

File No: STD/1515

August 2014

**NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS NOTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT SCHEME
(NICNAS)**

PUBLIC REPORT

Polymer in Polyplex 6611

This Assessment has been compiled in accordance with the provisions of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act 1989* (the Act) and Regulations. This legislation is an Act of the Commonwealth of Australia. The National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) is administered by the Department of Health, and conducts the risk assessment for public health and occupational health and safety. The assessment of environmental risk is conducted by the Department of the Environment.

For the purposes of subsection 78(1) of the Act, this Public Report may be inspected at our NICNAS office by appointment only at Level 7, 260 Elizabeth Street, Surry Hills NSW 2010.

This Public Report is also available for viewing and downloading from the NICNAS website or available on request, free of charge, by contacting NICNAS. For requests and enquiries please contact the NICNAS Administration Coordinator at:

Street Address:	Level 7, 260 Elizabeth Street, SURRY HILLS NSW 2010, AUSTRALIA.
Postal Address:	GPO Box 58, SYDNEY NSW 2001, AUSTRALIA.
TEL:	+ 61 2 8577 8800
FAX:	+ 61 2 8577 8888
Website:	www.nicnas.gov.au

**Director
NICNAS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY	3
CONCLUSIONS AND REGULATORY OBLIGATIONS	3
ASSESSMENT DETAILS	6
1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS	6
2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL.....	6
3. COMPOSITION.....	6
4. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES	6
5. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION	7
6. HUMAN HEALTH IMPLICATIONS	8
6.1. Exposure Assessment.....	8
6.1.1. Occupational Exposure.....	8
6.1.2. Public Exposure.....	9
6.2. Human Health Effects Assessment	9
6.3. Human Health Risk Characterisation	10
6.3.1. Occupational Health and Safety	10
6.3.2. Public Health	10
7. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS.....	11
7.1. Environmental Exposure & Fate Assessment	11
7.1.1. Environmental Exposure	11
7.1.2. Environmental Fate	11
7.1.3. Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC).....	11
7.2. Environmental Effects Assessment.....	11
7.2.1. Predicted No-Effect Concentration	12
7.3. Environmental Risk Assessment	12
<u>APPENDIX B: TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS</u>	13
B.1. Acute toxicity – dermal	13
B.2. Acute toxicity – dermal	13
B.3. Irritation – skin.....	14
B.4. Skin sensitisation – mouse local lymph node assay (LLNA)	14
B.5. Genotoxicity – bacteria	15
B.6. Genotoxicity – in vivo.....	15
<u>APPENDIX C: ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND ECOTOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS</u>	17
C.1. Environmental Fate	17
C.1.1. Ready biodegradability.....	17
C.2. Ecotoxicological Investigations	17
C.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish	17
C.2.2. Acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates	18
C.2.3. Algal growth inhibition test.....	19
BIBLIOGRAPHY	21

SUMMARY

The following details will be published in the NICNAS *Chemical Gazette*:

ASSESSMENT REFERENCE	APPLICANT(S)	CHEMICAL OR TRADE NAME	HAZARDOUS CHEMICAL	INTRODUCTION VOLUME	USE
STD/1515	Nuplex Industries (Aust) Pty Ltd	Polymer in Polyplex 6611	ND	≤ 300 tonnes per annum	Component of composite materials

*ND = not determined

CONCLUSIONS AND REGULATORY OBLIGATIONS

Hazard classification

Based on the available information, the notified polymer is recommended for hazard classification according to the *Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)*, as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia. The recommended hazard classification is presented in the table below.

<i>Hazard classification</i>	<i>Hazard statement</i>
Skin sensitisation (Category 1)	H317: May cause an allergic skin reaction

Based on the available information, the notified /polymer is recommended for hazard classification according to the *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC, 2004) with the following risk phrase:

R43: May cause sensitisation by skin contact:

The environmental hazard classification according to the *Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)* is presented below. Environmental classification under the GHS is not mandated in Australia and carries no legal status but is presented for information purposes.

<i>Hazard classification</i>	<i>Hazard statement</i>
Acute Category 3	H402, Harmful to aquatic life
Chronic Category 3	H412, Harmful to aquatic life with long lasting effects

Human health risk assessment

Provided that the recommended controls are being adhered to, under the conditions of the occupational setting, the notified polymer is not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to the health of workers.

When used in the proposed manner, the notified polymer is not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to public health.

Environmental risk assessment

On the basis of the PEC/PNEC ratio and the reported use pattern, the notified polymer is not considered to pose an unreasonable risk to the environment.

Recommendations

REGULATORY CONTROLS

Hazard Classification and Labelling

- The notified polymer should be classified as follows:
 - Skin sensitisation (Category 1) - H317: May cause an allergic skin reaction

The above should be used for products/mixtures containing the notified chemical, if applicable, based on the concentration of the notified chemical present and the intended use/exposure scenario.

Health Surveillance

- As the notified polymer is a skin sensitiser, employers should carry out health surveillance for any worker who has been identified in the workplace risk assessment as having a significant risk of sensitisation.

CONTROL MEASURES

Occupational Health and Safety

- A person conducting a business or undertaking at a workplace should implement the following engineering controls to minimise occupational exposure to the notified polymer:
 - Enclosed, automated processes where possible
- A person conducting a business or undertaking at a workplace should implement the following safe work practices to minimise occupational exposure during handling of the notified polymer:
 - Avoid contact with skin
- A person conducting a business or undertaking at a workplace should ensure that the following personal protective equipment is used by workers to minimise occupational exposure to the notified polymer:
 - Coveralls
 - Safety goggles
 - Impervious gloves

Guidance in selection of personal protective equipment can be obtained from Australian, Australian/New Zealand or other approved standards.

