby the test substance is incubated at 35°C over an extended period with sewerage digester sludge maintained under anaerobic conditions. The volume of evolved  $CO_2$  and methane is measured periodically throughout the test period. The results indicated that after 35 days incubation under the test conditions, greater than  $82 \pm 13\%$  of the original carbon in the test material had been metabolised to  $CO_2$  and methane. Consequently, it was concluded that these two esters are rapidly degraded under anaerobic conditions.

While these two tests were conducted on material containing cogeners of the components of the notified chemical, it is likely that ChEster 306 would behave in a similar manner, and exhibit degradation in an anaerobic environment.

#### 8.2.4 Marine Waters

The biodegradation of ChEster 304 in sea water was investigated (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1999a) using the closed bottle method of OECD TG 306. The test material at 2 mg/L was added to a culture of marine micro-organisms in filtered sea water in the dark at 20°C over a 28 day test period and the extent of degradation determined by monitoring the rate of oxygen consumption every 3 days. Due to the difficulties in obtaining homogeneous dispersions of organic materials with low water solubility, the test material was adsorbed onto the surface of an inert material, granular silica gel, prior to introduction to the culture medium. This procedure is recommended by the International Standards Organisation (ISO) and intends to maximise the amount of test compound exposed to the micro-organisms. To confirm the viability of the micro-organism culture, tests were conducted with media containing the reference material, sodium benzoate. To evaluate the potential toxicity of the compound to the culture, tests were also conducted in media containing both the test material and sodium benzoate reference. Blank controls with the test media alone containing no test or reference compound were also run. All tests were conducted in duplicate.

The degradation (mineralisation) of chemical was 61% after 28 days. The reference material had 86% degradation over the same period. The lower-than-expected total degradation of test material and reference in the toxicity control tests of 63% after 28 days, was attributed to the low density of marine micro-organisms present in the sea water rather than to any inherent toxicity of the test material to the organisms. The results indicate that ChEster 304 is biodegradable in sea water.

The biodegradation of Petrofree was investigated (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1999b). Although the exact composition of the ester mix was not specified, the esters are presumed to be chemical congeners of ChEster 304. This test was performed as above, and after 28 days the test material had degraded by 62%. As for ChEster 304, the amount of degradation in the toxicity control was less, at 52% after 28 days. This was attributed to low micro-organism population rather than to toxic properties of the Petrofree test material. The results of both these tests indicate that the esters used in drilling fluids are biodegradable by the micro-organisms present in sea water.

It is noteworthy that a preliminary report ECETOC, 1988 concludes that if a chemical is readily biodegradable under aerobic conditions in a freshwater environment, available evidence suggests it will also be degraded in the marine environment. The mechanisms for degradation may be aerobic or anaerobic. The rate of degradation in marine environments is likely to be substantially reduced compared to fresh water environments, primarily because of the generally lower bacterial population in marine waters and sediments. Low temperatures at the benthic interface would also decrease the rate of degradation.

In conclusion, the available literature indicates that ChEster 306 is probably susceptible to anaerobic biodegradation when released to the sea floor with waste drill cuttings. However, the rate and extent of this degradation is uncertain, and it is possible that the rate of removal from the benthic regions will be quite slow due to low populations of micro-organisms in some localities.

## 8.2.5 Abiotic Degradation

The ChEster 306 pendant ester groups are unlikely to undergo hydrolysis in the environmental pH region. Consequently, it is expected that the major pathways for abiotic degradation will be through direct or indirect photochemical mechanisms.

Hydrogen abstraction by photochemically produced hydroxy radicals is accepted as the dominant mechanism for degradation of saturated hydrocarbon molecules in the atmosphere. The OECD gives a procedure for calculating typical rate constants for these processes. For ChEster 306 the estimated rate constant for hydrogen abstraction from the alkane portions is  $k_{abs} = 19.1 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3$  molecule/sec. Assuming an ambient hydroxyl radical concentration of 5 x  $10^5$  radicals cm<sup>-3</sup>, the estimated atmospheric half-life is around 7.2 x  $10^4$  sec (20 hours).

#### 8.2.6 Bioaccumulation

The potential for bioaccumulation of ChEster 306 is identified (Feitjel 1997). In addition, the bioaccumulation potential of the esters in an ester based drilling fluid known as EXP-98, which contains a high proportion of Chester 304, has been evaluated (KM Lab 1998). On the basis that the n-octanol/water partition coefficients of the components are on average Log Pow 5.6 (see Section 3) and the average molecular weight is less than 600, the study authors conclude that the ester components of drilling fluid have potential for bioaccumulation. This agrees with the empirical observations of Connell (1990).

The hydrophobic nature of ChEster 306 indicates considerable potential for bioaccumulation. Lyman (1982) gives a number of Quantitative Structure Activity Relations (QSARs) for estimation of bioaccumulation from known parameters such as water solubility and/or partition coefficient. Their equation 5-2 is recommended for general estimation of the bioconcentration factor (BCF) using Log Pow.

This equation is –

$$Log BCF = 0.76 \times Log Pow - 0.23$$
.

Assuming a Log Pow of 6.2, the equation gives a BCF of 30 300. Larger values for Log Pow would increase the predicted BCF accordingly.

Compounds with a molecular weight around 350, and with a Log Pow around 6 may have high potential for bioaccumulation (Connell 1990). In the present case the molecular weight is approximately 300, while the Log Pow is >6.2. Connell also remarks that the potential for bioaccumulation peaks when water solubility is around 2 x  $10^{-6}$  mole/L and drops off on either side of this value. The water solubility of ChEster 306 is estimated to be less than 32  $\mu$ g/L (ie <1.2 x  $10^{-7}$  mole/L). Consequently, while the molecular weight and Log Pow indicate large potential for bioaccumulation, assimilation of the compound by aquatic organisms may be mitigated to some extent by the low water solubility. However, ChEster 306 is susceptible to biodegradation due to the presence of the ester group, and even if assimilated by aquatic biota it is likely to be degraded which would greatly reduce potential for bioaccumulation.

### 9. EVALUATION OF TOXICOLOGICAL DATA

No toxicity data were provided on ChEster 306. In support of their claim for Variation of Schedule Requirements, the notifier has submitted toxicity studies conducted on SDP and SOP, which are close cogeners of ChEster 306.

The toxicity studies conducted on SDP are: acute oral and dermal toxicity; skin and eye irritation; skin sensitisation; 90-day repeat oral dose; bacterial reverse mutation; *in vitro* chromosome aberration; and *in vivo* induction of micronuclei. Toxicity studies on SOP are limited to: acute oral and dermal toxicity; skin and eye irritation; skin sensitisation; and bacterial reverse mutation.

The notifier indicates this testing scheme was proposed by the United Kingdom competent authority to reduce the number of animals used in the testing program. The notifier claims the toxicity of ChEster 306 will be appropriately covered by the cogeners.

Tests were conducted in facilities that complied with OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice, and based on methods that complied with OECD test guidelines and or EC Directive 92/69/EEC (OECD 1995-1996; European Commission 1992).

## 9.1 Toxicity Summary of SDP and SOP

End Point	SDP	SOP
Acute Oral LD <sub>50</sub>	> 5 000 mg/kg	> 5 000 mg/kg
Acute Dermal LD <sub>50</sub>	> 2 000 mg/kg	> 2 000 mg/kg
Skin Irritation	Slight to moderate irritant	Slight to moderate irritant
Eye Irritation	Slight irritant	Slight irritant
Skin Sensitisation	Non sensitising	Non sensitising
90-day Repeat Dose Toxicity	NOAEL: 1 000 mg/kg;	Not tested
	NOEL: 50 mg/kg/day males,	
	1 000 mg/kg/day females.	
Genotoxicity:		
Ames test	Non mutagenic	Non mutagenic
Chromosome aberration, in vitro	Non clastogenic	Not tested
Mouse micronucleus test, in vivo	Non genotoxic	Not tested

## 9.1.1.1 Oral Toxicity (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1998d)

*Test substance:* SDP

Species/strain: Rat/Sprague Dawley

Number/sex of animals: 5/sex

*Observation period:* 14 days

Method of administration: Gavage, 5 000 mg/kg (dose volume of 5.90 mL/kg)

Test method: OECD TG 401

Clinical observations: No signs of systemic toxicity

Mortality: Nil

Morphological findings: No abnormalities detected

 $LD_{50}$ : > 5 000 mg/kg

Result: SDP was of very low acute oral toxicity in rats

### 9.1.1.2 Oral Toxicity (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1998q)

Test substance: SOP

Species/strain: Rat/Sprague Dawley

*Number/sex of animals:* 5/sex

Observation period: 14 days

Method of administration: Gavage, 5 000 mg/kg (dose volume of 5.90 mL/kg)

Test method: OECD TG 401

Clinical observations: No signs of systemic toxicity

Mortality: Nil

Morphological findings: No abnormalities detected

 $LD_{50}$ : > 5 000 mg/kg

Result: SOP was of very low acute oral toxicity in rats

## 9.1.2.1 Dermal Toxicity (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1998c)

*Test substance:* SDP

Species/strain: Rat/Sprague Dawley

*Number/sex of animals:* 5/sex

Method of administration: A single, 24-hour semi occluded, dermal application to

intact skin at 2 000 mg/kg (dose volume 2.36 mL/kg)

*Observation period:* 14 days.

Treated sites were observed for evidence of dermal irritation approximately 30 minutes after bandage removal and on

Days 3, 7, 10 and 14

Clinical observations: No signs of systemic toxicity

Test method: OECD TG 402

Mortality: Nil

Morphological findings: No abnormalities detected

Dermal responses: No signs of skin irritation

 $LD_{50}$ : > 2 000 mg/kg

Result: SDP was of low dermal toxicity in rats

## 9.1.2.2 Dermal Toxicity (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1998p)

*Test substance:* SOP

Species/strain: Rat/Sprague Dawley

Number/sex of animals: 5/sex

Method of administration: A single, 24-hour semi occluded, dermal application to

intact skin at 2 000 mg/kg (dose volume 2.36 mL/kg)

*Observation period:* 14 days.

