

File No: LTD/1625

November 2012

**NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS NOTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT SCHEME  
(NICNAS)**

**PUBLIC REPORT**

**CIM-25**

This Assessment has been compiled in accordance with the provisions of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act 1989* (Cwlth) (the Act) and Regulations. This legislation is an Act of the Commonwealth of Australia. The National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) is administered by the Department of Health and Ageing, and conducts the risk assessment for public health and occupational health and safety. The assessment of environmental risk is conducted by the Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities.

For the purposes of subsection 78(1) of the Act, this Public Report may be inspected at our NICNAS office by appointment only at Level 7, 260 Elizabeth Street, Surry Hills NSW 2010.

This Public Report is also available for viewing and downloading from the NICNAS website or available on request, free of charge, by contacting NICNAS. For requests and enquiries please contact the NICNAS Administration Coordinator at:

Street Address:	Level 7, 260 Elizabeth Street, SURRY HILLS NSW 2010, AUSTRALIA.
Postal Address:	GPO Box 58, SYDNEY NSW 2001, AUSTRALIA.
TEL:	+ 61 2 8577 8800
FAX	+ 61 2 8577 8888
Website:	<a href="http://www.nicnas.gov.au">www.nicnas.gov.au</a>

**Director  
NICNAS**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

SUMMARY .....	3
CONCLUSIONS AND REGULATORY OBLIGATIONS .....	3
ASSESSMENT DETAILS.....	5
1.    APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS.....	5
2.    IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL.....	5
3.    COMPOSITION .....	5
4.    PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES .....	5
5.    INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION.....	6
6.    HUMAN HEALTH IMPLICATIONS .....	7
6.1.    Exposure Assessment.....	7
6.1.1.    Occupational Exposure .....	7
6.1.2.    Public Exposure.....	7
6.2.    Human Health Effects Assessment .....	7
6.3.    Human Health Risk Characterisation .....	8
6.3.1.    Occupational Health and Safety .....	8
6.3.2.    Public Health.....	8
7.    ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS.....	9
7.1.    Environmental Exposure & Fate Assessment .....	9
7.1.1.    Environmental Exposure.....	9
7.1.2.    Environmental Fate .....	9
7.1.3.    Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC).....	9
7.2.    Environmental Effects Assessment.....	10
7.2.1.    Predicted No-Effect Concentration.....	10
7.3.    Environmental Risk Assessment.....	10
<u>APPENDIX A: PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES .....</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>APPENDIX B: TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS .....</u>	<u>14</u>
B.1.    Acute toxicity – oral.....	14
B.2.    Genotoxicity – bacteria .....	14
B.3.    Genotoxicity – in vitro .....	15
<u>APPENDIX C: ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND ECOTOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS .....</u>	<u>17</u>
C.1.    Environmental Fate .....	17
C.1.1.    Ready biodegradability .....	17
BIBLIOGRAPHY .....	18

## SUMMARY

The following details will be published in the NICNAS *Chemical Gazette*:

ASSESSMENT REFERENCE	APPLICANT(S)	CHEMICAL OR TRADE NAME	HAZARDOUS CHEMICAL	INTRODUCTION VOLUME	USE
LTD/1625	Canon Australia Pty Ltd	CIM-25	ND*	≤ 1 tonne per annum	Component of inkjet printer ink

\*ND = not determined

## CONCLUSIONS AND REGULATORY OBLIGATIONS

### **Hazard classification**

Based on the available information, the notified chemical is not recommended for classification according to the *Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals* (GHS), as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia, or the *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC, 2004).

### **Human health risk assessment**

Under the conditions of the occupational settings described, the notified chemical is not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to the health of workers.

When used in the proposed manner, the notified chemical is not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to public health.

### **Environmental risk assessment**

On the basis of the PEC/PNEC ratio and the assessed use pattern, the notified chemical is not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to the environment.

### **Recommendations**

#### CONTROL MEASURES

##### Occupational Health and Safety

- A person conducting a business or undertaking at a workplace should implement the following safe work practices to minimise occupational exposure during handling of the notified chemical as introduced:
  - Avoid skin and eye contact
  - Do not generate aerosols
  - Clean up spills promptly
- Service personnel should wear impervious gloves and ensure adequate ventilation is present when removing spent printer cartridges containing the notified chemical and during routine maintenance and repairs.
- A copy of the (M)SDS should be easily accessible to employees.
- If products and mixtures containing the notified chemical are classified as hazardous to health in accordance with the *Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals* (GHS) as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia, workplace practices and control procedures consistent with provisions of State and Territory hazardous substances legislation should be in operation.

