File No: LTD/1314

21 December 2007

# NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS NOTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT SCHEME (NICNAS)

# **FULL PUBLIC REPORT**

# Polymer in Tolcide PS50A

This Assessment has been compiled in accordance with the provisions of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act 1989* (Cwlth) (the Act) and Regulations. This legislation is an Act of the Commonwealth of Australia. The National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) is administered by the Department of Health and Ageing, and conducts the risk assessment for public health and occupational health and safety. The assessment of environmental risk is conducted by the Department of the Environment and Water Resources.

For the purposes of subsection 78(1) of the Act, this Full Public Report may be inspected at our NICNAS office by appointment only at 334-336 Illawarra Road, Marrickville NSW 2204.

This Full Public Report is also available for viewing and downloading from the NICNAS website or available on request, free of charge, by contacting NICNAS. For requests and enquiries please contact the NICNAS Administration Coordinator at:

Street Address: 334 - 336 Illawarra Road MARRICKVILLE NSW 2204, AUSTRALIA.

Postal Address: GPO Box 58, SYDNEY NSW 2001, AUSTRALIA.

TEL: + 61 2 8577 8800 FAX + 61 2 8577 8888 Website: www.nicnas.gov.au

Director NICNAS

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

3
. 3
3
3
. 3
.4
. 5
5
5
.6
. 6
. 6
. 6
.6
. 6
. 6
. 7
. 7
. 7
. 8
.9
.9
.9
.9
10
10
10
11
12
14
14
14
14
15
16
17
17
17
17
18
18
18
19
19
20
21
21
23

# **FULL PUBLIC REPORT**

# Polymer in Tolcide PS50A

#### 1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS

APPLICANT(S)
Rhodia Australia Pty Ltd (ABN 24 050 029 000)
Building 25, Omnico Business Park
270 Ferntree Gully Road
NOTTING HILL, VIC 3168

NOTIFICATION CATEGORY

Limited: Synthetic polymer with NAMW  $\geq 1000$  (more than 1 tonne per year).

EXEMPT INFORMATION (SECTION 75 OF THE ACT)

Data items and details claimed exempt from publication: Chemical Name, Other Name, CAS Number, Molecular Formula, Structural Formula, Molecular Weight, Spectral Data, Analytical Data, Purity, Polymer Constituents, Hazardous Impurities, Additives, Introduction and Use Information

VARIATION OF DATA REQUIREMENTS (SECTION 24 OF THE ACT)

Variation to the schedule of data requirements is claimed as follows: Melting Point, Boiling Point, Density, Vapour Pressure

PREVIOUS NOTIFICATION IN AUSTRALIA BY APPLICANT(S) None

NOTIFICATION IN OTHER COUNTRIES US EPA 2002, Environment Canada 2002

# 2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL

MARKETING NAMES Tolcide PS50A (1-5% notified polymer)

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Molecular Weight} \\ M_n \geq 1{,}000 \; Da \end{array}$ 

ANALYTICAL DATA Reference GPC was provided.

# 3. COMPOSITION

Degree of Purity < 90%

# DEGRADATION PRODUCTS

Water treatment products have the potential to biodegrade. Degradation products could include simple aldehydes and acids. When the polymer constituents go on to degrade, products include acetates, sulfonates and malonates. Functional groups in the polymer could lead to non-volatile by-products upon reaction with strong acids or bases. The polymer is thermally stable, however at temperatures above 480°C various decomposition products will be formed including oxides of carbon, sulfur and phosphorus.

LOSS OF MONOMERS, OTHER REACTANTS, ADDITIVES, IMPURITIES

Under normal conditions of use the notified polymer is unlikely to undergo depolymerisation other than by biotic processes. The polymer solution contains small amounts of some reactants, which are volatile and likely to be lost in the aquatic environment.

# 4. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

The notified polymer is manufactured in aqueous solution and is never isolated. The values below are therefore either for the polymer solution or are estimated.

# APPEARANCE AT 20°C AND 101.3 kPa

The aqueous solution of the product containing the notified polymer is a straw yellow liquid.

Property	Value	Data Source/Justification
Melting Point	90.27°C	Estimated
Boiling Point	480°C	Estimated
Density	$1250-1300 \text{ kg/m}^3 \text{ at } 20^{\circ}\text{C}$	The estimated result is for an aqueous
•	_	solution which contains < 50% notified
		polymer.
Vapour Pressure	2.75 x 10 <sup>-12</sup> kPa	Estimated
Water Solubility	$> 1000 \text{ g/L} \text{ at } 20^{\circ}\text{C}$	Estimated
Hydrolysis as a Function of pH	Not determined	The notified polymer does not contain
		hydrolysable functionality.
Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water)	$\log Pow = < -2.58 \text{ at } 20^{\circ}C$	Estimated
Adsorption/Desorption	$\log K_{oc} > 7.359$	Estimated. In spite of low K <sub>OW</sub> value and
•		high water solubility, the high molecular
		weight and negative charges of the
		notified polymer are expected to cause
		the polymer to adsorb to soil or
		sediment.
Dissociation Constant	Not determined	The notified polymer is expected to have
		several dissociation constants. Based on
		the potentially anionic functional groups,
		the pka values are typically expected to
		be 1.8, 1.89, 4.74 and 4.86. The polymer
		is therefore expected to be dissociated
		throughout the environmental pH range
		(4-9).
Particle Size	Not determined	The notified polymer is never isolated
		from solution.
Flash Point	Not determined	The notified polymer is never isolated
		from solution.
Flammability	Not determined	The notified polymer is never isolated
		from solution.
Autoignition Temperature	Not determined	The notified polymer is never isolated
		from solution.
Explosive Properties	Not determined	Estimated based on its chemical
		structure.

# DISCUSSION OF PROPERTIES

For full details of tests/calculations of physical and chemical properties, please refer to Appendix A.

# Reactivity

The polymer is stable under normal environmental and operating conditions. It will react with strong bases, as is the case for acrylic acid-based polymers.

#### 5. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION

Mode of Introduction of Notified Polymer (100%) Over Next 5 Years

The notified polymer will be imported into Australia at a concentration of 1-5% in ready to use products within drums and IBCs.