- A copy of the (M)SDS should be easily accessible to employees.
- If products and mixtures containing the notified polymer are classified as hazardous to health in accordance with the *Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)* as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia, workplace practices and control procedures consistent with provisions of State and Territory hazardous substances legislation should be in operation.

Disposal

- The notified polymer should be disposed of to landfill.

Storage

- The handling and storage of the notified polymer should be in accordance with the Safe Work Australia Code of Practice for *Managing Risks of Hazardous Chemicals in the Workplace* (SWA, 2012) or relevant State or Territory Code of Practice.

Emergency procedures

- Spills or accidental release of the notified polymer should be handled by physical containment, collection and subsequent safe disposal.

Regulatory Obligations

Secondary Notification

This risk assessment is based on the information available at the time of notification. The Director may call for the reassessment of the chemical under secondary notification provisions based on changes in certain circumstances. Under Section 64 of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act (1989)* the

notifier, as well as any other importer or manufacturer of the notified chemical, have post-assessment regulatory obligations to notify NICNAS when any of these circumstances change. These obligations apply even when the notified polymer is listed on the Australian Inventory of Chemical Substances (AICS).

Therefore, the Director of NICNAS must be notified in writing within 28 days by the notifier, other importer or manufacturer:

- (1) Under Section 64(2) of the Act; if
- the function or use of the polymer has changed from component of composite materials, or is likely to change significantly;
 - the amount of polymer being introduced has increased, or is likely to increase, significantly;
 - the polymer has begun to be manufactured in Australia;
 - additional information has become available to the person as to an adverse effect of the chemical/polymer on occupational health and safety, public health, or the environment.

The Director will then decide whether a reassessment (i.e. a secondary notification and assessment) is required.

No additional secondary notification conditions are stipulated.

(Material) Safety Data Sheet

The (M)SDS of the product containing the notified polymer provided by the notifier was reviewed by NICNAS. The accuracy of the information on the (M)SDS remains the responsibility of the applicant.

ASSESSMENT DETAILS

1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS

APPLICANT(S)

Nuplex Industries (Aust) Pty Ltd (ABN: 25 000 045 572)
Building I, Suite 15, 22 Powers Rd
Seven Hills NSW 2147

NOTIFICATION CATEGORY

Standard: Synthetic polymer with Mn < 1,000 Da (more than 1 tonne per year).

EXEMPT INFORMATION (SECTION 75 OF THE ACT)

Data items and details claimed exempt from publication: chemical name, other names, CAS number, molecular and structural formulae, molecular weight, analytical data, polymer constituents, residual monomers, impurities, additives/adjuvants, manufacture/import volume, site of manufacture and identity of manufacturer/recipients.

VARIATION OF DATA REQUIREMENTS (SECTION 24 OF THE ACT)

Variation to the schedule of data requirements is claimed as follows: melting point, boiling point, vapour pressure, water solubility, hydrolysis as a function of pH, partition coefficient, adsorption/desorption, dissociation constant, flammability limits, autoignition temperature, acute oral toxicity, eye irritation, repeated dose toxicity, and ready biodegradability.

PREVIOUS NOTIFICATION IN AUSTRALIA BY APPLICANT(S)

None

NOTIFICATION IN OTHER COUNTRIES

None

2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL

MARKETING NAME(S)

Polyplex 6611 (contains the notified polymer at ~70% concentration)

MOLECULAR WEIGHT

> 500 Da

ANALYTICAL DATA

Reference GPC and FTIR spectra were provided.

3. COMPOSITION

DEGREE OF PURITY

100 %

4. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

APPEARANCE AT 20 °C AND 101.3 kPa: yellow semi-solid

Property	Value	Data Source/Justification
Melting Point/Freezing Point	< 10 °C	Estimated
Boiling Point	Not determined	Undergoes decomposition prior to boiling
Density	1,100 kg/m ³ at 20 °C	Measured
Vapour Pressure	Not determined	Expected to be low based on the molecular weight and viscosity
Water Solubility	Not determined	The notified polymer is mainly composed of hydrophobic species and is not expected to be soluble in water
Hydrolysis as a Function of pH	Not determined	The notified polymer contains functional groups that are expected to hydrolyse

Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water)	Not determined	very slowly in the environmental pH range (4-9) The notified polymer is expected to partition from water to octanol based on its hydrophobic structure
Adsorption/Desorption	Not determined	The notified polymer is expected to partition to soil from water based on its hydrophobic structure
Dissociation Constant	Not determined	The notified polymer does not contain dissociable functional groups
Flash Point	37 °C (closed cup)	Measured. The flashpoint has been determined on the resin solution as the polymer will not be available in its primary form. The anticipated flashpoint of the polymer is expected to be > 100 °C.
Flammability	Not determined	At elevated temperatures the notified polymer decomposes.
Autoignition Temperature	Not determined	Not expected to autoignite under normal conditions of use
Explosive Properties	Not determined	Not expected to be explosive based on structure
Oxidising Properties	Not determined	Not expected to be oxidising based on structure

DISCUSSION OF PROPERTIES

Reactivity

The notified polymer is expected to be stable under normal conditions of use.

Physical hazard classification

Based on the submitted physico-chemical data depicted in the above table, the notified polymer is not recommended for hazard classification according to the *Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)*, as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia.

5. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION

MODE OF INTRODUCTION OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

The notified polymer will be manufactured and imported into Australia as a resin solution at ~70% concentration.

MAXIMUM INTRODUCTION VOLUME OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

Year	1	2	3	4	5
Tonnes	< 300	< 400	< 500	< 500	< 500

PORT OF ENTRY

Sydney and Brisbane

TRANSPORTATION AND PACKAGING

When manufactured within Australia, the resin solution containing the notified polymer at ~70% concentration will be packed off into intermediate bulk containers (IBC's) or steel drums. When imported, the resin solution will be packed in 200 L steel drums.