##### Disposal

- The notified chemical should be disposed of to landfill.

#### Emergency procedures

- Spills or accidental release of the notified chemical should be handled by physical containment, collection and subsequent safe disposal.

### Regulatory Obligations

#### *Secondary Notification*

This risk assessment is based on the information available at the time of notification. The Director may call for the reassessment of the chemical under secondary notification provisions based on changes in certain circumstances. Under Section 64 of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act (1989)* the notifier, as well as any other importer or manufacturer of the notified chemical, have post-assessment regulatory obligations to notify NICNAS when any of these circumstances change. These obligations apply even when the notified chemical is listed on the Australian Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS).

Therefore, the Director of NICNAS must be notified in writing within 28 days by the notifier, other importer or manufacturer:

(1)

Under Section 64(1) of the Act; if

- the importation volume exceeds one tonne per annum notified chemical;
- the notified chemical is imported in any form other than as a component of sealed ink-jet cartridges;

or

(2) Under Section 64(2) of the Act; if

- the function or use of the chemical has changed from component of inkjet printer ink, or is likely to change significantly;
- the chemical has begun to be manufactured in Australia;
- additional information has become available to the person as to an adverse effect of the chemical on occupational health and safety, public health, or the environment.

The Director will then decide whether a reassessment (i.e. a secondary notification and assessment) is required.

#### *(Material) Safety Data Sheet*

The (M)SDS of the notified chemical provided by the notifier was reviewed by NICNAS. The accuracy of the information on the (M)SDS remains the responsibility of the applicant.

## **ASSESSMENT DETAILS**

This notification has been conducted under the cooperative arrangement with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA). Information pertaining to the assessment of the notified chemical by the US EPA was provided to NICNAS and, where appropriate, used in this assessment report. The other elements of the risk assessment and recommendations on the safe use of the notified chemical were carried out by NICNAS.

### **1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS**

#### APPLICANT(S)

Canon Australia Pty Ltd (ABN: 66 005 002 951 )  
1 Thomas Holt Drive  
NORTH RYDE NSW 2113

#### NOTIFICATION CATEGORY

Limited-small volume: Chemical other than polymer (1 tonne or less per year).

#### EXEMPT INFORMATION (SECTION 75 OF THE ACT)

Data items and details claimed exempt from publication: chemical name, other names, CAS number, molecular and structural formulae, molecular weight, analytical data, impurities and identity of manufacturer.

#### VARIATION OF DATA REQUIREMENTS (SECTION 24 OF THE ACT)

Variation to the schedule of data requirements is claimed as follows: dissociation constant and flash point.

#### PREVIOUS NOTIFICATION IN AUSTRALIA BY APPLICANT(S)

LVC/848.

#### NOTIFICATION IN OTHER COUNTRIES

China (2011)  
USA (2011)  
Japan (2011)  
Korea (2012)  
Philippines (2012)

### **2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL**

#### MARKETING NAME(S)

CIM-25

#### MOLECULAR WEIGHT

> 500 Da

#### ANALYTICAL DATA

Reference, IR, HPLC, LC-MS and UV spectra were provided.

### **3. COMPOSITION**

DEGREE OF PURITY > 85%

### **4. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES**

APPEARANCE AT 20 °C AND 101.3 kPa: Dark blue powder

Property	Value	Data Source/Justification
Melting Point	Decomposes at > 318 °C	Measured
Density	1750 kg/m <sup>3</sup> at 20 °C	Measured
Vapour Pressure	< 2.5 x10 <sup>-12</sup> kPa at 25 °C	Measured
Water Solubility	170–191 g/L at 20 °C	Measured (EC 440/2008, A6; OECD