Year	1	2	3	4	5
Tonnes	1-10	1-10	1-10	1-10	1-10

#### PORTS OF ENTRY

Fremantle, Brisbane, Sydney and Melbourne

#### IDENTITY OF MANUFACTURER/RECIPIENTS

The IBC's and drums containing the notified polymer in the water treatment product are supplied to various users, including oilfields offshore of Western Australia, Victoria and South Australia.

#### TRANSPORTATION AND PACKAGING

The notified polymer will be imported in 200 L drums or IBCs, unloaded from ships, transported by road to warehouses and then distributed to customers. In the case of oilfield use, the product will be transported by road to a dockyard then by ship to offshore oil platforms.

#### USF

The notified polymer is used in water treatment applications by industry, including offshore oilfields, to aid in the control of biofilms.

#### OPERATION DESCRIPTION

The product containing the notified polymer will not be reformulated or repacked in Australia. The product will be stored in the notifier's warehouse and then provided to customers for use in water treatment applications.

The water treatment applications of the product containing the notified polymer involves the following procedures: connection/disconnection of transfer lines to the supply containers of the water treatment product; dosing or pumping of the product utilising an automated closed feed system; automatic and/or manual sampling of the water treated with the product for quality control; testing of pumps and calibration of feeding equipment; and automated treatment of the wastewater prior to seawater discharge.

Prior to discharge to seawater, the water emerging from water handling systems undergoes further treatment. The aqueous phase may be subject to reinjection underground as part of the pressure increase/maintenance process or injected into a disposal well. Also, salespeople will handle the water treatment products containing the notified polymer while demonstrating the applications of the finished products.

## 6. HUMAN HEALTH IMPLICATIONS

# 6.1 Exposure assessment

# 6.1.1 Occupational exposure

NUMBER AND CATEGORY OF WORKERS

Category of Worker Dockside/transport workers	Number 4-8	Exposure Duration 3-4 hours	Exposure Frequency 10-15 days / year
Plant operators - connection / disconnection	1000	1 hour	240 days / year
of hoses and lines			
Salespeople	50	0.5 hours	60 days / year
QC Sampler	100	1 hour	100 days / year
QC Chemist	100	1 hour	100 days / year
Testing and calibration of equipment	100	1 hour	100 days / year

#### EXPOSURE DETAILS

Transport and Storage

During transport and storage, workers are unlikely to be exposed to the notified polymer except when the packaging is accidentally breached.

# Application

Workers are potentially exposed via the dermal route to the notified polymer in spills and drips when connecting and disconnecting hoses. During the manual quality control sampling, dermal exposure may also occur from spills and drips. Dermal exposure is expected to be minimised by the use of appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE) such as coveralls, safety glasses, gloves and safety boots. In the other steps of the water treatment

application procedures, exposure is expected to be negligible since the processes are automated and enclosed.

# 6.1.2. Public exposure

The product containing the notified polymer will be sold to and used in industry. Direct public exposure is negligible except in the case of an accidental spill.

#### 6.2. Human health effects assessment

The results from toxicological investigations conducted on an aqueous solution of the notified polymer (< 50%) are summarised in the table below. Details of these studies can be found in Appendix B.

Endpoint	Result and Assessment Conclusion
Rat, acute oral toxicity	Low toxicity, oral LD50 > 2500 mg/kg bw
Rabbit, skin irritation	Non-irritating
Rabbit, eye irritation	Slightly irritating
Guinea pig, skin sensitisation – adjuvant test	No evidence of sensitisation
Genotoxicity – bacterial reverse mutation	Non mutagenic

#### **Toxicokinetics**

The notified polymer has  $M_n > 1,000$  Da and there is < 15% low MW (MW < 1,000 Da) species present, < 5% of which are species with MW < 500 Da. Since the water solubility is > 10,000 mg/L and the log P is below zero, the notified polymer may be too hydrophilic to cross the lipid rich environment of the skin. Thus, the notified polymer is unlikely to cross biological membranes and dermal uptake is expected to be low.

#### Acute toxicity

Based on tests in rats, the notified polymer solution exhibits low toxicity via the oral route.

#### Irritation and Sensitisation

The notified polymer solution is non-irritating to skin when tested on rabbits. The notified polymer solution is slightly irritating to eyes when tested on rabbits, producing above normal conjunctival redness 24 hours after exposure (1 in 3 animals). The notified polymer solution is not a skin sensitiser under the conditions of the test since there is no evidence of skin sensitisation to guinea pig.

# Mutagenicity

The notified polymer solution was not mutagenic to S. typhimurium or E. coli.

Based on the available data the notified polymer is not classified as hazardous under the Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances (NOHSC, 2004).

#### 6.3. Human health risk characterisation

#### 6.3.1. Occupational health and safety

The notified polymer is of low acute toxicity via the oral route, is non-irritating to skin, and is not skin-sensitising. However, it is slightly irritating to the eyes, although the irritancy effects are not serious enough to meet the hazard classification criteria.

The risk of eye irritation during transport, storage and application of the product containing the notified polymer is expected to be acceptable due to the limited exposure, and use of engineering controls and PPE.

#### 6.3.2. Public health

The risk to public health is considered to be negligible since the product containing the notified polymer is not available to the public.

# 7. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

# 7.1. Environmental Exposure & Fate Assessment

# 7.1.1 Environmental Exposure

#### RELEASE OF CHEMICAL AT SITE

The notified polymer will be imported in water treatment products at a concentration of 1-5%. There will be no reformulation or repackaging in Australia and consequently no environmental release.

#### RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM USE

Oilfield

Small quantities (~1%; up to 100 kg per annum) of residual polymer may be left in the used drums and IBCs. This will be sent to licensed drum recyclers.

The majority (90%; up to 9 tonnes per annum) of the environmental release of the polymer will be into oil reservoirs to pressurize the oilfield, so that oil may be produced at the oilfields production well(s). The polymer component of the biocide formulation is expected to adsorb to rock/silt particles in the oilfield formation and is unlikely to rapidly pass through the formation. It is anticipated that only a small proportion (1%) of the polymer injected into the oilfield at the injection well will be found in water returned to the platform (CHARM 2001).

