File No: EX/204 (STD/1560)

September 2016

# NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS NOTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT SCHEME (NICNAS)

## **PUBLIC REPORT**

## **Chemical in Troysol LAC**

This Assessment has been compiled in accordance with the provisions of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act 1989* (the Act) and Regulations. This legislation is an Act of the Commonwealth of Australia. The National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) is administered by the Department of Health, and conducts the risk assessment for public health and occupational health and safety. The assessment of environmental risk is conducted by the Department of the Environment and Energy.

For the purposes of subsection 78(1) of the Act, this Public Report may be inspected at our NICNAS office by appointment only at Level 7, 260 Elizabeth Street, Surry Hills NSW 2010.

This Public Report is also available for viewing and downloading from the NICNAS website or available on request, free of charge, by contacting NICNAS. For requests and enquiries please contact the NICNAS Administration Coordinator at:

Street Address: Level 7, 260 Elizabeth Street, SURRY HILLS NSW 2010, AUSTRALIA.

Postal Address: GPO Box 58, SYDNEY NSW 2001, AUSTRALIA.

TEL: + 61 2 8577 8800 FAX: + 61 2 8577 8888 Website: www.nicnas.gov.au

Director NICNAS

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY	
CONCLUSIONS AND REGULATORY OBLIGATIONS	3
ASSESSMENT DETAILS	
1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS	5
2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL	5
3. COMPOSITION	6
4. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES	6
5. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION	7
6. HUMAN HEALTH IMPLICATIONS	7
6.1. Exposure Assessment	
6.1.1. Occupational Exposure	
6.1.2. Public Exposure	
6.2. Human Health Effects Assessment	
6.3. Human Health Risk Characterisation	9
6.3.1. Occupational Health and Safety	
6.3.2. Public Health	
7. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS	10
7.1. Environmental Exposure & Fate Assessment	
7.1.1. Environmental Exposure	
7.1.2. Environmental Fate	
7.1.3. Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC)	
7.2. Environmental Effects Assessment	
7.2.1. Predicted No-Effect Concentration	
7.3. Environmental Risk Assessment	12
8. RISK ASSESSMENT RELATING TO EXTENSION APPLICATION	12
APPENDIX A: PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES	13
APPENDIX B: TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS	
B.1. Acute toxicity – oral	14
B.2. Acute toxicity – dermal	14
B.3. Acute toxicity – inhalation	14
B.4. Irritation – skin	15
B.5. Irritation – eye	16
B.6. Skin sensitisation	17
B.7. Repeat dose toxicity – oral	18
B.8. Repeat dose toxicity – inhalation (14 day dose range finding)	19
B.9. Repeat dose toxicity – inhalation	20
B.10. Genotoxicity – bacteria	
B.11. Genotoxicity – <i>in vitro</i> mammalian chromosome aberration test	
B.12. Genotoxicity – <i>in vitro</i> mammalian cell gene mutation test	
APPENDIX C: ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND ECOTOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS	24
C.1. Environmental Fate	24
C.1.1. Ready biodegradability	24
C.2. Ecotoxicological Investigations	24
C.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish (product)	
C.2.2. Acute toxicity to fish (notified chemical)	25
C.2.3. Acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates	26
C.2.4. Algal growth inhibition test (product)	26
C.2.5. Algal growth inhibition test (notified chemical)	
C.2.6. Inhibition of microbial activity	
BIBLIOGRAPHY	29

This assessment report is for an extension of original assessment certificate for Chemical in Troysol LAC. Based on the submission of new information by the extension notifier, some sections of the original assessment report have been modified. These modifications have been made under the heading 'Extension Application' in the respective sections.

## **SUMMARY**

The following details will be published in the NICNAS Chemical Gazette:

ASSESSMENT REFERENCE	APPLICANT(S)	CHEMICAL OR TRADE NAME	HAZARDOUS CHEMICAL	INTRODUCTION VOLUME	USE
EX/204	IMCD Australia	Chemical in Troysol	Yes	$\leq 8$ tonnes per	Component of paints
	Limited	LAC		annum	_

## **CONCLUSIONS AND REGULATORY OBLIGATIONS**

#### Hazard classification

Based on the available information, the notified chemical is recommended for hazard classification according to the *Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)*, as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia. The recommended hazard classification is presented in the following table.

Hazard classification	Hazard statement
Skin irritation (Category 2)	H315: Causes skin irritation
Eye irritation (Category 1)	H318: Causes serious eye damage
Specific target organ toxicity - repeated exposure (Category 2)	H373: May cause damage to organs through prolonged or repeated exposure if inhaled

Based on the available information, the notified chemical is recommended for hazard classification according to the *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC, 2004), with the following risk phrases:

R38: Irritating to skin

R41: Risk of serious damage to eyes

R48/20: Danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure through inhalation

## Human health risk assessment

Under the conditions of the occupational settings described, the notified chemical is not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to the health of workers.

When used in the proposed manner, the notified chemical is not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to public health.

#### **Environmental risk assessment**

On the basis of the PEC/PNEC ratio and the reported use pattern, the notified chemical is not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to the environment.

## Risk assessment relating to extension application

The proposed use, introduction volume and fate of the notified chemical will not change under the proposed extension. The circumstances in the extension application are not expected to impact on the original human health and environment risk assessment.

#### Recommendations

#### REGULATORY CONTROLS

Hazard Classification and Labelling

- The notified chemical should be classified as follows:
  - Skin irritation (Category 2) H315: Causes skin irritation
  - Eye irritation (Category 1) H318: Causes serious eye damage
  - Specific target organ toxicity repeated exposure (Category 2) H373: May cause damage to organs through prolonged or repeated exposure if inhaled

The above should be used for products/mixtures containing the notified chemical, if applicable, based on the concentration of the notified chemical present and the intended use/exposure scenario.

#### CONTROL MEASURES

## Occupational Health and Safety

- Guidance in selection of personal protective equipment can be obtained from Australian, Australian/New Zealand or other approved standards.
- Spray applications should be carried out in accordance with the Safe Work Australia Code of Practice for *Spray Painting and Powder Coating* (SWA, 2012) or relevant State or Territory Code of Practice.
- A copy of the (M)SDS should be easily accessible to employees.
- If products and mixtures containing the notified chemical are classified as hazardous to health in accordance with the *Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)* as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia, workplace practices and control procedures consistent with provisions of State and Territory hazardous substances legislation should be in operation.

## Disposal

• Where reuse or recycling are not appropriate, dispose of the notified chemical in an environmentally sound manner in accordance with relevant Commonwealth, state, territory and local government legislation.

## Emergency procedures

• Spills or accidental release of the notified chemical should be handled by containment, physical collection and subsequent safe disposal.

## **Regulatory Obligations**

## Secondary Notification

This risk assessment is based on the information available at the time of notification. The Director may call for the reassessment of the chemical under secondary notification provisions based on changes in certain circumstances. Under Section 64 of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act (1989)* the notifier, as well as any other importer or manufacturer of the notified chemical, have post-assessment regulatory obligations to notify NICNAS when any of these circumstances change. These obligations apply even when the notified chemical is listed on the Australian Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS).

Therefore, the Director of NICNAS must be notified in writing within 28 days by the notifier, other importer or manufacturer:

- (1) Under Section 64(2) of the Act; if
  - the function or use of the chemical has changed from component of paints, or is likely to change significantly;
  - the amount of chemical being introduced has increased, or is likely to increase, significantly;

- the chemical has begun to be manufactured in Australia;
- additional information has become available to the person as to an adverse effect of the chemical on occupational health and safety, public health, or the environment.

No additional secondary notification conditions are stipulated.

The Director will then decide whether a reassessment (i.e. a secondary notification and assessment) is required.

(Material) Safety Data Sheet

The (M)SDS of the products containing the notified chemical provided by the notifier were reviewed by NICNAS. The accuracy of the information on the (M)SDS remains the responsibility of the applicant.

## **ASSESSMENT DETAILS**

## 1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS

**APPLICANTS** 

Holders of Original Assessment Certificates (STD/1560)
Sherwin-Williams Diversified Brands (Australia) Pty Ltd (ABN: 31 604 851 658)
Level 21, 300 Murray Street
PERTH WA 6000

Woolworths Limited (ABN: 88 000 014 675) 3 City View Road PENNANT HILLS NSW 2120

Applicant for Extension of Original Assessment Certificate IMCD Australia Limited (ABN: 44 000 005 578) Level 1, 372 Wellington Road MULGRAVE VIC 3170

NOTIFICATION CATEGORY

Standard: Chemical other than polymer (more than 1 tonne per year).

EXEMPT INFORMATION (SECTION 75 OF THE ACT)

Data items and details claimed exempt from publication: chemical name, CAS number, molecular and structural formulae, molecular weight, analytical data, degree of purity, impurities, additives/adjuvants, import volume, and identity of manufacturer.