USE

The notified polymer will be used in the manufacture of sanitary ware products such as vanity and countertop applications.

OPERATION DESCRIPTION

Manufacture of the notified polymer

When the notified polymer is manufactured within Australia, the reaction will take place within a registered pressure vessel with jacketed and internal cooling systems. The liquid reactants will be pumped in the reaction vessel using dedicated lines and any solid reactants will be transferred either manually or with a hoist. Once the manufacture of the notified polymer is complete, it will be transferred through dedicated lines into thinning tanks. The resulting resin solution containing the notified polymer at ~70% concentration will be pumped through sealed filters and packaged directly into 1 tonne IBC's or steel drums for transport. Quality control personnel will be required to sample the notified polymer.

Reformulation into finished resins

After manufacture or importation, the resin solution containing the notified polymer will be transferred to various manufacturers of composite sanitary ware, where the resin solution will be charged (pumped) to mixing tanks and blended with other raw materials to produce finished resins. The reformulation process is envisaged to be fully automated and performed under controlled conditions.

Manufacture of sanitary ware products

The finished resins containing the notified polymer will be used in casting and resin transfer moulding (RTM) processes to manufacture sanitary ware products such as vanity and countertop applications.

6. HUMAN HEALTH IMPLICATIONS

6.1. Exposure Assessment

6.1.1. Occupational Exposure

CATEGORY OF WORKERS

<i>Category of Worker</i>	<i>Exposure Duration (hours/day)</i>	<i>Exposure Frequency (days/year)</i>
Transport	1	10
Warehouse/store	8	30
Manufacture/blending	2	50
QC/testing	1	50
Filling	1	50
Dispatch	1	150
Industrial end users	8	200

EXPOSURE DETAILS

It is anticipated that transport and warehouse/store personnel would only be exposed to the notified polymer in the event of an accident.

Manufacture of the notified polymer

Dermal and ocular exposure to the notified polymer at up to 100% concentration may occur during connection and disconnection of transfer lines, quality control testing and equipment cleaning/maintenance. Exposure to the notified polymer at other times is expected to be negligible given the manufacturing process, including the packing off process, will be largely enclosed and automated. Exposure to the notified polymer is expected to be minimised by the stated use by the notifier of PPE (including safety glasses, gloves, and coveralls).

Reformulation into finished resins

Dermal and ocular exposure to the notified polymer at up to 70% concentration may occur during connection and disconnection of transfer lines, quality control testing and equipment cleaning/maintenance. Exposure to the notified polymer at other times is expected to be negligible given the reformulation process will be largely enclosed and automated. Exposure to the notified polymer is expected to be minimised by the stated use by the notifier of PPE (including safety glasses, gloves, and coveralls).

Manufacture of sanitary ware products

The finished resins containing the notified polymer will be used to manufacture sanitary ware products in casting and resin transfer moulding (RTM) processes. Dermal and ocular exposure to the notified polymer ($\leq 70\%$ concentration) may occur when using casting systems. Negligible exposure is expected when using the closed RTM process. Exposure is expected to be minimised by the stated use by the notifier of PPE (including safety glasses, gloves, boots and protective clothing).

Once the resins have been cured to form composite sanitary ware articles, the notified polymer will be incorporated into a solid, inert, polymer matrix and will not be available for exposure.

6.1.2. Public Exposure

The notified polymer is intended for industrial use only, and will not be available to the public. Direct exposure would therefore not be expected. Indirect exposure from accidental spills or environmental sources may be possible, but are unlikely for the proposed use.

Members of the public may experience dermal exposure to composite sanitary ware articles containing the notified polymer. However, in such products the notified polymer will be bound within a polymer matrix and will not be available for exposure.

6.2. Human Health Effects Assessment

The results from toxicological investigations conducted on the notified polymer are summarised in the following table. For full details of the studies, refer to Appendix B.

<i>Endpoint</i>	<i>Result and Assessment Conclusion</i>
Rat, acute dermal toxicity	LD50 > 2000 mg/kg bw; low toxicity
Rabbit, skin irritation	non-irritating
Mouse, skin sensitisation – Local lymph node assay	evidence of sensitisation
Mutagenicity – bacterial reverse mutation	non mutagenic
Genotoxicity – in vivo mouse micronucleus test	non genotoxic

Toxicokinetics.

Absorption of the notified polymer across biological membranes is likely to be limited, based on the relatively high molecular weight (> 500 Da) and expected low water solubility. However there are significant levels of low molecular weight species and the possibility of absorption cannot be ruled out.

Acute toxicity.

The notified polymer was found to be of low acute dermal toxicity in both an acute dermal toxicity sighting study and limit test

No acute oral toxicity study was conducted as the highly viscous nature of the notified polymer meant an accurate dose of the notified polymer could not be delivered according to the accepted test methodology. As a worst case scenario, the notifier has provided information on the acute oral toxicity for the monomer in the notified polymer that contains a functional group of concern. The LD50 values for this monomer have been reported to be ~ 1,000 mg/kg bw. Therefore, based on this information, the notified polymer may be at most harmful by the oral route. However, given the relatively high molecular weight and expected low water solubility, absorption of the notified polymer across the gastrointestinal tract is likely to be limited. Therefore, based on the weight of evidence, the potential for the notified polymer to cause acute oral toxicity is expected to be low; however, it cannot be ruled out.

No acute inhalation toxicity study was provided. Given the low vapour pressure of the notified polymer, inhalation exposure is not expected unless aerosols or mists are formed.

Irritation and sensitisation.

The notified polymer was non-irritating to the skin.

An eye irritation study was not conducted. The notified polymer does not contain any structural alerts associated with eye irritation and was found to be non-irritating to the skin; hence, the notified polymer is not expected to be an eye irritant.

