File No: LTD/1021

11/3/03

NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS NOTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT SCHEME (NICNAS)

FULL PUBLIC REPORT

Polyhexamethyleneguanidine phosphate

This Assessment has been compiled in accordance with the provisions of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act 1989* (Cwlth) (the Act) and Regulations. This legislation is an Act of the Commonwealth of Australia. The National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) is administered by the Department of Health and Ageing, and conducts the risk assessment for public health and occupational health and safety. The assessment of environmental risk is conducted by the Department of the Environment and Heritage.

For the purposes of subsection 78(1) of the Act, this Full Public Report may be inspected at:

Library
National Occupational Health and Safety Commission
25 Constitution Avenue
CANBERRA ACT 2600
AUSTRALIA

To arrange an appointment contact the Librarian on TEL + 61 2 6279 1161 or + 61 2 6279 1163.

This Full Public Report is available for viewing and downloading from the NICNAS website or available on request, free of charge, by contacting NICNAS. For requests and enquiries please contact the NICNAS Administration Coordinator at:

Street Address: 334 - 336 Illawarra Road MARRICKVILLE NSW 2204, AUSTRALIA.

Postal Address: GPO Box 58, SYDNEY NSW 2001, AUSTRALIA.

TEL: + 61 2 8577 8800 FAX + 61 2 8577 8888. Website: www.nicnas.gov.au

Director Chemicals Notification and Assessment

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FULL PUBLIC REPORT	
1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS	3
2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL	3
3. COMPOSITION	
4. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION	
5. PROCESS AND RELEASE INFORMATION	5
5.1. Distribution, Transport and Storage	
5.2. Operation Description	5
5.3. Occupational exposure	6
5.4. Release	6
5.5. Disposal	6
5.6. Public exposure	
6. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES	6
7. TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS	8
7.1. Acute toxicity – oral	8
7.2. Acute toxicity – dermal	8
7.4. Irritation – skin	9
7.5. Irritation – eye	9
7.6. Skin sensitisation	
7.8. Genotoxicity – bacteria	11
8.2. Ecotoxicological investigations	11
8.1.1. Ready biodegradability	11
8.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish	
8.2.3. Algal growth inhibition test	
9. RISK ASSESSMENT	14
9.1. Environment	14
9.1.1. Environment – exposure assessment	
9.1.2. Environment – effects assessment	
9.1.3. Environment – risk characterisation	
9.2. Human health	15
9.2.1. Occupational health and safety – exposure assessment	15
9.2.2. Public health – exposure assessment	16
9.2.3. Human health - effects assessment	16
9.2.4. Occupational health and safety – risk characterisation	
9.2.5. Public health – risk characterisation	16
10. CONCLUSIONS – ASSESSMENT LEVEL OF CONCERN FOR THE ENVIRONMENT	
HUMANS	17
10.1. Hazard classification	17
10.2. Environmental risk assessment	17
10.3. Human health risk assessment	17
10.3.1. Occupational health and safety	17
10.3.2. Public health	
11. MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET	17
11.1. Material Safety Data Sheet	
11.2. Label	
12. RECOMMENDATIONS	18
12.1. Secondary notification	
13. BIBLIOGRAPHY	

FULL PUBLIC REPORT

Polyhexamethyleneguanidine phosphate

1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS

APPLICANT SK Global Australia Pty Ltd Level 31, 2 Park St. Sydney 2000

NOTIFICATION CATEGORY

Limited: Polymer with NAMW ≥ 1000 (greater than 1 tonne per year).

EXEMPT INFORMATION (SECTION 75 OF THE ACT) No details are claimed exempt from publication.

VARIATION OF DATA REQUIREMENTS (SECTION 24 OF THE ACT) No variation to the schedule of data requirements is claimed.

PREVIOUS NOTIFICATION IN AUSTRALIA BY APPLICANT(S) None

NOTIFICATION IN OTHER COUNTRIES None

2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL

CHEMICAL NAME

Poly(iminocarbonimidoylimino-1,6-hexanediyl), phosphate

OTHER NAME(S)

Polyhexamethyleneguanidine phosphate

MARKETING NAME(S) SKYBIO 1100

CAS NUMBER 89697-78-9

MOLECULAR FORMULA $(C_7H_{15}N_3)_m(H_3PO_4)_n$ ratio m/n=1-2

STRUCTURAL FORMULA

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \hline \\ (CH_2)_6 & \hline \\ NH & \hline \\ NH & \end{bmatrix}_{m} (H_3PO_4)_1$$

 $m/n = 1 \sim 2$

MOLECULAR WEIGHT

Number Average Molecular Weight (Mn)

18,500

Weight Average Molecular Weight (Mw)137,000Polydispersity Index (Mw/Mn)7.37% of Low MW Species < 1000</td>0.01% of Low MW Species < 500</td><0.01</td>

SPECTRAL DATA

ANALYTICAL Infra-red spectroscopy

METHOD Remarks

TEST FACILITY 3311.59, 2125.81, 1635.56, 1473.43, 1356.41, 1075.34, and 986.97 cm⁻¹

METHODS OF DETECTION AND DETERMINATION

ANALYTICAL UV Visible Spectrophotometry

METHOD

Remarks Skybio 1125 (analogue) forms a coloured complex with Eosin. The complex has a

maximum value at 549 nm.