VARIATION OF DATA REQUIREMENTS (SECTION 24 OF THE ACT)

Variation to the schedule of data requirements is claimed as follows: boiling point, density, dissociation constant, flash point, flammability, and explosive properties.

PREVIOUS NOTIFICATION IN AUSTRALIA BY APPLICANT(S) None

NOTIFICATION IN OTHER COUNTRIES Italy (2006) USA (1980)

## 2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL

MARKETING NAME(S)

Troysol LAC (contains the notified chemical at ~ 50% concentration)

MOLECULAR WEIGHT < 500 Da

## ANALYTICAL DATA

Reference LC/MS, NMR, FT-IR, and UV-VIS spectra were provided.

## 3. COMPOSITION

DEGREE OF PURITY

>90%

## 4. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

APPEARANCE AT 20 °C AND 101.3 kPa: solid

Property	Value	Data Source/Justification
Melting Point	243 °C	Measured
Boiling Point	95 -115 °C at 101.3 kPa*	(M)SDS
Density	$1,040 - 1,070 \text{ kg/m}^3 \text{ at } 25 ^{\circ}\text{C*}$	(M)SDS
Vapour Pressure	1.124 kPa at 20 °C	Measured
	1.484 kPa at 25 °C	
Water Solubility	$1.52 (\pm 0.117) \times 10^{-4} \text{ g/L at } 25 ^{\circ}\text{C}$	Measured
Hydrolysis as a Function of pH	Not determined	Contains no hydrolysable functionalities and has limited solubility in water
Partition Coefficient	$\log Pow = 8.2$	Calculated from measured data. The
(n-octanol/water)	10g1011 0.2	notified chemical is surface active and estimations may not adequately characterise the partitioning behaviour. The notified chemical is expected to partition to phase boundaries
Adsorption/Desorption	$\log K_{oc} < 1.25$	Estimated from measured data. The notified chemical is surface active and estimations may not adequately characterise the adsorption/desorption behaviour. The notified chemical is expected to adsorb
Dissociation Constant	Not determined	strongly to soil and sediment The notified chemical is a salt, and is expected to be ionised under environmental conditions (pH 4-9)
Flash Point	> 110 °C (closed cup)*	(M)SDS
Flammability	Not determined	Not expected to be highly flammable based on flash point
Autoignition Temperature	290 °C	Measured
Explosive Properties	Not determined	Not expected to be explosive based on chemical structure
Oxidising Properties	Not oxidising	Based on chemical structure

<sup>\*</sup> For Troysol LAC containing the notified chemical at ~50% concentration in solvent solution

## DISCUSSION OF PROPERTIES

For full details of tests on physical and chemical properties, refer to Appendix A.

## Reactivity

The notified chemical is expected to be stable under normal conditions of use.

## Physical hazard classification

Based on the submitted physico-chemical data depicted in the above table, the notified chemical cannot be classified hazardous according to the *Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)*, as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia.

## Extension Application

No additional information on physical and chemical properties of the notified chemical was provided.

#### 5. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION

MODE OF INTRODUCTION OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

The notified chemical will be imported as a component of finished paints at < 1% concentration.

MAXIMUM INTRODUCTION VOLUME OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

Year	1	2	3	4	5
Tonnes	< 8	< 8	< 8	< 8	< 8

## **Extension Application**

No increase of introduction volume for the notified chemical was proposed.

#### PORT OF ENTRY

Melbourne and Sydney

#### TRANSPORTATION AND PACKAGING

The finished paints containing the notified chemical at < 1% concentration will be imported in 1 L, 4 L and 10 L metal containers and 15 L plastic containers, and transported by road within Australia.

#### USE

The notified chemical will be used as a component of finished paints at < 1% concentration.

#### Extension Application

No change of use details for the notified chemical was proposed.

#### OPERATION DESCRIPTION

The notified chemical will be imported as a component of finished paints at < 1% concentration. There will be no reformulation or repackaging within Australia.

#### End-use

The finished paints containing the notified chemical at < 1% concentration will be used by professional painters and do-it-yourself (DIY) users. Professional painters will likely apply the paint by roller, brush and spray whereas the DIY users are expected to primarily use brush or roller.

## Extension Application

No change of operation description for the notified chemical was proposed.

## 6. HUMAN HEALTH IMPLICATIONS

## Extension Application

No additional information on human health effects of the notified chemical was provided.

#### 6.1. Exposure Assessment

## 6.1.1. Occupational Exposure

## CATEGORY OF WORKERS

Category of Worker	Exposure Duration (hours/day)	Exposure Frequency (days/year)
Stevedores	4	20
Transport	4	20
Warehousing	6	260
Commercial printers	8	260

#### EXPOSURE DETAILS

Transport and storage workers may come into contact with the notified chemical in finished paints (at < 1% concentration) only in the event of accidental rupture of containers.

#### End-use

Professional painters may be exposed (dermal, ocular and inhalation) to the notified chemical (at < 1% concentration) during application of finished paints by brush, roller or spray. Exposure should be minimised through the recommended use (on the paint SDS) of PPE including coveralls, gloves and goggles, as well as respiratory protection when applied by spray.

Once the paints are dried, the notified chemical will be bound within a polymer matrix and will not be available for exposure.

## 6.1.2. Public Exposure

The general public may be exposed (dermal and ocular) to the notified chemical in finished paints (at < 1% concentration) during application by brush or roller. Inhalation exposure may also occur where the paints are applied by spray although this is only expected to occur infrequently.

The general public may also come into contact with the notified chemical in finished paints after application to surfaces. However, once the paints are dried, the notified chemical will be bound within a paint matrix and will not be available for exposure.

#### 6.2. Human Health Effects Assessment

The results from toxicological investigations conducted on the notified chemical or the product Troysol LAC containing the notified chemical at  $\sim$ 50% concentration are summarised in the following table. Solvents including water that make up the balance of the product Troysol LAC are not expected to contribute to the toxicity. For full details of the studies, refer to Appendix B.

Endpoint	Result and Assessment Conclusion
Rat, acute oral toxicity	LD50 > 5000 mg/kg bw; low toxicity*
Rat, acute dermal toxicity	LD50 > 2000 mg/kg bw; low toxicity*
Rat, acute inhalation toxicity	LC50 > 2.12  mg/L/4 h*
Skin irritation ( <i>in vitro</i> )	irritating*
Eye irritation (in vitro)	severely irritating*
Guinea pig, skin sensitisation – non-adjuvant test	no evidence of sensitisation*
Rat, repeat dose oral toxicity – 28 days.	NOAEL = 100 mg/kg bw/day**
Rat, repeat dose inhalation toxicity – 14 days.	LOAEL = 0.036  mg/L/6 h/day*
Rat, repeat dose inhalation toxicity – 28 days.	LOAEL = 0.0038  mg/L/6 h/day*
Mutagenicity – bacterial reverse mutation	non mutagenic**
Genotoxicity – in vitro mammalian cell chromosomal aberration	non genotoxic*
Genotoxicity – in vitro cell mutation assay	non genotoxic**

<sup>\*</sup>Troysol LAC containing the notified chemical at ~ 50% concentration

## **Toxicokinetics**

The notified chemical is a salt, highly lipophilic and is of low water solubility; hence dermal absorption is not expected. Absorption across the gastrointestinal and respiratory tracts is also expected to be limited. However, the notified chemical is a skin irritant and surface active which may enhance absorption.

## Acute toxicity

The product containing the notified chemical at  $\sim$ 50% concentration is of low acute oral, dermal and inhalation toxicity based on studies conducted in rats.

#### Irritation and sensitisation

The product containing the notified chemical at  $\sim$ 50% concentration was found to be irritating to skin and severely irritating to eyes based on studies conducted in rabbits. These observations are consistent with the structure of the notified chemical, which contain a structural alert for corrosion (Tsakovska *et al.*, 2007, Hulzebos *et al.*, 2005). Based on the results of these studies with the notified chemical at  $\sim$ 50% concentration, the notified chemical is at least a skin and eye irritant but may also present as a corrosive. Furthermore, the results from the acute and repeated dose inhalation toxicity studies conducted on the product containing the notified chemical at  $\sim$ 50% concentration indicate that the notified chemical may also present as a respiratory irritant.

The notified chemical was not found to be a sensitiser in a Guinea pig study using the Buehler method.

<sup>\*\*</sup>The notified chemical at > 90% concentration

## Repeated dose toxicity

The notified chemical was tested in rats at doses of 100, 300 and 1,000 mg/kg bw/day in a 28-day repeated dose oral gavage toxicity study. A NOAEL was established as 100 mg/kg bw/day in this study, based on effects in the stomach at 300 mg/kg bw/day or 1000 mg/kg bw/day. Effects in the stomach included squamous cell hyperplasia and hyperkeratosis, parakeratosis, submucosal inflammation, submucosal oedema and pustules in both sexes as well as forestomach ulceration and erosion in females only. The effects are consistent with the irritant nature of the notified chemical.