Treated sites were observed for evidence of dermal irritation approximately 30 minutes after bandage removal and on

Days 3, 7, 10 and 14

Clinical observations: No signs of systemic toxicity

Test method: OECD TG 402

Mortality: Nil

Morphological findings: No abnormalities detected

Dermal responses: No signs of skin irritation

 $LD_{50}$ : > 2 000 mg/kg

Result: SOP was of low dermal toxicity in rats

## 9.1.3 Inhalation Toxicity

Study not conducted. Not considered to be a relevant route of exposure.

## 9.1.4.1 Skin Irritation (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1998b)

Test substance: SDP

Species/strain: Rabbit/New Zealand White

Number/sex of animals: 6 males

Observation period: 1, 24, 48,72 and 96 hours post exposure

Method of administration: A single 4-hour semi occluded application of 0.5 mL of neat

test substance to intact rabbit skin;

Test method: OECD TG 404

#### Draize scores:

Time after			Anim	al#		
treatment	1	2	3	4	5	6
Erythema/Esch	ar Formation	ı				
30 minutes	<sup>a</sup> 2	2	2	2	2	2
24 hours	2	1	1	2	2*	2
48 hours	1	1	0	1	1	1
72 hours	1D*	0D	0D	1D*	1D	1D*
96 hours	0D*	0D	0D	1D*	0D	1D*
7 days	0D	0D	0D	0D	0D	0D
Oedema						
30 minutes	<sup>a</sup> 1	1	1	1	2	2
24 hours	1	1	1	1	1	2
48 hours	1	0	0	1	0	1
72 hours	0	0	0	1	0	1
96 hours	0	0	0	0	0	0
7 days	0	0	0	0	0	0

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm a}$  see Attachment 1 for Draize scales. D = desquamation. D\* = moderate desquamation.

Mean group score Erythema/Eschar Formation: 1.1

(24, 48 & 72 hour Oedema: 0.7)

observation):

Primary Irritation Index: 2.1

#### Comment:

Well-defined erythema was noted at all treated skin sites at the 30 minute observation with very slight or well defined erythema at the 24 hour observation. The slight erythema persisted in two animals at the 96 hour observation with no erythema noted after 7 days. Desquamation appeared in all animals at the 72 hour observation which persisted to the 7-day observation.

Very slight or slight oedema was noted at all treated skin sites at the 30 minute observation, which persisted in two animals to the 72 hour observation with no oedema noted after 96 hours.

Result: SDP was slight to moderately irritating to the skin of rabbits

## 9.1.4.2 Skin Irritation (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1998o)

Test substance: SOP

Species/strain: Rabbit/New Zealand White

Number/sex of animals: 6 males

Observation period: 1, 24, 48,72 and 96 hours post exposure

Method of administration: A single 4-hour semi occluded application of 0.5 mL of neat

test substance to intact rabbit skin

Test method: OECD TG 404

Draize scores:

Time after			Animo	al #		
treatment	1	2	3	4	5	6
Erythema/Escl	har Formatio	on				
30 minutes	2	2	2	2	2	2
24 hours	2R	2R	2R	2R	2R	2R
48 hours	2R	1R	2	2R	1R	1
72 hours	2RLe	1LeLf	2Le	2RLe	1RLe	1Le
96 hours	?eCf	1Cf	1LeCf	1Cf	1RCf	1
7 days	0D	0D	0D	0D	0D	0D
14 days	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oedema						
30 minutes	1	2	2	2	2	2
24 hours	2	2	2	2	2	2
48 hours	2	1	1	2	1	1
72 hours	1	1	1	1	1	1
96 hours	?od	1	1	1	1	0
7 days	0	0	0	0	0	0
14 days	0	0	0	0	0	0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> see Attachment 1 for Draize scales.

D = slight desquamation.

Cf = crust formation.

Le = loss of skin elasticity.

R = reaction extends up to 6 cm beyond treatment site.

<sup>?0</sup>d = adverse reaction prevents accurate evaluation of oedema.

Lf = loss of skin flexibility.

<sup>?</sup>e = =adverse reactions prevent accurate evaluation of erythema.

Mean group score Erythema/Eschar Formation: 1.7

(24, 48 & 72 hour Oedema: 1.4

observation):

*Primary Irritation Index*: 3.3

#### Comment:

Erythema was noted at all treated skin sites at the 30 minute observation and persisted to the 96 hour observation;

Desquamation appeared in all animals at the 72 hour observation and persisted to the Day 7 observation;

Oedema was noted at all treated skin sites at the 24 hour observation and persisted in four animals to the 96 hour observation;

The nature of the adverse reaction noted for one animal was not described in the study report. Crust formation was noted at the treatment site of five animals at the 96 hour observation and prevented the accurate evaluation of erythema and oedema at the treatment site of one at this time. Exudate was not noted in the study report and a suggestion to the cause of the crust formation was not provided.

Result: SOP was slight to moderately irritating to the skin of rabbits

## 9.1.5.1 Eye Irritation (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1998l)

*Test substance:* SDP

Species/strain: Rabbit/New Zealand White

*Number/sex of animals:* 9 males

Observation period: 1, 24, 48 and 72 hours post instillation

Method of administration,

Nonirrigated eyes:

A single instillation of 0.1 mL of the neat test substance into

the conjunctival sac of the test eye of 6 rabbits;

the contralateral eye served as the control

Method of administration,

*Irrigated eyes:* 

A single instillation of 0.1 mL of the neat test substance into

the conjunctival sac of the test eye of 3 rabbits, with

irrigation of the eyes after 30 seconds;

the contralateral eye served as the control

Test method: OECD TG 405

					Tim	e afte	r insti	llation	!			
Animal		1 hour		2	4 hou	rs	4	18 hou	rs		72 hou	ers
Conjunctiva	r	с	d	r	c	d	r	с	d	r	с	d
1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

No corneal effects noted

Cornea

No iridial effects noted

Iris

<sup>1</sup> see Attachment 1 for Draize scales r = redness c = chemosis d = discharge

Mean scores nonirrigated eyes:

for Corneal opacity: 0.0

Iridial lesion: 0.0

Redness of conjunctivae: 0.0 Chemosis of conjunctivae: 0.0

Comment,

nonirrigated eyes:

Conjunctival redness was noted in 4 of 6 treated eyes at the and conjunctival chemosis and discharge in one treated eye at the one hour observation; treated eyes appeared normal at

the 24 hour observation;

no iridial or corneal effects were noted;

Comment,

Irrigated eyes: No ocular effects noted

Result: SDP was slightly irritating to the eyes of rabbits

## 9.1.5.2 Eye Irritation (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1998n)

*Test substance:* SOP

Species/strain: Rabbit/New Zealand White

Number/sex of animals: 6 males, 3 females

Observation period: 1, 24, 48 and 72 hours post instillation

Method of administration,

nonirrigated eyes:

A single instillation of 0.1 mL of the neat test substance into

the conjunctival sac of the test eye of 6 rabbits;

the contralateral eye served as the control

Method of administration, Irrigated eyes:

A single instillation of 0.1 mL of the neat test substance into the conjunctival sac of the test eye of 3 rabbits, with

irrigation of the eyes after 30 seconds of treatment;

the contralateral eye served as the control

Test method: OECD TG 405

Draize scores of nonirrigated eyes:

Time after instillation												
Animal		1 hour		2	4 hou	ırs	4	48 hou	rs		72 hou	rs
Conjunctiva	r	с	d	r	c	d	r	c	d	r	c	d
1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

No corneal effects noted

Cornea

Iris

No iridial effects noted

<sup>1</sup> see Attachment 1 for Draize scales r = redness c = chemosis d = discharge

Mean scores nonirrigated eyes:

for Corneal opacity: 0.0

Iridial lesion: 0.0 Redness of conjunctivae: 0.06 Chemosis of conjunctivae: 0.0

Draize scores of irrigated eyes:

					Tim	e Afte	r Insti	llation	ı			
Animal		1 hour		2	4 hou	ers	4	8 hou	rs		72 hou	rs
Conjunctiva	r	c	d	r	c	d	r	c	d	r	с	d
1F	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2F	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3F	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

No corneal effects noted

Cornea

No iridial effects noted

Iris

<sup>1</sup> see Attachment 1 for Draize scales

r = redness c = chemosis d = discharge. F = female.

Comment. Conjunctival redness was noted in 5 of 6 treated eyes at the

1 hour observation and persisted in one eye at the 24 hour nonirrigated eyes:

observation; conjunctival chemosis was noted in two treated eves at the one hour observation; all treated eves appeared

normal at the 48 hour observation; No iridial or corneal effects were noted;

Conjunctival redness was noted in one treated eye at the Comment, *Irrigated eyes:* 

1 hour observation; all eyes appeared normal at the 24 hour

observation;

No iridial or corneal effects were noted:

Result: SOP was slightly irritating to the eyes of rabbits

#### 9.1.6.1 Skin Sensitisation (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1998j)

Test substance: **SDP** 

Species/strain: Guineapig/Dunkin Hartley White

Number of animals: Females, 20 test and 10 control

Test method: OECD TG 406 Magnusson and Kligman Maximisation

Method

Induction procedure: Intradermal Induction:

Test animals:

Day 1: three pairs of intradermal injections (0.1 mL) into the dorsal skin of the scapular region:

Freund's complete adjuvant (FCA) 1:1 in distilled

the test substance, diluted to 25% w/v in arachis oil;

the test substance at 25% w/v emulsified in a 50:50 mixture of FCA and distilled water:

Topical Induction:

Day 7 – A 48-hour semi occluded application of 0.5 mL of neat test substance to the treated area:

Control animals:

Treated similarly to the test animals omitting the test substance from the intradermal injections and topical application

Test and Control animals: Challenge procedure:

> Day 21: A 24 hour, semi occluded application of 100% w/v and 75% w/v of test substance in arachis oil, to the right and

left flank of each animal, respectively,

CI II	Test	animals	Control	animals
Challenge concentration	24 hours*	48 hours*	24 hours*	48 hours*
100%	**2/20	1/20	0/10	0/10
75%	0/20	0/20	0/10	0/10
*		time a	after patch	removal

<sup>\*\*</sup> number of animals exhibiting positive responses.

