File No: STD/1130

20 October 2006

NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS NOTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT SCHEME (NICNAS)

FULL PUBLIC REPORT

MR-8A

This Assessment has been compiled in accordance with the provisions of the *Industrial Chemicals (Notification and Assessment) Act 1989* (Cwlth) (the Act) and Regulations. This legislation is an Act of the Commonwealth of Australia. The National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS) is administered by the Department of Health and Ageing, and conducts the risk assessment for public health and occupational health and safety. The assessment of environmental risk is conducted by the Department of the Environment and Heritage.

For the purposes of subsection 78(1) of the Act, this Full Public Report may be inspected at:

Library
Australian Safety and Compensation Council
25 Constitution Avenue
CANBERRA ACT 2600
AUSTRALIA

To arrange an appointment contact the Librarian on TEL + 61 2 6279 1162 or email ascc.library@dewr.gov.au

This Full Public Report is available for viewing and downloading from the NICNAS website or available on request, free of charge, by contacting NICNAS. For requests and enquiries please contact the NICNAS Administration Coordinator at:

Street Address: 334 - 336 Illawarra Road MARRICKVILLE NSW 2204, AUSTRALIA.

Postal Address: GPO Box 58, SYDNEY NSW 2001, AUSTRALIA.

TEL: + 61 2 8577 8800 FAX + 61 2 8577 8888 Website: www.nicnas.gov.au

Director NICNAS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FULL PUBLI	C REPORT	4
1. APPI	LICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS	4
	VTITY OF CHEMICAL	
	POSITION	
	CODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION	
	CESS AND RELEASE INFORMATION	
5.1.	Distribution, transport and storage	
5.2.	Operation description	
5.3.	Occupational exposure	
5.4.	Release	
5.5.	Disposal	
5.6.	Public exposure	
	SICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES	
7. TOX 7.1.	ICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS	
7.1. 7.2.	Acute toxicity – oral	
7.2.	Acute toxicity – inhalation.	
	Irritation – skin	
7.4. 7.5.	Skin sensitisation Skin sensitisation	
7.5. 7.6.	Repeat dose toxicity	
	28-day oral toxicity	
7.6.	Repeat dose toxicity	
	. Additional 28-day oral toxicity	
7.6.	Repeat dose toxicity	
	90 day inhalation toxicity	
7.0.3 7.7.	Genotoxicity – bacteria	
7.7. 7.8.	Genotoxicity – bacteria	
	IRONMENT	
8.1.	Environmental fate	
8.1.1		
8.1.2		
8.2.	Ecotoxicological investigations	
8.2.1	· ·	
8.2.2	•	
_	ASSESSMENT	
9.1.	Environment	
9.1.1		
9.1.2	1	
	Environment – risk characterisation.	
9.2.	Human health	
9.2.1		
9.2.2		
9.2.3		
9.2.4		
9.2.5	•	
10. Co	ONCLUSIONS – ASSESSMENT LEVEL OF CONCERN FOR THE ENVIRONMENT	
HUMANS		24
10.1.	Hazard classification	
10.2.	Environmental risk assessment	
10.3.	Human health risk assessment	25
10.3.	1. Occupational health and safety	25
10.3.		
11. M	ATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET	25
11.1.	Material Safety Data Sheet	25
11.2.	Label	
12. RI	ECOMMENDATIONS	
12.1.	Secondary notification	27
13. Bl	BLIOGRAPHY	27

FULL PUBLIC REPORT

MR-8A

1. APPLICANT AND NOTIFICATION DETAILS

APPLICANT(S)

Carl Zeiss Vision Australia Holdings Ltd (ABN 47 007 719 708) Sherriffs Road Lonsdale, South Australia 5162

NOTIFICATION CATEGORY

Standard: Chemical other than polymer (more than 1 tonne per year).

EXEMPT INFORMATION (SECTION 75 OF THE ACT)

Data items and details claimed exempt from publication:

Chemical Name

Other Names

CAS Number

Molecular Formula

Structural Formula

Molecular Weight

Spectral Data

Introduction Volume

Identity of Sites

VARIATION OF DATA REQUIREMENTS (SECTION 24 OF THE ACT)

No variation to the schedule of data requirements is claimed.