Two repeated dose inhalation toxicity studies have been conducted on the product containing the notified chemical at  $\sim$ 50% concentration. In the 14-day range finding study, animals were exposed to the test substance (nose only) at 0.036, 0.106 and 0.307 mg/L for 6 h/day/5 days/week. In the subsequent 28-day study, animals were exposed to the test substance (nose only) at 0.0038, 0.0113 and 0.037 mg/L for 6 h/day/5 days/week. In both studies, effects were observed at all doses in a dose dependent manner in the larynx, lungs and nasal turbinate. A NO(A)EL could not be established by the study authors in these studies.

At the end of the recovery period (additional 14 days) in the 28-day study, the changes in the lungs had resolved but treatment related significant changes remained in the larynx and nasal turbinates. Necrosis of the ventral cartilage was present in the larynx of all treated groups with ventral epithelial hyperplasia in some high dose animals and one mid dose female. Some animals from all groups showed eosinophilic inclusions in the olfactory epithelium in the nasal turbinates with an increased incidence and/or severity in treated and higher dose animals. Based on the marked effects seen in the respiratory tract of high dose treated animals after the recovery period, the notified chemical may cause damage to the respiratory tract by prolonged exposure through inhalation.

## Mutagenicity/Genotoxicity

The notified chemical was negative in an *in vitro* bacterial reverse mutation study. The product containing the notified chemical at ~50% concentration was also found to be non genotoxic in an *in vitro* chromosome aberration test using Chinese hamster lung fibroblasts. The notified chemical was found to be non-genotoxic in an *in vitro* cell mutation assay.

#### Health hazard classification

Based on the available information, the notified chemical is recommended for hazard classification according to the *Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)*, as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia. The recommended hazard classification is presented in the following table.

Hazard classification	Hazard statement
Skin irritation (Category 2)	H315: Causes skin irritation
Eye irritation (Category 1)	H318: Causes serious eye damage
Specific target organ toxicity - repeated exposure (Category 2)	H373: May cause damage to organs through prolonged or repeated exposure if inhaled

Based on the available information, the notified chemical is recommended for hazard classification according to the *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC, 2004), with the following risk phrase(s):

R38: Irritating to skin

R41: Risk of serious damage to eyes

R48/20: Danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure through inhalation

## 6.3. Human Health Risk Characterisation

#### 6.3.1. Occupational Health and Safety

Based on the available toxicological data, the notified chemical is expected to be at least severely irritating to eyes and irritating to skin. The notified chemical may cause damage to the respiratory tract by repeated exposure if inhaled. The notified chemical may also present as a respiratory irritant.

The notified chemical will be imported as a component of finished paints at < 1% concentration. Given workers will only be exposed to the notified chemical at low concentrations, the abovementioned risks of irritation effects and damage to respiratory tract are not expected.

Therefore, the notified chemical is not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to the health of workers.

#### 6.3.2. Public Health

The finished paints containing the notified chemical at < 1% concentration will be made available to the general public. The paints are expected to be predominantly applied by brush or roller. The notified chemical is a skin, eye and a potential respiratory irritant. The notified chemical may also cause damage to the respiratory tract by repeated exposure if inhaled. Given the proposed low end-use concentration and infrequent use by the public the risks associated with the notified chemical are not expected.

Therefore, under the proposed use, the notified chemical is not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to public health.

## 7. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

Extension Application

No additional information on environmental effects of the notified chemical was provided.

## 7.1. Environmental Exposure & Fate Assessment

## 7.1.1. Environmental Exposure

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL AT SITE

The notified chemical will be imported as a component of finished paints and coating formulations, and will not be reformulated or repackaged in Australia. Therefore, no release of the notified chemical is expected from manufacturing or reformulation.

Release of the notified chemical during transport and storage is expected to be limited to accidental spills or leaks. Spills or accidental release of the product containing the notified chemical are expected to be contained and collected using absorbents, and disposed of to landfill in accordance with local government regulations.

## RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM USE

Products containing the notified chemical will be used by both professional and Do-It-Yourself (DIY) users. During use, paints and coatings containing the notified chemical are expected to be applied by brush, roller and spray techniques. It is expected that some of the coating product will be in the form of overspray during spraying operations, and will typically entail disposal to landfill after being collected with absorbents.

During use, the notified chemical may also be released to the environment as accidental spills and container residues. These releases are expected to be collected and disposed of to landfill in accordance with local government regulations.

#### RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM DISPOSAL

The notified chemical in surface coatings are expected to share the fate of the substrate to which it has been applied, and are predominantly expected to be disposed to landfill, or thermally decomposed during substrate reclamation.

Residues containing the notified chemical on brushes and rollers are expected to be rinsed into containers, and then allowed to cure before disposal as solid wastes to landfill. As a worst case scenario, it is assumed that up to 5% of the notified chemical used by DIY users may be incorrectly disposed of to the sewer, drains, or ground from waste and washing of application equipment.

## 7.1.2. Environmental Fate

The majority of the notified chemical is expected to be cured within an inert coating matrix and is expected to share the fate of the articles to which it has been applied, which will involve eventual disposal to landfill or undergo thermal decomposition during substrate reclamation. The notified chemical is also expected to enter landfill as collected wastes and residues. Once cured, the notified chemical is not expected to be bioavailable nor biodegradable.

The notified chemical is not readily biodegradable (49-53% in 28 days), but has the potential to degrade in the aquatic environment. Bioaccumulation of uncured notified chemical is unlikely as it is expected to partition to phase boundaries based on its surfactant properties and dispersibility in water. The notified chemical is not likely

to be mobile in the environment, due to its limited solubility in water and strong potential to adsorb to soil and sediment, based on its surfactant properties. Therefore, a significant portion of the notified chemical (> 85%) is expected to partition to sludge during wastewater treatment processes in sewage treatment plants (STPs). In surface waters and in landfill, the notified chemical is expected to eventually degrade via biotic and abiotic processes to form water and oxides of carbon and sulphur. Details of the environmental fate studies can be found in Appendix C.

## 7.1.3. Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC)

The calculation for the predicted environmental concentration (PEC) is summarised in the table below. Based on the reported use in paints and coatings for professional and DIY-users, a conservative release of 5% to sewers on a nationwide basis over 365 days per year is used for the notified chemical. It is also assumes a worst case scenario where none of the notified chemical is removed during Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) processes.

Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC) for the Aquatic Compartment		
Total Annual Import/Manufactured Volume	8,000	kg/year
Proportion expected to be released to sewer	5%	
Annual quantity of chemical released to sewer	400	kg/year
Days per year where release occurs	365	days/year
Daily chemical release:	1.1	kg/day
Water use	200.0	L/person/day
Population of Australia (Millions)	22.613	million
Removal within STP	0%	
Daily effluent production:	4,523	ML
Dilution Factor - River	1.0	
Dilution Factor - Ocean	10.0	
PEC - River:	0.242	$\mu g/L$
PEC - Ocean:	0.024	$\mu g/L$

STP effluent re-use for irrigation occurs throughout Australia. The agricultural irrigation application rate is assumed to be  $1000~L/m^2/year$  (10~ML/ha/year). The notified chemical in this volume is assumed to infiltrate and accumulate in the top 10~cm of soil (density  $1500~kg/m^3$ ). Using these assumptions, irrigation with a concentration of  $0.242~\mu g/L$  may potentially result in a soil concentration of approximately  $1.615~\mu g/kg$ . Assuming accumulation of the notified chemical in soil for 5 and 10~years under repeated irrigation, the concentration of the notified chemical in the applied soil in 5 and 10~years may be approximately  $8.077~\mu g/kg$  and  $16.15~\mu g/kg$ , respectively.

## 7.2. Environmental Effects Assessment

Several study reports were submitted on ecotoxicological investigations conducted on the notified chemical or the product Troysol LAC containing the notified chemical at  $\sim 50\%$  concentration. Only the results of the studies conducted on the notified chemical for fish, algae and daphnia are summarised in the table below. Details of all the submitted studies can be found in Appendix C.

Endpoint	Result	Assessment Conclusion
Fish Toxicity	$96 \text{ h LL} 50 > 0.161 \text{ mg/L (WAF}^*)$	Not harmful up to the limit of solubility
Daphnia Toxicity	EL50 > 0.67  mg/L (WAF*)	Not harmful up to the limit of solubility
Algal Toxicity	$E_r L50 > 0.196 \text{ mg/L (WAF*)}$	Not harmful up to the limit of solubility
Inhibition of Bacterial	IC50 > 1000  mg/L**	Not inhibitory to bacterial respiration
Respiration	-	•

<sup>\*</sup> Water Accommodated Fraction

Based on the above acute ecotoxicological endpoints, the notified chemical is not expected to be harmful to aquatic organisms up to the limit of its solubility in water. Therefore, the notified chemical is not formally classified under the *Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)* (United Nations, 2009) for acute and chronic toxicities.