A minor use (10%; up to a tonne per annum) will be for water treatment of extracted waters from the oilfield. The notified polymer in the aqueous phase is separated from produced oil phase and will undergo peroxide treatment prior to discharge. The polymer is water-soluble and is likely to remain in the water at the point of release. This aqueous phase is usually discarded via the overboard line to seawater but may also be re-injected as part of the pressure increase/maintenance process. It is possible to re-inject the seawater into a disposal well, with no environmental release, but this is not a common practice (the notifier indicates currently 20% of wells in Australia) due to the high cost.

#### Industrial water treatment

Currently the notified polymer will not be used in this manner. However, the future possibility for this use remains. Given that the product is used in open systems there is likely to be some loss from spray and evaporation, however, the main source of release of the polymer to the environment is expected to occur as a result of discharge of water (blowdown) containing the scale inhibitor product from cooling towers during their normal operations. Over 99% of the polymer is likely to enter the environment in this manner. Release is expected to occur on a daily basis. Blowdown water is subject to local Trade Waste agreements. Spent blowdown water is normally released into the sewer under Trade Waste agreements where it undergoes treatment at the local wastewater treatment plant. As such, all of the notified polymer will eventually be released into the sewer either directly, or by way of the end-user's on-site effluent treatment plants.

#### RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM DISPOSAL

IBCs and drums are sent for cleaning and reconditioning by a licensed company. The resultant washings from such companies are typically passed to an on site waste treatment facility and any waste sludge is typically incinerated.

#### 7.1.2 Environmental fate

Two biodegradability studies were presented which indicate that while the notified polymer cannot be classed as ready biodegradable, it is biodegradable in seawater. For the details of the environmental fate studies please refer to Appendix C. The notified polymer can be expected to decompose to produce simple compounds of carbon, water sodium ions, sulphate and phosphate ions which may then react further with calcium to form insoluble calcium phosphates.

# 7.1.3 Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC)

# Scenario 1.

For use in offshore oil drilling the PEC may be calculated from the concentration of the notified polymer in the overboard line. The product is not continuously dosed, but generally dosed once per week for approximately a 3-hour period. In a worst case scenario (minor use) only the injection into the produced water need be required as only a low percentage of the notified polymer is expected to remain in the recovered injection water. The formulation is added at a dosing rate of up to 1000 ppm in process water, meaning that the concentration in the water will have a maximum concentration of 50 ppm (1000 ppm  $\times$  5%). According to the CHARM (2001) model the PEC at a distance up to 500 m from the discharge point is estimated by dividing by 1000. This results in a PEC of 50  $\mu$ g/L.

The maximum PEC in the benthic environment may be estimated by the amount of notified polymer released from a single production facility during treatment, added to the amount remaining in the benthos once a steady state has

been reached. Currently in Australia there are between 1500 and 2000 operating wells. If a worst-case is assumed where 10% of these wells will use the notified polymer, the maximum consumption at each well, will be 67 kg per annum, which is equivalent to 0.18 kg/day (in reality this is expected to be done in 52 doses of approximately 1.3 kg each week). It is also assumed that the chemical will only be added to the produced water (minor use), with the entire amount being discarded overboard. A steady state will be reached when the equivalent daily disposal rate ( $k_1$ ; 0.18 kg/day) equals the rate of degradation ( $k_2$ ) of the chemical in seawater. From the biodegradability data, the slope of the natural log of the amount chemical remaining versus time in days gives a rate constant of -0.0251/day with  $t_{1/2}$  of approximately 28 days. The steady state amount is 7.3 kg (0.18 kg/day  $\div$  0.0251/day). If a further dosing then occurs a further 0.18 kg will be added to the benthic region with a total of 7.5 kg. If a 500 m radius from the overboard line is considered, consistent with the CHARM model; the aerobic portion of the benthos is considered to be 5 cm deep; and the density is considered as 1.2 g/cm³ (default value). Then the concentration in the benthic region is 0.16 mg/kg.

Values for the  $PEC_{benthic}$  and  $PNEC_{benthic}$  have been provided by the notifier based on the CHARM model. However, it is noted that these rely on the modelled Koc and Pow values. It is particularly noted that the PEC value may be calculated using either the Koc or Pow value, with preference given to the Koc value. Given the large difference between the log Koc (> 7.359) and log Pow (< -2.58) values, a reliable  $PEC_{benthic}$  cannot be calculated from the estimated Koc and Pow values. The PNEC value likewise requires a Koc value. However, again it is noted that the validity of such a calculation is restricted to relatively non-reactive, non-polar, hydrophobic, organic chemicals and some metals. This does not apply to the notified polymer and therefore, these values are not used by the DEW.

Scenario 2. Although not currently used in water treatment for industrial applications a worst case PEC may be estimated assuming that all of the polymer discarded to sewer is in accordance with trade waste agreements. If it is assumed that 99% goes to sewage treatment plants (STP) located throughout Australia on a daily basis with no removal onsite or in STPs, then the PEC may be calculated as follows:

Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC) for the Aquatic Compartment		
Total Annual Import/Manufactured Volume	10,000	kg/year
Proportion expected to be released to sewer	99%	
Annual quantity of chemical released to sewer	9,900	kg/year
Days per year where release occurs	365	days/year
Daily chemical release:	27.12	kg/day
Water use	200.0	L/person/day
Population of Australia (Millions)	20.496	million
Removal within STP	0%	
Daily effluent production:	4,099	ML
Dilution Factor - River	1.0	
Dilution Factor - Ocean	10.0	
PEC - River:	6.62	μg/L
PEC - Ocean:	0.66	μg/L

#### 7.2. Environmental effects assessment

The results from ecotoxicological investigations conducted on the notified polymer are summarised in the table below. Details of these studies can be found in Appendix C.