In a local lymph node assay on the notified polymer there was evidence of a proliferative response indicative of skin sensitisation to the notified polymer with a concentration of approximately 30.43% corresponding to a Stimulation Index of 3 (also referred to as EC3). This result indicates that the notified polymer is classified as a skin sensitizer.

Repeated dose toxicity.

There are no repeated dose toxicity data available for the notified polymer. As a worst case scenario, the notifier has provided information on the repeated dose toxicity for the monomer in the notified polymer that contains the functional group of concern.

Oral studies in rats indicate that high doses of the monomer (> 100 mg/kg bw/day) can lead to kidney damage; however, only minor effects were observed in studies at low doses (< 100 mg/kg bw/day). A 90 day repeated dose toxicity study in dogs fed with the monomer in the diet at 0, 20, 40 and 60 mg/kg bw/day recorded no changes apart from a transient decrease in food intake in both sexes in the high-dose group (60 mg/kg bw/day) for the first few weeks. Based on this information, together with the expected limited potential for absorption of the notified polymer across biological membranes, the potential for the notified polymer to cause systemic toxicity from repeated exposure is expected to be low.

Mutagenicity/Genotoxicity.

The notified polymer was found to not be mutagenic using a bacterial reverse mutation test; however, it is noted that appropriate positive controls were not used. The notified polymer was not genotoxic in an *in vivo* mouse micronucleus test. Only one of the mice treated with the notified polymer exhibited a statistically significant depression of PCE/(PCE+NCE) ratio after 24 hours. No clinical signs of toxicity or additional reductions in the PCE/(PCE+NCE) ratio (cytotoxicity) were observed with the test substance treatment. It is therefore not known if the test substance reached the bone marrow.

Health hazard classification

Based on the available information, the notified polymer is recommended for hazard classification according to the *Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS)*, as adopted for industrial chemicals in Australia. The recommended hazard classification is presented in the following table.

Hazard classification	Hazard statement
Skin sensitisation (Category 1)	H317: May cause an allergic skin reaction

Based on the available information, the notified polymer is recommended for hazard classification according to the *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC, 2004), with the following risk phrase(s):

R43: May cause sensitisation by skin contact

6.3. Human Health Risk Characterisation**6.3.1. Occupational Health and Safety**

Dermal and ocular exposure to the notified polymer, at concentrations up to 100%, by workers may occur during the manufacture of the polymer, reformulation of it into resins and the use of these resins to manufacture articles. Once the notified polymer is reformulated into articles, the notified polymer will be incorporated into a polymer matrix and hence will not be bioavailable. Toxicological studies on the notified polymer indicate that it is a skin sensitiser and hence its use is only considered to be reasonable when sufficient engineering controls, safe work practices and personal protective equipment (PPE) are used to greatly reduce the potential for exposure. Dermal exposure is expected to be limited, due to personal protective equipment (gloves, coveralls and safety glasses/goggles).

Where there may be worker exposure to partly cured resins, precautions to avoid sensitisation should be applied, similar to those for the notified polymer itself. Once the resin is completely cured, in sanitary ware articles, it is not expected to be bioavailable. Therefore, given the use of sufficient workplace controls, the risk to workers from use of the notified polymer is not considered unreasonable.

6.3.2. Public Health

The notified polymer will only be available to the public when present in articles, where it will be bound within a polymer matrix and hence will not be bioavailable. Therefore the risk to the public is not considered to be unreasonable.

7. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

7.1. Environmental Exposure & Fate Assessment

7.1.1. Environmental Exposure

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL AT SITE

The notified polymer will be both manufactured and imported into Australia. Equipment used in the production and packaging of the notified polymer will be cleaned by flushing with solvent. The resulting dispersion of solvent and notified polymer will be transferred to settling tanks where the notified polymer precipitates to form a sludge which will be collected and dispatched to a trade waste facility for disposal to landfill. Up to 0.5% of the annual introduction volume of notified polymer may be disposed to landfill annually during its manufacture. The notified polymer will be reformulated with other additives to produce finished resins. Equipment used to reformulate the notified polymer will be flushed with solvent as for manufacturing equipment. Up to 0.35% of the notified polymer is expected to be disposed of annually to landfill via this route. Accidental spills of notified polymer during manufacture and reformulation are not expected to be significant and are expected to be collected properly for disposal to landfill.

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM USE

Following composite fabrication processes the notified polymer will be entrapped within a hardened matrix where it is considered immobile and inert. No release of the notified polymer from end use is predicted when present in this state. Up to 0.5% of the notified polymer is expected to be disposed of to landfill due to the cleaning of fabricating equipment.

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM DISPOSAL

It is expected that up to 1.5% of the notified polymer may be released annually to landfill from the disposal of manufacturing/reformulation/fabrication, and the cleaning and capture of materials containing the notified polymer.

7.1.2. Environmental Fate

The notified polymer is not expected to be readily biodegradable based on a study on an acceptable analogue. For the details of the environmental fate studies please refer to Appendix C.

Most of the notified polymer is expected to be associated with sanitary ware products (such as vanity and countertop applications) and share the fate of the products. Disposal to landfill is expected to be the most likely fate of the used products and the associated notified polymer. A small amount of the notified polymer is expected to be sent to landfill as collected waste from manufacturing, reformulation and fabrication processes. The notified polymer waste disposed to landfill is not expected to leach given the expected low water solubility. In landfill, the polymer is expected to undergo slow abiotic and/or biotic degradation processes and eventually to form water and oxides of carbon.

7.1.3. Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC)

The PEC was not calculated as very limited aquatic exposure is expected based on the reported use pattern.