<sup>\*\*</sup> For Troysol LAC containing the notified chemical at  $\sim 50\%$  concentration

#### 7.2.1. Predicted No-Effect Concentration

The predicted no-effects concentration (PNEC) has been calculated from the most sensitive endpoint for fish. A safety factor of 100 was used given acute endpoints for three tropic levels are available.

Predicted No-Effect Concentration (PNEC) for the Aquatic Compartment		
LL50 (Fish, 96 h)	> 0.161	mg/L
Assessment Factor	100	
Mitigation Factor	1.00	
PNEC:	> 1.61	μg/L

## 7.3. Environmental Risk Assessment

The Risk Quotients (Q = PEC/PNEC) have been calculated based on the predicted PEC and PNEC.

Risk□Assessment	PEC μg/L	PNEC μg/L	Q
Q - River	0.242	> 1.61	< 0.151
Q - Ocean	0.024	> 1.61	< 0.015

The Risk Quotients for a conservative discharge scenario have been calculated to be less than 1 for both the riverine and marine compartments. Based on the biodegradation study, the notified chemical is not readily biodegradable; however it is expected to ultimately biodegrade. Based on its surfactant properties, the notified chemical is not expected to be bioaccumulative, and is expected to partition to soil and sludge. The notified chemical is not harmful to aquatic organisms up to the limit of its water solubility. Therefore, on the basis of the PEC/PNEC ratio and assessed usage pattern, the notified chemical is not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to the environment.

## 8. RISK ASSESSMENT RELATING TO EXTENSION APPLICATION

The proposed use, introduction volume and fate of the notified chemical will not change under the proposed extension. The circumstances in the extension application are not expected to impact on the original human health and environment risk assessment.

## **APPENDIX A: PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES**

Melting Point 243 °C

Method Similar to OECD TG 102 Melting Point/Melting Range.

Remarks Differential Scanning Calorimetry

Test Facility Rutgers University (2006)

**Vapour Pressure** 1.124 kPa at 20 °C 1.484 kPa at 25 °C

Method OECD TG 104 Vapour Pressure.

EC Council Regulation No 440/2008 A.4 Vapour Pressure.

Remarks Static method

Test Facility Case Consulting Laboratories (2008)

Water Solubility  $1.52 (\pm 0.117) \times 10^{-4} \text{ g/L} \text{ at } 25 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ 

Method OECD TG 105 Water Solubility.
Remarks Generator Column Method
Test Facility Wildlife International (2008a)

Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water)  $\log Pow = 8.2$ 

Method OECD TG 107 Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water); Shake Flask Method.

OECD TG 117 Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water); HPLC Method.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC Method A.8 Partition Coefficient.

Remarks Calculated based on the individual solubilities in water and n-octanol.

Test Facility RCC (2000a)

**Adsorption/Desorption**  $\log K_{oc} < 1.25$ 

Method OECD TG 121 Adsorption HPLC Screening Method.

EC Directive 2001/59/EEC Method C.19 Estimation of the Adsorption Coefficient (Koc).

Remarks HPLC method. The notified chemical eluted before the reference chemical thiourea.

Test Facility Wildlife International (2008b)

**Autoignition Temperature** 290 °C

Method ASTM method E 659-78 (reapproved in 2000) Standard Test method for Autoignition

temperature of Liquid Chemicals

Remarks Measurement was made on 50 mg sample size rather than 100 mg prescribed in the test

method due to the nature of the test substance (an extremely viscous, almost gelatinous liquid). There was a sharp delineation for autoignition temperature with autoignition observed at 290 °C and no visible ignition observed at 287 °C. Cool-flame autoignition was

not observed.

Test Facility Case Consulting Laboratories (2008)

## **APPENDIX B: TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS**

## **B.1.** Acute toxicity – oral

TEST SUBSTANCE Troysol LAC (containing notified chemical at ~50% concentration)

METHOD Similar to OECD TG 401 Acute Oral Toxicity – Limit Test.

Species/Strain Rat/Sprague-Dawley

Vehicle None

Remarks - Method No significant deviations from protocol

RESULTS

Group	Number and Sex	Dose	Mortality
	of Animals	mg/kg bw	
1	10/sex	5000	0
LD50	> 5000 mg/kg bw		
Signs of Toxicity	including hunche	ed posture, piloerecti	mals developed clinical signs ion, irregular respiration, ining which were cleared by
Effects in Organs	There were no rema	rkable necropsy findings.	
Remarks - Results	None		
Conclusion	The test substance i	s of low toxicity via the o	ral route.

**B.2.** Acute toxicity – dermal

TEST FACILITY

TEST SUBSTANCE Troysol LAC (containing notified chemical at ~50% concentration)

Products Safety Labs (1995a)

METHOD Similar to OECD TG 402 Acute Dermal Toxicity – Limit Test.

Species/Strain Rat/Sprague-Dawley

Vehicle None

Type of dressing Semi-occlusive.

Remarks - Method No significant deviations from protocol

RESULTS

Group	Number and Sex of Animals	Dose (mg/kg bw)	Mortality
1	5/sex	2000	0

LD50 > 2000 mg/kg bw

Signs of Toxicity - Local Signs of Toxicity - Systemic Effects in Organs Dermal irritation was observed at the application site of all animals. There were no deaths or test-substance related clinical signs.

There were no remarkable necropsy findings.

Remarks - Results None

CONCLUSION The test substance is of low toxicity *via* the dermal route.

TEST FACILITY Products Safety Labs (1995b)

## **B.3.** Acute toxicity – inhalation

TEST SUBSTANCE Troysol LAC (containing notified chemical at ~50% concentration)

METHOD Similar to OECD TG 403 Acute Inhalation Toxicity – Limit Test.

Species/Strain Rat/Sprague-Dawley

Vehicle None

Method of Exposure Whole-body exposure

Exposure Period 4 hours
Physical Form Liquid aerosol

Remarks - Method No deviation from protocol

#### RESULTS

Particle Size

Group	Number and Sex of Animals	Concentration (mg/L)		Mortality
		Nominal	Actual	
1	5/sex	2.00	2.12	1/10

LC50 > 2.12 mg/L/4 hours

Signs of Toxicity One animal died on day 11 of the study.

 $MMAD = 2.15 \mu m$ 

During exposure clinical signs of toxicity included ocular and nasal discharge, facial staining, irregular respiration, dyspnoea, gasping, hunched posture and hypoactivity which persisted in all animals upon chamber removal. Animals also developed piloerection, rales, emaciation, ano-genital staining and an unthrifty appearance. Transient signs of abnormal respiration (rales and irregular respiration) were noted in several animals for an extended period of time. Transient bodyweight losses were

also noted.

Effects in Organs There were no remarkable necropsy findings in survivors. Gross necropsy of the descendent showed discoloration of the lungs, GI-tract and liver,

gaseous distention of the GI-tract, oedema of the lungs and retraction of the testes into the abdominal cavity. Gross necroscopy at terminal sacrifice of the surviving animals revealed red lung discolouration which is

consistent with euthanasia by CO<sub>2</sub>.

Remarks - Results Histological evaluation of the lung tissues of the survivors revealed

pulmonary congestion: the presence of alveolar macrophages; the accumulation of mucus in the bronchi and bronchioles; and chronic interstitial inflammation. Mucus accumulation and the presence of alveolar macrophages may represent a pulmonary response to a very mild irritant. The possibility of a very mild irritant causing pulmonary congestion along

with interstitial chronic inflammation cannot be ruled out.

CONCLUSION The test substance is of low toxicity *via* inhalation.

TEST FACILITY Products Safety Labs (1995c)

**B.4.** Irritation – skin

Test Substance Troysol LAC (containing notified chemical at ~50% concentration)

Method Similar to OECD TG 404 Acute Dermal Irritation/Corrosion.

Species/Strain Rabbit/New Zealand White Number of Animals Rabbit/New Zealand White

Vehicle 6 (3M, 3F)
Observation Period None
Type of Dressing 14 days

Remarks - Method No significant deviations from OECD guideline

#### Results

Lesion		Mean Score* Animal No.		Maximum Value	Maximum Duration of	Maximum Value at End of Observation			
								Any Effect	Period
	1	2	3	4	5	6			
Erythema/Eschar	2.7	2	2	2.3	2.3	2	3	14 days	1
Oedema	3	3	2.7	2.7	2	2.3	3	< 14 days	0

<sup>\*</sup> Calculated on the basis of the scores at 24, 48, and 72 hours for EACH animals.

Remarks - Results

Well-defined erythema to moderate erythema and oedema were seen in all animals in all animals at 60 minutes. Desquamation appeared in all animals at 7 days and persisted to day 14. Erythema, oedema and desquamation resolved completely in all animals except one by day 14. Barely perceptible erythema remained in one animal. There were no deaths or test substance-related clinical signs or remarkable body weight changes during the study period.

Conclusion

The notified chemical is irritating to the skin.