Hydrolysis as a Function of pH	$t_{1/2} > 1$ yr at 25 °C; pH 4, 7 and 9	105; flask method) Measured (EC 440/2008, C7; OECD 111)
Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water)	$\log P_{ow} < -3.48$ at 21 °C	Measured (EC 440/2008, A8; OECD 107; shake-flask method). Test conducted at approximately pH 7 with the notified chemical in its ionised form.
Adsorption/Desorption	$\log K_{oc} < 1.25$ at 30 °C	Measured (EC 440/2008, C19; OECD 121; HPLC screening method). Test conducted at approximately pH 7 with the notified chemical in its ionised form.
Dissociation Constant	Estimated $pK_a < 3.65; > 9.43$	The notified chemical is a salt which is expected to be ionised under environmental conditions.
Particle Size	Inhalable fraction ( $< 100 \mu m$ ): 37.5% Respirable fraction ( $< 10 \mu m$ ): $9.8 \times 10^{-20}\%$	Measured
Flammability	Not highly flammable	Measured
Autoignition Temperature	$> 400$ °C	Measured
Explosive Properties	Not expected to be explosive	Measured
Oxidising Properties	Not expected to oxidise	Contains no functional groups that would imply oxidative properties

#### DISCUSSION OF PROPERTIES

For full details of tests on physical and chemical properties that have not been assessed by US EPA, refer to Appendix A.

#### Reactivity

The notified chemical is expected to be stable under normal conditions of use.

#### Physical hazard classification

Based on the submitted physico-chemical data depicted in the above table, the notified chemical is not recommended for hazard classification according to the *Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)*, as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia.

## 5. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION

#### MODE OF INTRODUCTION OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

The notified chemical will be imported as a component ( $\leq 7\%$ ) of inkjet printer ink.

#### MAXIMUM INTRODUCTION VOLUME OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

Year	1	2	3	4	5
Tonnes	$\leq 0.1$	$\leq 1$	$\leq 1$	$\leq 1$	$\leq 1$

#### PORT OF ENTRY

Sydney (by air and sea)

#### IDENTITY OF RECIPIENTS

Canon Australia Pty Ltd

#### TRANSPORTATION AND PACKAGING

The notified chemical will be imported as a component of inkjet printer ink in sealed cartridges. The cartridges will vary in size between 2.5-2600 mL and will be packaged in sealed foil bags. The printer cartridges will be transported by road to the Canon Australia Pty Ltd warehouse and then distributed to retail outlets/end-users.

**USE**

The notified chemical will be used as a component ( $\leq 7\%$ ) of inkjet printer ink for commercial and household printers.

**OPERATION DESCRIPTION**

The notified chemical will be imported as a component of ink in sealed cartridges. Reformulation will not take place in Australia.

End-users (including service technicians, office workers and the general public) will remove the cartridge from the packaging and place the cartridge into the printer. The cartridge will be disposed of when empty.

**6. HUMAN HEALTH IMPLICATIONS****6.1. Exposure Assessment****6.1.1. Occupational Exposure****CATEGORY OF WORKERS**

<i>Category of Worker</i>	<i>Exposure Duration (hours/day)</i>	<i>Exposure Frequency (days/year)</i>
Import/waterside	< 8	10-50
Storage and transport	< 8	10-50
Office workers	10 seconds	2
Service technicians	1	170

**EXPOSURE DETAILS**

Waterside, storage and transport workers may come into contact with the notified chemical, as a component of ink ( $\leq 7\%$ ), only in the unlikely event of an accident.

Service technicians may be exposed to the ink containing 7% or less notified chemical during repair and cleaning of ink jet printers. Due to the low volatility of the notified chemical, dermal exposure is expected to be the main potential route of exposure. Exposure to the notified chemical may occur while changing cartridges if the ink is inadvertently handled.

Office workers and home users may be exposed to the ink when replacing the cartridge but the amount of exposure is predicted to be very small. Instructions on how to replace the cartridges safely are included with the cartridge. During the printing process, the ink turns into an extremely fine mist and is transferred to the paper, however, mist emission of the non-volatile components of the ink from the printer is expected to be very low. Occasional dermal exposure during use of the printer may occur if the printed pages were handled inadvertently before the ink had dried, or if ink-stained parts of the printer were touched. Once the ink dries, the chemical would be bonded to the printed-paper, and therefore dermal exposure to the notified chemical from contact with dried ink is not expected.

**6.1.2. Public Exposure**

Dermal exposure of the public to inks containing the notified chemical (at  $\leq 7\%$ ) is expected to be similar, though less frequent, than that described above for office workers.

**6.2. Human Health Effects Assessment**

The results from toxicological investigations conducted on the notified chemical are summarised in the following table. For full details of the studies, refer to Appendix B.