Endpoint	Result	Assessment Conclusion
Fish Toxicity	EC50 > 1490  mg/L	Not Harmful
Daphnia Toxicity	EC50 17 mg/L	Harmful
Americamysis bahia Toxicity	EC50 257 mg/L	Not Harmful
Acartia tonsa Toxicity	EC50 2467 mg/L	Not Harmful
Algal Toxicity	EC50 2775 mg/L	Not Harmful
Inhibition of Bacterial Respiration	EC50 1030 mg/L	Not Harmful

## 7.2.1 Predicted No-Effect Concentration

The PNEC was calculated from the lowest EC50 (daphnia) and dividing by a safety factor of 100 as three trophic levels were provided.

Predicted No-Effect Concentration (PNEC) for the Aquatic Compartment		
EC50 (Daphnia)	17	mg/L
Assessment Factor	100	
Mitigation Factor	1.00	
PNEC:	170	$\mu g/L$

#### 7.3. Environmental risk assessment

#### Scenario 1

The maximum PEC at the overboard line is 50 mg/L. This shows a potential risk to aquatic organism. However, rapid dilution of a factor of 1000 within 500 m of the discharge point will see the PEC fall to 50  $\mu$ g/L. The RQ for the vicinity within 500 m of the discharge point is 0.29 (50  $\mu$ g/L  $\div$  170  $\mu$ g/L). As described above this is based on the maximum PEC and a more realistic PEC will be lower. Further degradation of the polymer is not taken into account, either by peroxide treatment prior to discharge or biodegradation post discharge. Although there may be some effects near the discharge point, the effects may be considered localised and the risk to aquatic species based on the RQ is considered acceptable.

No data have been provided for benthic dwelling organisms and an RQ cannot be calculated. It is, however, noted that the notified polymer is not harmful to aquatic species other than daphnia. The worst case concentration of 0.16 mg/kg sediment will mean that with a conservative safety factor of 1000, the LC50 of the chemical to a sediment dwelling organism will need to be less than 160 mg/kg sediment to demonstrate a potential risk. Given that all other species (other than daphnia), showed lower toxicity than this, it is unlikely that the release of the chemical in this scenario will present an unacceptable risk to the benthic environment. Further, most of the chemical (90%) is likely to be used in water injection, meaning that the concentration in the benthos is likely to be even lower.

Scenario 2
The risk to aquatic organisms from the release of the notified polymer as detailed in scenario 2 is presented below.

Risk Assessment	PEC μg/L	PNEC μg/L	Q
Q - River:	6.62	170	0.04
Q - Ocean:	0.66	170	< 0.01

On the basis of the above worst case scenarios, the risk to the aquatic environment is expected to be acceptable.

# 8. CONCLUSIONS AND REGULATORY OBLIGATIONS

#### **Hazard classification**

Based on the available data the notified polymer is not classified as hazardous under the NOHSC *Approved Criteria* for Classifying Hazardous Substances.

As a comparison only, the classification of the notified polymer using the Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS) (United Nations 2003) is presented below. This system is not mandated in Australia and carries no legal status but is presented for information purposes.

	Hazard category	Hazard statement
Environment	Acute Category 2 and Chronic Category 2	Toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects

#### Human health risk assessment

Under the conditions of the occupational settings described, the risk to workers is considered to be acceptable.

When used in the proposed manner the risk to the public is considered to be acceptable.

#### **Environmental risk assessment**

On the basis of the PEC/PNEC ratio:

The chemical is not considered to pose a risk to the environment based on its reported use pattern.

#### Recommendations

CONTROL MEASURES

Occupational Health and Safety

- Employers should implement the following safe work practices to minimise occupational exposure during handling of the notified polymer as introduced in the product Tolcide PS50A:
  - Avoid eye contact.
  - Do not mix with incompatible materials: strong bases, strong reducing agents, strong acids, and strong oxidising agents.
- Employers should ensure that the following personal protective equipment is used by workers to minimise occupational exposure to the notified polymer as introduced in the product Tolcide PS50A:
  - Safety glasses with side shields or full-face shield as appropriate.

Guidance in selection of personal protective equipment can be obtained from Australian, Australian/New Zealand or other approved standards.

- A copy of the MSDS should be easily accessible to employees.
- If products and mixtures containing the notified polymer are classified as hazardous to health in accordance with the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances*, workplace practices and control procedures consistent with provisions of State and Territory hazardous substances legislation must be in operation.

#### Disposal

• The notified polymer should be disposed of by licensed waste disposal.

### Storage

- The following precautions should be taken by workers regarding storage of the notified polymer:
  - Store in an area that is cool, dry and well ventilated.
  - Store away from strong bases, strong reducing agents, strong acids and strong oxidising agents.

#### Emergency procedures

• Spills or accidental release of the notified polymer should be handled by physical containment (diking, etc.), recovery and reuse to the extent practicable. Unrecoverable amounts should be adsorbed with diatomaceous earth, sand or inert absorbent and transferred to suitable containers for disposal. Wash residue with large amounts of water. Do not flush to drains or waterways. Collect wash water for disposal.

# **Regulatory Obligations**

#### Secondary Notification

This risk assessment is based on the information available at the time of notification. The Director may call for the reassessment of the chemical under secondary notification provisions based on changes in certain circumstances. Under Section 64 of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act (1989)* the notifier, as well as any other importer or manufacturer of the notified polymer, have post-assessment regulatory obligations to notify NICNAS when any of these circumstances change. These obligations apply even when the notified polymer is listed on the Australian Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS).

Therefore, the Director of Chemicals Notification and Assessment must be notified in writing within 28 days by the notifier, other importer or manufacturer:

- (1) Under Section 64(2) of the Act; if
  - the function or use of the chemical has changed from water treatment applications by industry including offshore oilfields to aid in the control of biofilms, or is likely to change significantly;
  - the amount of chemical being introduced has increased from 10 tonnes, or is likely to increase, significantly;
  - if the chemical has begun to be manufactured in Australia;
  - additional information has become available to the person as to an adverse effect of the chemical on occupational health and safety, public health, or the environment.

The Director will then decide whether a reassessment (i.e. a secondary notification and assessment) is required.

# Material Safety Data Sheet

The MSDS of the products containing the notified polymer provided by the notifier were reviewed by NICNAS. The accuracy of the information on the MSDS remains the responsibility of the applicant.