**Test Facility** 

Products Safety Labs (1995d)

## **B.5.** Irritation – eye

TEST SUBSTANCE

Troysol LAC (containing notified chemical at ~50% concentration)

**METHOD** 

Similar to OECD TG 405 Acute Eye Irritation/Corrosion.

Species/Strain

Rabbit/New Zealand White

Number of Animals

9 (5 M, 4 F)

Observation Period

21 days

Remarks - Method

The test substance was instilled into one eye of nine healthy rabbits. The other eye remained untreated with the test substance and served as a control. The treated eyes of three rabbits were washed after instillation of the test substance. The eyes of the remaining six rabbits were not washed. A fluorescein dye (2% ophthalmic fluorescein sodium solution) was used to facilitate corneal observations.

#### RESULTS Washed

Lesion	Mo	an Sco	ro*	Maximum	Maximum Duration	Maximum Value at End
Lesion		Mean Score* Animal No.		Value	of Any Effect	of Observation Period
	1	2	3	, and	oj my Ejjeci	oj coscivation i citoa
Conjunctiva: redness	1.7	0.7	2.3	3	< 7 days	0
Conjunctiva: chemosis	2	1	2.7	3	< 7 days	0
Conjunctiva: discharge	1.3	0.3	2.3	3	< 7 days	0
Corneal opacity	0.7	0	1	1	< 4 days	0
Iridial inflammation	0	0	0.7	1	< 3 days	0

<sup>\*</sup> Calculated on the basis of the scores at 24, 48, and 72 hours for EACH animal.

Unwashed

Lesion		-	Mean	Score	*		Maximum Value	Maximum Duration of	Maximum Value at End of Observation
								Any Effect	Period
	1	2	3	4	5	6			
Conjunctiva: redness	3	3	2.7	2.7	3	2.3	3	< 21 days	0
Conjunctiva: chemosis	3	3	3	2.7	3	2.3	4	21 days	2
Conjunctiva: discharge	1.7	2.7	2.3	2	2.3	2	3	< 21 days	0
Corneal opacity	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	21 days	2
Iridial inflammation	0.3	0	1	0	1	1	1	< 17 days	0

<sup>\*</sup> Calculated on the basis of the scores at 24, 48, and 72 hours for EACH animals.

Remarks - Results

All washed eyes exhibited conjunctival irritation and irridial inflammation and corneal opacity were noted in one and two animals, respectively. The incidence and severity of irritation decreased with time with all animals free of irritation by day 7.

All unwashed eyes exhibited conjunctival irritation, corneal opacity and iridial inflammation. The overall incidence and severity of irritation decreased with time, however conjunctival irritation and corneal opacity persisted in 2 animals through day 21.

CONCLUSION The test substance is severely irritating to the eye.

TEST FACILITY Products Safety Labs (1995e)

#### **B.6.** Skin sensitisation

TEST SUBSTANCE Troysol LAC (containing notified chemical at ~50% concentration)

METHOD Similar to OECD TG 406 Skin Sensitisation – Buehler method.

Species/Strain Guinea pig/Hartley albino

PRELIMINARY STUDY Maximum Non-irritating Concentration:

Topical: 20% w/w

MAIN STUDY

Number of Animals Test Group: 20 (11 M, 9 F) Control Group: 10 (3 M, 7 F)
Positive control 0.08% 1-Chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (DNCB) in aqueous ethanol and

0.04% DNCB in acetone were used in the induction and challenge phase

of the study, respectively.

INDUCTION PHASE Induction Concentration:

Topical: 75% (w/w) for induction 1 & 2, 50% (w/w) for the remaining

inductions.

Signs of Irritation Very faint to severe erythema (0.5-3) was noted at all test sites during the

induction phase. Irritation was most severe following the  $2^{nd}$ ,  $3^{rd}$  and  $5^{th}$  inductions. Eschar and/or desquamation were also evident at several sites following these doses. A reduction in the severity of irritation was noted shortly after the induction concentration was reduced (75% to 50%) or

after the dose sites were relocated to an adjacent area.

CHALLENGE PHASE

 $1^{\text{st}}$  challenge topical: 20% (w/w)  $2^{\text{nd}}$  challenge topical: 20% (w/w)

Remarks - Method No significant deviation from the protocol

#### RESULTS

Animal	Challenge Concentration	Number of Animals Showing Skin Reactions after:				
	<u> </u>	1 <sup>st</sup> challenge		2 <sup>nd</sup> challenge		
		24 h	48 h	24 h	48 h	
Test Group	20% (w/w)	1	0	0	0	
Control Group	20% (w/w)	0	0	0	0	

Remarks - Results

No signs of ill health or toxicity were observed. Very faint erythema was observed in both test and control animals during challenge, but this did not interfere with scoring. Faint erythema was noted in one animal after the challenge dose which turned into very faint erythema at 48 hours. Due to the presence of this faint erythema in one animal, a rechallenge was conducted. Very faint erythema was observed in 5 animals after rechallenge which was cleared by 48 hours.

The positive control study validated the test system used in the study.

CONCLUSION There was no evidence of reactions indicative of skin sensitisation to the

test substance under the conditions of the test.

TEST FACILITY Products Safety Labs (1995f)

## **B.7.** Repeat dose toxicity – oral

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical (98.3%)

METHOD OECD TG 407 Repeated Dose 28-day Oral Toxicity Study in Rodents.

EC Directive 96/54/EC B.7 Repeated Dose (28 Days) Toxicity (Oral).

Species/Strain Rat/HanRCC:WIST(SPF)

Route of Administration Oral – gavage

Exposure Information Total exposure days: 28 days

Dose regimen: 7 days per week

Vehicle PEG 300

Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations

#### RESULTS

Group	Number and Sex of Animals	Dose (mg/kg bw/day)	Mortality
Control	5/sex	0	0
low dose	5/sex	100	0
mid dose	5/sex	300	0
high dose	5/sex	1000	0

## Mortality and Time to Death

No mortality was observed during the treatment period.

## Clinical Observations

During daily observations, soft faeces were noted in all animals at all dose levels. This finding was considered by the study authors to be likely due to the vehicle rather than the test substance. A test substance related reduction in mean absolute body weight and mean body weight gain were noted in males treated with 1000 mg/kg bw/day from day 8 onwards.

## Laboratory Findings - Clinical Chemistry, Haematology, Urinalysis

Several changes were noted in clinical biochemistry parameters in males of mid dose animals and both sexes of high dose animals. In high dose animals changes included significant elevations in leucocytes, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, glutamate dehydrogenase, creatinine kinase, creatinine, phosphorus, and potassium levels. Alanine aminotransferase and potassium levels were also significantly elevated in males of mid dose animals. Protein was significantly reduced in both sexes in high dose animals with a concomitant increase in albumin/globulin ratios (not significant for females).

#### Effects in Organs

Crateriform retractions were reported in high dose animals (both sexes). Test substance related liver enlargement was observed in both mid dose and high dose animals (males only). Both absolute and relative weights were increased significantly in the heart, liver, thymus, adrenal and spleen of both sexes in high dose animals. Similar changes in the liver was also noted in males of mid dose animals. Hepatocellular hypertrophy was noted in both sexes of high dose animals and males only of mid dose animals. In the stomach squamous cell hyperplasia and hyperkeratosis, parakeratosis, submucosal inflammation, submucosal oedema and pustules were observed in both sexes of high dose animals, with forestomach ulceration and erosion in females only. Squamous cell hyperplasia and hyperkeratosis was also observed in females in mid dose animals. Thymus atrophy was noted with increased incidence in both sexes of high dose animals.

## Remarks – Results

The microscopical changes in the stomachs of the rats in both mid and high dose animals are considered adverse by the study authors. These effects are consistent with the irritant nature of the notified chemical.

## CONCLUSION

The No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) was established as 100 mg/kg bw/day in this study, based on effects in the stomach at 300 mg/kg bw/day and 1000 mg/kg bw/day.

TEST FACILITY RCC (2008)

## B.8. Repeat dose toxicity – inhalation (14 day dose range finding)

TEST SUBSTANCE Troysol LAC (containing the notified chemical at ~50% concentration)

METHOD OECD TG 412 Repeated Dose Inhalation Toxicity: 14-day (dose range

finding)

Species/Strain
Route of Administration
Exposure Information

Rat/Crl:CD BR
Inhalation – snout only
Total exposure days: 14 days
Dose regimen: 5 days per week

Duration of exposure (inhalation): 6 hours/day

Post-exposure observation period: None

Vehicle None

Physical Form liquid aerosol

Particle Size MMD 2.8, 2.7 and 2.3 µm for low, mid and high dose, respectively.