<i>Endpoint</i>	<i>Result and Assessment Conclusion</i>
Rat, acute oral toxicity	LD50 > 2000 mg/kg bw low toxicity
Mutagenicity – bacterial reverse mutation	non mutagenic
Genotoxicity – <i>in vitro</i> mammalian chromosomal aberration	non genotoxic

*Toxicokinetics.*

Given the relatively high molecular weight (> 500 Da), high water solubility (170-191 g/L) and low partition coefficient (log Pow < -3.48 at 21 °C) of the notified chemical, dermal absorption is not expected.

Given the coloured urine seen in the acute oral toxicity study, it is likely that the notified chemical can be absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract following oral exposure.

*Acute toxicity.*

The notified chemical was found to be of low acute oral toxicity in a study conducted in rats (LD50 > 2000 mg/kg bw). There were no signs of systemic toxicity. Bluish coloured urine was noted in 4/5 animals on days 1-4 indicating absorption of the blue coloured notified chemical.

*Irritation/sensitisation.*

The notified chemical contains functional groups that have been associated with skin and eye irritation. The potential for the skin effects may be limited by the relatively high molecular weight (> 500 Da) of the notified chemical. Due to its high water solubility (170-191 g/L) and low partition coefficient (log Pow < -3.48 at 21 °C) it may be too hydrophilic to cross the stratum corneum. Based on these physicochemical properties, the potential for skin irritation is low. However, the eye irritation effects cannot be ruled out.

*Mutagenicity.*

The notified chemical was not mutagenic in a bacterial reverse mutation study and was not clastogenic to human lymphocytes in an *in vitro* mammalian chromosome aberration test.

**Health hazard classification**

Based on the available information, the notified chemical cannot be classified according to the *Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)*, as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia, or the *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC, 2004).

**6.3. Human Health Risk Characterisation**

**6.3.1. Occupational Health and Safety**

The notified chemical is of low acute oral toxicity and is not expected to be genotoxic. Based on functional group alerts the notified chemical may be irritating to the eye, however this is expected to be limited given the high molecular weight of the notified chemical. Based on its physico-chemical properties, the notified chemical is likely to have limited potential for dermal absorption. Toxicity from repeat exposure is not known but is expected to be limited by the dermal route.

The notified chemical may be handled by workers at ≤ 7% concentration. Dermal exposure to the notified chemical may occur when replacing spent cartridges (and/or as incidental exposure when touching wet ink on printed pages). At these low concentrations, skin and eye irritation is not expected. Toxicity arising from repeated exposure to the notified chemical cannot be ruled out but is not expected at the low proposed use concentrations (≤ 7%) and use in contained cartridges.

While significant dermal exposure of technicians to the notified chemical is not expected given its containment within cartridges, performing printer maintenance operations, in an industrial setting, may occur on a frequent basis. Therefore, measures should be taken to avoid exposure to the notified chemical (e.g. use of impervious gloves).

Dermal exposure of office workers to the notified chemical is expected to be infrequent and of a low level, given the containment of the chemical within cartridges and the provision of instructions for replacing the cartridges. There may be frequent exposure to dried ink containing the notified chemical, however, the chemical will be cured in the ink matrix and not be available for exposure.

Therefore, provided that measures to protect technicians are being adhered to (i.e., use of impervious gloves and adequate ventilation when performing printer maintenance operations), and based on the expected low exposure of office workers to the notified chemical, the risk to the health of workers from use of the notified chemical is not considered to be unreasonable.

**6.3.2. Public Health**



Public exposure to the notified chemical is expected to be similar, though less frequent than that experienced by office workers. Therefore, the risk to the health of the public from use of the notified chemical is not considered to be unreasonable.

## **7. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS**

### **7.1. Environmental Exposure & Fate Assessment**

#### **7.1.1. Environmental Exposure**

##### **RELEASE OF CHEMICAL AT SITE**

The notified chemical will be imported into Australia as a component of inkjet printer ink in ready-to-use cartridges. Release of the ink solution to the environment is not expected as manufacturing and reformulation of the ink containing the notified chemical will not take place in Australia. Environmental release of the notified chemical is unlikely during importation, storage and transportation.

##### **RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM USE**

The ink cartridges are designed to prevent leakage and will not be opened during transport, use, installation or replacement. Therefore, release of ink containing the notified chemical to the environment is not expected under normal conditions. However, if leakage or spillage does occur, the ink will be contained with absorbent material, which is expected to be disposed of to landfill along with empty cartridges and printer heads.