7.2. Environmental Effects Assessment

The results from ecotoxicological investigations conducted on the notified polymer are summarised in the table below. Details of these studies can be found in Appendix C.

<i>Endpoint</i>	<i>Result</i>	<i>Assessment Conclusion</i>
Fish Toxicity	96 h LL50 = 98 mg/L	Harmful
Daphnia Toxicity	48 h EL50 = 59.8 mg/L	Harmful
Algal Toxicity	72 h EL50 = 259 mg/L	Not harmful
	NOEL = 15 mg/L	

The notified polymer is considered to be harmful to aquatic organisms based on the above endpoints. Under the Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS; United Nations, 2009) the notified polymer is formally classified as 'Acute Category 3; Harmful to aquatic life' under the GHS. Based on the acute toxicity data and the lack of ready biodegradability, the notified polymer is formally classified as 'Chronic Category 3; Harmful to aquatic life with long lasting effects' under the GHS.

7.2.1. Predicted No-Effect Concentration

The predicted no-effect concentration (PNEC) was not calculated since no significant release of the notified polymer is expected based on the proposed use pattern.

7.3. Environmental Risk Assessment

The Risk Quotient ($RQ = PEC/PNEC$) was not calculated since the PEC was not available for the calculation. The notified polymer is not expected to pose an unreasonable risk to the environment based on the assessed use pattern indicating limited release to the environment and the low ecotoxicity to aquatic organisms.

APPENDIX B: TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS**B.1. Acute toxicity – dermal**

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified polymer
METHOD	OECD TG 402 Acute Dermal Toxicity.
Species/Strain	Rat/ Sprague Dawley
Vehicle	Acetone
Type of dressing	Semi-occlusive.
Remarks - Method	Animals were observed at 1-, 3- and 5-hours after administration and then at least once daily for 7 days.

RESULTS

<i>Group</i>	<i>Number and Sex of Animals</i>	<i>Dose mg/kg bw</i>	<i>Mortality</i>
control	1 per sex	0	0/2
low dose	1 per sex	200	0/2
mid dose	1 per sex	1000	0/2
high dose	1 per sex	2000	0/2

LD50	> 2000 mg/kg bw
Signs of Toxicity - Local	No evidence of test substance-related dermal reactions.
Signs of Toxicity - Systemic	No clinical signs were observed.
Effects in Organs	No abnormalities were noted at necroscopy
Remarks - Results	No effect on body weight was observed during the study.

CONCLUSION	The notified polymer is of low toxicity via the dermal route.
------------	---

TEST FACILITY	ICP Firefly (2013a)
---------------	---------------------

B.2. Acute toxicity – dermal

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified polymer
METHOD	OECD TG 402 Acute Dermal Toxicity.
Species/Strain	Rat/ Sprague Dawley
Vehicle	Test substance administered as supplied
Type of dressing	Semi-occlusive.
Remarks - Method	Observations were recorded at 1-, 2- and 4-hours and then daily for 14 days.

RESULTS

<i>Group</i>	<i>Number and Sex of Animals</i>	<i>Dose mg/kg bw</i>	<i>Mortality</i>
1	5M/5F	2000	0/10

LD50	> 2000 mg/kg bw
Signs of Toxicity - Local	No evidence of test substance-related dermal reactions.
Signs of Toxicity - Systemic	No clinical signs were observed.
Effects in Organs	No abnormalities were noted at necroscopy.
Remarks - Results	No weight loss was observed in any animal, and comparable weight gain was observed between the groups.

CONCLUSION	The notified polymer is of low toxicity via the dermal route.
------------	---

TEST FACILITY	ICP Firefly (2013d)
---------------	---------------------

B.3. Irritation – skin

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified polymer
METHOD	OECD TG 404 Acute Dermal Irritation/Corrosion.
Species/Strain	Rabbit/New Zealand White
Number of Animals	3 (F)
Vehicle	Test substance administered as supplied
Observation Period	72 hours
Type of Dressing	Semi-occlusive
Remarks - Method	No significant protocol deviations.
RESULTS	
Remarks - Results	No signs of erythema or oedema were observed for any of the animals during the study period.
CONCLUSION	The notified polymer is non-irritating to the skin.
TEST FACILITY	ICP Firefly (2013b)

B.4. Skin sensitisation – mouse local lymph node assay (LLNA)

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified polymer																								
METHOD	OECD TG 429 Skin Sensitisation: Local Lymph Node Assay																								
Species/Strain	Mouse/(SPF) CBA/CaH																								
Vehicle	Acetone/ Olive Oil (4:1 v/v))																								
Remarks - Method	No significant protocol deviations. Groups each contained 5 female mice. The positive control used was α -hexylcinnamaldehyde (HCA).																								
RESULTS																									
<table><tr><th><i>Concentration</i> (% w/w)</th><th><i>Proliferative response</i> (DPM/lymph node)</th><th><i>Stimulation Index</i> (Test/Control Ratio)</th></tr><tr><td colspan="3"><i>Test Substance</i></td></tr><tr><td>0 (vehicle control)</td><td>437</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>25</td><td>882</td><td>2</td></tr><tr><td>50</td><td>2899</td><td>6.6</td></tr><tr><td>100</td><td>2173</td><td>5</td></tr><tr><td colspan="3"><i>Positive Control</i></td></tr><tr><td>25 % HCA</td><td>4160</td><td>9.5</td></tr></table>		<i>Concentration</i> (% w/w)	<i>Proliferative response</i> (DPM/lymph node)	<i>Stimulation Index</i> (Test/Control Ratio)	<i>Test Substance</i>			0 (vehicle control)	437	1	25	882	2	50	2899	6.6	100	2173	5	<i>Positive Control</i>			25 % HCA	4160	9.5
<i>Concentration</i> (% w/w)	<i>Proliferative response</i> (DPM/lymph node)	<i>Stimulation Index</i> (Test/Control Ratio)																							
<i>Test Substance</i>																									
0 (vehicle control)	437	1																							
25	882	2																							
50	2899	6.6																							
100	2173	5																							
<i>Positive Control</i>																									
25 % HCA	4160	9.5																							
Remarks - Results	<p>No mortality was observed. No clinically significant body weight differences were observed. No obvious signs of toxicity, erythema, oedema or other findings were observed in any of the animals. The mean DPM result for each group was recorded in the table. The stimulation index (SI) for the medium and high dose test groups was above 3 and hence the notified polymer is considered to be a potential skin sensitiser. The test item sensitisation potential (EC3) was calculated to be 30.43%.</p> <p>The positive control confirmed the sensitivity of the test system.</p>																								
CONCLUSION	There was evidence of induction of a lymphocyte proliferative response indicative of skin sensitisation to the notified polymer.																								
TEST FACILITY	ICP Firefly (2013c)																								