Remarks - Method No deviation from the protocol

#### RESULTS

Group	Number and Sex of Animals	Dose/Concent	ration (mg/L)	Mortality
		Nominal	Actual	
control	5/sex	0	0	0
low dose	5/sex	0.030	0.036	0
mid dose	5/sex	0.095	0.106	0
high dose	5/sex	0.300	0.307	0

Mortality and Time to Death

None

#### Clinical Observations

During exposure, exaggerated respiration was observed for the high dose animals from Day 5 of the exposure period, which lasted till the end of the study. Immediately post-exposure, noisy and exaggerated respiration was observed in a small proportion of mid dose animals and a large proportion of high dose animals. Hunched posture was also observed in high dose animals.

Body weight gain and food consumption were reduced in all groups exposed to the test substance, however, the effects were marked in males and minimal in females. Water consumption was reduced in mid and high dose animals for the first 4 days of treatment.

## Effects in Organs

There was a dose related increase in lung weight in treated animals. Dark areas on the lungs were observed in 3/5 male rats were observed in the high dose group compared to 1/5 males in the control group.

In the larynx, epithelial hyperplasia and squamous metaplasia, epithelial keratinisation, inflammation in lamina propria, necrosis of ventral cartilage, and regenerative hyperplasia of epithelium over the ventral cartilage were reported in all treated animals.

In the lungs, fibrosis of alveolar ducts, bronchiolar goblet cell hyperplasia and mucous with inflammatory cells in the bronchiolar lumen are reported in all treated groups. Terminal bronchiolar epithelial hyperplasia was seen in mid and high dose animals. There was a marginally increased incidence and degree of alveolar macrophage aggregations in high dose males.

Epithelial hyperplasia at the point of bifurcation was reported in some males and females of the mid and high dose animals. There was also epithelial hyperplasia at the first bronchiolar bifurcation and goblet cell

hyperplasia in the bronchus in occasional animals from the treated groups.

Remarks - Results

The clinical signs in this study were consistent with exposure to an irritant.

#### CONCLUSION

The Low Observed Adverse Effect Level (LOAEL) was established as 0.036 mg/L/6 h/day in this study, based on evidence of respiratory tract irritation at all doses.

TEST FACILITY Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd (2000)

#### **B.9.** Repeat dose toxicity – inhalation

TEST SUBSTANCE Troysol LAC (containing the notified chemical at ~50% concentration)

METHOD OECD TG 412 Repeated Dose Inhalation Toxicity: 28-day

Species/Strain Rat/Crl:CD (SD)IGS BR
Route of Administration Inhalation – oro-nasal exposure
Exposure Information Total exposure days: 28 days
Dose regimen: 5 days per week

Duration of exposure (inhalation): 6 hours/day Post-exposure observation period: 14 days

Vehicle None Physical Form Aerosol

Particle Size MMD 1.4, 1.2 and 1.3 µm for low, mid and high dose, respectively.

Remarks - Method No deviation from the protocol

#### **RESULTS**

Group	Number and Sex of Animals	Dose/Concentration (mg/L)		Mortality
		Nominal	Actual	
control	10/sex	0	0	0
low dose	10/sex	0.005	0.0038	0
mid dose	10/sex	0.01	0.0113	0
high dose	10/sex	0.04	0.037	0
recovery*	5/sex	-	-	0

<sup>\*5</sup> males and 5 females from each group (control, low, mid and high dose)

Mortality and Time to Death

None

Clinical Observations

There were no treatment related clinical signs noted throughout the study.

Body weight gain and food consumption were reduced in high dose male animals over the 4 weeks of exposure, and remained lower during the 2-week recovery period.

Effects in Organs

Dose related changes were reported in the larynx, lungs and nasal turbinates.

Epithelial hyperplasia and necrosis of ventral cartilage in the larynx of all treated animals and squamous metaplasia in mid and high dose animals were reported following the 4 weeks exposure. Alveolar duct thickening was reported in the lungs of mid and high dose animals. Eosinophilic inclusions in the olfactory epithelium inside the nasal turbinates were reported in all groups with an increased incidence and/or severity in the treated and high dose animals.

At the end of the recovery period the changes in the lungs had resolved but treatment related changes remained in the larynx and nasal turbinates. Necrosis of the ventral cartilage was present in the larynx of all treated groups with ventral epithelial hyperplasia in some high dose animals and one mid dose female. Some animals from all groups showed eosinophilic inclusions in the olfactory epithelium in the nasal turbinates with an

increased incidence and/or severity in treated and higher dose animals.

## Remarks - Results

The clinical signs in this study were consistent with exposure to an irritant atmosphere. However, the test substance may cause serious damage to respiratory tract by prolonged exposure through inhalation.

#### **CONCLUSION**

The Low Observed Adverse Effect Level (LOAEL) was established as 0.0038 mg/L/6 h/day in this study, based on evidence of respiratory tract irritation at all doses.

TEST FACILITY Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd (2001)

## **B.10.** Genotoxicity – bacteria

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical (92.8%)

METHOD OECD TG 471 Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test (1997).

EC Directive 92/69/EC B.13/14 Mutagenicity - Reverse Mutation Test

using Bacteria (1992).

Plate incorporation (Test 1)/Pre incubation (Test 2)

Species/Strain S. typhimurium: TA1535, TA1537, TA98, TA100, TA102

Metabolic Activation System S9 microsomal fraction from β-naphthoflavone/phenobarbital-induced rat

liver

Concentration Range in a) With metabolic activation:  $33-5000 \mu g/plate$ 

Main Test b) Without metabolic activation: 33-5000 μg/plate

Vehicle DMSO

Remarks - Method No deviation from standard protocol

## **RESULTS**

Metabolic	Test Substance Concentration (µg/plate) Resulting in:							
Activation	Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test	Cytotoxicity in Main Test	Precipitation	Genotoxic Effect				
Absent								
Test 1	> 5000	> 5000	> 5000	Negative				
Test 2		> 5000	> 5000	Negative				
Present				<u>-</u>				
Test 1	> 5000	> 5000	> 5000	Negative				
Test 2		> 5000	> 5000	Negative				

Remarks - Results No significant increase in revertant colony numbers of any of the five

tester strains was observed at any dose level in the presence or absence of

metabolic activation.

Negative controls were within the historical limits and positive controls

demonstrated the sensitivity of the study.

CONCLUSION The test substance was not mutagenic to bacteria under the conditions of

the test.

TEST FACILITY RCC (1998a)

#### B.11. Genotoxicity – in vitro mammalian chromosome aberration test

TEST SUBSTANCE Troysol LAC (containing the notified chemical at ~50% concentration)

METHOD OECD TG 473 In Vitro Mammalian Cytogenetic Test (1998).

EC Directive 92/69/EC B.10 Mutagenicity - In Vitro Mammalian

Chromosome Aberration Test (1992).

Cell Type/Cell Line Chinese Hamster V79 cells

Metabolic Activation System S9 microsomal fraction from β-naphthoflavone/phenobarbital-induced rat

liver

Vehicle Ethylene glycol

Remarks - Method No deviation from the protocol

Metabolic Activation	Test Substance Concentration (μg/mL)	Exposure Period	Harvest Time
Absent			
Test 1	0.625, 1.25, 2.5, 5, 10*, 20*, 40*	4 h	18 h
Test 2a	5, 10, 20*, 40*, 80*, 160	18 h	28 h
Test 2b	20, 40, 80*, 160	28 h	28 h
Present			_
Test 1	0.625, 1.25, 2.5, 5, 10*, 20*, 40*	4 h	18 h
Test 2	5, 10, 20*, 40*, 80*, 160	4 h	28 h

<sup>\*</sup>Cultures selected for metaphase analysis.

#### RESULTS

Metabolic	Test Substance Concentration (µg/mL) Resulting in:					
Activation	Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test	Cytotoxicity in Main Test	Precipitation	Genotoxic Effect		
Absent						
Test 1	≥ 39.06	$\geq 20$	> 40	Negative		
Test 2a		≥ 10	> 80	Negative		
Test 2b		> 80	> 80	Negative		
Present						
Test 1	≥ 39.06	≥ 40	> 40	Negative		
Test 2		$\geq 80$	> 80	Negative		

Remarks - Results There were no statistically significant increases in the frequency of cells

with chromosomal aberrations in either test, with or without metabolic

activation.

The negative controls were within the historical range and the positive

controls demonstrated the sensitivity of the test.

CONCLUSION The test substance was not clastogenic to Chinese Hamster V79 cells

treated in vitro under the conditions of the test.

TEST FACILITY RCC(1999a)

## B.12. Genotoxicity – in vitro mammalian cell gene mutation test

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical (92.8%)

METHOD OECD TG 476 In Vitro Mammalian Cell Gene Mutation Test (1997).

EC Directive 87/302/EC B.17 Mutagenicity - In Vitro Mammalian Cell

Gene Mutation Test.