PREVIOUS NOTIFICATION IN AUSTRALIA BY APPLICANT(S)

None

NOTIFICATION IN OTHER COUNTRIES

ELINCS listing

2. IDENTITY OF CHEMICAL

MARKETING NAME(S)

MR-8A

METHODS OF DETECTION AND DETERMINATION

METHOD Gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS)

Remarks Reference spectra were provided
TEST FACILITY Battelle-Europe, Frankfurt, Germany

3. COMPOSITION

DEGREE OF PURITY

>99%

HAZARDOUS IMPURITIES/RESIDUAL MONOMERS

None

NON HAZARDOUS IMPURITIES/RESIDUAL MONOMERS (>1% by weight) None

ADDITIVES/ADJUVANTS

None

4. INTRODUCTION AND USE INFORMATION

Mode of Introduction of Notified Chemical (100%) Over Next 5 Years Imported neat in 200 L steel drums.

MAXIMUM INTRODUCTION VOLUME OF NOTIFIED CHEMICAL (100%) OVER NEXT 5 YEARS

Year	1	2	3	4	5
Tonnes	1-5	1-5	1-5	1-5	1-5

USE

A component of a thermoset resin system.

5. PROCESS AND RELEASE INFORMATION

5.1. Distribution, transport and storage

PORT OF ENTRY

Melbourne or Adelaide

TRANSPORTATION AND PACKAGING

The notified chemical will be imported in 200 L drums, and transported by road or rail from the dock to a single plant in South Australia.

5.2. Operation description

Formulation

The notified chemical will be transferred, in a bunded area, from 200 L drums to a 150 L semi-automated stainless steel blending vessel. The drums of notified chemical have two separate caps. One cap is opened quickly and a dry nitrogen gas line attached to the drum. The other cap is then opened and the automated metering system attached. The flow of nitrogen is then turned on. As the liquid notified chemical is pumped out of the drum, the empty volume inside the drum is replaced with nitrogen gas.

In the blending vessel the notified chemical will be mixed with other liquid resins and additives at room temperature. The blending vessel discharges to a closed filling machine.

Mould Assembly

Formulated liquid resin is filled automatically into reusable glass moulds at room temperature. Waste liquid resin is produced at this stage.

The moulds are transferred via a conveyor system onto a tray. The tray of mould assemblies is manually loaded into an oven. Once the oven is full, it is closed and heated to 80°C for approximately 21 hours. During this step the notified chemical is incorporated into a polymer.

The cured assemblies are allowed to cool, then removed from the oven. The moulds are cleaned with water, detergent and finally acetone. The moulds are then manually disassembled to remove the finished article.

Cleaning

Equipment dedicated to these processes is cleaned daily. Any unused monomer, including residues in solvent rinsate, may be reused.

5.3. Occupational exposure

Number and Category of Workers

Category of Worker	Number	Exposure Duration (hours)	Exposure Frequency (days/year)
		(nours)	(uuys/yeur)
Supply Personnel	2	0.5-1	4
Process Workers	20-30	2.5-5	241
Maintenance/Engineering	10-14	1-8	241
Quality Assurance	1-2	0.5-1	104
Emergency Personnel	10-15	0.5-1	1

Exposure Details

Supply Personnel

Workers will transfer sealed 200 L import drums into storage, and from storage to the production area. There is a risk of dermal exposure to the notified chemical from accidental splashes if a drum leaks or if an accident occurs involving breach of the import drum. Workers handling the notified chemical will wear latex gloves, disposable sleeves, protective clothing, disposable aprons and safety glasses.

Process Workers

Workers will open 200 L import drums and connect pumping equipment to the blending vessel. Formulation and filling are then largely automated, and conducted under local exhaust ventilation (LEV). These workers will wear a chemically resistant one-piece suit, respirator with appropriate filtering cartridge, impervious gloves and safety goggles.

Workers will manually load trays of moulds filled with liquid formulated resin into an oven. The curing oven is under LEV.

When curing is completed, workers will manually remove the cooled trays, clean the moulds with water, detergent and acetone, and open the moulds to remove the finished article. There is a risk of dermal, ocular and inhalation exposure to residual notified chemical monomer vapours. The mould cleaning process is conducted within a closed room supplied with LEV. Workers will wear a chemically resistant one-piece suit, positive pressure supplied air respirator, impervious gloves and safety goggles.