TEST FACILITY Specialty Chemicals Division, SK Chemicals

3. COMPOSITION

DEGREE OF PURITY

95.5%

HAZARDOUS IMPURITIES/RESIDUAL MONOMERS

None

No measurable concentration of residual monomer or reactants

NON HAZARDOUS IMPURITIES/RESIDUAL MONOMERS (>1% by weight)

Chemical Name Sodium chloride

CAS No. Weight % 3

Chemical Name Water

CAS No. Weight % 1.5

ADDITIVES/ADJUVANTS

None

POLYMER CONSTITUENTS

Chemical Name	CAS No.	Weight % starting
Polyhexamethylene guanidine phosphate	89697-78-9	~100%

THERMAL DECOMPOSITION

The notified polymer was analysed by pyrolysis/GC/MS analysis using electron ionisation mass spectrometry and chemical ionisation detection. The sample was analysed at 360 °C and 500°C in helium. The proportions of each pyrolysis product was inconsistent. The compounds identified were consistent with the know structure of the notified polymer: derivatives of piperidine and hexahydro azepine. of the sample produced some inconsistent results in the proportions of each pyrolusis TEST FACILITY

Macquarie University Centre for Analytical Biotechnology (2002)

LOSS OF MONOMERS, OTHER REACTANTS, ADDITIVES, IMPURITIES None

4. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION

MODE OF INTRODUCTION OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

The notified polymer will be imported either as powder or an aqueous solution containing 25% notified polymer.

MAXIMUM INTRODUCTION VOLUME OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

Year	1	2	3	4	5
Tonnes	10	24	50	75	100

USE

The notified polymer will be used as a microbial additive in plastics, fabric softeners, paints, swimming pools and papers (especially wall paper) and for sanitation in food processing plants and cooling towers.

Plastics

Masterbatches of notified polymer are prepared for incorporation into plastics, eg PVC, polypropylene, polyethylene, polyester and polyurethane. In the manufacturing process, the Masterbatch and other additives are then added in suitable ratios. The concentration of notified polymer in plastics is 0.2-0.7% w/w.

Food processing plants, paper, fabric softeners, paints and cooling towers

Notified polymer added as an aqueous solution at 25% is used in these applications. The applications are automated and involve the use of metering pump additions. The concentration of notified polymer in these applications are as follows:

Water treatment applications*: 100-125 ppm.
Paper: 0.3-1.0% w/w
Fabric softeners: not specified
Paints 0.15-0.5% w/w

5. PROCESS AND RELEASE INFORMATION

5.1. Distribution, Transport and Storage

PORT OF ENTRY

Not known

IDENTITY OF MANUFACTURER/RECIPIENTS

Not known

TRANSPORTATION AND PACKAGING

The notified polymer is packaged in stainless steel reinforced paper containers (bags) of 25 kg

5.2. Operation Description

The notified polymer is imported as a solution at about 25% or as powder at approximately 100%.

Use as a solution:

Automated process: the notified polymer solution is either directly added from the containers (drums) or pumped to a storage tank for delivery to an automated dosing system.

Manual process: the required volume of notified polymer is measured by dipping or decanting and adding to a vortex in a stirred open tank.

Use as powder:

For the use of notified polymer imported as powder for example in Masterbatch manufacture, the bags

^{*} including pool applications

will be cut open and the contents tipped into a blender with the other ingredients used in the manufacturing process. The blended masterbatch is then transferred to a hopper, fed into an extruder, then diced and packaged. Plastic articles are then produced by blending masterbatch with resin, followed by processing such as injection moulding.

5.3. Occupational exposure

It is estimated that there will be about 4 companies likely to use the product, and a total of 20 workers (five/company) will handle the product.

Waterside, transport and warehouse workers will only handle closed containers of the product containing the notified polymer.

Exposure of workers to the 25% aqueous solution may occur when dispensing, weighing, mixing and blending, in particular, when the containers are open for insertion of the pump or metering system and when the pump or metering system is withdrawn from the empty container.

During manual processes, workers may contact the product when measuring the required amount, e.g. by dipping or decanting and adding to a vortex in a stirred open tank.

When handling the solid form of the notified polymer, workers may become exposed to dust when cutting the bags, weighing the powder and emptying the contents into a blender for masterbatch production.

5.4. Release

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL AT SITE

The notified polymer will be imported into Australia as a powder in stainless steel reinforced 25 kg containers or as a 25% aqueous solution.

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM USE

Up to 80% of the import volume will be used in the treatment of water in food processing plants, swimming pools and cooling towers and in the manufacture fabric softeners. This will result in the eventual release of up to 80 tonnes per annum to the environment. The remaining 20% will be incorporated into paper products, such as wallpaper, and paints. Production losses from these uses are estimated to be less than 1% of import volume due to the highly automated manufacturing and blending processes employed. This will result in a further release to the environment of up to 1000 kg per annum.

5.5. Disposal

The majority of the notified polymer will be released to sewer. Wastes resulting from spills will either be recovered and used or discarded to landfill or sewer. Products into which the notified polymer has been incorporated, such as painted objects and wallpaper, will eventually be disposed of to landfill.

5.6. Public exposure

The public may be exposed to the product through direct contact to waters that have been treated with the product and through exposure to paints, paper, plastics and fabric softeners.

6. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

Appearance at 20°C and 101.3 kPa White powdered solid with no odour

Melting Point/Freezing Point 57°C

Boiling Point Decomposes at about 250°C before boiling at 101.3 kPa

Density Not provided (Bulk density 740 kg/m³)

Vapour Pressure Negligible at 20°C

Water Solubility 285 g/L at 20°C

METHOD OECD TG 105 Water solubility

Remarks The preliminary test found the water solubility of the notified polymer to be

approximately 200-1000 g/L. During the definitive test, the test substance (approximately 5 times the test substance required to produce a saturated solution as determined from the preliminary test) was added to water, agitated for 24 h at 30°C followed by equilibration for 24, 48 and 72 h. The contents of the test vessels

were centrifuged and the supernatant layer analysed.

TEST FACILITY SK Chemicals (2002a)

Hydrolysis as a Function of pH Stable

Remarks The test report provided did not detail the procedure used to determine the

hydrolytic stability of the notified polymer. However, the information supplied suggests that the notified polymer was added to solutions buffered at pH 1.2, 4, 7 and 9 and then, after an unspecified period of time, analysed by FT-IR. No significant change was observed in the spectra obtained indicating the notified

polymer is stable to hydrolysis in the pH range of 1.2-9.

TEST FACILITY SK Chemicals (2002b)

Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water) Not determined

Remarks While no test report was provided, the notifier indicates that the n-octanol

solubility of the notified polymer was determined using a standard shake flask method quantified by a spectroscopic method using Eosin indicator. The octanol solubility of the notified polymer was found to be below the limit of quantification of the analytical method (0.1ppb). Therefore, the polymer is expected to have a low partition coefficient value, which is indicative of partitioning into the aqueous

phase.

Adsorption/Desorption Not determined

Remarks As a consequence of its cationic character, the notified polymer is expected to

have a high affinity for organic matter in soil and sediment.

Dissociation Constant 6.6

Remarks The dissociation constant for the notified polymer was determined by a titration

method using 0.05M sulphuric acid.

TEST FACILITY Not specified

Particle Size 20-40 µm

Flash Point Not flammable

Flammability Limits Not applicable

Autoignition Temperature Decomposes at above 250°C

Explosive Properties Not explosive

Reactivity Stable at pH values between 1 and 10

Remarks Notified polymer forms water insoluble precipitates with anionic surfactants eg

soaps, alkyl aryl sulphonates and anionic caramels. Also, it is precipitated by

strong alkalis and complex phosphates

7. TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

The toxicity studies were conducted using GUS-07 (notified polymer) in flake form at purity of greater than 98%.

Rat, acute oral

Rat, acute oral

LD₅₀ 610 mg/kg bw
Harmful

Rat, acute dermal $LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw}$ Low toxicity

Rabbit, skin irritation Non-irritating to skin

Rabbit, eye irritation Causes serious damage to eyes

Guinea pig, skin sensitisation - adjuvant test

Limited evidence of skin sensitisation

Genotoxicity - bacterial reverse mutation Non mutagenic

7.1. Acute toxicity – oral

TEST SUBSTANCE GUS-07

METHOD OECD TG 401 Acute Oral Toxicity.

Species/Strain Rat/Sprague Dawley

Vehicle 1% w/v aqueous methylcellulose Remarks - Method No control animals were included

RESULTS

Number and Sex	Dose	Mortality
of Animals	mg/kg bw	
Five males and five	2000	All rats*
females		
Five males and five	800	2 males* at 800 mg/kg bw
females		
Five males	320	None

^{*} All deaths occurred during the first 3 hrs of dosing

Median LD₅₀ 857 (610 to 1203) mg/kg bw

Signs of Toxicity Slight body weight loss; piloerection seen in all rats; hunched posture,

waddling/unsteady gait, lethargy, respiratory distress, partially closed eyelids, pallid extremities, increased salivation, walking on toes, bluish

colour to extremities and prostration.

Effects in Organs
Remarks - Results
Generalised congestion in the majority of tissues and organs
Surviving rats recovered from all signs of toxicity by Day 7.

No abnormalities at macroscopic examination on Day 15.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is harmful via the oral route.

TEST FACILITY Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd (1997a)

7.2. Acute toxicity – dermal

TEST SUBSTANCE GUS-07

METHOD OECD TG 402 Acute Dermal Toxicity.

Species/Strain Rat/Sprague Dawley

Vehicle Distilled water Type of dressing Occlusive

Remarks - Method 24 hrs exposure period No control animals included

RESULTS

Number and Sex Dose Mortality
of Animals mg/kg bw

Five males and five 2000 None
females

 LD_{50} >2000 mg/kg bw

Signs of Toxicity - Local Dermal irritation ranging from slight to moderate in degree

(erythema/edema grades 1 to 3) was evident in the majority of animals following removal of the dressings on Day 2 and persisted in a number of animals over the following days. The treatment sites of all animals fully

recovered by Day 13.

Signs of Toxicity - Systemic Two females had low weight gains on Day 15.

Effects in Organs
Remarks - Results

No abnormalities were recorded on Day 15 macroscopic examination.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is of low toxicity via the dermal route.

TEST FACILITY Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd (1997b)

7.4. Irritation – skin

TEST SUBSTANCE GUS-07

METHOD OECD TG 404 Acute Dermal Irritation/Corrosion.

Species/Strain Rabbit/New Zealand White

Number of Animals

Vehicle

Observation Period

Type of Dressing

Three

Not diluted

Four days

Semi-occlusive.