B.5. Genotoxicity – bacteria

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified polymer
METHOD	OECD TG 471 Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test. Plate incorporation method.
Species/Strain	<i>S. typhimurium</i> : TA98, TA100, TA102, TA1535, TA1537
Metabolic Activation System	Rat liver microsomal enzymes and cofactors, S9 mix
Concentration Range in Main Test	a) With metabolic activation: 31.6 - 5000 µg/plate b) Without metabolic activation: 31.6 - 5000 µg/plate
Vehicle	Dimethylsulfoxide
Remarks - Method	2-Aminoanthracene was used as the positive control both in the presence and absence of metabolic activation.

RESULTS

Metabolic Activation	Test Substance Concentration (µg/plate) Resulting in:			
	Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test	Cytotoxicity in Main Test	Precipitation	Genotoxic Effect
<i>Absent</i>				
Test 1	> 5,000	> 5,000	> 5,000	negative
Test 2		> 5,000	> 5,000	negative
<i>Present</i>				
Test 1		> 5,000	> 5,000	negative
Test 2		> 5,000	> 5,000	negative

Remarks - Results

Precipitation of the test substance was noted in the preliminary study at concentrations of 2,500 and 5000 µg/plate. No evidence of toxicity was observed in the preliminary test.

The test substance did not cause a marked increase in the number of revertants per plate of any of the tester strains either in the presence or absence of metabolic activation. Negative controls were within historical limits, or lower. Positive controls confirmed the sensitivity of the test system in the presence of metabolic activation. However, in the absence of metabolic activation the positive control failed to induce a sufficient increase in the mean number of revertants in any of the bacterial strains tested. According to the OECD TG 471 (1997) while 2-aminoanthracene is recommended for assays performed with metabolic activation it is not listed as an appropriate positive control when metabolic activation is not present. Therefore, although there was no evidence that the test substance would be mutagenic to bacteria in the absence of metabolic activation the lack of a suitable positive control means that this part of the assay cannot be validated.

CONCLUSION

The notified polymer was not mutagenic to bacteria under the conditions of the test, noting the limitations of the methodology.

TEST FACILITY

ICP Firefly (2013e)

B.6. Genotoxicity – in vivo

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified polymer
METHOD	OECD TG 474 Mammalian Erythrocyte Micronucleus Test.
Species/Strain	Mouse/Arc(S) Swiss
Route of Administration	Dermal – semi-occluded
Vehicle	Test substance administered as supplied
Remarks - Method	A preliminary toxicity study was carried out using 2 male and 2 female mice dosed with the test substance at 2000 mg/kg bw. No mortalities were

observed.

<i>Group</i>	<i>Number and Sex of Animals</i>	<i>Dose mg/kg bw</i>	<i>Sacrifice Time hours</i>
I (vehicle control)	5 per sex	0	24
II (vehicle control)	5 per sex	0	48
III (positive control*)	5 per sex	40	48
IV (test substance)	5 per sex	2000	24
V (test substance)	5 per sex	2000	48

*The positive control used was 7,12-dimethylbenz[a]anthracene (DMBA)

RESULTS

Doses Producing Toxicity

No clinical abnormalities were observed in the negative control or treated animals during the test period. Animals in the positive control group dosed intraperitoneally lost weight due to toxicity of DMBA.

Genotoxic Effects

The test substance induced no statistically significant increases in micronucleated, polychromatic erythrocytes (PCEs) at either sampling time.

Remarks - Results

The positive control caused a significant increase in the number of micronucleated immature erythrocytes, demonstrating the sensitivity of the test.

One of the female mice treated with the test item exhibited a statistically significant depression of PCE/(PCE+NCE) ratio after 24 hours. All other mice exhibited ratios similar to those observed for the negative control groups, so this result may be considered as an independent event.

No clinical signs of toxicity or reductions in the PCE/NCE ratio (cytotoxicity) were observed in animals treated with the test substance, so it is therefore not known if the test substance reached the bone marrow.

CONCLUSION

The notified polymer was not clastogenic under the conditions of this in vivo mouse micronucleus test.

TEST FACILITY

ICP Firefly (2013f)

APPENDIX C: ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND ECOTOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

C.1. Environmental Fate

C.1.1. Ready biodegradability

TEST SUBSTANCE	Analogue polymer
METHOD	OECD TG 301 F Ready Biodegradability: Manometric Respirometry Test
Inoculum	Aerobic activated sludge from domestic wastewater treatment plant
Exposure Period	28 days
Auxiliary Solvent	None reported
Analytical Monitoring	Oxygen consumption determined with a OxiTop Control System
Remarks - Method	No significant deviations from the test guidelines were reported. An abiotic control was run in parallel (containing test substance not inoculated with activated sludge).