# **APPENDIX A: PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES**

Melting Point 90.27°C

METHOD The above value is an estimate using MPBPWIN v 1.41 of EPIWIN version 3.12

programme developed by the Syracuse Research Corporation, USA.

Remarks Results were based on an estimation and extrapolation of the melting point using a

polymer with  $M_n > 1,000$  Da and containing specified numbers and type of the

polymer's monomers.

TEST FACILITY Not applicable. Refer to Rhodia UK Limited (2006).

**Boiling Point** 480°C

METHOD The above value is an estimate using MPBPWIN v 1.41 of EPIWIN version 3.12

programme developed by the Syracuse Research Corporation, USA.

Remarks Results were based on an estimation and extrapolation of the boiling point using a

polymer with  $M_n > 1,000$  Da and containing specified numbers and type of the

polymer's monomers.

TEST FACILITY Not applicable. Refer to Rhodia UK Limited (2006).

**Density** 1250-1300 kg/m<sup>3</sup> at 20°C

METHOD Estimation

Remarks The result is for the aqueous notified polymer (< 50%) as manufactured. No test

report is available.

TEST FACILITY Non-GLP in-house result for < 50% aqueous solution of the notified polymer.

Vapour Pressure 2.75 x 10<sup>-12</sup> kPa

METHOD The above value is an estimate using MPBPWIN v 1.41 of EPIWIN version 3.12

programme developed by the Syracuse Research Corporation, USA.

Remarks Results were based on an estimation and extrapolation of the vapour pressure

using a polymer with  $M_n > 1,000$  Da and containing specified numbers and type of

the polymer's monomers.

TEST FACILITY Not applicable. Refer to Rhodia UK Limited (2006).

Water Solubility > 1000 g/L at 20°C

METHOD The above value is an estimate using Wat Sol (v1.01 est) of EPIWIN version 3.12

programme developed by the Syracuse Research Corporation, USA.

Remarks The notifier indicates that in practice, the polymer is designed to be infinitely

soluble. If the polymer is added to water as described in OECD Method 105, it will first dissolve and form a true solution. As more of the polymer is added, the solution will begin to thicken and then first form a colloidal solution and then a gel. Finally, as available water becomes limiting, a moist mass of polymer will

form. This phenomenon is claimed to have been documented in the literature.

TEST FACILITY Not applicable. Refer to Rhodia UK Limited (2006).

**Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water)**  $\log P_{OW}$  at  $20^{\circ}C = < -2.58$ 

METHOD The above value is an estimate using KOWWIN v 1.67 of EPIWIN version 3.12

programme developed by the Syracuse Research Corporation, USA.

Remarks In practice, given that the polymer is of such high water solubility, the degree of

partitioning to octanol will be low and hence log Pow will be low as predicted.

TEST FACILITY Not applicable. Refer to Rhodia UK Limited (2006).

Adsorption/Desorption - screening test	$\log K_{\rm OC} > 7.359$
Метнор	The above value is an estimate using PCKOCWIN v 1.66 of EPIWIN version 3.12 programme developed by the Syracuse Research Corporation, USA.
Remarks	The result suggests that the polymer will adsorb to soil. In spite of low Kow value and high water solubility, the high molecular weight and negative charges of the notified polymer is expected to cause the polymer to adsorb to soil.
TEST FACILITY	Not applicable. Refer to Rhodia UK Limited (2006).

# **APPENDIX B: TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS**

# **B.1.** Acute toxicity – oral

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified Polymer (< 50% aqueous solution)

METHOD OECD TG 423 Acute Oral Toxicity – Acute Toxic Class Method.

Species/Strain Rat / Sprague-Dawley

Vehicle Test substance administered as supplied.
Remarks - Method There were no deviations from the protocol.

#### RESULTS

Dose	Number and Sex	Mortality
mg/kg bw	of Animals	
2000	3 males	0
2000	3 females	0

LD50 > 2500 mg/kg bw

Signs of Toxicity There were no clinical signs of systemic toxicity. Effects in Organs There were no abnormalities noted at necropsy.

Remarks - Results All animals showed an expected gain in bodyweight during the study.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is of low toxicity via the oral route.

TEST FACILITY Safepharm Laboratories Limited (2000a)

#### **B.2.** Irritation – skin

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified Polymer (< 50% aqueous solution)

METHOD OECD TG 404 Acute Dermal Irritation/Corrosion.

Species/Strain Rabbit / New Zealand White

Number of Animals 3 males

Vehicle Test substance administered as supplied.

Observation Period 3 days

Type of Dressing Semi-occlusive.

Remarks - Method There were no deviations from the protocol.

Results

test material produced a primary irritation index of 0.0.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is non-irritating to the skin.

TEST FACILITY Safepharm Laboratories Limited (2000b)

# **B.3.** Irritation – eye

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified Polymer (< 50% aqueous solution)

METHOD OECD TG 405 Acute Eye Irritation/Corrosion.

Species/Strain Rabbit / New Zealand White

Number of Animals 3 males Observation Period 3 days

Remarks - Method There were no significant deviations from the protocol.

Lesion		Mean Score* Animal No.		Maximum Value	Maximum Duration of Any Effect	Maximum Value at End of Observation Period
	1	2	3		- VV	
Conjunctiva: redness	0	0	0.33	1	< 48 hours	0
Conjunctiva: chemosis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Conjunctiva: discharge	0	0	0	2	< 24 hours	0
Corneal opacity	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iridial inflammation	0	0	0	0	0	0

<sup>\*</sup>Calculated on the basis of the scores at 24, 48, and 72 hours for EACH animal.

Remarks - Results There were no corneal or iridial effects noted during the study. Minimal to

moderate conjunctival irritation was noted in all treated eyes at the 1-hour observation and in one animal at the 24-hour observation. All treated eyes

appeared normal at the 48-hour observation.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is slightly irritating to the eye.

TEST FACILITY Safepharm Laboratories Limited (2000c)

#### **B.4.** Skin sensitisation

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified Polymer (< 50% aqueous solution)

METHOD OECD TG 406 Skin Sensitisation – Magnusson & Kligman Maximisation

Test.