Challenge Outcome: Challenge concentration of 100%: very slight erythema was

noted at the challenge site of two test animals at the 24 hour observation and persisted in one animal at the 48 hour

observation.

Challenge concentration of 75%: no dermal reactions were

noted.

No dermal reactions were noted in control animals

Comment: Dermal reactions observed suggest irritation rather than

sensitisation reactions

Result: SDP was non sensitising to guineapig skin.

### 9.1.6.2 Skin Sensitisation (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1998x)

*Test substance:* SOP

Species/strain: Guineapig/Dunkin Hartley White

Number of animals: Females, 20 test and 10 control

Test method: OECD TG 406 Magnusson and Kligman Maximisation

Method

Induction procedure: Intradermal Induction

Test animals:

Day 1: three pairs of intradermal injections (0.1 mL) into the

dorsal skin of the scapular region:

Freund's complete adjuvant (FCA) 1:1 in distilled

water;

- the test substance, diluted to 25% w/v in arachis oil;

the test substance at 25% w/v emulsified in a 50:50 mixture of FCA and distilled water;

Topical Induction: Day 7 – A 48-hour semi occluded application of 0.5 mL of

neat test substance to the treated area;

**Control Animals:** 

treated similarly to the test animals omitting the test substance from the intradermal injections and topical

application

Challenge procedure: Test and Control animals:

Day 21: A 24 hour, semi occluded application of 100% w/v and 75% w/v of test substance in arachis oil, to the right and

left flank of each animal, respectively,

Challenge Outcome: Challenge concentration of 100%: no dermal reactions were

noted in test or control animals.

Challenge concentration of 75%: no dermal reactions were

noted in test or control animals.

Result: SOP was non sensitising to guineapig skin.

## 9.2 Repeated Dose Toxicity (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1998a)

Test substance: SDP

Species/strain: Rat/Sprague Dawley

Number/sex of animals: 10/sex/group (control and treatment groups)

Method of administration: Oral (gavage)

Dose/Study duration: 0, 50, 250 or 1 000 mg/kg/day for 90 consecutive days

Test method: OECD TG 408

Mortality: Nil

#### Clinical observations:

No toxicologically significant clinical findings were observed in treated or control animals. Animals of the 1000 mg/kg/day group showed increase salivation soon after dosing from Day 9 onwards; this finding was also observed in one male of the 250 mg/kg/day group on Day 46. Bodyweight gain, food and water consumption were comparable to the control group. Ophthalmoscopic examination did not reveal treatment related ocular changes.

#### Functional Observations:

No treatment related differences were apparent between the control and treated groups, for behavioural and sensory reactivity assessments or functional performance.

## Clinical Pathology:

## Serum Chemistry:

Incidental, statistically significant increase in plasma cholesterol in males and total protein in females 1 000 mg/kg/day.

#### Haematology:

There were no toxicologically significant changes in the haematological parameters measured.

#### Pathology:

## Organ Weights:

Significantly increased liver weights (relative to body weight) in the 1 000 mg/kg/day group males were observed.

#### Macroscopic:

No treatment related findings were observed.

#### Microscopic:

An increased incidence of centrilobular hepatocytic hypertrophy in male rats of the 250 and 1 000 mg/kg/day groups was observed, with an increase in degree in the 1 000 mg/kg/day group.

#### Comment:

No toxicologically significant clinical observations, neurobehavioural effects or mortality were observed at any dose level. No test substance related changes were observed in haematology parameters. Changes in total protein and cholesterol at 1 000 mg/kg/day were isolated findings and not considered biologically significant in the absence of adverse effects. Treatment related lesions (hepatocytic hypertrophy) were observed in males of the 250 and 1 000 mg/kg/day groups and relative liver weights were increased in high dose males.

#### Result:

Based upon treatment related adaptive changes observed in the liver of males of the 250 and 1 000 mg/kg/day groups but not in females, the No Observed Effect Level (NOEL) determined for this study is 50 mg/kg/day.

In the absence of toxicologically significant systemic toxicity, the No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) determined for this 90 day oral toxicity study was 1 000 mg/kg/day.

### 9.3 Genotoxicity

# 9.3.1.1 Salmonella typhimurium and Escherichia coli Reverse Mutation Assay (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1998m)

Test substance: SDP

Bactria/Strains: Salmonella typhimurium: TA 1535, TA 1537,

TA 98, TA 100;

Escherichia coli: WP2uvrA-

Concentration range: 0, 15, 50, 150, 500, 1500 and 5000 µg/plate,

each concentration was tested in triplicate, with or without metabolic activation, in two independent experiments; appropriate strain specific positive control reference

substances were used

Metabolic activation

System:

Liver fraction (S9 mix) from rats pretreated with Aroclor

1254

Test method: OECD TG 471 & 472 - plate incorporation method

Comment: Precipitation was noted at and above 1 500 µg/plate;

No toxicity was observed;

There were no significant increases in revertant colony numbers at any concentration, in the presence or absence

of metabolic activation;

Concurrent positive controls used in the test induced marked increases in the frequency of revertant colonies and the activity of the S9 fraction was found to be satisfactory

Result: SDP was non mutagenic in the bacterial strains tested

under the conditions of the test

# 9.3.1.2 Salmonella typhimurium and Escherichia coli Reverse Mutation Assay (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1998y)

*Test substance:* SOP

Bacteria/Strains: Salmonella typhimurium: TA 1535, TA 1537,

TA 98, TA 100;

Escherichia coli: WP2uvrA-

Concentration range: 0, 15, 50, 150, 500, 1500 and 5000 µg/plate,

each concentration was tested in triplicate, with or without metabolic activation, in two independent experiments; appropriate strain specific positive control reference

substances were used

Metabolic activation Liver fraction (S9 mix) from rats pretreated with Aroclor

system: 12

FULL PUBLIC REPORT SN/9

01 May 2020 Page 28 of 51 Test method: OECD TG 471 & 472 - plate incorporation method

Comment: Precipitation was noted at and above 500 µg/plate;

No toxicity was observed;

There were no significant increases in revertant colony numbers at any concentration, in the presence or absence

of metabolic activation;

Concurrent positive controls used in the test induced marked increases in the frequency of revertant colonies and the activity of the S9 fraction was found to be satisfactory

Result: SOP was non mutagenic in the bacterial strains tested

under the conditions of the test

## 9.3.2 Chromosomal Aberration Assay in Human Lymphocytes (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1998i)

*Test substance:* SDP

Cells: Human Peripheral Lymphocytes

Metabolic activation system: liver fraction (S9 mix) from rats pretreated with Aroclor

1254

Dosing schedule: each concentration was tested in duplicate, with or without

metabolic activation, in two independent experiments,

Experiment 1:

without metabolic activation,

0\*, 39.06, 78.13, 156.25\*, 312.5\*, 625\*, 1 250,2 500

 $5\,000\,\mu g/mL;$ 

treatment/harvest time = 4/20 hours;

positive control: 750µg/mL ethylmethanesulphonate;

with metabolic activation,

0\*, 39.06, 78.13, 156.25\*, 312.5\*, 625\*, 1 250, 2 500

 $5~000~\mu g/mL$ ,

treatment/harvest time = 4/20 hours,

positive control: 25µg/mL cyclophosphamide;

Experiment 2:

without metabolic activation,

0\*, 19.5\*, 39\*, 78.13\*, 156.25, 312.5, and  $625 \mu g/mL$ ;

treatment/harvest time = 20/20 hours;

positive control: 500µg/mL ethylmethanesulphonate;

with metabolic activation.

 $0^*$ , 19.5, 39, 78.13, 156.25\*, 312.5\*, and 625\*  $\mu$ g/mL;

treatment/harvest time: 4/20 hours,

positive control: 25 µg/mL cyclophosphamide;

asterisk\* indicates cultures selected for metaphase analysis

Test method: OECD TG 473

Comment: Precipitation occurred at and above 1250 µg/mL therefore,

the higher concentrations could not be used for analysis; Cytotoxicity was not observed at any concentration;

The test substance did not cause any significant increases in the incidence of cells with chromosomal aberrations, polyploidy or endoreplication, at the concentrations analysed in the presence or absence of metabolic activation; Positive controls used in the test caused marked increases in the incidence of aberrant cells and the activity of the S9

fraction was found to be satisfactory

Result: SDP was non clastogenic under the conditions of the test

## 9.3.3 Micronucleus Assay in the Bone Marrow Cells of the Mouse (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1998k)

Test substance: SDP

Species/strain: mouse/Crl:CD-1 (ICR) BR

Number and sex of animals: 7 males/24 hour low, vehicle control and mid, low and

high dose group;

7 males/48 hour vehicle control and high dose group;

7 males per positive control group

Doses/Method of Test substance: 500 mg/kg (low), 1 000 mg/kg (mid) or

administration: 2 000 mg/kg (high);

Vehicle control: arachis oil;

All administered via intraperitoneal injection at a constant

volume of 10 mL/kg bw;

Positive control, cyclophosphamide 50 mg/kg was

administered orally;

Sampling schedule: Vehicle control, low, mid and high dose animals were

sacrificed 24 hours after dosing;

Remaining animals of the vehicle control group and high

dose animals were sacrificed 48 hours after dosing;

Positive control group animals were sacrificed 24 hours

after dosing

Clinical observations: No mortality;

No clinical signs of toxicity;

Micronuclei score: No significant increase in micronucleated polychromatic

erythrocytes (PCEs) due to treatment with test substance at either sampling time; The positive control caused a

significant increase in micronucleated PCEs

FULL PUBLIC REPORT SN/9

01 May 2020 Page 30 of 51 Test method: OECD TG 474

Result: SDP did not induce a significant increase in

micronucleated PCEs in bone marrow cells of the mouse

in vivo

### 9.4 Overall Assessment of Toxicological Data

The cogeners, SDP and SOP, are long chain hydrocarbon ( $C_{12}$  and  $C_{18}$ , respectively) esters. ChEster 306 carries the same ester functionality but differs to SDP and SOP in hydrocarbon chain length.