The sealed cartridges are contained in the printer until they are removed for disposal. Residual ink (< 5% of the total annual import of the notified chemical) left in empty cartridges will most likely be disposed of to landfill. The majority of the ink will be bound to printed paper that will be disposed of to landfill or recycled.

##### **RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM DISPOSAL**

Half of the paper that the notified chemical is bound to is expected to be recycled, which may result in the release of a proportion of the notified chemical to the aquatic compartment. Waste paper is pulped using a variety of chemical treatments that result in fibre separation and ink detachment from the fibres. The effluent is expected to be released to sewer.

#### **7.1.2. Environmental Fate**

The majority of the notified chemical is expected to enter the environment from disposal of printed paper products that ink containing the notified chemical will be used on. Approximately 50% of the notified chemical will be disposed of to landfill by binding on the printed waste paper. Notified chemical that is not bound to paper in landfill may leach due to the low adsorption/desorption ( $K_{oc}$ ) value and high water solubility where it may enter surface waters. The remaining 50% of the notified chemical has the potential to be released to sewer, after the de-inking of paper during recycling. The notified chemical is not expected to be removed during sewage treatment plant (STP) processes due to its high water solubility and low potential to sorb to sludge. Therefore, the notified chemical from paper recycling may be released from STPs into surface waters. Notified chemical that enters surface waters from landfill leachate and STPs is expected to disperse and eventually degrade. The notified chemical is not readily biodegradable. For the details of the biodegradability study please refer to Appendix C. The notified chemical is not expected to bioaccumulate due to its very low n-octanol partition coefficient (log  $P_{ow}$ ) and high solubility in water. The notified chemical is expected to eventually degrade *in-situ* by abiotic and biotic processes into water, inorganic salts and oxides of carbon and nitrogen.

#### **7.1.3. Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC)**

Using a worst-case scenario, it is assumed that 50% of the paper products containing the notified chemical will be recycled and the notified chemical will be released into sewers with no removal of the notified chemical during recycling or STP processes. As the notified chemical is to be processed at paper recycling facilities located throughout Australia, it is anticipated that such releases will occur on 260 days into the Australian effluent volume. The resultant estimate for the predicted environmental concentration (PEC) in sewage effluent nationwide is presented below.

***Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC) for the Aquatic Compartment***

Total Annual Import/Manufactured Volume	1000	kg/year
Proportion expected to be released to sewer	50%	
Annual quantity of chemical released to sewer	500	kg/year
Days per year where release occurs	260	days/year
Daily chemical release:	1.92	kg/day
Water use	200	L/person/day
Population of Australia (Millions)	22.613	million
Removal within STP	0%	
Daily effluent production:	4,523	ML
Dilution Factor - River	1	
Dilution Factor - Ocean	10	
PEC - River:	0.43	µg/L
PEC - Ocean:	0.043	µg/L

STP effluent re-use for irrigation occurs throughout Australia. The agricultural irrigation application rate is assumed to be 1000 L/m<sup>2</sup>/year (10 ML/ha/year). The notified chemical in this volume is assumed to infiltrate and accumulate in the top 10 cm of soil (density 1500 kg/m<sup>3</sup>). Using these assumptions, irrigation with a concentration of 0.425 µg/L may potentially result in a soil concentration of approximately 2.84 µg/kg. Assuming accumulation of the notified chemical in soil for 5 and 10 years under repeated irrigation, the concentration of notified chemical in the applied soil in 5 and 10 years may be approximately 14.2 µg/kg and 28.4 µg/kg, respectively.

**7.2. Environmental Effects Assessment**

No ecotoxicity data for the notified chemical were submitted. Similar inkjet dyes are generally not harmful to fish and aquatic invertebrates (L(E)C50 > 100 mg/L), but can be moderately toxic to green algae. Effects on algae are mostly related to the colour of dyes, which can reduce the light needed for the algae's growth, rather than from direct toxic effects. Based on the algal toxicity found for similar chemicals, the acute toxicity for algae is estimated to be greater than 1 mg/L for the notified chemical.

The estimation procedure used here is based on data for similar chemicals and is considered acceptable for the purpose of risk assessment. However, this toxicity estimation is not considered sufficient to formally classify the acute and long term hazard of the notified chemical to aquatic life under the Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (United Nations, 2009).