Process workers will control any small spill in the production area. If there is a large spill, trained emergency response personnel will control the situation, in accordance with the MSDS.

Workers for whom exposure to the notified chemical is possible will be given a pre-commencement medical examination including blood testing for sensitisation to this chemical class. This will be followed by six monthly lung function testing.

Maintenance & Engineering Workers

Workers will repair existing equipment and commission any new equipment that is to be introduced into the production area. There is a risk of dermal exposure to formulated resin via drips, spills, accidental splashes, and contact with contaminated equipment.

Quality Assurance

QA staff will be involved in sampling and testing the notified chemical upon arrival at the production site. There is a risk of dermal exposure to the notified chemical via drips, spills and accidental splashes during sampling and testing.

Emergency Personnel

Workers will be involved in clean up operations in the event of an accidental spill. There is a risk of dermal exposure to the notified chemical and the formulated resin via accidental splashes during clean up.

5.4. Release

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL AT SITE

During the initial evaluation acetone will be used to clean glass mixing vessels and equipment. Acetone will be collected and incinerated. It is estimated that waste acetone generated from this process will amount to no more than 3 litres per day. Traces of polymer will be removed from glass equipment using 25% potassium hydroxide solution at 75°C. Potassium hydroxide solution will pass through a sedimentation tank and be neutralised before discharge to sewer. The pH of the effluent will be maintained between 5.5 and 10.5 by automatic equipment. Solids from the sedimentation tank are collected and sent to a secure landfill site for disposal. Waste from this process is anticipated to be no more than 50 g per day or about 20 kg/annum.

Specialised mixing and filling equipment will produce waste resin at up to 20 kg per year. Waste resin will be collected, allowed to polymerise and incinerated. Daily cleaning of filling lines containing the formulated resin will be carried out. It is anticipated that waste from this route will not exceed 3 litres per day. The disposal of waste generated from this route will be in accordance with Environment Protection laws.

During the cleaning stage of the process there is no release to the cleaning water as the chemical is in a cured polymeric form.

Spills of the notified chemical and liquid resin will be contained to prevent it from entering drains and absorbed using suitable absorbent material. The absorbent material will be transferred into plastic bags, sealed inside a drum and incinerated. Floors will be washed using a solution containing 5% ammonia and detergent. The disposal of waste generated from this route will be in accordance with Environment Protection laws.

Empty chemical drums will be sent to a contractor licensed by the EPA to carry out such work. The drums are decontaminated with an alkaline organic solvent mixture, which is then incinerated. The drums are then washed with water, and the waste from this process is neutralised prior to discharge to the sewer. The drums are crushed and sent to a secure landfill site. The disposal of waste generated from this route will be in accordance with Environment Protection laws.

The notified chemical will be stored in an area where accidental leaks will not be able to enter the sewer. The notified chemical is stable at room temperature, therefore no temperature control of the storage area will be required outside the range of a typical air conditioned room environment. Due to the odour of the notified chemical the area will need to be well ventilated. The notified chemical is incompatible with oxidising agents.

RELEASE OF CHEMICAL FROM USE

There is no risk of release to the environment from the final products made from notified chemical, as the manufacturing process is designed to produce fully cured polymer. The finished articles will contain no residue of liquid resin used in the manufacturing process.

5.5. Disposal

Only a small amount of waste notified chemical is generated and although care is exercised to prevent release to watercourses a small amount may be disposed of to the sewer. However, the main methods of disposal are landfill or incineration. Empty drums will be sent to a contractor, where they will be decontaminated with alkaline organic solvent (which will be incinerated) then washed with water, and the waste from this process is neutralised prior to discharge to the sewer. The drums are crushed and sent to a secure landfill site.

5.6. Public exposure

The notified chemical will not be available to the public. Contamination from the production site is not expected, due to the low vapour pressure and minimal release of the notified chemical.

The public will be exposed to finished articles made from the notified chemical. Finished articles will not contain residual liquid resin, as the manufacturing process is designed to produce fully cured polymer.

6. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

Appearance at 20°C and 101.3 kPa Colourless liquid

Freezing Point <-70°C

METHOD EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.1 Melting/Freezing Temperature.