Remarks – Method

RESULTS

Remarks - Results No dermal reactions were observed- all Draize scores were zero

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is non-irritating to skin.

TEST FACILITY Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd (1997c)

7.5. Irritation – eye

TEST SUBSTANCE GUS-07

METHOD OECD TG 405 Acute Eye Irritation/Corrosion.

Species/Strain Rabbit/New Zealand White

Number of Animals One

Observation Period Seven days

Remarks - Method

RESULTS

Lesion	Mean Score*	Maximum	Maximum Value at
		Duration of Any	End of Observation
		Effect	Period
Conjunctiva: redness	3	7 days	3
Conjunctiva: chemosis	4	7 days	3
Cornea: opacity	2	7 days	2
Cornea: area	1	7 days	2
Iridial inflammation	1	4 days	0

^{*} Calculated on the basis of scores at 24, 48 and 72 hrs for one animal

Remarks - Results No signs of toxicity or ill health was observed in the rabbit during the

observation period.

Corneal opacification, iridial inflammation and severe conjunctival irritation was seen in the treated eye, persisting till the end of the

observation period.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical causes severe damage to the eye.

TEST FACILITY Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd (1997d)

7.6. Skin sensitisation

TEST SUBSTANCE **GUS-07**

METHOD OECD TG 406 Skin Sensitisation – Magnusson and Kligman

Species/Strain Guinea pig/ Dunkin/Hartley

PRELIMINARY STUDY Maximum Non-irritating Concentration: topical application at 1% w/v in

distilled water

intradermal: 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 2.5, 5.0, 7.5 and 10.0 % w/v

10, 30, 50 and 70 % w/v. topical: 1, 2.5, 5 and 7.5% w/v.

MAIN STUDY

Number of Animals Test Group: 10 Control Group: 5

INDUCTION PHASE **Induction Concentration:**

intradermal injection 0.05% w/v in water for irrigation

topical application 7.5% in distilled water

2 weeks after the topical application CHALLENGE PHASE

1st challenge topical application: 1 and 0.5% w/v in distilled water 2nd challenge topical application: 1 and 0.5% w/v in distilled water

Remarks - Method

RESULTS

Remarks - Results Induction:

Intradermal injections: slight irritation was seen in test animals at sites

receiving 0.05% notified chemical in water for irrigation.

Topical application: slight erythema was observed in animals following topical application with notified chemical at 7.5% w/v in distilled water.

Slight erythema was seen in control animals.

Challenge:

First challenge: Dermal reactions were noted in 5 of the test animals.

Second challenge: No dermal reactions were noted in 9 of the test animals. One animal showed a positive response.

CONCLUSION There was limited evidence of reactions indicative of skin sensitisation to

the notified chemical under the conditions of the test.

TEST FACILITY Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd (1997e)

7.8. Genotoxicity – bacteria

TEST SUBSTANCE GUS-07

METHOD OECD TG 471 Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test.

Species/Strain S. typhimurium: TA1535, TA1537, TA98, TA100

E. coli: WP2 uvrA

Metabolic Activation System S9 mix derived from rat liver

Concentration Range in

A) With metabolic activation:
3.13, 6.25, 12.5, 25 and 50 μg/plate.

B) Without metabolic activation:
3.13, 6.25, 12.5, 25 and 50 μg/plate.

Vehicle Dimethylsulphoxide Remarks - Method Preliminary tests:

0.05, 0.5, 5, 50, 500 and 5000 µg/plate with and without S9

RESULTS Due to cytotoxicity at high concentrations, the maximum exposure

concentration was chosen to be 50 µg/plate, where a substantial reduction

in colony counts was obtained in both strains.

Remarks - Results No increases in mutant frequency over controls in the presence or absence

of S9 mix at concentrations from 3.13 to 50 μg/plate. Inhibition of bacterial growth occurred in all strains at 50 μg/plate; some inhibition

was also observed at 256 µg/plate in the absence of S9.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical was not mutagenic to bacteria under the conditions

of the test.

TEST FACILITY Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd (1997f)

8.2. Ecotoxicological investigations

8.1.1. Ready biodegradability

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical.

METHOD OECD TG 301 D Ready Biodegradability: Closed Bottle Test.

Inoculum Activated sludge – mixed liquor suspended solid.

Exposure Period 28 days
Auxiliary Solvent None
Analytical Monitoring HPLC

Remarks - Method The biodegradation of the test substance was determined by comparing

the extent of oxygen depletion with the theoretical oxygen demand over a period of 28 days after the medium was inoculated with activated sludge and stored in the dark at 20°C. Sodium benzoate was used as the standard

material.

RESULTS

Test	substance	I	Iniline
Day	% degradation	Day	% degradation
14	0	14	76
28	0	28	76

Remarks - Results After 28 days, the results indicated that 0% of the test substance had

degraded, while 76% of the standard degraded in 28 days. As the test substance did not satisfy the criterion that degradation reach 60% within 10 days of reaching 10%, the notified polymer cannot be considered to be

readily biodegradable.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is not readily biodegradable.

TEST FACILITY Huntingdon life Sciences (1997g).