RESULTS

<i>Test substance</i>		<i>Sodium acetate</i>	
<i>Day</i>	<i>% Degradation*</i>	<i>Day</i>	<i>% Degradation**</i>
1	1.7	1	12
11	10.9	4	85
14	11.3	14	96
21	14.8	21	103
28	18.4	28	104

*Based on mean of 2 replicates

**Data was not tabulated in report. Read from graph of results.

Remarks - Results	The reference substance (sodium acetate) was degraded > 60% by the fourth day, indicating a suitable aerobic activated sludge inoculum was used. All validity criteria for the test were satisfied. The test substance did not reach the pass level of 60% degradation for this test and therefore cannot be classified as readily biodegradable. The test substance is considered acceptable as an analogue polymer.
CONCLUSION	The test substance, and hence the notified polymer, is not readily biodegradable
TEST FACILITY	Ecotox Services International (2011)

C.2. Ecotoxicological Investigations

C.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish

TEST SUBSTANCE	Notified polymer
METHOD	OECD TG 203 Fish, Acute Toxicity Test –Semi static
Species	<i>Danio rerio</i>
Exposure Period	96 hours
Auxiliary Solvent	Not reported
Water Hardness	Not provided
Analytical Monitoring	Test item concentration was quantified by LCMS-MS using Acquity UPLC attached to a Xevo TQMS (Waters). Mass spectrophotometry was performed using positive ion electrospray ionization and Multiple Reaction monitoring (MRM).
Remarks – Method	The test was conducted following the test guideline and good laboratory practice (GLP). After a preliminary range finding test, the main study was conducted at

loading rates of 513, 251, 125, 63 and 31.8 mg/L. Filtered (using 3.1 µm filter paper) water accommodated fractions (WAFs) were used. The test water with the test item was mixed at each concentration for 48 hours prior to filtration for use in the experiment. This procedure was repeated at 48 hours after initial fish introduction in order to maintain the test concentrations when the surviving fish were transferred to the new medium.

Probit analysis using linear maximum likelihood regression was used for LL50 calculation. Fisher's exact binomial test with bonferroni correction was used for determination of no observed loading rate (NOEL).

RESULTS

Concentration mg/L Nominal	Number of Fish	Mortality			
		24 h	48 h	72 h	96 h
0 (control)	7	0	0	0	0
31.8	7	0	0	0	0
63	7	0	0	0	1
125	7	0	1	4	5
251	7	0	5	7	7
513	7	7	7	7	7

LL50 98 mg/L at 96 hours (filtered WAF)

NOEL 63 mg/L at 96 hours (filtered WAF)

Remarks – Results All the test validity criteria were met. Most of the measured concentrations were reported as within the nominal concentrations. The test preparations were filtered before application according to the report. It is unclear why the measured concentrations were comparable with the nominal concentrations considering the notified polymer was not expected to be readily soluble in water. The determined LL50 and NOEL were based on nominal concentrations. The observed effects may be from the low molecular weight species of the notified polymer. The notified polymer is therefore considered to be harmful to fish.

CONCLUSION The notified polymer is harmful to fish

TEST FACILITY ICP Firefly Pty Ltd (2013g)

C.2.2. Acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified polymer

METHOD OECD TG 202 *Daphnia* sp. Acute Immobilisation Test – Static test

Species *Daphnia carinata*

Exposure Period 48 hours

Auxiliary Solvent Not reported

Water Hardness Not reported

Analytical Monitoring Test item concentration was quantified by LCMS-MS using Acquity UPLC attached to a Xevo TQMS (Waters). Mass spectrophotometry was performed using positive ion electrospray ionization and Multiple Reaction monitoring (MRM).

Remarks - Method The test was conducted following the test guideline and good laboratory practice (GLP).

After a preliminary range finding test, the main study was conducted at loading rates of 505, 252, 129, 62 and 32 mg/L. Filtered (using 3.1 µm filter paper) water accommodated fractions (WAFs) were used. The test water with the test item was mixed at each concentration for 48 hours prior to filtration for use in the experiment.

Probit analysis using linear maximum likelihood regression was used for

LL50 calculation.

RESULTS

Concentration mg/L Nominal	Number of <i>D. magna</i>	Number Immobilised	
		24 h	48 h
0 (control)	20	0	0
32	20	0	8
62	20	0	10
129	20	0	12
252	20	3	17
505	20	4	20

LL50 59.8 mg/L at 48 hours (filtered WAF)

NOEL 63 mg/L at 96 hours (filtered WAF)

Remarks - Results All the test validity criteria were met. Most of the measured concentrations were reported as within the nominal concentrations. The test preparations were filtered before application according to the report. It is unclear why the measured concentrations were comparable with the nominal concentrations considering the notified polymer was not expected to be readily soluble in water. The determined LL50 was based on nominal concentrations. The no observed loading rate (NOEL) was not established since immobility was observed at all the test concentrations. The observed effects may be from the low molecular weight species of the notified polymer. The notified polymer is therefore considered to be harmful to *Daphnia*.

CONCLUSION

The notified polymer is harmful to *Daphnia*

TEST FACILITY

ICP Firefly Pty Ltd (2013h)

C.2.3. Algal growth inhibition test

TEST SUBSTANCE

Notified polymer

METHOD

OECD TG 201 Alga, Growth Inhibition Test

Species

Raphidocellis subcapitata

Exposure Period

72 hours

Concentration Range

Nominal: 0, 15, 31, 63, 129, 258 mg/L

Actual: were not within 80 – 120% of the nominal concentrations

Auxiliary Solvent

Water Hardness

Not provided

Analytical Monitoring

Test item concentration was quantified by LCMS-MS using Acquity UPLC attached to a Xevo TQMS (Waters). Mass spectrophotometry was performed using positive ion electrospray ionization and Multiple Reaction monitoring (MRM).