Remarks Solidification point measured.
TEST FACILITY Battelle Europe (1993b)

Boiling Point >220°C at 101.3 kPa

METHOD EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.2 Boiling Temperature.

Remarks The notified chemical decomposed before boiling at 208-220°C.

TEST FACILITY Battelle Europe (1992a)

Density $1135 \text{ kg/m}^3 \text{ at } 20^{\circ}\text{C}$

METHOD EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.3 Relative Density. Remarks Measured with an oscillating density meter.

TEST FACILITY Battelle Europe (1992b)

Vapour Pressure 3.718 x 10⁻⁵ kPa at 25°C

METHOD OECD TG 104 Vapour Pressure.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.4 Vapour Pressure.

Remarks Gas saturation method.

This result is a combined value based on vapour pressure for each of the 3 major

isomers of the notified chemical.

Combined vapour pressure at 10, 15 and 20°C was 0.608, 1.136 and 2.076 x 10⁻⁵

kPa, respectively.

TEST FACILITY Huntingdon Life Sciences (1999)

Water Solubility Not determined.

Remarks The notified chemical reacts with water (see discussion of hydrolysis below). The

notified chemical appears to be relatively insoluble from the ecotoxicity testing where droplets of the test substance were observed floating on the surface when

100 mg was added to 1 L of water.

Hydrolysis as a Function of pHTotally hydrolysed within 24 h.

METHOD Not specified

Remarks As part of the bioaccumulation study, around 100 mg of the test material was

added to an unspecified volume of water of unknown pH and stirred for 24 h. Solutions were then analysed using gas chromatography to determine the concentration of the test material. After 24 h no test material was detected in the

samples.

During the fish ecotoxicity testing it was noted that when 100 mg of the test substance was added to 1 L of water, test substance remained as droplets on the surface of reconstituted water for 16 h and a white precipitate formed in the solution. This is consistent with hydrolysis of the notified chemical to a relatively soluble species, which reacts to form a polyurea of low solubility. Repeating the test with the pH of the water adjusted to 2 resulted in a more rapid disappearance of the test material and formation of the white precipitate, indicating that the

hydrolysis occurs more rapidly at lower pH, as expected.

TEST FACILITY Mitsubishi-Kasei (1992d)

Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water) Not determined

Remarks The notified chemical reacts with water (see discussion of hydrolysis above). The

Log Pow has been calculated using ACD software for both the notified chemical and the hydrolysis product. The values were estimated to be 3.31 and 0.32,

respectively.

Fat (or n-octanol) Solubility Miscible

METHOD EC Directive 84/449/EEC A.7 Fat Solubility.

Remarks Two preliminary tests were conducted at 37°C. First a fixed amount (0.5 g) of test

substance was added to various amounts of standard fat (0.5-4.0 g). The second test reversed the process and added a fixed amount of standard fat (0.25 g) to various quantities of test material (0.25-6.0 g). Solubility was assessed visually,

with homogeneous solutions observed in all test vessels.

TEST FACILITY Battelle Europe (1992e).

Adsorption/Desorption

Not determined

Remarks The notified chemical reacts with water (see discussion of hydrolysis above).

Based on the apparent low water solubility and estimated partition coefficient of the notified chemical it would be expected to associate with soil and sediment. The diamine hydrolysis product is expected to have a low affinity for the organic matter in soils and sediments and be potentially relatively mobile in the environment. Given the expected low solubility of the polyurea it would also be

expected to associate with soils and sediments.

Dissociation Constant

Not determined

Remarks The notified chemical reacts with water (see discussion of hydrolysis above).

However, there are no ionisable groups.

Particle Size Not applicable to a liquid.

Flash Point 179°C at 101.3 kPa

METHOD EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.9 Flash Point.

Remarks Pensky-Martens method. TEST FACILITY Battelle Europe (1993c)

Flammability Limits

Not flammable

METHOD EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.13 Flammability

Remarks No flammability was observed for 5 minutes with the notified chemical in contact

with air.

TEST FACILITY Battelle Europe (1993c)

Autoignition Temperature

440°C at atmospheric pressure

METHOD 92/69/EEC A.15 Auto-Ignition Temperature (Liquids and Gases).