8.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified polymer

METHOD OECD TG 203 Fish, Acute Toxicity Test – 96 h - static

Species Red Killifish (Oryzias latipes)

Exposure Period 96 h Auxiliary Solvent None Analytical Monitoring None

RESULTS

Concentration mg/L	Number of Fish		Mortali		
Nominal	· ·	24h	48h	72h	96h
0	7	0	0	0	0
0.15	7	0	0	0	0
0.24	7	0	4	5	5
0.39	7	4	7	7	7
0.63	7	7	7	7	7
1.00	7	7	7	7	7

LC50 0.23 mg/L at 96 hours (CI 0.19-0.27 mg/L).

NOEC 0.15 mg/L at 96 hours.

Remarks – Results The definitive studies were conducted at nominal test substance

concentrations of 0.15, 0.24, 0.39, 0.63, and 1 mg/L. After 96 h, 57% mortality was observed at a test substance concentration of 0.24 mg/L and 100% mortality above a concentration of 0.39 mg/L. A 96-hour EC50 and NOEC for the notified polymer to *Oryzias latipes* are 0.23 and 0.15

mg/L, respectively.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is very toxic to fish.

TEST FACILITY Korea Institute of Toxicology (2002a).

8.2.2 Acute/chronic toxicity to aquatic invertebrates

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified polymer

METHOD OECD TG 202 Daphnia sp. Acute Immobilisation Test

Species Daphnia magna

Exposure Period 48 hours Analytical Monitoring None

RESULTS

Concentration mg/L	Number of D. magna	Number In	nmobilised
Nominal		24 h	48 h
0	20	0	0
0.01	20	0	0
0.022	20	0	1

0.046	20	0	3
0.1	20	0	9
0.22	20	0	14
0.46	20	1	20
1.0	20	6	20

EC50 0.1 mg/L at 48 hours (CI 0.38-0.47 mg/L).

NOEC 0.2 mg/L at 48 hours.

Remarks - Results The immobilisation tests with *Daphnia* were performed in triplicate using

10 daphnids per flask with observations performed at 24 and 48 hours. After 48 h, no immobilised daphnids were observed at a test substance concentration of 0.2 mg/L and 33% at a concentration of 0.4 mg/L. At test substance concentration above 0.8 mg/L, 100% mortality was observer. The 48-hour EC50 for the test substance to *Daphnia magna* is

0.42 mg/L.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is very toxic to daphnia.

TEST FACILITY Huntingdon life Sciences (1997h).

8.2.2.1 Acute/chronic toxicity to aquatic invertebrates

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified polymer

METHOD OECD TG 202 Daphnia sp. Acute Immobilisation Test

Species Daphnia magna

Exposure Period 48 hours Analytical Monitoring None

RESULTS

Concentration mg/L	Number of D. magna	Number In	nmobilised
Nominal		24 h	48 h
0	30	0	0
0.1	30	0	0
0.2	30	0	0
0.4	30	4	10
0.8	30	13	30
1.6	30	28	30
3.2	30	30	30

EC50 0.42 mg/L at 48 hours (CI 0.38-0.47 mg/L).

NOEC 0.2 mg/L at 48 hours.

Remarks - Results The immobilisation tests with *Daphnia* were performed in triplicate using

10 daphnids per flask with observations performed at 24 and 48 hours. After 48 h, no immobilised daphnids were observed at a test substance concentration of 0.2 mg/L and 33% at a concentration of 0.4 mg/L. At test substance concentration above 0.8 mg/L, 100% mortality was observer. The 48-hour EC50 for the test substance to *Daphnia magna* is

0.42 mg/L.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is very toxic to daphnia.

TEST FACILITY Korea Institute of Toxicology (2002b).

8.2.3. Algal growth inhibition test

TEST SUBSTANCE The notified polymer

METHOD OECD TG 201 Alga, Growth Inhibition Test.

Species Selenastrum capricornutum

Exposure Period 72 hours

Concentration Range 0.01, 0.23, 0.053, 0.12, 0.28, 0.64 and 1.48 mg/L

Nominal

RESULTS

Biomass	Growth	NO	DEC
E_bC50	E_rC50	Biomass	Growth
mg/L at 72 h	mg/L at 72 h	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
0.04 (CI 0.006-0.02)	0.01 (CI 0.03-0.06)	0.02	< 0.01

of 0, 0.01, 0.23, 0.053, 0.12, 0.28, 0.64 and 1.48 mg/L for 72 h at 24°C under constant illumination and shaking. No abnormalities were detected in any of the replicate test samples. Both biomass and growth rate of *Scenedesmus subspicatus* were adversely affected by the test substance.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is very toxic to algae.

TEST FACILITY Korea Institute of Toxicology (2002c).

9. RISK ASSESSMENT

9.1. Environment

9.1.1. Environment – exposure assessment

Exposure

The notified polymer will be used in the treatment of water in food processing plants, swimming pools and cooling towers and in the manufacture fabric softeners. This will result in the eventual release of up to 80 tonnes per annum to the environment. The remaining 20% will be incorporated into paper products, such as wallpaper, and paints. A further release to the environment of up to 1000 kg per annum will occur from production losses. Products into which the notified polymer has been incorporated, such as painted objects and wallpaper, will eventually be disposed of to landfill.

Fate

The notified polymer is soluble in water and is likely to be mobile in both aquatic and terrestrial compartments. However when released to sewer and in landfill, as a consequence of its cationic character, the notified polymer is expected to associate to negatively charged organic matter in soil and sediment and slowly degrade through the abiotic and biotic processes. Incineration of the notifier polymer will produce water vapour and oxides of carbon and nitrogen. The notified polymer incorporated into paints and paper is expected to remain bound to these substrates and pose little risk to the environment, over time slowly degrading through biotic and abiotic processes to water and oxides of carbon and nitrogen.