Both SDP and SOP were of very low acute oral toxicity (LD<sub>50</sub>>5 000 mg/kg) and low acute dermal toxicity (LD<sub>50</sub>>2 000 mg/kg) in rats. On the basis of the similarities in chemical structure, ChEster 306 is very likely to share the same low order of acute oral and dermal toxicity as the analogues

Acute inhalation studies have not being conducted as the inhalation route of exposure was claimed by the notifier not to be relevant for these substances based on their low viscosity and low vapour pressure.

SDP was a slight eye irritant and a slight to moderate skin irritant in rabbits. The calculated primary irritation index (PPI) for skin was 2.1. The observed skin reactions (desquamation) in the skin irritation study appear to be representative of skin dryness due to the defatting properties associated with liquid hydrocarbon solvents. SOP demonstrated a higher degree of skin irritation (PPI of 3.3) and other skin reactions (desquamation, loss of skin flexibility/elasticity), but a lower degree of initial eye irritation. It would appear skin irritancy may be intrinsically related to hydrocarbon chain length. ChEster 306 is expected to have some irritant potential but to a lesser degree than the skin irritancy observed with SOP. Skin dryness is also expected.

In guineapigs, there was no evidence of dermal sensitisation in an adjuvant type test using SDP and SOP at challenge concentrations of 75% and 100%. ChEster 306 is not expected to be dermally sensitising based upon the sensitisation studies conducted on the analogues and the absence of functional groups commonly associated with skin sensitisers.

Oral administration of SDP to rats at dose levels of 0, 50, 250 or 1 000 mg/kg/day for 90 consecutive days revealed no toxicologically significant clinical findings, neurobehavioural effects or mortality. Haematology parameters were unaffected and isolated findings of changes in total protein and cholesterol at 1 000 mg/kg/day were not considered biologically significant in the absence of adverse effects. Treatment related lesions (hepatocytic hypertrophy) were observed in males of the 250 and 1 000 mg/kg/day groups. Based upon treatment related adaptive changes observed in the liver of males of the 250 and 1 000 mg/kg/day groups but not in females, the No Observed Effect Level (NOEL) determined for this study, is 1 000 mg/kg/day for females and 50 mg/kg/day for males. In the absence of toxicologically significant systemic toxicity, the No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) determined for this 90 day oral toxicity study was 1 000 mg/kg/day for both males and females. Repeat dose studies were not conducted on SOP. Being structurally similar, ChEster 306 and SDP are expected to share the same metabolic fate. Therefore, the biological activity of ChEster 306 and its metabolites would be similar to that observed for

SDP. No organ dysfunction or systemic toxicity is predicted for the notified chemical following repeat oral exposure.

SDP and SOP were not considered mutagenic in bacterial reverse mutation assays. No genotoxicity was observed with SDP in mammalian cells *in vivo* or *in vitro*. ChEster 306 and its metabolites are expected to display the same non genotoxic activity.

#### Hazard Classification

The results of the acute oral and dermal studies in rats and the skin and eye irritant studies in rabbits do not meet the thresholds for classification as hazardous under the NOHSC Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances (NOHSC 1999). Based upon their low vapour pressure the cogeners are not expected to be an inhalation hazard. Signs of skin dryness were evident in the skin irritation studies for the analogues and most likely due to the defatting properties of the substances. Neither cogener showed evidence of skin sensitisation potential. Oral administration of SDP for 90 consecutive days did not reveal evidence of organ dysfunction or systemic toxicity in rats. Neither analogue was considered mutagenic.

The measured kinematic viscosity of ChEster 306 (Section 3) meets the criteria of aspiration hazard under the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances Classifying Hazardous Substances* and presents an aspiration hazard, which may lead to chemical pneumonia. Therefore, ChEster 306 is classifiable as a hazardous substance, with hazard classification, Harmful (Xn) and risk phrase R65 – May Cause Lung Damage if Swallowed, assigned.

Risk phrase R66 – Repeated Exposure May Cause Skin Dryness or Cracking, has recently being adopted by the European Commission (European Commission 1998). Although yet to be adopted by NOHSC, this risk phrase should be provisionally assigned to ChEster 306 based upon the observed defatting properties of SDP and SOP.

#### 10. ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

Ecotoxicity data was provided for both freshwater and marine species.

The same test data is applicable to another new chemical notified by the same company, called ChEster 304 (NICNAS sequence number, NA/728). The chemical natures of the two new chemicals notified as NA/728 and NA/729 are very similar to those for the analogue substances, SDP and SOP, for which data are provided. The data is accepted for the environmental assessment. The results of these tests are summarised below.

#### FRESHWATER SPECIES

### 10.1 Acute Effects - Summary of Ecotoxicity Test Data for Freshwater Species

Test	Acute Toxicity to Fish	Immobilisation of	Inhibition of Algal
	mg/L	Invertebrates	Growth
		mg/L	mg/L
Species	Rainbow trout	Water flea	Green algae
	Oncorhynchus mykiss	Daphnia magna	Pseudokirchneriella
			subcapitata
SDP	*LL <sub>50</sub> (96 h) > 1 000	$ELR_{50}$ (48 h) $\geq 1~000$	$E_bLR_{50}$ (72 h) > 1 000
(WAF loading	*NOEL (96 h) = $1\ 000$	NOEL $(48 \text{ h}) = 1000$	NOEL $(72h) = 1000$
1 000 mg/L)			
SOP	$LL_{50} (96 h) > 1 000$	ELR <sub>50</sub> (48 h)> 1 000	$E_bLR_{50}$ (72 h) > 1 000
(WAF loading	NOEL $(96 \text{ h}) = 1\ 000$	NOEL $(48 \text{ h}) = 1\ 000$	NOEL $(72 \text{ h}) = 1\ 000$
1 000 mg/L)			

<sup>\*</sup>In this table and the accompanying discussion, LL<sub>50</sub> and ELR<sub>50</sub> refer to the nominal loading of test substance used to prepare the WAF media for which 50% of the test animals died at the end of the test period. Similarly, the NOEL refers to the WAF loading below which no toxic effects are observed.

# 10.1.1 Fish, Acute Toxicity Test (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1998f; Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1998s)

Test Substance: SDP and SOP

Test Method: OECD TG 303 and EC Directive 92/69/EEC

The range finding study was performed using Water Accommodation Fractions (WAF) of the test substances, made up in dechlorinated tap water at nominal loadings of 0, 100 and 1 000 mg/L. The WAF test media were made up by stirring the requisite quantity of test substance into the water for around 24 hours, allowing to settle for approximately four hours, then siphoning off the aqueous phase containing the WAF. The WAFs prepared in this manner were clear and colourless, and devoid of undissolved material or oil droplets. The tests were conducted over a 96 hour period at a controlled temperature of  $14\pm1^{\circ}$ C, and the test media was replaced daily in a batchwise manner. Three fish (rainbow trout) were tested at each

WAF loading. No mortalities or behavioural aberrations were observed over the 96 hour test period.

The definitive study was performed in triplicate using two controls (no test substance) and the 1 000 mg/L WAF, with ten fish in each test vessel. The pH was always between 7.6 and 7.9, the temperature was 14±1°C, water hardness around 100 mg/L as CaCO<sub>3</sub> and the dissolved oxygen levels between 8.8 and 9.8 mg/L. The water used in preparation of the test media had a total organic carbon (TOC) content (exclusive of any test substance) of around 2 mg/L, and particulate matter around 0.2 mg/L. Again, no deaths or other effects were observed in the test specimens. It is concluded that the new compound is not toxic to this species of fish, up to the limits of its water solubility. Measurements for the levels of total organic carbon in the test media indicated no discernible increase over the background level. This is in accord with the very low water solubility of the test compounds (Section 3), and indicates that the WAF of the test material is very small.

# 10.1.2 Crustacea, Indigenous Species – Nigeria (Technology Partners International Laboratories Ltd 1999)

Test Substance: ChEster 304

Test Method: Part VIII Section E.2.2 of Department of Petroleum Resources,

Environmental Guidelines and Standards for the Petroleum Industry,

Nigeria

The toxicity of the test substance to *Demoscaris trispinosa* was investigated under semi static conditions for an exposure period of 96 hours. The test method described is similar to OECD TG 202. The test media were prepared by the addition of test substance at nominal loadings of 0, 1, 10, 100, 1 000 and 10 000 mg/L to habitat water, with stirring for 10 minutes. The positive control, sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) was prepared similarly using concentrations of 0.1, 1, 10, 100, 1 000 mg/L. No other details of medium preparation were described. Each test was conducted in triplicate with 20 Demoscaris per 3L test vessel. The test conditions over the test period were as follows: temperature, 26.8 ± 4°C; pH 6.6± 0.2; and dissolved oxygen 6.4±0.2 mg/L. At the end of the test period the cumulative mortality at 10, 100, 1000 and 10 000 mg/L media was 0, 15, 40 and 100%, respectively. Probit analysis gave a 96 hour LC<sub>50</sub> of 3 440 mg/L. In comparison, the toxicity of SDS was 8 mg/L. Because of the low water solubility of Chester 304 (< 32 µg/L - Section 3) it is probable that much undissolved material remained dispersed through the water and/or deposited on surfaces (including those of the test animals) and not truly dissolved. The possibility that the toxic effects were of physical origin (eg. due to impairment of oxygen assimilation by *Demoscaris*) rather than true chemical toxicity cannot be excluded.

## 10.1.3 *Daphnia* sp. Acute Immobilisation Test (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1998e; Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1998r)

Test Substance: SDP and SOP

Test Method: OECD TG 202 and EC Directive 92/69/EEC

The range finding study was performed using WAF of the test substance, SDP or SOP, made up in dechlorinated tap water at nominal loadings of 0, 100 and 1 000 mg/L. The WAFs prepared were clear and colourless, and apparently devoid of undissolved material or oil droplets. The tests were conducted over a 48 hour period at a controlled temperature of  $21\pm1^{\circ}$ C, using 10 daphnia per test vessel. No irreversible immobilisation or any other behavioural aberrations were observed over the 48 hour test period.