Species/Strain Guinea pig / albino Dunkin Hartley

Maximum Non-igritating Concentration

PRELIMINARY STUDY Maximum Non-irritating Concentration:

intradermal: 1% v/v in distilled water topical: 50% v/v in distilled water

MAIN STUDY

Number of Animals Test Group: 10 Control Group: 5

INDUCTION PHASE Induction Concentration:

intradermal: 1% v/v in distilled water topical: Undiluted as supplied

Signs of Irritation Discrete or patchy to moderate and confluent erythema was noted at the

intradermal induction sites of all test group animals at the 24-hour observation with discrete or patchy erythema at the 48-hour observation. Discrete or patchy erythema was noted at the intradermal induction sites of all control group

animals at the 24-hour and 48-hour observations.

Moderate and confluent erythema and very slight to slight oedema were noted at the topical induction sites of all test group animals at the 1-hour observation with moderate and confluent erythema and incidents of very slight oedema at the 24-hour observation. Bleeding from the intradermal induction sites was noted in three test group animals at the 1-hour observation. Discrete or patchy erythema noted at the treatment sites of two control group animals at the 1-hour observation. Bleeding from the intradermal induction sites was noted in one control group animal at the 1-hour observation. No skin reactions were noted at the treatment sites of control group animals at the 24-hour observation.

CHALLENGE PHASE

1<sup>st</sup> challenge topical: 50% v/v in distilled water topical: 25% v/v in distilled water

Remarks - Method There were no significant deviations from the protocol.

Remarks - Results The test substance produced a 0% (0/10) sensitisation rate. There were no skin

reactions noted at the challenge sites of the test and control group animals at

the 24-hour or 48-hour observations.

CONCLUSION There was no evidence of reactions indicative of skin sensitisation to the

notified polymer under the conditions of the test.

TEST FACILITY Safepharm Laboratories Limited (2000d)

# **B.5.** Genotoxicity – bacteria

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified Polymer (< 50% aqueous solution)

METHOD OECD TG 471 Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test.

Plate incorporation procedure.

Species/Strain S. typhimurium: TA1535, TA1537, TA98, TA100

E. coli: WP2uvrA

Metabolic Activation System

S9 fraction from Phenobarbitone/β-naphthoflavone-induced rat liver

Concentration Range in Main Test

a) With metabolic activation: 50-5000 μg/plate
 b) Without metabolic activation: 50-5000 μg/plate

Vehicle Sterile distilled water

Remarks - Method There were no significant deviations from the protocol.

#### RESULTS

Metabolic	Test Substance Concentration (µg/plate) Resulting in:					
Activation	Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test	Cytotoxicity in Main Test	Precipitation	Genotoxic Effect		
Absent	•					
Test 1	> 5000 μg/plate	> 5000 μg/plate	> 5000 μg/plate	Negative		
Test 2		> 5000 μg/plate	> 5000 µg/plate	Negative		
Present						
Test 1	> 5000 μg/plate	> 5000 μg/plate	> 5000 μg/plate	Negative		
Test 2	, 51	> 5000 µg/plate	> 5000 μg/plate	Negative		

> colonies in any of the tester strains either in the presence or absence of metabolic activation. The positive controls validated the sensitivity of the test

system.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer was not mutagenic to bacteria under the conditions of the

test.

TEST FACILITY Safepharm Laboratories Limited (2000e)

# APPENDIX C: ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND ECOTOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

#### **C.1.** Environmental Fate

# C.1.1. Ready biodegradability

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified Polymer (< 50% aqueous solution)

METHOD OECD TG 301 D Ready Biodegradability: Closed Bottle Test

Inoculum Activated sewage sludge micro-organisms

Exposure Period 28 days Auxiliary Solvent None

Analytical Monitoring Dissolved oxygen measured by oxygen meter and BOD probe

Remarks – Method No significant protocol deviations

#### RESULTS

Test	substance	Sodiu	ım benzoate
Day	% degradation	Day	% degradation
3	10	3	47
9	7	9	69
15	8	15	81
21	10	21	80
28	11	28	80

Remarks – Results All relevant OECD criteria were met.

The toxicity control attained 28% degradation after 28 days thereby confirming that the test material was not toxic to the sewage treatment

microorganisms used in the study.

Sodium benzoate attained 80% degradation after 28 days thereby

confirming the suitability of the inoculum and test conditions.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer cannot be classed as ready biodegradable.

TEST FACILITY Safepharm Laboratories Limited (2000f)

# C.1.2. Ready biodegradability in seawater

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified Polymer (< 30% aqueous solution)

METHOD OECD 306: Biodegradability in seawater

Inoculum None
Exposure Period 60 days
Auxiliary Solvent None

Analytical Monitoring Dissolved oxygen measured by Polarographic electrode

Remarks – Method No significant protocol deviations.

#### RESULTS

Test	substance	Sodium benzoate		
Day	% degradation	Day	% degradation	
7	15.3	7	73.0	
13	22.5	13	77.8	
22	24.7	22	72.5	
28	32.7	28	86.0	
60	78.5			

Remarks – Results Test validity criteria with respect to reference material biodegradation

and oxygen consumption in blank flasks were both met.

Biodegradation is expressed as a percentage of ThoD or COD.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer can be classed as biodegradable in seawater.

TEST FACILITY ILAB (2002)

# C.1.3. Bioaccumulation

The compound is water soluble, has a low Kow and is biodegradable and is therefore unlikely to bioaccumulate.

# **C.2.** Ecotoxicological Investigations

# C.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified Polymer (< 50% aqueous solution)

METHOD OSPARCOM (1995) (amended March 2005) protocol for fish acute

toxicity

Species Scophthalmus maximus

Exposure Period 96 hours Analytical Monitoring None

marine toxicity for offshore chemicals.

A limit test was conducted as no effects were seen in the range finding tests that were undertaken. As the test substance was characterised as being soluble, it was added to the test system directly via seawater.