**7.2.1. Predicted No-Effect Concentration**

The endpoint for the most sensitive species (Algae) is used to calculate the predicted no-effect concentration (PNEC). An assessment factor of 100 was used as the endpoint for the most sensitive species is conservatively estimated.

***Predicted No-Effect Concentration (PNEC) for the Aquatic Compartment***

EC50 (Algae)	> 1	mg/L
Assessment Factor	100	
PNEC:	> 10	µg/L

**7.3. Environmental Risk Assessment**

Risk Assessment	PEC µg/L	PNEC µg/L	Q
Q - River	0.43	> 10	< 0.043
Q - Ocean	0.043	> 10	< 0.004

The Risk Quotients (Q = PEC/PNEC) for the worst case discharge scenario have been calculated to be much less than 1 for the river and ocean compartments. This indicates that the notified chemical is present in the environment at much lower concentrations than the concentration expected to cause adverse effects to aquatic organisms. Therefore, the notified chemical is not expected to pose an unreasonable risk to the aquatic environment based on its reported use pattern.



## APPENDIX A: PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

**Melting Point** Decomposes without melting at > 318 °C

Method OECD TG 102 Melting Point/Melting Range.  
EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 A.1 Melting/Freezing Temperature.  
Remarks Determined by Differential Scanning Calorimetry  
Test Facility Harlan (2011a)

**Density** 1750 kg/m<sup>3</sup> at 20 °C

Method OECD TG 109 Density of Liquids and Solids.  
EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 A.3 Relative Density.  
Remarks Determined by Gas Comparison Pycnometer  
Test Facility Harlan (2011a)

**Vapour Pressure** < 2.5 X 10<sup>-12</sup> kPa at 25 °C

Method OECD TG 104 Vapour Pressure.  
EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 A.4 Vapour Pressure.  
Remarks Determined by Vapour Pressure Balance  
Test Facility Harlan (2011b)

**Dissociation Constant** Expected to be ionised under environmental conditions.

Method The notified chemical contains functionalities with overlapping dissociation constants, making direct measurement of its dissociation constants impractical. The notifier provided pKa values for the free acid form of the notified chemical. The estimation was performed using Advanced Chemistry Development I-lab Web Service, in lieu of measured values.  
Remarks The notified chemical contains functional groups with calculated pKa values of less than 3.65 and greater than 9.43.  
The notified chemical is a salt which is expected to be ionised under environmental conditions.  
Test Facility Harlan (2011a)

### **Particle Size**

Method OECD TG 110 Particle Size Distribution/Fibre Length and Diameter Distributions.

<i>Range (µm)</i>	<i>Mass (%)</i>
< 100	37.5
< 10	9.82 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>
< 5.5	7.83 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>

Remarks Too few particles were of a size less than 10.0µm to allow accurate assessment of the mass median aerodynamic diameter  
Test Facility Harlan Laboratories (2011a)

**Flammability** Not highly flammable

Method EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 A.10 Flammability (Solids).  
Remarks In a preliminary screening test the notified chemical did not ignite when a flame was applied for 2 minutes.  
Test Facility Harlan (2011b)

**Autoignition Temperature** > 400 °C

Method	EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 A.16 Relative Self-Ignition Temperature for Solids.
Remarks	The test item did not self-ignite below 400 °C.
Test Facility	Harlan (2011b)

**Explosive Properties**

Method	EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 A.14 Explosive Properties.
Remarks	The explosive properties could not be predicted as negative based on the chemical structure, hence thermal analysis by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was conducted. The thermogram showed a broad exotherm between 300 °C and 375 °C due to decomposition. The decomposition energy was calculated to be ~ 130 J/g. As this value is below 500 J/g, the explosive properties of the test substance were predicted negative.
Test Facility	Harlan (2011b)

## APPENDIX B: TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

### B.1. Acute toxicity – oral

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified chemical		
METHOD	OECD TG 420 Acute Oral Toxicity – Fixed Dose Procedure. EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 B.1 bis Acute toxicity (oral) fixed dose method.		
Species/Strain	Rat/Wistar		
Vehicle	Distilled water		
Remarks - Method	No significant protocol deviations		
RESULTS			
	<i>Group</i>	<i>Number and Sex of Animals</i>	<i>Dose mg/kg bw</i>
	1	1 Female	2000
	2	4 Female	2000
LD50	> 2000 mg/kg bw		
Signs of Toxicity	There were no signs of systemic toxicity. Dark blue stained faeces were noted in all animals on days 1-9 and for 4/5 animals on days 10-12. Blue stained urine were noted in 4/5 animals on days 1-4. Blue coloured staining of the fur was noted in one animal on days 1-4. Blue stained tail was noted in four animals. These effects were not observed at the end of the observation period.		
Effects in Organs	No abnormalities were detected in the organs.		
Remarks - Results	All animals showed expected gains in bodyweight.		
CONCLUSION	The notified chemical is of low toxicity via the oral route.		
TEST FACILITY	Harlan (2011c)		