A definitive study was performed using a WAF containing 1 000 mg/L of test substance. Four replicate tests were run, together with two controls with 10 daphnia per test vessel. Temperature was maintained at 21±1°C, pH was 8.0±0.1, while dissolved oxygen levels were between 8.0 and 8.2 mg/L. As with the range finding tests, no immobilisation or other effects were observed. It is concluded that the test substance is not toxic to daphnia up to the limits of its water solubility. In common with the tests on rainbow trout, the measured level of TOC in the test media indicated no increase over that in the controls, reflecting the low solubility of the test chemicals.

# 10.1.4.a Alga, Growth Inhibition (Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1998g; Safepharm Laboratories Limited 1998t)

Test Substance: SDP and SOP

Test Method: OECD TG 201 and EC Directive 92/69/EEC

Range finding studies on the inhibition of growth of the green alga *Pseudokirchneriella* subcapitata, (formerly known as *Selenastrum capricornutum*), with the WAF prepared as in Section 10.2 at nominal test substance loadings of 0, 100 and 1 000 mg/L indicated no inhibition of growth up to the highest loading. Accordingly, the definitive test was conducted using only the WAF containing 1 000 mg/L, together with controls. There were six replicates of the WAF containing medium and three replicates of the control.

The tests was performed over a 96 hour incubation period at  $24\pm1^{\circ}C$ . There was no inhibition in the test vessels of either the growth of algal biomass, or of the rate of biomass increase. The results of this study indicate that the  $E_bLR_{50}$  is greater than 1 000 mg/L (nominal WAF), and the NOEL is 1 000 mg/L. Both test materials are non toxic to this species of alga up to the limits of their water solubility.

### 10.1.4.b Alga, Growth Inhibition (Wildlife International Ltd 1999b)

Test Substance: SDP

Test Method: US EPA 850.5400

An alternative method was used to investigate toxicity of SDP to *Selanastrum capricornutum* under static conditions by dispersing (or dissolving) the test substance in water with the assistance a co-solvent, dimethylformamide (DMF) instead of preparing a WAF as in the above study. The stock solution of test substance at 60 mg/L in DMF, was used to prepare test media containing 0, 3.8, 7.5, 15, 30, or 60  $\mu$ g/L (nominal concentrations) of the test substance. The concentration of DMF in the solvent control and all treatment groups was 0.1 mL/L. Each test and control was run in triplicate for 96 hours. All test media appeared clear and colourless, indicating true solubility or at least homogeneity of the dispersion. Actual (measured) concentrations of the test substance in the media at commencement of the study were 2.33, 4.29, 9.3, 18.3 and 38.8  $\mu$ g/L. Algal cultures were grown in these test media at a temperature of  $24\pm2^{\circ}$ C, and no inhibition of algal growth relative to the solvent controls was measured over the 96 hour test period. These results indicate that the NOEC for the toxicity of ChEster 306 against *Selanastra* is >38.8  $\mu$ g/L. Assuming that the water solubility is of this order, this is in accord with the results of the WAF experiment above.

## 10.2 Chronic Effects - Summary of Ecotoxicity Test Data for Freshwater Species

Test Substance	Early Life Stage Toxicity Test against Fathead Minnow Pimephales promelas	Life Cycle Toxicity Test against Daphnia magna
SDP	$NOEC = 12 \mu g/L$	$NOEC = 16 \mu g/L$
	$LOEC > 12 \mu g/L$	$LOEC > 16 \mu g/L$

### 10.2.1 Fish, Early Life Stage Test (Wildlife International Ltd 1999d)

Test Substance: SDP

Test Method: US EPA test guideline 850.1400, which is equivalent to OECD TG

210.

The chronic toxicity of the test substance was investigated in fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*). The method involves continuously passing test solutions through the test chambers containing fish embryos, with continual renewal of the media such that the concentration of the test material remains as constant as possible. Duration of the test is at least 4 weeks, and the hatching success and general condition of the juvenile fish is monitored over this period.

Test media were prepared using DMF as co-solvent at nominal concentrations of 0, 3.8, 7.5, 15, 30 or 60  $\mu$ g/L by continuously fortifying the water flowing through the test chambers with stock solutions of the compound (in DMF/water) injected at appropriate rates. The test chambers were 9L glass aquariums containing 7 L of solution, and injection rates of the stock solutions were organised such that the concentration of DMF was always around 0.1 mL/L in

all solutions. Each test was run using four replicates with 20 fathead minnow embryos used in each test. Test duration was 32 days, temperature was  $25\pm1^{\circ}$ C, solution pH always between 7.9 and 8.3, dissolved oxygen between 6.2 and 8.2 mg/L and water hardness was around 140 mg/L as CaCO<sub>3</sub>. For all test concentrations there was no statistical difference in hatching success between the test media and the controls. There was 91% hatching success in the negative control (no test material or co-solvent) and 89% for the solvent control (0.1mL/L of DMF), while the hatching success for the test solutions was between 86 and 93%. Similarly, after 28 days post hatch survival was 90 and 97% for the negative and solvent controls respectively and between 90 and 95% for the test media.

The actual concentration of the test substance in the solutions was measured using gas chromatography on days 0, 4, 7, 14, 21, 28 and 32. The measured concentrations were always very much less than the nominal values, and the maximum measured concentration was around 12  $\mu$ g/L, corresponding to 20% recovery of the nominal 60  $\mu$ g/L solution. It was concluded that 12  $\mu$ g/L appears to be the limit of water solubility in this water, and this is in agreement with the data provided for the definitive water solubility test (< 32  $\mu$ g/L – Section 3).

Although nominal test concentrations were between 3.8 and 60  $\mu g/L$ , the actual measured concentration never exceeded 12  $\mu g/L$ . Therefore, the NOEC was taken as 12  $\mu g/L$  while the LOEC for this test was taken as > 12  $\mu g/L$ .

# 10.2.2 Cladoceran Life Cycle (21-day renewal) Chronic Toxicity Test (Wildlife International Ltd 1999c)

Test Substance: SDP

Test Method: US EPA test guideline 850.1300, which is equivalent to OECD TG

202.

The chronic toxicity of the test substance was investigated in *Daphnia magna*. The method involves continuously passing test solutions through the test chambers containing juvenile *Daphnids*, less than 24 hours old at start of test, with continual renewal of the media such that the concentration of the test material remains as constant as possible. Duration of the test was 3 weeks, and the reproduction, survival and general condition of the *Daphnia* was continuously monitored over this period.

Test media were prepared using DMF as co-solvent at nominal concentrations of 0, 3.8, 7.5, 15, 30 and 60 µg/L by continuously fortifying the water flowing through the test chambers with stock solutions of the test substance (in DMF/water) injected at appropriate rates. The test vessels used were 300 mL beakers and injection rates of the stock solutions were organised such that 5.2 volumes of the test solution passed through each test chamber every 24 hours and the concentration of DMF was always around 0.08 mL/L in all solutions. All test solutions and mixing chambers appeared clear and colourless. Each test was run using an initial 4 to 5 juvenile *Daphnia* for each test solution with each test performed in quadruplicate and two replicate test systems used at each concentration. Thus an initial 28 daphnia were exposed to each test concentration, together with a negative control and a solvent control (containing 0.08 mL/L of DMF). Test duration was 21 days, temperature was 20±1 °C, solution pH always between 8.0 and 8.3, dissolved oxygen between 8.0 and 8.8 mg/L and water hardness was around 140 mg/L as CaCO<sub>3</sub>.

For all test concentrations there was no statistical difference in survival, reproduction and growth of the test animals in the test media compared with the controls. The first broods were produced after day 9. After 28 days the ratio of offspring/original daphnia population was between 92 and 118, while for the negative control and solvent control the ratios were 84.7 and 96.3, respectively. The actual concentration of the test substance in the solutions was measured using gas chromatography at 4 days 0, 7, 14 and 21, and it was found that the measured concentrations were always very much less than the nominal values, and that the maximum measured concentration was around 16  $\mu$ g/L (corresponding to 27% recovery from the nominal 60  $\mu$ g/L solution). As with the early life stage toxicity test against fathead minnow which was conducted by the same laboratory, it was concluded that 16  $\mu$ g/L appears to be the limit of water solubility in this water.

Although nominal test concentrations were between 3.8 and 60  $\mu g/L$ , the actual measured concentration never exceeded 16  $\mu g/L$ . Therefore, the NOEC was taken as 16  $\mu g/L$  while the LOEC for this test was taken as > 16  $\mu g/L$ .

#### MARINE SPECIES

## 10.5 Summary of Acute Toxicity Data of DFE-435 (Chester 304) & EXP 89 to Marine Species

Test/Species	Test Substance	Results (WAF - Nominal)
96 Hour Static Acute Toxicity - Marine		
Shrimp- Mysidopsis bahia	EXP 89	$LC_{50}$ (96 hour) = 803.4 g/L
(mysid shrimp)		
Acute Toxicity to Marine Copepod -		
Acartia tonsa	DFE-435	$LL_{50}$ (48 hour) > 1 000 mg/L
Acute Toxicity to Marine Copepod -		
Acartia tonsa	EXP 89	$LL_{50}$ (48 hour) > 1 000 mg/L
Acute Toxicity to Marine Amphipod -		
Corophium volutator	DFE-435	$LL_{50}$ (48 hour) > 1 470 mg/kg
10-day Static Sediment Toxicity Test to		
Marine Amphipods –	EXP 89	$LL_{50} (10 \text{ day}) > 10 000 \text{ mg/kg}$
Corophium volutator		
Inhibition of Marine Algal Growth -		
Skeletonema costatum	DFE-435	$EL_{50}$ (72 hour) > 1 000 mg/L

<sup>\*</sup>benthic dwelling crustacean which is a sediment reworker.

### 10.5.1 96 Hour Static Acute Toxicity (Environmental Enterprises USA Inc 1998)

Test Substance: EXP 89 Mud (NEXES Mud containing ChEster 304 at 30-50%)

Test Method: US EPA

The study report does not describe the test methodology in full, but it appears that the tests were performed using WAFs<sup>4</sup> prepared with 0, 80, 130, 220, 360 and 1 000 g/L of the test substance in reconstituted sea water (20 g/L salt), with the resultant aqueous phase used for the tests. Three replicate tests were performed at each WAF loading and for the control using 20 marine shrimp in each test vessel (ie 60 animals tested at each WAF loading). The tests were conducted over a 96 hour period with the temperature maintained at 20±1°C.