Cell Type/Cell Line Mouse lymphoma L5178Y

Metabolic Activation System S9 microsomal fraction from β-naphthoflavone/phenobarbital-induced rat

liver

Vehicle Ethylene glycol

Remarks - Method No significant protocol deviations

Metabolic Activation	Test Substance Concentration (µg/mL)	Exposure Period	Expression Time	Selection Time
Absent	<u> </u>			
Test 1	3.8, 7.5*, 15*, 30*, 40*, 60*	4 h	72 h	10-15 days
Test 2	3.8, 7.5*, 15*, 30*, 40*, 60*	24 h	72 h	10-15 days
Present				
Test 1	3.8, 7.5*, 15*, 30*, 40*, 60*	4 h	72 h	10-15 days
Test 2	70*, 80*	4 h	72 h	10-15 days

<sup>\*</sup>Cultures selected for metaphase analysis.

## RESULTS

Metabolic	Test Substance Concentration (µg/mL) Resulting in:					
Activation	Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test	Cytotoxicity in Main Test	Precipitation	Genotoxic Effect		
Absent						
Test 1	≥ 39.1	60	> 60	Negative		
Test 2	> 40	> 60	> 60	Negative		
Present						
Test 1	≥ 78.2	> 60	> 60	Negative		
Test 2	> 40	≥ 70	> 80	Negative		

Remarks - Results

No significant increases in mutation frequency were observed in the absence or presence of metabolic activation.

The results from the negative and positive controls demonstrated the sensitivity of the test.

CONCLUSION

The test substance was not clastogenic to mouse lymphoma L5178Y cells treated *in vitro* under the conditions of the test.

RCC(1998b)

## APPENDIX C: ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND ECOTOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

#### C.1. **Environmental Fate**

### C.1.1. Ready biodegradability

TEST SUBSTANCE Troysol LAC (containing the notified chemical at ~50% concentration)

OECD TG 301 F Ready Biodegradability: Manometric Respirometry Test. **METHOD** Inoculum

Activated sludge from a domestic wastewater treatment plant (Füllinsdorf,

Switzerland).

28 days Exposure Period **Auxiliary Solvent** None

Theoretical Oxygen Demand (ThOD) Analytical Monitoring Remarks - Method No significant deviation in protocol.

## RESULTS

Tes	Test substance		um benzoate
Day	Degradation (%)	Day	Degradation (%)
0	0	0	0
7	33-37	7	83
14	41-43	14	92
21	45-48	21	93
28	49-53	28	95

Remarks - Results

All validity criteria for the test were satisfied. The percentage degradation of the reference compound, sodium benzoate (83%), surpassed the threshold level of 60% by 7 days. Therefore, the tests indicate the suitability of the inoculums. The percentage degradation of the toxicity control (69-71%) surpassed the threshold level of 25% by 14 days, showing that toxicity was not a factor inhibiting the biodegradability of the test substance. The degree of degradation of the test substance after 28 days was 49-53%. Therefore, the test substance cannot be classified as readily biodegradable according to the OECD (301 F) guideline.

CONCLUSION The test substance is not readily biodegradable.

**TEST FACILITY** RCC (1999b)

#### C.2. **Ecotoxicological Investigations**

## C.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish (product)

TEST SUBSTANCE Troysol LAC (containing the notified chemical at ~50% concentration)

**METHOD** OECD TG 203 Fish, Acute Toxicity Test – Static.

Species Brachydanio rerio (zebra fish)

**Exposure Period** 96 hours **Auxiliary Solvent** None

Water Hardness 250 mg CaCO<sub>3</sub>/L **HPLC-MS** Analytical Monitoring

Remarks - Method No significant deviation in protocol.

#### **RESULTS**

Concent	ration (mg/L)	Number of Fish		M	ortality (%	6)	
Nominal	Actual		3h	24 h	48 h	72 h	96 h
Control	Control	7	0	0	0	0	0
0.45	Not determined	7	0	0	0	0	0
1	Not determined	7	0	0	0	0	0
2.2	Not determined	7	0	0	0	0	0
4.5	0.84 (a.i.)	7	0	0	0	0	0
10	1.8 (a.i.)	7	14.3	100	100	100	100

LL50 6.7 mg/L (WAF; 95% CL 4.5-10 mg/L) at 96 hours.

NOEL 4.5 mg/L (WAF) at 96 hours.

Remarks – Results All validity criteria for the test were satisfied. The test solutions were not renewed during the 96 h test period. The actual concentrations of the test

substance were measured at 0 and 96 hours during the 96 h test period. All fish were dead at 24 h at the 10 mg/L loading rate. The results were based on measured concentrations. Based on the measured concentrations of the active ingredient in the test substance ( $\sim 18\%$ ), the actual toxicity of the notified chemical is therefore expected to be  $\sim 5.5$  times greater than

the reported LL50 concentration (~ 1.2 mg/L active ingredient).

CONCLUSION Under the study conditions, the notified chemical is considered to be toxic

to zebra fish.

TEST FACILITY RCC (2000b)

## C.2.2. Acute toxicity to fish (notified chemical)

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 203 Fish, Acute Toxicity Test – Continuous Flow-through.

Species *Pimephales promelas* (Fathead minnow)

Exposure Period 96 hours

Auxiliary Solvent Dimethyl formamide
Water Hardness 144 mg CaCO<sub>3</sub>/L
Analytical Monitoring LC-MS/MS

Remarks – Method The definitive test was conducted at nominal concentrations of 0.013,

0.025, 0.05, 0.1, and 0.2 mg/L (active ingredient, a.i.) of the notified chemical (measured concentrations of 0.01, 0.018, 0.04, 0.08, and 0.161 mg/L (a.i.) of the notified chemical, respectively). No significant

deviation in protocol.

## RESULTS

Concentra	ition (mg/L)	Number of Fish		M	ortality (%	6)	
Nominal	Actual		4 h	24 h	48 h	72 h	96 h
Control	Control	20	0	0	0	0	0
Solvent control	Solvent control	20	0	0	0	0	0
0.2	0.161	20	0	0	0	0	0

LL50 > 0.161 mg/L (a.i.; WAF) at 96 hours. NOEL 0.161 mg/L (a.i.; WAF) at 96 hours.

Remarks – Results All validity criteria for the test were satisfied. No abnormalities in

behaviour or appearance were observed. The results were based on

measured concentrations.

CONCLUSION Under the study conditions, the notified chemical is not harmful to the

fathead minnow up to the limit of its water solubility.

TEST FACILITY Wildlife International (2008c)

## C.2.3. Acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates

TEST SUBSTANCE Troysol LAC (containing the notified chemical at ~50% concentration)

METHOD OECD TG 202 Daphnia sp. Acute Immobilisation Test and Reproduction

Test – Test condition not reported.

Species Daphnia magna

Exposure Period 48 hours Auxiliary Solvent None

Water Hardness 250 mg CaCO<sub>3</sub>/L Analytical Monitoring HPLC-MS

Remarks - Method No significant deviation in protocol

#### RESULTS

Concentration (mg/L)		Number of D. magna	Cumulative Immobilised (%)	
Nominal	Actual		24 h	48 h
Control	Control	20	0	0
1	Not determined	20	0	0
3.2	Not determined	20	0	0
10	Not determined	20	0	0
32	0.55 (a.i.)	20	0	0
100	0.67 (a.i.)	20	0	15

EL50 > 0.67 mg/L (a.i.; WAF) at 48 hours NOEL 0.67 mg/L (a.i.; WAF) at 48 hours

Remarks - Results All validity criteria for the test were satisfied. The renewal of test

solutions was not reported. The actual concentrations of the test substance were measured at 0 and 48 hours within the 48 h test period. The results

were based on measured concentrations.

CONCLUSION Under the study conditions, the notified chemical is not harmful to

daphnids up to the limit of its water solubility.

TEST FACILITY RCC (2000c)

## C.2.4. Algal growth inhibition test (product)

TEST SUBSTANCE Troysol LAC (containing the notified chemical at ~50% concentration)

METHOD OECD TG 201 Freshwater Alga and Cyanobacteria, Growth Inhibition

Test.

Species Scenedesmus subspicatus (green alga)

Exposure Period 72 hours

Concentration Range Nominal: 0.31-100 mg/L Actual: 0.145-92.1 mg/L

Auxiliary Solvent None

Water Hardness 24 mg CaCO<sub>3</sub>/L Analytical Monitoring HPLC-MS

Remarks - Method No significant deviation in protocol.

## RESULTS

Bioma	uss	Growth		
$E_bL50$ (mg/L at 72 h)	$NOE_bL$ (mg/L)	$E_r L50 \ (mg/L \ at \ 72 \ h)$	$NOE_rL$ ( $mg/L$ )	
19 (95% CL 9.2-42.8)	3.1	58.7 (95% CL 45.1-81.8)	3.1	

Remarks - Results All validity criteria for the test were satisfied. The actual concentrations of

the test substance were measured at 0 and 72 hours within the 72 h test period. No effects were observed. The results were based on measured

concentrations.

CONCLUSION Under the study conditions, the notified chemical is considered to be

harmful to Scenedesmus subspicatus.