### B.2. Genotoxicity – bacteria

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified chemical
METHOD	OECD TG 471 Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test. EC Council Regulation No. 440/2008 B.13/14 Mutagenicity – Reverse Mutation Test using Bacteria. Plate incorporation procedure (Test 1) and Pre incubation procedure (Test 2)
Species/Strain	<i>S. typhimurium</i> : TA1535, TA1537, TA98, TA100 <i>E. coli</i> : WP2uvrA
Metabolic Activation System	S9 fraction from rat liver induced with phenobarbitone/β-naphthoflavone
Concentration Range in Main Test	a) With metabolic activation: 50-5000 µg/plate b) Without metabolic activation: 50-5000 µg/plate
Vehicle	Sterile distilled water, test substance administered as solution
Remarks - Method	No significant protocol deviations
RESULTS	
<i>Metabolic Activation</i>	<i>Test Substance Concentration (µg/plate) Resulting in:</i> <i>Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test</i> <i>Cytotoxicity in Main Test</i> <i>Precipitation</i> <i>Genotoxic Effect</i>
<i>Absent</i>	
Test 1	> 5000 > 5000 > 5000 negative
Test 2	> 5000 > 5000 > 5000 negative
<i>Present</i>	

Test 1	> 5000	> 5000	> 5000	negative
Test 2		> 5000	> 5000	negative

## Remarks - Results

The test item did not cause any visible reduction in the growth of the bacterial background lawn at any dosage levels and was, therefore, tested up to the maximum recommended dose level of 5000 µg/plate. A blue test item colouration was observed at and above 50 µg/plate. This observation did not prevent scoring of the revertant colonies. No test item precipitate was observed on the plates at any of the doses tested in either the presence or absence of metabolic activation.

There were no significant increases in the frequency of revertant colonies for any bacterial strains, at any dose level either with or without metabolic activation or exposure method.

All of the positive control chemicals used in the test induced marked increases in the frequency of revertant colonies thus confirming the activity of the S9- mix and the sensitivity of the bacterial strains.

## CONCLUSION

The notified chemical was not mutagenic to bacteria under the conditions of the test.

## TEST FACILITY

Harlan (2011d )

**B.3. Genotoxicity – in vitro**

## TEST SUBSTANCE

Notified chemical

## METHOD

OECD TG 473 In vitro Mammalian Chromosome Aberration Test.  
EC Council Regulation No. 440/2008 B.10 Mutagenicity - In vitro  
Mammalian Chromosome Aberration Test.

## Species/Strain

Human

## Cell Type/Cell Line

Lymphocytes

## Metabolic Activation System

S9 fraction from rat liver induced with phenobarbitone/β-naphthoflavone

## Vehicle

Eagle's minimal essential medium (MEM)

## Remarks - Method

No significant protocol deviations

<i>Metabolic Activation</i>	<i>Test Substance Concentration (µg/mL)</i>	<i>Exposure Period</i>	<i>Harvest Time</i>
<i>Absent</i>			
Test 1	0*, 156.25, 312.5, 625, 1250*, 2500*, 5000*	4h	24h
Test 2	0*, 78.13, 156.25*, 312.5*, 625*, 1250, 2500	24h	24h
<i>Present</i>			
Test 1	0*, 156.25, 312.5, 625, 1250*, 2500*, 5000*	4h	24h
Test 2	0*, 156.25, 312.5, 625, 1250*, 2500*, 5000*	4h	24h

\*Cultures selected for metaphase analysis.