Based on annual releases of 80000 kg per annum to sewer and not removed during sewage treatment processes, the daily release on a nationwide basis to receiving waters is estimated to be 219.2 kg/day. Assuming a national population of 19,500,000 and each person contributing an average 200 L/day to overall sewage flows, the predicted concentration in sewage effluent on a nationwide basis is estimated as 0.056 mg/L.

Amount entering sewer annually

Population of Australia

Amount of water used per person per day

Number of days in a year

80000 kg

19.5 million

200 L

365

Estimated PEC 0.056 mg/L (0.056 ppm)

When released to receiving waters, the concentration is generally understood to be reduced by a

further factor of at least 10, so the Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC) is around 0.0056 mg/L. Removal processes such as adsorption to sludge would reduce this value further. The PEC calculated above could be reduced further if there were more specific information regarding the proportions of the notified polymer used for each purpose.

Due to its high molecular weight (>>1000 MW), the notified polymer is not expected to bioaccumulate.

9.1.2. Environment – effects assessment

The results of the ecotoxicological data indicate the notified polymer is very toxic to aquatic life. The most sensitive species are algae, where the 72 hour EC50 is 0.01 mg/L and the NOEC is less than 0.01 mg/L.

A predicted no effects concentration (PNEC) can be determined when at least one acute EC50 for each of the three trophic levels is available (ie. fish, Daphnia, algae). The PNEC is calculated by taking the EC50 value of the most sensitive species, and dividing this value by an assessment safety factor of either 100 (OECD) or 1000 (EU). Using a worst case scenario safety factor of 100, the PNEC is $1.0~\mu g/L$.

9.1.3. Environment – risk characterisation

The majority of the notified polymer will be used in the treatment of water in food processing plants, swimming pools and cooling towers and in the manufacture fabric softeners. Most will eventually be released into domestic sewage systems as a consequence of product use. The notified polymer is not readily biodegradable (0% over 28 days), and is expected to have a low partition coefficient and high water solubility (285 g/L), all indicating that the material would be mobile in both aquatic and terrestrial compartments. However as a consequence of it cationic character, the notified polymer is expected to associate to negatively charged organic matter in soil and sediment. Once associated, the notified polymer is expected to slowly degrade to water and oxides of carbon through the processes described above.

Due to its high molecular weight (>>1000 MW), the notified polymer is not expected to bioaccumulate.

The PEC/PNEC ratio for the aquatic environment, assuming nationwide use, is 56. This value is significantly greater than 1, indicating an immediate concern to the aquatic compartment.

In an effort to minimise the hazard to the environment when the notified polymer is used as a component of domestic products, the notifier will need to implement the recommendation listed in Section 12.

9.2. Human health

9.2.1. Occupational health and safety – exposure assessment

The highest occupational exposure is expected during masterbatch manufacture using imported powder containing 100% notified polymer and during manual processes using imported aqueous solution containing 25% notified polymer.

During masterbatch manufacture, there is potential of dermal and inhalation exposure to dust when opening the bags containing notified polymer powder (100% notified polymer), weighing and tipping the contents into a blender. It is assumed that blending is enclosed or under local exhaust ventilation. Transferring the mixed material into an extruder and packaging the masterbatch is expected to be automated.

Worker exposure to masterbatch (10 % notified polymer) may occur when producing the plastic articles by blending masterbatch with resin, followed by processing. However, exposure is not expected to be significant as masterbatch pellets are usually above the respirable range and the maximum concentration of notified polymer in plastics is 0.7%.

For the remaining uses, the notified polymer solution is used. Manual processes may result in worker exposure when weighing the required volume and stirring in an open tank due to accidental splashes and spills. Automated processes using imported solution will result in less

exposure than during manual processes. The notified polymer solution is directly added from drums or pumped to a storage tank via an automated dosing system. The maximum concentration of notified polymer in these applications is 1%.

During transport and storage, exposure to notified polymer will be limited because of the packaging.

9.2.2. Public health – exposure assessment

Public exposure to the notified polymer will be widespread, forexample, when used in swimming pools. However, given the low concentration of notified polymer in pool applications, significant public exposure is not expected. Due to the low concentration of the notified polymer in formulated products such as fabric softeners and in finished wall paper and paints, significant public exposure is not expected.

9.2.3. Human health - effects assessment

The notified polymer is harmful by the oral route and of low dermal toxicity, although dermal irritation was seen in a number of animals which recovered by the end of the study. The notified polymer has a NAMW above 10000, indicating that the extent of dermal absorption is low. Inhalation toxicity was not studied. The vapour pressure of the notified polymer is negligible, therefore, the inhalation hazard of the aqueous solution of the polymer would be low, provided aerosols were not generated. The inhalation hazard of the notified polymer in dust form is significant as the particles are in the inspirable range (20-40 μ m) and the polymer is severely irritating to the eyes.

The notified polymer was not a skin irritant when tested as powder in a skin irritation study. However, when tested as a formulation dissolved in water in an acute dermal toxicity study, the notified polymer caused dermal irritation in the majority of animals.

The notified polymer was not a skin sensitiser, but may cause severe damage to the eyes. Also, it was not genotoxic using Ames test. No repeat dose toxicity studies were provided.