# RESULTS

Concentration mg/L		Number of Fish		Mortality			
Nominal	Actual	·	1 h	24 h	48 h	72 h	96 h
0	-	7		0	0	0	0
3200	-	7		0	0	0	0
LC50		> 3200 mg/L at 96 hours; > 1484 substance.	C				
NOEC		3200 mg/L at 96 hours; 1484 mg/L corrected for concentration of test substance.					of test
Remarks – Res	sults	The results are based on nominal test concentrations only. There were no significant deviations to the protocol other than the salinity which wa 1‰ above the criterion.					
Conclusion		The notified polymer is not harmf	ul to <i>Scop</i>	hthalmı	ıs maxir	nus.	
TEST FACILITY		Opus Plus Limited (2005a)					

# C.2.2. Acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified Polymer (< 50% aqueous solution)

METHOD OECD TG 202 Daphnia sp. Acute Immobilisation Test and

Reproduction Test – Static Test.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC C.2 Acute Toxicity for Daphnia - Static Test

Species Daphnia magna

Exposure Period 48 hours Auxiliary Solvent None

Water Hardness  $\sim 250 \text{ mg CaCO}_3/\text{L}$ 

Analytical Monitoring GPC

Remarks – Method The test was carried out using test concentrations that were corrected for

active ingredient content. Therefore results are quoted in terms of active ingredient. The EC50 was calculated by the maximum likelihood probit

method using Toxcalc software.

#### RESULTS

Concentrat	tion mg ai/L	Number of D. magna	Number Ii	nmobilised
Nominal	Actual*		24 h	48 h
0	LOQ	20	0	0
1.8	LOQ	20	0	0
3.2	LOQ	20	0	0
5.6	5.04	20	0	0
10	10.5	20	0	0
18	17.3	20	0	15
32	28.4	20	0	18
56	57.9	20	0	20
100	88.3	20	0	20

LOQ = Limit of Quantification. \* Average of values taken at 0 and 48 hours.

LC50 17 mg/L at 48 hours (95% CI: 14-20 mg/L)

NOEC 10 mg/L at 48 hours.

Remarks – Results Analysis of the test media at 0 and 48 hours showed measured test

concentrations ranging from 80 to 108% for the 5.6, 10, 18, 32, 56 and 100 mg/L test concentrations at 0 and 48 hours. Analysis of the 1.0, 1.8 and 3.2 mg/L test concentrations showed measured test concentrations to be less than the limit of quantification which was assessed down to 5.0 mg/L. Therefore, the results are based on the nominal test concentrations

only.

Observations made on the test material preparations at 0, 24 and 48 hours

showed all the test concentrations to be clear, colourless solutions.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is harmful to *Daphnia magna*.

TEST FACILITY Safepharm Laboratories Limited (2001)

# C.2.3. Acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified Polymer (< 30% aqueous solution)

METHOD US EPA 1985. Hazard Evaluation Procedure EPA-540/9-85-010. Acute

Toxicity Test for Estuarine and Marine Organisms (Shrimp 96-Hour

Acute toxicity test - Static).

Species Americamysis bahia

Exposure Period 96 hours

Water Hardness Analytical Monitoring Not stated None

Remarks – Method The culture and dilution water was a 70:30 mix of full seawater and

dechlorinated tap water, equivalent to a salinity of 25±1‰. The LC50

was calculated by moving average angle method.

#### RESULTS

Concentra	tion mg/L	Number of A. bahia	Number Immobilised		ed	
Nominal	Actual		24h	48h	72 h	96 h
0	-	10	0	0	0	0
180	-	10	0	0	0	0
320	-	10	0	0	0	0
560	-	10	0	0	0	0
1000	-	10	0	4	5	5
1800	-	10	3	10	10	10

LC50 1000 mg/L at 96 hours (95% CI: 840-1200 mg/L); 257 mg/L corrected

for concentration.

NOEC 560 mg/L at 96 hours; 144 mg/L corrected for concentration. Remarks – Results The results are based on nominal test concentrations only.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is not harmful to Americamysis bahia

TEST FACILITY Brixham (2003)

# C.2.4. Acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified Polymer (< 30% aqueous solution)

METHOD ISO 14669 (1999) Water Quality – Determination of acute lethal toxicity

to marine copepods (Copepoda; Crustacea)

Species Acartia tonsa
Exposure Period 48 hours
Auxiliary Solvent None
Water Hardness Not stated
Analytical Monitoring None

prepared as a dilution series. The calculations were calculated using

appropriate (not specified) statistical methods from Toxcalc (V.5).

# RESULTS

Concentra	ition mg/L	Number of A. tonsa	Number Ii	nmobilised
Nominal	Actual		24 h	48 h
0	-	40	-	1
1000	-	20	0	1
1800	-	20	0	1
3200	-	20	0	0
5600	-	20	0	2
10000	-	20	2	11

LC50 NOEC 9633.33 mg/L at 48 hours; 2476 mg/L corrected for concentration. 5600 mg/L at 48 hours; 1439 mg/L corrected for concentration.

Remarks – Results The results are based on nominal test concentrations only. The result is

from repeat testing due to 12.5% control mortality in the first test.

An informal reference toxicant [3,5 dichlorophenol (DCP)] gave a 48 hour LC50 of 0.83 mg/L which is within the guideline criteria. All test

validity criteria were satisfied.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is not harmful to *Acartia tonsa*.

TEST FACILITY ERT (2003)

# C.2.5. Algal growth inhibition test

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified Polymer (< 50% aqueous solution)

METHOD ISO 10253 1998 Water quality – marine algal growth inhibition test

Species Skeletonema costatum

Exposure Period 72 hours

Concentration Range 100, 320, 1000, 3200 and 10000 mg/L

Nominal

Concentration Range Actual Not measured analytically

Auxiliary Solvent None

Water Hardness Not applicable

Analytical Monitoring None

marine toxicity for offshore chemicals. There were no significant deviations to the protocol. The controls were prepared as 4 replicates and the test concentrations as 2 replicates. The calculations were calculated using appropriate (not specified) statistical methods from Toxcalc (V.5).

RESULTS

Grow	th
$E_rC50$	$NOE_rC$
mg/L at 72 h	mg/L
5982	3200
(95% CI: 5630 – 6280)	

product. The value corrected for concentration is 2775 mg/L.