Remarks - Method

The test was conducted following the test guideline and good laboratory practice (GLP).

After a preliminary range finding test, the main study was conducted at 5 loading rates. Filtered (using 3.1 µm filter paper) water accommodated fractions (WAFs) were used. The test water with the test item was mixed at each concentration for 48 hours prior to filtration for use in the experiment. Probit analysis using linear maximum likelihood regression was used for EL50 calculation. Fisher's exact binomial test with bonferroni correction was used for determination of no observed loading rate (NOEL).

RESULTS

<i>Biomass</i>		<i>Growth</i>	
<i>E_bL50</i> <i>mg/L at 72 h</i>	<i>NOEL</i> <i>mg/L</i>	<i>E_rL50</i> <i>mg/L at 48 h</i>	<i>NOEL</i> <i>mg/L</i>
84	15	259	15

Remarks - Results

All the test validity criteria were met. Most of the measured concentrations were reported as within the nominal concentrations. The test preparations were filtered before application according to the report. It is unclear why the measured concentrations were comparable with the nominal concentrations considering the notified polymer was not expected to be readily soluble in water. The determined EL50 and the none observed loading rate (NOEL) were based on nominal concentrations. The observed effects may be from the low molecular weight species of the notified polymer. The notified polymer is therefore considered to be not harmful to alga.

CONCLUSION

The notified polymer is not harmful to alga

TEST FACILITY

ICP Firefly Pty Ltd (2013i)

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Ecotox Services International (2011) Ready Biodegradability of [analogue chemical] (Test Report No: GL024/TR4, 09 February 2011). Sydney, Australia, Ecotox Services International. Nuplex Industries (Aust) Pty Ltd. (Unpublished report provided by notifier).
- Flinders Cook (Technical Services) Limited (2014) FTIR Report for Polymer in Polyplex 6611 (Study No. 84057, February, 2014). Auckland, NZ, Flinders Cook (Technical Services) Limited (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- ICP Firefly (2013a) Acute Dermal Toxicity Sighting Study of PE3889 [Polyplex 6611 Solid Base (Styrene Free)] in the Sprague Dawley Rat (Study No. ICPQN1186.A, May, 2013). Beaconsfield, NSW, Australia, ICP Firefly Pty Ltd (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- ICP Firefly (2013b) Acute Dermal Irritation/Corrosion of PE3889 [Polyplex 6611 Solid Base (Styrene Free)] in the New Zealand White Rabbit (OECD 404) (Study No. ICPQN1186.B, April, 2013). Beaconsfield, NSW, Australia, ICP Firefly Pty Ltd (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- ICP Firefly (2013c) Local Lymph Node Assay (LLNA) of PE3889 [Polyplex 6611 Solid Base (Styrene Free)] in the CBA/CaH Mouse (OECD 429) (Study No. ICPQN1186.C, April, 2013). Beaconsfield, NSW, Australia, ICP Firefly Pty Ltd (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- ICP Firefly (2013d) Acute Dermal Toxicity Limit Test Main Study of PE3889 [Polyplex 6611 Solid Base (Styrene Free)] in the Sprague Dawley Rat (Study No. ICPQN1186.F, June, 2013). Beaconsfield, NSW, Australia, ICP Firefly Pty Ltd (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- ICP Firefly (2013e) Bacterial (*Salmonella Typhimurium*) Reverse Mutation Assay (Ames Test) of PE3889 [Polyplex 6611 Solid Base (Styrene Free)] in the Sprague Dawley Rat (Study No. ICPQN1186.E, July, 2013). Beaconsfield, NSW Australia, ICP Firefly Pty Ltd (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- ICP Firefly (2013f) Mammalian Erythrocyte *in vivo* Micronucleus Test (OECD 474) of PE3889 [Polyplex 6611 Solid Base (Styrene Free)] in the Arc(s) Mouse (Study No. ICPQN1186.D, July, 2013). Beaconsfield, NSW Australia, ICP Firefly Pty Ltd (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- ICP Firefly Pty Ltd (2013g) Acute Fish Toxicity of [Notified Polymer] in the Zebra Fish *Danio rerio* (OECD 203) (Test Report No: ICPQN1188.A, August 2013). Beaconsfield, NSW, Australia, ICP Firefly Pty Ltd (Unpublished report provided by notifier).
- ICP Firefly Pty Ltd (2013h) Acute Toxicity of [Notified Polymer] in the Crustacean *Daphnia carinata* (OECD 202) (Test Report No: ICPQN1188.B, September 2013). Beaconsfield, NSW, Australia, ICP Firefly Pty Ltd (Unpublished report provided by notifier).
- ICP Firefly Pty Ltd (2013i) Alga *Raphidocellis Subcapitata*, Growth Inhibition Test of [Notified Polymer] (OECD 201) (Test Report No: ICPQN1188.C, September 2013). Beaconsfield, NSW, Australia, ICP Firefly Pty Ltd (Unpublished report provided by notifier).
- Nuplex Resins (2013) Molecular Weight Analysis for Polymer in Polyplex 6611 (Study No. 2013-G-367, February, 2013). Bergen Op Zoom, Nuplex Resins, Analytical Laboratory (Unpublished report submitted by the notifier).
- OHSC (2004) Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances, 3rd edition [NOHSC:1008(2004)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, AusInfo.
- SWA (2012) Code of Practice: Managing Risks of Hazardous Chemicals in the Workplace, Safe Work Australia, <http://www.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/sites/swa/about/publications/pages/managing-risks-of-hazardous-chemicals-in-the-workplace>.
- United Nations (2009) Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS), 3rd revised edition. United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UN/ECE), <http://www.unece.org/trans/danger/publi/ghs/ghs_rev03/03files_e.html>.