9.2.4. Occupational health and safety – risk characterisation

The main hazard of the notified polymer is severe eye damage. This hazard is recognised for all products containing greater than or equal to 10% notified polymer. Skin irritation hazard is considered a conservative assumption, since the chemical may cause severe eye damage and there were signs of skin irritation in the acute dermal study.

There is a health risk for workers if exposure to the notified polymer as powder or in solution at 25% occurs on the skin or eyes when opening the bags/container, weighing the required amount/volume and transferring for mixing in an open tank or dosing manually. Workers will need to have safety goggles to avoid exposure on the eyes and gloves to avoid skin irritation. Dust exposure may occur when handling the powder, so workers will need to wear a dust mask.

Handling masterbatch (10%) may require eye protection, when processing into plastics by blending with resin. Risk of exposure to masterbatch is low, since it is likely that all masterbatch pellets are above the inspirable range (>180 μ m). However, in case dust particles are present in the inspirable range, a dust mask should be worn.

Certain procedures are automated, such as when applying the solution (25% notified polymer) via an automated dosing system and packaging the masterbatch. It is assumed that local exhaust ventilation is employed. The health risk to workers during these processes is low.

The risk to cleaners/maintenance workers in treated swimming pools and cooling towers is low as the concentration of notified polymer is in parts per million. Workers involved in food processing plants, paper, fabric softeners and paints will be exposed to a maximum of 1% notified polymer and thus the risk is considered low.

9.2.5. Public health - risk characterisation

The notified polymer is harmful by the oral route at greater than or equal to 25% and may cause serious damage to eyes at concentration equal to or above 10%. However, the public will only be exposed to low concentrations. In plastics, paper and food processing items, the notified polymer (max 1.0%) is cross linked after application and is not bio-available upon contact. In swimming pools and cooling towers, the concentration will be very low (parts per million) and the risk to public health is hence low.

Overall the risk to public is assessed as low.

10. CONCLUSIONS – ASSESSMENT LEVEL OF CONCERN FOR THE ENVIRONMENT AND HUMANS

10.1. Hazard classification

Human health:

Based on the available data the notified polymer is classified as hazardous under the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC, 1999). The classification and labelling details are:

R22 Harmful if swallowed

R41 Risk of serious damage to eyes

Environment

The notified chemical is very toxic to aquatic life and may be considered persistent based on its lack of degradability.

While environmental classification is not a regulatory requirement in Australia, if this chemical were to be classified according to the Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling, it would be classed as Chronic Category 1 and carry the appropriate hazard statement: "Very toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects".

10.2. Environmental risk assessment

On the basis of the PEC/PNEC ratio the notified polymer may pose a risk to the environment based on the notified use pattern. Further work or actions should be considered (See Section 12).

10.3. Human health risk assessment

10.3.1. Occupational health and safety

There is high Concern to occupational health and safety when handling the powder and low Concern when handling the masterbatch pellets and during end use under the conditions of the occupational settings described.

10.3.2. Public health

There is Low Concern to public health when used according to instructions.

11. MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

11.1. Material Safety Data Sheet

The MSDS of the notified polymer provided by the notifier was in accordance with the NOHSC *National Code of Practice for the Preparation of Material Safety Data Sheets* (NOHSC, 1994a). It is published here as a matter of public record. The accuracy of the information on the MSDS remains the responsibility of the applicant.

11.2. Label

The label for the notified polymer provided by the notifier was in accordance with the NOHSC *National Code of Practice for the Labelling of Workplace Substances* (NOHSC, 1994b). The accuracy of the information on the label remains the responsibility of the applicant.

12. RECOMMENDATIONS

REGULATORY CONTROLS
Hazard Classification and Labelling

• The NOHSC Chemicals Standards Sub-committee should consider the following health hazard classification for the notified polymer:

R22 Harmful if swallowed

R41 Risk of serious damage to eyes

Use the following risk phrases for products/mixtures containing the notified polymer:

- ≥25%: R22 R41- 10 - <25%: R41

- 5-10%: R36 (irritating to eyes)

• The notified polymer is very toxic to aquatic life and may be considered persistent based on its lack of degradability.

While environmental classification is not a regulatory requirement in Australia, if this chemical were to be classified according to the Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling, it would be classed as Chronic Category 1 and carry the appropriate hazard statement: "Very toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects".

 The National Drugs and Poisons Standing Committee (NDPSC) should consider the notified chemical for listing on the SUSDP.

CONTROL MEASURES
Occupational Health and Safety

- Employers should implement the following engineering controls to minimise occupational exposure to the notified polymer [as introduced and in the product at 25%]:
 - Local exhaust ventilation, automated process
- Employers should implement the following safe work practices to minimise occupational exposure during handling of the notified polymer [as introduced and in the product at 25%]:
 - Avoid contact with eves
 - Avoid contact with skin
 - Do not breath dust
 - Wear eye/face protection
 - Wear suitable gloves
- Employers should ensure that the following personal protective equipment is used by workers to minimise occupational exposure to the notified polymer [as introduced and in the product at 25%]:
 - PVC or rubber gloves, goggles and dust mask

Guidance in selection of personal protective equipment can be obtained from Australian, Australian/New Zealand or other approved standards.