No significant mortality of the test animals was observed over the 96 hour test period for the WAFs prepared with 220 g/L loading, but after 96 hours exposure to the 360 g/L preparation 15% of the animals had died, while after 96 hours the mortality at 1 000 g/L was 60%. Trimmed Spearman-Karber analysis gives an LL<sub>50</sub> WAF of 803.4 g/L for the test substance. Noting the test substance contains the notified chemical, ChEster 304 at 30 to 50%, the toxicity solely attributable to ChEster 304 may give an LL<sub>50</sub> that is lower than 800 g/L. Nevertheless, the results indicate that exposure to high levels of the test substance may be lethal for this species although it is difficult to establish the true significance of this result.

# 10.5.2.a Acute Toxicity to Marine Copepods (Fawley Aquatic Research Laboratories Ltd 1999a)

Test Substance: DFE-435 (ChEster 304)

Test Method: DRAFT ISO 14669 (1997)

In a limit test, *Acartia tonsa* were exposed for 48 hours to a WAF of the test substance at nominal loadings of 0 or 1 000 mg/L or the positive control, 3, 5-dichlorphenol (1 mg/L) in aerated sea water. Four replicate tests were conducted using five adult animals in each of the test vessels. The temperature was maintained between 17.9 and 19.0°C and the dissolved oxygen levels were between 7.3 and 7.9 mg/L.

Mortality was observed at 0 mg/L (one *Arcatia* each at 24 and 48 hours) and at 1 000 mg/L (two *Arcatia* each at 24 and 48 hours). For the positive control, mortality was 7 out of 20 *Arcatia* at 24 hours and 11 out of 20 at 48 hours. The 48 hour  $LL_{50}$  was in excess of a WAF loading of 1 000 mg/L.

## 10.5.2.b Acute Toxicity to Marine Copepods (Stillmeadow Inc 1998b)

Test Substance: EXP 89 Mud (NEXES Mud containing ChEster 304 at 30-50%)

Test Method: ISO TC147/SC5/WG2

Acartia tonsa were exposed to initial WAF loading rates of 0, 10, 50, 100, 500 or 1 000 mg/kg of the test substance for 48 hours. Four replicates of five organisms each were evaluated at each concentration. There was no mortality observed at any concentration after 48 hours. Therefore, the  $LL_{50}$  was determined to be > 1000 mg/L.

<sup>4</sup> The petroleum industry refers to these preparations as "Suspended Particulate Phase Preparations".

FULL PUBLIC REPORT

01 May 2020

SN/9

Page 39 of 51

# 10.5.3.a 10-day Static Sediment Toxicity Test to Marine Amphipods (Fawley Aquatic Research Laboratories Ltd 1999b)

Test Substance: DFE-435 (ChEster 304)

Test Method: Oslo and Paris Commissions Protocols on Methods for the Testing of

Chemicals used in the Offshore Oil Industry. Part A.

Corophium volutator were exposed to sediment, taken from the area in which the test animals originated, containing nominal loadings of 0, 75, 165, 363, 799 or 1 757 mg/kg of the test substance (wet weight) for 10 days. The tests at each loading were conducted in duplicate, and 10 adult animals were used in each of the test vessels. The test conditions were as follows: alternating dim illumination (16 hours on per day); temperature maintained between 15.0 and 16.1°C; pH ~7.8; and dissolved oxygen levels were between 89 and 96% saturation.

At the end of the 10-day test period, there was no mortality at 0, 75, 363, or 799 mg/kg. At 165 mg/kg there were 2 deaths. At 1 757 mg/kg, 70% mortality was observed. From probit analysis, the 10 day LC<sub>50</sub> was 1 470 mg/kg of dry sediment.

It is to be noted that this result expresses the nominal loading of the test material relative to the weight of sediment in each test vessel. This result indicates that the test material exhibits some toxicity to this species of sediment dwelling organism. However, it should be noted that the levels of chemical in the piles of drill cuttings may be up to 100 000 mg/kg which is well in excess of 1 470 mg/kg. Consequently, the piles of drill cuttings may be toxic to this species.

# 10.5.3.b 10-day Static Sediment Toxicity Test to Marine Amphipods (Stillmeadow Inc 1998a)

Test Substance: EXP 89 (NEXES Mud containing ChEster 304 at 30-50%)

Test Method: ASTM E 1367-92

The toxicity of the test substance to *Corophium volutator* was investigated in amended sediment. The sediment loading rates were 0, 500, 1 000, 2 500, 5 000 and 10 000 mg/kg (nominal concentrations). After 10 days, survival in the control was 93%, and greater than 83% for all test substance exposed amphipods. Because no dose response was apparent an LL<sub>50</sub> could not be calculated. However, the LL<sub>50</sub> of the test substance after 10 days exposure was determined to be > 10~000 mg/kg, indicating that the test substance is not toxic to this species.

This finding is in contrast to that of the test described above, and the higher toxicity found in the first test may be due the presence of materials other than ChEster 304 in the drilling mud.

## 10.5.4.a Algal Growth Inhibition Test (Fawley Aquatic Research Laboratories Ltd 1999c)

Test Substance: DFE-435 (ChEster 304)

Test Method: IS Standard 10253 (1997)

The effect of the test substance on the growth rate of *Skeletonema costatum* was conducted in a limit test using WAF prepared at 1 000 mg/L loading (nominal concentration) using six replicates of both the test medium and control. The test conditions over the 72-hour test period were as follows: temperature was between 18.1 and 21.5 °C, pH between 8.07 and 8.7 and salinity around 30 g/L equivalent NaCl. The test substance was not toxic up to the limit of its water solubility as no statistically significant inhibition of algal growth was observed. Therefore, the 72 hour EL<sub>50</sub> determined for the test substance is > 1 000 mg/L.

### 10.5.4.b Algal Growth Inhibition Test (Stillmeadow Inc 1998c)

Test Substance: EXP 89 (NEXES Mud containing ChEster 304 at 30-50%)

Test Method: ISO/TC147/SC5

Skeletonema costatum was exposed for 72 hours to test loadings of 0, 10, 50, 100, 500 and 1 000 mg/L of the test substance in natural sea water. No significant inhibition of growth was observed in any of the test media indicating that the test substance is not toxic to this species up to the limits of its water solubility. Therefore, the 72-hour  $EL_{50}$  determined for the test substance is > 1 000 mg/L.

#### FIELD MONITORING PROGRAM

# 10.6 Environmental Effects of a Discharge of Drill Cuttings Contaminated with Ester-Based Drilling Muds in the North Sea (Daan R et al 1996)

Monitoring before and during an 11 month period after drilling of an oil well using an ester based drilling fluid in the final stage of well development, has been conducted in the Dutch North Sea sector. The period of the study was between August 1993 and August 1994. During the drilling operation, 477 tonnes of drilling mud containing 180 tonnes of the ester fluid, known as Petrofree, were discharged with drill cuttings to the sea floor below the drilling platform. Petrofree is structurally similar to the notified chemical. The populations of 12 different marine and benthic marine organisms within a radius of 3 000 metres from the drill site were surveyed prior to drilling the well, and then re-surveyed four and 11 months after well completion, at representative points 75, 125, 200, 500 and 3 000 metres from the well. The level of residual ester fluid within the same radii was also monitored one, four and 11 months after well completion.

The results from this well-designed and executed study indicated firstly that at the well site the half life for persistence of the ester fluid was around 133 days. Secondly, while there was initially severe disruption to faunal populations within 500 metres of the well after four months, fairly robust recovery of most species was evident after 11 months.

Residual ester levels in the sediments were typically 200-500 mg/kg after four months within a radius of 500 metres from the well, but dropped rapidly to below detection limit at 1 000 FULL PUBLIC REPORT

01 May 2020
Page 41 of 51

meters. After 11 months, typical levels within 500 meters were 10-100 mg/kg. Although there appeared to be some spatial and temporal variation in ester levels within the grab samples used (presumably due to marine currents), the overall decrease in residual ester was attributed to biodegradation and sediment transport. The half life of the ester in this particular well site environment was estimated as 133 days (lowest confidence limit 68 days).

Four months after drilling the macrofauna populations (12 species) were severely depleted within 200 metres of the well, and some species were affected at up to 1 000 metres. However, after 11 months while some effects were still apparent within 200 metres recolonisation of this zone was in progress and no persistent effects on fauna populations were apparent at distances greater than 500 metres from the well.

The results indicate that while severe short term disruption of marine populations can be expected from discharge of drill cuttings containing the residual ester fluids, these appear to recover fairly rapidly. Also, the residual ester is not persistent and appears to be degraded through biological processes.

#### 11. ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARD

The notified chemical, ChEster 306, is to be used as a component of drilling mud used on offshore drilling platforms with almost all released to the marine environment as a result of disposal with waste drill cuttings.

ChEster 306 may comprise up to 10% of the weight of the drilling waste, which is likely to form piles on the sea floor under the drilling platforms. Up to 1 000 tonnes of ChEster 306 combined with other chemicals may be released each year from drilling operations off the Australian coast. It is probable that 5 to 6 platforms may use drilling mud containing notified chemical, each of which could release around 150 tonnes of the chemical each year. A small amount (not possible to quantify) of ChEster 306 may form a "slick" on the water surface after release in calm sea conditions. This is expected to slowly evaporate and enter the atmospheric compartment where it will be degraded through reactions with hydroxyl radicals, with an initial half-life for degradation in the vicinity of 20 hours.

The ChEster 306 reaching the sea floor would become associated with benthic sediments. It is possible that 10 000 to 30 000 tonnes of drill cuttings may accumulate under a given platform, and these could contain 1 000 to 3 000 tonnes of drilling fluid, including the new ester. It is possible that this material would be spread over a relatively wide area of sea floor around each drilling platform. In respect of this point, it is relevant to note that during the operational life of a drilling platform the cuttings usually remain in a mound directly below the platform, and to some degree are "shielded" from the dispersive effects of marine storms and currents by the platform itself. However, on decommissioning of the platforms this protection is removed, allowing for much wider dispersal of the waste cuttings and the associated drilling fluid (Cobby 1999).