TEST FACILITY RCC (2000d)

## C.2.5. Algal growth inhibition test (notified chemical)

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 201 Freshwater Alga and Cyanobacteria, Growth Inhibition

Test.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC Method C.3 Algal Inhibition Test.

Species Desmodesmus subspicatus

Exposure Period 72 hours

Concentration Range Nominal: 0.013-0.2 mg/L (active ingredient)

Actual: 0.01-0.196 mg/L (active ingredient)

Auxiliary Solvent None
Water Hardness Not reported
Analytical Monitoring LC-MS/MS

Remarks - Method The definitive test was conducted at nominal concentrations of 0.013,

0.025, 0.05, 0.1, and 0.2 mg/L (active ingredient, a.i.) of the notified chemical (measured concentrations of 0.011, 0.024, 0.053, 0.083, and 0.196 mg/L (a.i.) of the notified chemical, respectively). No significant

deviation in protocol.

#### **RESULTS**

Bioma	uss	Growth		
$E_bL50 $ (mg/L at 72 h)	$NOE_bL$ (mg/L)	$E_r L50 \ (mg/L \ at \ 72 \ h)$	$NOE_rL$ ( $mg/L$ )	
> 0.196 (a.i.)	0.196 (a.i.)	> 0.196 (a.i.)	0.196 (a.i.)	
Remarks - Results	All validity criteria for the test were satisfied. The the test substance were measured at 0 and 72 ho period. No effects were observed. The results we concentrations.		ours within the 72 h test	
		conditions, the notified chem espicatus up to the limit of its wa		
TEST FACILITY	Wildlife Internation	onal (2008d)		

## C.2.6. Inhibition of microbial activity

TEST SUBSTANCE Troysol LAC (containing the notified chemical at ~50% concentration)

METHOD OECD TG 209 Activated Sludge, Respiration Inhibition Test.

Inoculum Activated sludge

Exposure Period 3 hours

Concentration Range Nominal: 10-1000 mg/L Actual: Not determined

Remarks – Method The test was conducted at nominal concentrations of 10, 32, 100, 320, and

1000 mg/L of the notified chemical. No significant deviation in protocol.

RESULTS

 $\begin{array}{ll} IC50 & > 1000 \text{ mg/L at 3 hours} \\ NOEC & 1000 \text{ mg/L at 3 hours} \end{array}$ 

Remarks – Results All validity criteria for the test were satisfied. The respiration inhibition

rate was 15.5% at 1000 mg/L, and was determined to be within the normal variability range. Consequently, the IC50 was determined to be > 1000 mg/L, the highest concentration in the study. The NOEC was determined

to be 1000 mg/L.

CONCLUSION Under the study conditions, the notified chemical is no inhibitory to

microbial activity.

TEST FACILITY RCC (1999c)

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Case Consulting Laboratories (2008) Physical and Chemical Characteristics of Troysan LAC Technical: Autoignition Temperature and Vapor Pressure (Study No. 650-113, June, 2008). Whippany, New Jersey, USA, Case Consulting Laboratories, Inc. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Hulzebos *et al* (2005) Use of structural alerts to develop rules for identifying chemical substances with skin irritation or skin corrosion potential. QSAR Combinatorial Science. 24:332-342
- Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd (2000) 14 Day Repeat Dose Snout Only Inhalation Toxicity Range Finding Study in Rats [Study No/ TC013/003204 (Troy) June 2000]. Huntingdon. Cambridgeshire. UK, Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd (2001) Toxicity Study by Inhalation Administration to CD Rats for 4 Weeks Followed by 2 weeks Recovery Period [Study No/ TC013/003204 (Troy) July 2001]. Huntingdon. Cambridgeshire. UK, Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- NOHSC (2004) Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances, 3rd edition [NOHSC:1008(2004)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, AusInfo.
- NTC (National Transport Commission) 2007 Australian Code for the Transport of Dangerous Goods by Road and Rail (ADG code), 7th Edition, Commonwealth of Australia.
- Products Safety Labs (1995a) Acute Oral Toxicity Limit Test [Study No. TC0345 (Troy) 3439 (PSL), June, 1995]. New Jersey, USA, Products safety Laboratory (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Products Safety Labs (1995b) Acute Dermal Toxicity Limit Test [Study No. TC0346 (Troy) 3442 (PSL), June, 1995]. New Jersey, USA, Products safety Laboratory (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Products Safety Labs (1995c) Acute Inhalation Toxicity Limit Test [Study No. TC0347 (Troy) 3444 (PSL, June, 1995] .New Jersey, USA, Products safety Laboratory (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Products Safety Labs (1995d) Primary Skin Irritation Test [Study No. TC0349 (Troy) 3441 (PSL), June, 1995]. New Jersey, USA, Products safety Laboratory (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Products Safety Labs (1995e) Primary Eye Irritation Test [Study No. TC0348 (Troy) 3440 (PSL), June, 1995]. New Jersey, USA, Products safety Laboratory (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Products Safety Labs (1995f) Dermal Sensitization Test- Buehler Method [Study No. TC0345 (Troy) 3439 (PSL), June, 1995]. New Jersey, USA, Products safety Laboratory (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- RCC (1998a) *Salmonella Typhimurium* Reverse Mutation Assay (Study No. 611901, September, 1998). Robdorf, Germany, RCC Cytotest Cell Research GmBH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- RCC (1998b) Cell Mutation Assay at the Thymidine Kinase Locus (TK<sup>+/-</sup>) in Mouse Lymphomoa L5178Y Cells (Study No. 729641, October, 1998). Robdorf, Germany, RCC Cytotest Cell Research GmBH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- RCC (1999a) *In Vitro* Chromosome Aberration Assay in Chinese Hamster V79 cells (Study No. 611903, January, 1999). Robdorf, Germany, RCC Cytotest Cell Research GmBH (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- RCC (1999b) Ready Biodegradability of Troysol LAC in a Manometric Respirometry Test (Study No. 729720, December, 1999). Itingen, Switzerland, RCC Ltd (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- RCC (1999c) Toxicity of Troysol LAC to Activated Sludge in a Respiration Inhibition Test (Study No. 729718, December, 1999). Itingen, Switzerland, RCC Ltd (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- RCC (2000a) Determination of the Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/Water) of [Notified chemical] (Study No. 729641, January, 2000). Itingen, Switzerland, RCC Ltd (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- RCC (2000b) Acute Toxicity of Troysol LAC to Zebra Fish (*Brachydanio rerio*) in a 96-Hour Static Test (Study No. 729652, March, 2000). Itingen, Switzerland, RCC Ltd (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- RCC (2000c) Acute Toxicity of Troysol LAC to *Daphnia magna* in a 48-Hour Immobilization Test (Study No. 729674, March, 2000). Itingen, Switzerland, RCC Ltd (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).

RCC (2000d) Toxicity of Troysol LAC to *Scenedesmus subspicatus* in a 72-Hour Algal Growth Inhibition Test (Study No. 729696, March, 2000). Itingen, Switzerland, RCC Ltd (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).

- RCC (2008) 28-Day Oral Toxicity (Gavage) Study in the Wistar Rat (Study No. B63450, May, 2008). Itingen, Switzerland, RCC Ltd (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- SWA (2012) Code of Practice: Managing Risks of Hazardous Chemicals in the Workplace, Safe Work Australia, http://www.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/sites/swa/about/publications/pages/managing-risks-of-hazardous-chemicals-in-the-workplace.
- SWA (2012) Code of Practice: Spray Painting and Powder Coating, Safe Work Australia, http://www.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/sites/swa/about/publications/pages/spray-painting-and-powder-coating.
- Tsakovska *et al.*(2007) Evaluation of SARs for the prediction of eye irritation/corrosion potential structural inclusion rules in the BfR decision support system. SAR and QSAR in Environmental Research. 18: 221-235
- United Nations (2009) Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS), 3rd revised edition. United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UN/ECE), <a href="http://www.unece.org/trans/danger/publi/ghs/ghs\_rev03/03files\_e.html">http://www.unece.org/trans/danger/publi/ghs/ghs\_rev03/03files\_e.html</a>>.
- Wildlife International (2008a) [Notified chemical]: Determination of Water Solubility (Study No. 273C-102, 11 March 2008). Maryland, US, Wildlife International Ltd. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Wildlife International (2008b) [Notified chemical]: Determination of Adsorption Coefficient (K<sub>OC</sub>) Using High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) (Study No. 273C-107, 02 May 2008). Maryland, US, Wildlife International Ltd. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Wildlife International (2008c) [Notified chemical]: A 96-Hour Flow-Through Acute Toxicity Test with the Fathead Minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) (Study No. 273A-104, 22 April 2008). Maryland, US, Wildlife International Ltd. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- Wildlife International (2008d) [Notified chemical]: A 72-Hour Toxicity Test with the Freshwater Alga (*Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*) (Study No. 273A-105, 12 March 2008). Maryland, US, Wildlife International Ltd. (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).