- A copy of the MSDS should be easily accessible to employees.
- If products and mixtures containing the notified chemical are classified as hazardous to

health in accordance with the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances*, workplace practices and control procedures consistent with provisions of State and Territory hazardous substances legislation must be in operation.

Environment

- The concentration of the notified polymer in effluent should not exceed 1 ppb at the point of release.
- NICNAS should inform the relevant State and Territory EPAs of the recommendations of this assessment before the notified polymer is used.
- When used in swimming pools, filtrate should be removed, dried and disposed of to landfill, and should not be washed into sewer or stormwater drains.
- While it is expected the notified polymer will be consumed during use, in the event of overdosing or clean systems, release to sewer may be significant. It is further expected that the cationic nature of the polymer will cause it to bind in the sludge phase of the STP and not be bioavailable. However, there is no evidence of this and the available literature is not conclusive in this regard. Due to the high toxicity of this compound, and the certain exposure of aquatic organisms in the event the chemical is not removed in its residence in the STP, data should be generated to show release to receiving waters will be of an acceptable level. Possible testing could include:
 - a) Soil adsorption test; or
 - b) Simulated STP test determining influent and effluent concentrations.

Emergency procedures

• Spills/release of the notified polymer should be contained by sand or inert powder and earth. Collect and seal in properly labelled drums for disposal in landfill.

12.1. Secondary notification

The Director of Chemicals Notification and Assessment must be notified in writing within 28 days by the notifier, other importer or manufacturer:

- (1) Under Subsection 64(1) of the Act:
 - if the concentration of the notified polymer after application exceeds 1%.
 - When the recommended testing has been completed. This should be undertaken within 6 months of receiving the assessment certificate. This should consist of the provision of a result and test report for adsorption/desorption and/or a quantitative study which shows removal of the notified polymer from STP effluent prior to release to receiving waters.

or

- (2) Under Section 64(2) of the Act:
 - if any of the circumstances listed in the subsection arise.

The Director will then decide whether secondary notification is required.

13. BIBLIOGRAPHY

1) Connell, D.W. (1990). General Characteristics of Organic Compounds Which Exhibit Bioaccumulation. In: Bioaccumulation of Xenobiotic Compounds. CRC Press, Boca Raton, USA, pp. 47-57.

- 2) Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd (1997a): GUS-07 Acute Oral Toxicity to the Rat, Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd, Cambridgeshire, United Kingdom, Report No. YUK 3/963894/AC (unpublished report submitted by Skychemicals Australia).
- 3) Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd (1997b): GUS-07 Acute Dermal Toxicity to the Rat, Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd, Cambridgeshire, United Kingdom, YUK 4/963845/AC (unpublished report submitted by Skychemicals Australia).
- 4) Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd (1997c): GUS-07 Skin Irritation to the Rabbit, Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd, Cambridgeshire, United Kingdom, YUK 5/9637666/SE (unpublished report submitted by Skychemicals Australia).
- 5) Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd (1997d): GUS-07 Eye Irritation to the Rabbit, Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd, Cambridgeshire, United Kingdom, YUK 6/970659/SE (unpublished report submitted by Skychemicals Australia).
- 6) Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd (1997e): GUS-07 Skin Sensitisation in the Guinea Pig, Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd, Cambridgeshire, United Kingdom, YUK 7/970501/SS (unpublished report submitted by Skychemicals Australia).
- 7) Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd (1997f): GUS-07 Bacterial Mutation Assay, Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd, Cambridgeshire, United Kingdom, YUK 010/970107 (unpublished report submitted by Skychemicals Australia).
- 8) Huntingdon (1997g) YUK 9/970684: GUS-07 Ready Biodegradability (Closed Bottle Test), Huntingdon Life Sciences LTD, Cambridgeshire, United Kingdom, (unpublished report submitted by Skychemicals Australia).
- 9) Huntington (1997h) YUK 8/970912: GUS-07 Acute Toxicity to *Daphnia magna*, Huntington Life Sciences LTD, Cambridgeshire, United Kingdom, (unpublished report submitted by Skychemicals Australia).
- 10) Korea Institute of Toxicology (2002a) Study No EG99049: Polyhexamethylene Guanidine Phosphate Acute Toxicity for Red Killfish (*Oryzias latipes*), Daejeon, Korea, (unpublished report submitted by Skychemicals Australia).
- 11) Korea Institute of Toxicology (2002b) Study No EG99051: Polyhexamethylene Guanidine Phosphate Acute Toxicity for *Daphnia magna*, Daejeon, Korea, (unpublished report submitted by Skychemicals Australia).
- 12) Korea Institute of Toxicology (2002c) Study No EG99050: Growth Inhibition Test of Polyhexamethylene Guanidine Phosphate to Algae, *Selenastrum capricornutum*, Daejeon, Korea, (unpublished report submitted by Skychemicals Australia).
- 13) NOHSC (1994a) National Code of Practice for the Preparation of Material Safety Data Sheets [NOHSC:2011(1994)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.
- 14) NOHSC (1994b) National Code of Practice for the Labelling of Workplace Substances [NOHSC:2012(1994)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.
- 15) NOHSC (1999) Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances [NOHSC:1008(1999)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, AusInfo.
- 16) SK Chemicals (2002a) Test No SKBTM 111: Water Solubility Flask Method, (unpublished report submitted by Skychemicals Australia).
- 17) SK Chemicals (2002b) Test No SKBTM 105: Hydrolysis as a Function of pH, (unpublished report submitted by Skychemicals Australia).