Marine sediments may be either aerobic or anaerobic in nature. ChEster 306 is biodegradable under aerobic conditions, and ECETOC 1993 indicates that it is also likely to be biodegradable under anaerobic conditions. However, the available data indicates that anaerobic degradation in benthic marine sediments may be a slow process due to factors such as low temperature and low density of bacteria, although it is relevant to note that in the study by (Daan R et al 1996) a persistence half life of 133 days was found for ester fluids

discharged from an oil well drilling operation in the North Sea. Biodegradation can only occur in the presence of adequate populations of bacteria and because the conditions in the interior of piles of drill cuttings may not be conducive to the sustainability of such populations (eg through lack of nutrients), biodegradation may be a slow process.

Under aerobic conditions, and assuming that the waste cutting pile can sustain a population of appropriate bacteria, the compounds will biodegrade to water and carbon dioxide. Under anaerobic conditions, the compound will biodegrade to water, carbon dioxide, methane, and carbon monoxide.

ChEster 306 is very hydrophobic, and because of its molecular weight there appears potential for bioaccumulation. A BCF of 30 300 has been estimated from the n-octanol/water partition coefficient although this may be mitigated by the very low water solubility. However, because of the ester groups present ChEster 306 is susceptible to biodegradation. If assimilated by exposed aquatic biota it is likely to be degraded but not bioaccumulate.

The results for the ecotoxicity tests submitted with the notification indicate that the chemical is non toxic to fresh water organisms up to the limits of its water solubility, and formulated drilling muds containing the new chemical to show at worst slight toxicity to marine organisms. Toxic effects which were demonstrated against two species (*Corophium volutator* and *Demoscaris tripsinosa*) in two separate tests may have been due to physical effects or to toxic properties of other components of the drilling fluids. The study by Daan R et al 1996 in the North Sea found that discarded cuttings containing an ester based fluid initially disrupted marine populations within a radius of 1 000 metres from the well. Disruption was initially very severe close to the drill hole, but a substantial recovery of the affected area had commenced within 12 months.

When used as a component of drilling muds on off shore drilling platforms, the available data indicates that ChEster 306 may present a temporary hazard to the marine environment when it is discarded with waste drill cuttings. However, the physical, chemical and biological processes occurring in deposits of marine drill cuttings are not well understood, and it is only recently that appropriate techniques for examination of the spoil piles have been developed (Black 1999). Consequently, while the present environmental hazard assessment has been based on all available data, it is possible that future studies may indicate other factors which should be considered in evaluating the environmental hazard of discarded organic based drilling fluids.

The notified chemical, ChEster 306 in drilling fluid is subject to state or Commonwealth legislation where environment management plans are required to be submitted by the notifier to the relevant authority for assessment of the environmental risk of each proposed drilling operation. Information to be submitted under relevant legislation should provide additional information on ecotoxicity, biodegradation and bioaccumulation properties of the drilling fluids, therefore enabling further assessment of hazard.

## 12. ASSESSMENT OF PUBLIC AND OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY EFFECTS

Based on the toxicological data for analogue substances, SDP and SOP, the notified chemical, ChEster 306, is expected to have low acute oral and dermal toxicity. ChEster 306 is not expected to cause any significant organ toxicity, neurotoxicity or reproductive or developmental effects based on the findings of a 90-day repeat oral dose study with SDP. ChEster 306 is not likely to be skin sensitising or genotoxic. However, it may cause some skin irritancy, including a skin drying effect upon repeated or prolonged exposure. MSDS states that ChEster 306 is not expected to be harmful to internal organs if absorbed through the skin. The MSDS states contact with the eye may cause irritation, pain, reddening and impaired vision. Aspiration into the lung after oral ingestion is a potential hazard based on the measured kinematic viscosity of the analogues. On the basis of the potential aspiration hazard, ChEster 306 is considered hazardous under the NOHSC Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances (NOHSC 1999) and should carry the hazard classification Harmful (Xn) and risk phrase R65 - May Cause Lung Damage if Swallowed. Risk phrase R66 - Repeated Exposure May Cause Skin Dryness or Cracking, has recently being adopted by the European Commission (European Commission 1998). Although yet to be adopted by the NOHSC, this risk phrase should be provisionally assigned based upon the observed skin defatting effects of SDP and SOP.

### Occupational Health and Safety

Occupational exposure may occur during preparation of drilling mud, manipulation of contaminated drill bits and associated equipment and recycling of import containers. ChEster 306 is viscous and has low vapour pressure. Consequently, inhalation is not considered a significant route of exposure under normal use conditions. Eye and skin contact is expected to be the main route of exposure. ChEster 306 has low molecular weight, low water solubility and is lipophilic. The possibility of skin absorption cannot be excluded through normal intact skin. Furthermore, skin irritation and skin dryness may compromise the skin's barrier function and subsequent exposure of damaged skin may promote skin penetration of the notified chemical.

During drilling mud preparation and use and container recycling, ChEster 306 will be handled in a manner that is automated/mechanised, intermittent and non-dispersive, with workers required to wear personal protective equipment, namely impervious protective clothing, safety glasses with eye shields and neoprene or nitrile gloves. In view of the frequency of contact, pattern of use and control measures, eye and skin contact is expected to be minimal and the risk of adverse health effects arising from the use of ChEster 306 is expected to be low. Aspiration into the lung after oral ingestion is a potential hazard; however, ingestion is not an expected route of occupational exposure.

During import and transport of ChEster 306 or prepared drilling mud, there is unlikely to be any worker exposure, except in the event of a spill. Exposure after a spill would be controlled by use of the recommended practices for spillage clean up given in the MSDS supplied by the notifier.

#### Public Health

Public contact will only occur following accidental exposure from a spill or with contact with water containing the notified chemical following cleaning of empty drums. Consequently, the potential for public exposure to the notified chemical during all phases of its life cycle is

considered to be low. Based on the above, it is considered that ChEster 306 will not pose a significant hazard to public health when used in the proposed manner.

#### 13. RECOMMENDATIONS

To minimise occupational exposure to ChEster 306 the following guidelines and precautions should be observed:

- Workers should be advised of the potential for occupational dermatoses following repeated skin exposure to ChEster 306 and to report any skin changes to the occupational health and safety officer at their workplace. When an occupational skin disease occurs, the employer should review work practices and opportunities for contact with the substance and instigate preventive measures to ensure other workers do not develop the same condition. Further guidance on preventing the occurrence of occupational skin diseases can be found in the NOHSC guide Occupational Diseases of the Skin (NOHSC 1990).
- Safety goggles should be selected and fitted in accordance with Australian Standard (AS) 1336 (Standards Australia 1994) to comply with Australian/New Zealand Standard (AS/NZS) 1337 (Standards Australia/Standards New Zealand 1992);
- Industrial clothing should conform to the specifications detailed in AS 2919 (Standards Australia 1987) and AS 3765.1 (Standards Australia 1990);
- Impermeable gloves should conform to AS/NZS 2161.2 (Standards Australia 1998). The notifier recommends neoprene or nitrile gloves;
- All occupational footwear should conform to AS/NZS 2210 (Standards Australia/Standards New Zealand 1994c);
- Where exposure to airborne material may occur an organic vapour (Type A) filter respirator should be used. Respiratory protection should conform to AS 1715 (Standards Australia/Standards New Zealand 1994a), and AS 1716 (Standards Australia/Standards New Zealand 1994b);
- ChEster 306 is identified as a Class 2 combustible liquid and should be stored, handled and used in accordance with AS 1940 (Standards Australia 1993);
- Spillage of ChEster 306 should be avoided. Spillages should be cleaned up promptly with absorbents which should be put into containers for disposal;
- Good personal hygiene should be practised to minimise the potential for eye and skin contact and ingestion;
- A copy of the MSDS should be easily accessible to employees.

If the conditions of use are varied, then greater exposure of the public may occur. In such circumstances, further information may be required to assess the hazards to public health.

This assessment report be included in environmental management submissions where required under State or Commonwealth petroleum (submerged lands) legislation.

#### 14. MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

The MSDS for ChEster 306 was provided in a format consistent with the *National Code of Practice for the Preparation of Material Safety Data Sheet* (NOHSC 1994).

This MSDS was provided by the applicant as part of the notification statement. It is reproduced here as a matter of public record. The accuracy of this information remains the responsibility of the applicant.

#### 15. REQUIREMENTS FOR SECONDARY NOTIFICATION

Under subsection 64(1) of the Act the notifier within 28 days, is to:

advise the Director that the following is available:

 any data on the toxicity of formulated drilling mud generated to meet the requirements of the Western Australia authorities or any other State or Commonwealth authority.

provide the Director with environmental management submissions which have been formerly approved by the relevant State or Commonwealth authority.

Secondary notification of ChEster 306 shall also be required if any of the circumstances stipulated under subsection 64(2) of the Act arise.

#### 16. REFERENCES

Black KPDDI (1999): Sediment Microfabric of Oil Well Drill Spoil Heaps: Preliminary Observations Using Low Temperature Scanning Electron Microscopy. *Environment, Science and Technology* 33:1983-1990.

Cobby GCR (1999): Western Australian Government Decision Making Criteria Involved in the REgulation of Drilling Fluids Offshore. *Australian Petroleum, Producers and Exploration Association* 39:600-605.

Connell D (1990): Bioaccumulation of Xenobiotic Compounds: CRC Press.

Daan R et al (1996): Environmental Effects of a Discharge of Drill Cuttings Contaminated with Ester-Based Drilling Muds in the North sea. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 15:10:1709-1772.

ECETOC (1988): Evaluation of Anaerobic Biodegradation. Brussels: European Centre for Ecotoxicology of Chemicals (ECETOC).

ECETOC (1993): Assessment of the Biodegradation of Chemicals in the Marine Environment. Brussels: European Centre for Ecotoxicology of Chemicals (ECETOC).

Environmental Enterprises USA Inc (1998): 96-hour Static Definitive Toxicity Test, Study # EXP-89 September 1998. Slidell.

European Commission (1992): European Commission Directive 92/69/EC, Annex V. Brussels.