An informal reference toxicant (3,5 DCP) gave a 72 hour EC50 of 3.46

mg/L which is within the guideline criteria.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is not harmful to *Skeletonema costatum*.

TEST FACILITY Opus Plus Limited (2005b)

# C.2.6. Inhibition of microbial activity

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified Polymer (< 50% aqueous solution)

METHOD OECD TG 209 Activated Sludge, Respiration Inhibition Test.

Inoculum Activated sewage sludge micro-organisms

Exposure Period 3 hours

Concentration Range 32, 100, 320, 1000 and 3200 mg/L

Nominal

Remarks - Method

Oxygen consumption rates and percentage inhibition values for the control, test and reference materials were measured after 30 minutes and 3 hours. The reference substance 3,5-DCP was included.

RESULTS

IC50 NOEC

CONCLUSION

Remarks - Results

 $2200~mg/L;\,1027~mg/L$  corrected for concentration.  $100~mg/L;\,46.7~mg/L$  corrected for concentration.

Observations made on the test preparations throughout the study period showed that at all test concentrations no undissolved test material was visible.

The pH measurements performed on the test preparations at the end of the exposure period showed a significantly lower pH value at test concentrations of 1000 and 2000 mg/L compared to the other test vessels which may have contributed to the increased toxicity observed at these test concentrations. The reference substance had an EC50 of 10 mg/L and is within the acceptable range.

The notified polymer may be considered at worst to be harmful to activated sewage sludge microorganisms.

TEST FACILITY Safepharm Laboratories Limited (2000g)

# **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Brixham (2003) BL7398/B. Acute Toxicity to Mysid Shrimp *Americamysis bahia* (Study no. 02-0238/A, 6 May 2003). Brixham Environmental Laboratory, Brixham, Devon, UK (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- CHARM (2001), Thatcher M, Robson, Henrriquez L.R., Karman C.C., (Version 1.2,) User Guide for the Evaluation of Chemicals used and Discharged Offshore.
- ERT (2003) Assessment of the Toxicity to the Marine Copepod *Acartia tonsa*. Final Report (Study no. 543-1, 27 October 2003). ERT (Orkney) Limited, Flotta, Stromness, Orkney, UK (unpublished report submitted by notifier).
- European Commission (2003) Technical Guidance Document on Risk Assessment in Support of Commission Directive 93/67/EEC on Risk Assessment for New Notified Substances and Commission Regulation (EC) No.1488/94. European Chemicals Bureau, European Communities.
- FORS (Federal Office of Road Safety) (1998) Australian Code for the Transport of Dangerous Goods by Road and Rail (ADG code), 6th Edition, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.
- ILAB (2002) An Assessment of the Aerobic Biodegradability in Seawater (28 days) OECD 306 Test (Study Ref. No.: 60630.rh\1603, 23 April 2002). ILAB Environmental Laboratory, Bergen, Norway (unpublished report provided by the notifier).
- NOHSC (1994) National Code of Practice for the Labelling of Workplace Substances [NOHSC:2012(1994)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.
- NOHSC (2003) National Code of Practice for the Preparation of Material Safety Data Sheets, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition [NOHSC:2011(2003)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.
- NOHSC (2004) Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition [NOHSC:1008(2004)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, AusInfo.
- Opus Plus Limited (2005a) Assessment of the Aquatic Phase Toxicity (96h limit test) to the Marine Fish *Scophthalmus maximus*. Final Report (Study no 675-5, 21 July 2005). Opus Plus Limited, Orkney, UK (unpublished report provided by the notifier).
- Opus Plus Limited (2005b) Assessment of the toxicity to the Marine Alga *Skeletonema costatum*. Final Report (Study no 675-3, 19 July 2005). Opus Plus Limited, Orkney, UK (unpublished report provided by the notifier).
- Rhodia UK Limited (2006) Estimation of Physico-Chemical Properties. Rhodia Novecare, Rhodia UK Limited, West Midlands, UK (unpublished report provided by the notifier).
- Safepharm Laboratories Limited (2000a) Acute Oral Toxicity Study in the Rat Acute Toxic Class Method (SPL Project No. 071/665, 5 September 2000). Safepharm Laboratories Limited, Derby, UK (unpublished report provided by the notifier).
- Safepharm Laboratories Limited (2000b) Acute Dermal Irritation Test in the Rabbit (SPL Project No. 071/666, 5 September 2000). Safepharm Laboratories Limited, Derby, UK (unpublished report provided by the notifier).
- Safepharm Laboratories Limited (2000c) Acute Eye Irritation Test in the Rabbit (SPL Project No. 071/667, 6 September 2000). Safepharm Laboratories Limited, Derby, UK (unpublished report provided by the notifier).
- Safepharm Laboratories Limited (2000d) Magnusson & Kligman Maximisation Study in the Guinea Pig (SPL Project No. 071/668, 20 September 2000). Safepharm Laboratories Limited, Derby, UK (unpublished report provided by the notifier).
- Safepharm Laboratories Limited (2000e) Reverse Mutation Assay "Ames Test" using *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Escherichia coli* (SPL Project No. 071/669, 14 September 2000). Safepharm Laboratories Limited, Derby, UK (unpublished report provided by the notifier).
- Safepharm Laboratories Limited (2000f) Assessment of Ready Biodegradability; Closed Bottle Test (SPL Project Number 071/671, 14 September 2000). Safepharm Laboratories Limited, Derby, UK (unpublished report provided by the notifier).

- Safepharm Laboratories Limited (2000g) Assessment of the Inhibitory Effect on the Respiration of Activated Sewage Sludge (SPL Project Number 071/670, 30 August 2000). Safepharm Laboratories Limited, Derby, UK (unpublished report provided by the notifier).
- Safepharm Laboratories Limited (2001) Acute Toxicity to *Daphnia Magna* (SPL Project Number 071/672, 8 March 2001). Safepharm Laboratories Limited, Derby, UK (unpublished report provided by the notifier).
- United Nations (2003) Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS). United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UN/ECE), New York and Geneva.