Remarks None.

TEST FACILITY Battelle Europe (1992d)

Explosive Properties

Not explosive

METHOD EC Directive 92/69/EEC A.14 Explosive Properties.

Remarks None.

TEST FACILITY Battelle Europe (1993d)

Reactivity

Remarks

The notified chemical reacts with water producing carbon dioxide.

The notified chemical is incompatible with acids, alcohols, acids, bases and oxidants.

7. TOXICOLOGICAL INVESTIGATIONS

Endpoint	Result and Assessment Conclusion
Rat, acute oral toxicity	harmful
	LD50 1201 and 1842 mg/kg bw for females and
	males, respectively
Rat, acute inhalation toxicity	very toxic
	LD50 0.054 mg/L/4 hours
Rabbit, skin irritation	corrosive
Rabbit, eye irritation	severely irritating
Guinea pig, skin sensitisation – adjuvant test	evidence of sensitisation
Rat, repeat dose oral toxicity – 28 days.	NOEL 10 mg/kg bw/day
Rat, repeat dose inhalation toxicity – 90 days.	NOEL $0.12 \mu g/L$
Genotoxicity – bacterial reverse mutation	non mutagenic
Genotoxicity – in vitro chromosome aberration	non genotoxic

7.1. Acute toxicity – oral

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical.

METHOD Japanese Guidelines for Toxicity Study of Drugs (1989)

Species/Strain Rat/Sprague-Dawley (SPF)

Vehicle Olive oil Remarks - Method None.

RESULTS

Group	Number and Sex	Dose	Mortality		
-	of Animals	mg/kg bw	·		
1	5/sex	700	0/10		
2	5/sex	1000	0/10		
3	5/sex	1400	3/5 females		
			1/5 males		
4	5/sex	2000	4/5 females		
			3/5 males		
LD50	1201 and 1842 mg/l	kg bw for females and ma	iles, respectively		
Signs of Toxicity	movement, crouchi cyanosis, tiptoe g	ng and irregular respirat ait and lying. The sy 3 rd day after administra	arrhoea, reduced spontaneous tion. Male rats also showed emptoms in surviving rats attion. Surviving rats of both		
Effects in Organs	Dead rats had greyish white gastric wall, retention of yellow contents in the small intestine, dark red patch on the thymus and discolouration of the spleen. Autopsy at the end of the observation period revealed thickening of the internal wall of the stomach, atrophy of the testes and retention of white contents in the testes. Autopsy at the end of the observation period revealed thickening of the internal wall of the stomach, atrophy of the testes and retention of white contents of the testes.				
Remarks - Results	None.				
Conclusion	The notified chemic	eal is harmful via the oral	route.		

Mitsubishi-Kasei (1991c)

7.2. Acute toxicity – inhalation

TEST FACILITY

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 403 Acute Inhalation Toxicity.

Species/Strain Rat/Fischer 344

Vehicle Air

Method of Exposure Oro-nasal exposure.

Exposure Period 4 hours
Physical Form Liquid aerosol
Particle Size 2.09-2.57 μm

Remarks - Method None

RESULTS

Group	Number and Sex of Animals	Concentration mg/L		Mortality
	oj miniaus	Nominal	Actual	
1	5/sex	0.015	0.016	0
2	5/sex	0.05	0.053	1/5 females
				2/5 males
3	5/sex	0.1	0.12	All animals
4	5/sex	0.25	0.24	All animals
5	5/sex	0.5	0.65	All animals
6	5/sex	5	4.65	All animals

LC50 0.054 mg/L/4 hours

Signs of Toxicity Thin appearance, rough coat, laboured respiration, rales and red nasal

discharge in all but the 0.015 mg/L dose group. Animals at the highest dose were lethargic and had tremors on day 1 and were dead on day 2. Animals in the 0.05 mg/L dose group surviving until necropsy appeared to have recovered slightly, with animals exhibiting only rough coat and

thin appearance on day 15.

Effects in Organs All animals in the 0.5 mg/L and the 0.25 mg/L dose groups had a pale or

red discolouration of the lungs. A single animal in the 0.1 mg/L dose group had multiple diffuse white nodules in the left lung but all other

animals in the group had no abnormal findings.