European Commission (1998): Official Journal L355 30 December 1998. Brussels.

Fawley Aquatic Research Laboratories Ltd (1999a): The Acute Toxicity of Test Substance DFE-435 to Copepods Study # FTR 079/99, July 1999. Southampton.

Fawley Aquatic Research Laboratories Ltd (1999b): The Acute Toxicity of Test Substance DFE-435 to *Corophium volutator*, Study # FTR 099/99, May 1999. Southampton.

Fawley Aquatic Research Laboratories Ltd (1999c): Algal Growth Inhibition by Test Substance DFE-435 Study # FTR 097/99, May 1999. Southampton.

Feitjel ea (1997): Integration of Bioaccumulation in an Environmental Risk Assessment. *Chemosphere* 34:2237-2350.

Geotech (1999): The Anaerobic Biodegradability of Non-Water Based Fluids.

KM Lab (1998): Bioaccumulation Test Results using HPLC for the Test Substance EXP-89, Study # 03398. Rodelokka.

Lyman WRWRD (1982): Handbook of Chemical Property Estimation Methods: McGraw Hill.

NOHSC (1990): Occupational Diseases of the Skin. Canberra: Australian Government Publishing Service.

NOHSC (1994): National Code of Practice for the Preparation of Material Safety Data Sheets [NOHSC:2011(1994)]. Canberra: Australian Government Publishing Service.

NOHSC (1999): Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances [NOHSC:1008(1999)]. Canberra: Australian Government Publishing Service.

OECD (1995-1996): OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals on CD-Rom. Paris: OECD.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998a): Secondary Dodecyl Propionates: 90-day Repeated Dose Oral (Gavage) Study in the Rat, Study # 703/144. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998b): Secondary Dodecyl Propionates: Acute Dermal Irritation Test in the Rabbit, Study # 703/140. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998c): Secondary Dodecyl Propionates: Acute Dermal Toxicity Study in the Rat. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998d): Secondary Dodecyl Propionates: Acute Oral Toxicity Study in the Rat. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998e): Secondary Dodecyl Propionates: Acute Toxicity to *Daphnia magna*, Study # 703/149. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998f): Secondary Dodecyl Propionates: Acute Toxicity to Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), Study # 703/148. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998g): Secondary Dodecyl Propionates: Algal Inhibition Test, Study # 703/150. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998h): Secondary Dodecyl Propionates: Assessment of Ready Biodegradability CO<sub>2</sub> Evolution Test. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998i): Secondary Dodecyl Propionates: Chromosome Aberration Test in Human Lymphocytes *in vitro*, Study # 703/146. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998j): Secondary Dodecyl Propionates: Magnusson & Kligman Maximisation Study in the Guineapig, Study # 703/142. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998k): Secondary Dodecyl Propionates: Micronucleus Test in the Mouse. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998l): Secondary Dodecyl Propionates: Primary Eye Irritation Test in the Rabbit, Study # 703/141. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998m): Secondary Dodecyl Propionates: *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Escherichia Coli*/Mammalian-Microsome Reverse Mutation Assay, Study # 703/145. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998n): Secondary Octadcyl Propionates: Primary Eye Irritation Test in the Rabbit, Study # 703/158. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998o): Secondary Octadecyl Propionates: Acute Dermal Irritation Test in the Rabbit, Study # 703/157. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998p): Secondary Octadecyl Propionates: Acute Dermal Toxicity Study in the Rat, Study # 703/156. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998q): Secondary Octadecyl Propionates: Acute Oral Toxicity Study in the Rat. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998r): Secondary Octadecyl Propionates: Acute Toxicity to *Daphnia magna*, Study # 703/163. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998s): Secondary Octadecyl Propionates: Acute Toxicity to Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), Study # 703/162. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998t): Secondary Octadecyl Propionates: Algal Inhibition Test, Study # 703/164. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998u): Secondary Octadecyl Propionates: Assessment of Ready Biodegradability CO<sub>2</sub> Evolution Test. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998v): Secondary Octadecyl Propionates: Determination of Autoignition Temperature (Liquids and Gases). Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998w): Secondary Octadecyl Propionates: Determination of Partition Coefficient. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998x): Secondary Octadecyl Propionates: Magnusson & Kligman Maximisation Study in the Guineapig, Study # 703/159. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1998y): Secondary Octadecyl Propionates: *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Escherichia Coli*/Mammalian-Microsome Reverse Mutation Assay. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1999a): ChEster 304: Assessment of Biodegradability in Sea Water Closed Bottle Test, Study # 703/203, 22 October 1999. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1999b): JCG9902 (petrofree): Assessment of Biodegradability in Sea Water Closed Bottle Test, Study # 703/210, 22 October 1999. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1999c): Secondary Dodecyl Propionates: Determination of General Physico-Chemical Properties. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1999d): Secondary Dodecyl Propionates: Determination of Hazardous Physico-Chemical Properties, Study # 703/136. Derby.

Safepharm Laboratories Limited (1999e): Secondary Dodecyl Propionates: Determination of Vapour Pressure, Study # 703/136. Derby.

Standards Australia (1987): AS 2919-1987, Australian Standard Industrial Clothing. Sydney: Standards Australia.

Standards Australia (1990): AS 3765.1-1990, Australian Standard Clothing for Protection against Hazardous Chemicals Part 1 Protection Against General or Specific Chemicals. Sydney: Standards Australia.

Standards Australia (1993): AS 1940 The Storage and Handling of Flammable and Combustible Liquids. Sydney: Standards Australia.

Standards Australia (1994): AS 1336-1994, Australian Standard Eye protection in the Industrial Environment. Sydney: Standards Australia.

Standards Australia (1998): AS/NZS 2161.2:1998, Australian/New Zealand Standard Occupational Protective Gloves Part 2: General Requirements. Sydney/Wellington: Standards Australia and Standards New Zealand.

Standards Australia/Standards New Zealand (1992): *AS/NZS 1337-1992, Australian/New Zealand Standard Eye Protectors for Industrial Applications*. Sydney/Wellington: Standards Australia and Standards New Zealand.

Standards Australia/Standards New Zealand (1994a): AS/NZS 1715-1994, Australian/New Zealand Standard Selection, Use and Maintenance of Respiratory Protective Devices. Sydney/Wellington: Standards Australia and Standards New Zealand.

Standards Australia/Standards New Zealand (1994b): AS/NZS 1716-1994, Australian/New Zealand Standard Respiratory Protective Devices. Sydney/Wellington: Standards Australia and Standards New Zealand.

Standards Australia/Standards New Zealand (1994c): AS/NZS 2210-1994, Australian/New Zealand Standard Occupational Protective Footwear. Sydney/Wellington: Standards Australia and Standards New Zealand.

Stillmeadow Inc (1998a): Assessment of 10-Day Static Sediment Toxicity Test with *Corophium volutator*, Study # 4576-98, 17 December 1998. Houston.

Stillmeadow Inc (1998b): Assessment of Acute Toxicity of Drilling Fluid Products to the Marine Copepod *Arcatia tonsa*, Study # 4574-98, 4 December 1998. Houston.

Stillmeadow Inc (1998c): Marine Algal Growth Inhibition Test using *Skeletonema costatum*, Study # 4575-98, 4 December 1998. Houston.

Technology Partners International Laboratories Ltd (1999): Aquatic Acute Toxicity Test Report on 'Experimental Esters' Chemical, Study # TPIL/TT/99/0010, May 1999. Port Harcourt.

Wildlife International Ltd (1999a): Analytical Method Verification and Determination of the Solubility of Secondary Dodecyl Propionates in Freshwater. Richmond.

Wildlife International Ltd (1999b): Secondary Dodecyl Propionates A 96-Hour Toxicity Test with the Freshwater Alga (*Selenastrum capricornutum*), Study # 162A-130, 3 February 2000. Easton.

Wildlife International Ltd (1999c): Secondary Dodecyl Propionates A Flow-Through Life-Cycle Toxicity Test with the Cladoceran (*Daphnia magna*), Study # 162A-128, 15 February 2000. Easton.

Wildlife International Ltd (1999d): Secondary Dodecyl Propionates An Early Life Stage Toxicity Test with the Fathead Minnow (*Pimpehales promelas*), Study # 162A-129, 15 February 2000. Easton.

### Attachment 1

The Draize Scale for evaluation of skin reactions is as follows:

Erythema Formation	Rating	Oedema Formation	Rating
No erythema	0	No oedema	0
Very slight erythema (barely perceptible)	1	Very slight oedema (barely perceptible)	1
Well-defined erythema	2	Slight oedema (edges of area well-defined by definite raising	2
Moderate to severe erythema	3	Moderate oedema (raised approx. 1 mm)	3
Severe erythema (beet redness)	4	Severe oedema (raised more than 1 mm and extending beyond area of exposure)	4

The Draize scale for evaluation of eye reactions is as follows:

### **CORNEA**

Opacity	Rating	Area of Cornea involved	Rating
No opacity	0 none	25% or less (not zero)	1
Diffuse area, details of iris clearly visible	1 slight	25% to 50%	2
Easily visible translucent areas, details of iris slightly obscure	2 mild	50% to 75%	3
Opalescent areas, no details of iris visible, size of pupil barely discernible	3 moderate	Greater than 75%	4
Opaque, iris invisible	4 severe		

### CONJUNCTIVAE

Redness	Rating	Chemosis	Rating	Discharge	Rating
Vessels normal	0 none	No swelling	0 none	No discharge	0 none
Vessels definitely injected above normal	1 slight	Any swelling above normal	1 slight	Any amount different from normal	1 slight
More diffuse, deeper crimson red with individual vessels not	2 mod.	Obvious swelling with partial eversion of lids	2 mild	Discharge with moistening of lids and adjacent hairs	2 mod.
easily discernible	2	Swelling with lids half- closed	3 mod.	Discharge with	3 severe
Diffuse beefy red 3 severe	Swelling with lids half- closed to completely closed	4 severe	moistening of lids and hairs and considerable area around eye		

### IRIS

Values	Rating
Normal	0 none
Folds above normal, congestion, swelling, circumcorneal injection, iris reacts to light	1 slight
No reaction to light, haemorrhage, gross destruction	2 severe