## RESULTS

<i>Metabolic Activation</i>	<i>Test Substance Concentration (µg/mL) Resulting in:</i>			
	<i>Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test</i>	<i>Cytotoxicity in Main Test</i>	<i>Precipitation</i>	<i>Genotoxic Effect</i>
<i>Absent</i>				
Test 1	> 5000	> 5000	≥ 156	negative
Test 2	≥ 2500	≥ 625	≥ 78.13	negative
<i>Present</i>				
Test 1	> 5000	> 5000	≥ 156.25	negative
Test 2		> 5000	≥ 156.25	negative

Remarks - Results	<p>A precipitate of the test substance was observed at the end of the treatment period at all dose levels in all exposure groups.</p> <p>In Test 1 (main test), 27% growth inhibition was achieved at 5000 µg/mL without metabolic activation but no growth inhibition was noted in the presence of metabolic activation up to 5000 µg/mL</p> <p>In Test 2 (main test), 56% growth inhibition was achieved at 625 µg/mL without metabolic activation but no marked or dose-related growth inhibition was noted in the absence of metabolic activation with a maximum response of 20% inhibition being observed at 2500 µg/mL.</p> <p>The test substance did not induce any statistically significant increases in the frequency of cells with chromosome aberrations in the presence or absence of metabolic activation in any exposure group.</p> <p>All of the positive control chemicals used in the test induced marked increases in the frequency of revertant colonies thus confirming the activity of the S9- mix and the sensitivity of the bacterial strains.</p>
CONCLUSION	<p>The notified chemical was not clastogenic to human lymphocytes treated <i>in vitro</i> under the conditions of the test.</p>
TEST FACILITY	<p>Harlan (2011e )</p>



## **APPENDIX C: ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND ECOTOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS**

### **C.1. Environmental Fate**

#### **C.1.1. Ready biodegradability**

##### TEST SUBSTANCE

METHOD	OECD TG 301 C Ready Biodegradability: Modified MITI Test (I).
Inoculum	Activated, cultivated sludge
Exposure Period	28 days
Auxiliary Solvent	None
Analytical Monitoring	Biochemical oxygen demand (BOD): Closed-system oxygen consumption measuring apparatus Dissolved organic carbon (DOC): TOC analyser Residual test substance: HPLC
Remarks - Method	The test was conducted according to test guidelines using good laboratory practice (GLP) with no significant deviations.

##### RESULTS

<i>Test substance</i>		<i>Aniline</i>	
<i>Day</i>	<i>% Degradation (BOD)</i>	<i>Day</i>	<i>% Degradation (BOD)</i>
7	0	7	58
14	0.7	14	78
21	2.3	21	81
28	4.3	28	83

Remarks - Results      All relevant test validity criteria were met. The average percentage biodegradation was calculated using BOD, DOC and the test item concentration; 4%, 2% and 2% respectively.

CONCLUSION      The notified chemical is not readily biodegradable.

TEST FACILITY      CERi Kurume (2011)

**BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- CERI Kurume (2011) Biodegradation study of [notified chemical] (Project No. 15630, August, 2011). Kurume, Japan, Chemicals Evaluation and Research Institute (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Harlan (2011a) Determination of General Physico-Chemical Properties (Project No. 41100191, July, 2011). Derbyshire, U.K., Harlan Laboratories Limited (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Harlan (2011b) Determination of Hazardous Physico-Chemical Properties (Project No. 41100192, July, 2011). Derbyshire, U.K., Harlan Laboratories Limited (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Harlan (2011c) Acute Oral Toxicity in the Rat- Fixed Dose Method (Project No. 41100193, Aug, 2011). Derbyshire, U.K., Harlan Laboratories Limited (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Harlan (2011d) Reverse Mutation Assay “AMES TEST” using Salmonella typhimurium and Escherichia coli (Project No. 41100194, July, 2011). Derbyshire, U.K., Harlan Laboratories Limited (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Harlan (2011e) Chromosome Aberration Test in Human Lymphocytes in vitro (Project No. 41100195, Aug, 2011). Derbyshire, U.K., Harlan Laboratories Limited (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- NOHSC (2004) Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition [NOHSC:1008(2004)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, AusInfo.
- NTC (National Transport Commission) 2007 Australian Code for the Transport of Dangerous Goods by Road and Rail (ADG code), 7th Edition, Commonwealth of Australia
- United Nations (2009) Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS), 3<sup>rd</sup> revised edition. United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UN/ECE), <[http://www.unece.org/trans/danger/publi/ghs/ghs\\_rev03/03files\\_e.html](http://www.unece.org/trans/danger/publi/ghs/ghs_rev03/03files_e.html)>.