Remarks - Results None

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is very toxic via inhalation.

TEST FACILITY Battelle Europe (1992f)

7.3. Irritation – skin

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 404 Acute Dermal Irritation/Corrosion.

EC Directive 92/69/EEC B.4 Acute Toxicity (Skin Irritation).

Species/Strain Rabbit/New Zealand White

Number of Animals 6 male
Vehicle None
Observation Period 14 days

Type of Dressing Semi-occlusive.

Remarks - Method Skin reactions were graded according to the Draize scale at 0.5 h, 1 h, 6

h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h and 4, 7, 10 and 14 days after patch removal.

RESULTS

Lesion	Mean Score*	Maximum Value	Maximum Duration of Any Effect	Maximum Value at End of Observation Period
Erythema/Eschar	3	4	14 days	4
Oedema	1.3	4	14 days	2

^{*}Calculated on the basis of the scores at 24, 48, and 72 hours for ALL animals.

Remarks - Results Severe erythema was noted, starting half an hour after patch removal, and

persisting to the end of the 14-day observation area. At necroscopy the treated skin of 6/6 animals was red, and was scabby in 5/6 animals. In 2/6 animals at necroscopy petechiae were seen in the subcutaneous connective tissue underneath the treated area, indicating severe damage to

the skin.

The Primary Irritation Index was calculated to be 4.92.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is corrosive to the skin.

TEST FACILITY Battelle Europe (1992g)

7.4. Irritation – eye

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD US EPA Pesticide Assessment Guidelines, Subdivision F, Part 81-4.

Species/Strain Rabbit/New Zealand White

Number of Animals 6
Observation Period 21 days
Remarks - Method None

RESULTS

Lesion	Mean Score*	Maximum	Maximum Duration	Maximum Value at End
		Value	of Any Effect	of Observation Period
Conjunctiva: redness	2.8	3	21 days	1
Conjunctiva: chemosis	3.9	4	21 days	1
Conjunctiva: discharge	1.4	3	21 days	1
Corneal opacity	0.7	1	21 days	1
Iridial inflammation	0.2	1	72 hours	0

^{*}Calculated on the basis of the scores at 24, 48, and 72 hours for ALL animals.

Remarks - Results None

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is severely irritating to the eye.

TEST FACILITY Battelle Europe (1992h)

7.5. Skin sensitisation

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD US EPA Pesticide Assessment Guidelines, Subdivision F, Part 81-6.

Species/Strain Guinea pig/Dunkin Hartley.

PRELIMINARY STUDY Maximum Non-irritating Concentration:

intradermal: Primary irritation observed from 0.2% to 10% (w/w)

topical: 0.01% (w/w)

MAIN STUDY

Number of Animals Test Group: 22 Control Group: 22

INDUCTION PHASE Induction Concentration:

intradermal: 0.2% (w/w)

topical: 1% (w/w)

Signs of Irritation

Moderate irritation at induction sites

0.01% (w/w)

CHALLENGE PHASE

1st challenge topical:

Remarks - Method None

RESULTS

Animal	Challenge Concentration	v	nowing Skin Reactions after: challenge
		24 h	48 h
Test Group	0.01%	7/21	16/21
Control Group	0.01%	0/22	1/22

Remarks - Results One animal died during the observation period for reasons unrelated to

treatment with the test substance.

CONCLUSION There was evidence of reactions indicative of skin sensitisation to the

notified chemical under the conditions of the test.

TEST FACILITY Battelle Europe (1993e)

7.6. Repeat dose toxicity

7.6.1. 28-day oral toxicity

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD Japanese Guidelines for Screening Toxicity Testings of Chemicals (1986)

Species/Strain Rat/Sprague-Dawley

Route of Administration Oral – gavage

Exposure Information Total exposure days: 28 days

Dose regimen: 7 days per week

Post-exposure observation period: 14 days

Vehicle Olive oil Remarks - Method None

RESULTS

Group	Number and Sex	Dose	Mortality
	of Animals	mg/kg bw/day	
I (control)	6/sex	0	0/12
II (low dose)	6/sex	15	0/12
III (mid dose)	6/sex	100	0/12
IV (high dose)	6/sex	500	0/12
V (control recovery)	6/sex	0	0/12
VI (high dose recovery)	6/sex	500	0/12

Clinical Observations

Most rats of the high dose group showed salivation for the first 5 days of treatment. Some high dose males had soft faeces on day 6, reduced spontaneous movement at days 7 and 8 and rales at day 10.

High dose males exhibited reduced bodyweight gain through treatment and recovery. This was statistically significant from day 7 to the end of the recovery period. High dose females showed statistically significantly lower body weight at week 1 of recovery.

Food consumption decreased significantly in high dose animals at week 1 of treatment, and at week 1 of recovery.

Laboratory Findings - Clinical Chemistry, Haematology, Urinalysis

High dose animals showed increased alanine aminotransferase and males show elevated aspartate transaminase. Females showed decreased glucose and increases A/G ratio. Mid dose males had decreased urea nitrogen. High dose males had decreased aspartate transaminase and total protein at the end of the recovery period.

Low and high dose females showed decreased haematocrit and high dose females showed lower haemoglobin that resolved during recovery. Low and high dose males showed increased mean corpuscular volume. High dose females showed lower APTT at the end of the recovery period.

Urinalysis showed statistically significantly lower levels of occult blood in high dose males compared to controls, and significantly less protein in high dose females.

Effects in Organs

Statistically significant findings for organ weights were as follows: High dose females showed increased absolute and relative liver weights and decreased absolute and relative ovary weights. High dose males showed decreased liver weights. Low high dose females showed decreased absolute adrenal weights and the relative brain and testis weights of high dose males and the relative adrenal weights of low and mid dose females decreased. At the end of the recovery period absolute brain and kidney weights decreased in high dose males.

There were no adverse macroscopic findings.

The lesion considered to be treatment related was glycogen deposition in the livers observed in all high dose females.

Remarks - Results

Haematology revealed anaemia in high dose females. Effects on the liver were noted at the high dose. Because some effects occurred at low and high doses, no NO(A)EL or NOEL was determined.

All changes described above returned to normal during recovery, except for reduced haemoglobin in female rats.

CONCLUSION

It was not possible to establish a No Observed (Adverse) Effect Level (NO(A)EL) was established, based on decreased haematocrit and adrenal weights in high and low dose females, and a further study was undertaken (see 7.6.2, below).

TEST FACILITY Mitsubishi-Kasei Institute (1992b)

7.6. Repeat dose toxicity

7.6.2. Additional 28-day oral toxicity

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD Japanese Guidelines for Screening Toxicity Testings of Chemicals (1986)

Species/Strain Rat/Sprague-Dawley

Route of Administration Oral – gavage

Exposure Information Total exposure days: 28 days

Dose regimen: 7 days per week

Post-exposure observation period: None

Vehicle Olive oil

Remarks - Method This study included only a limited range of observations, because it was

an extension of the previous study described above (7.6.1).

RESULTS

Group	Number and Sex of Animals	Dose mg/kg bw/day	Mortality
I (control)	6 females	0	0/6
II (low dose)	6 females	2	0/6
III (high dose)	6 females	10	0/6

Clinical Observations

One rat in the low dose group had rales temporarily on the 5th day of treatment.

No changes were observed in bodyweight or food consumption.

Laboratory Findings –Haematology

No significant changes were observed in haematological parameters.

Effects in Organs

No changes were observed in adrenal weights.

No macroscopic or microscopic abnormalities were observed.

Remarks - Results

The previous study demonstrated adverse effects at 15 mg/kg bw/day, which provides the upper limit of the NOEL established in this study.

CONCLUSION

The No Observed Effect Level (NOEL) was established as 10 mg/kg bw/day in this study, based on the absence of treatment-related changes in either of the treatment groups.

TEST FACILITY Mitsubishi-Kasei Institute (1992c)

7.6. Repeat dose toxicity

7.6.3. 90 day inhalation toxicity

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 413 Subchronic Inhalation Toxicity: 90-day Study.

US EPA Guideline 799.9346

Species/Strain Rat/Sprague-Dawley
Route of Administration Inhalation – whole body
Exposure Information Total exposure days: 65 days
Dose regimen: 5 days per week

Post-exposure observation period: None

Vehicle Air

Physical Form Liquid aerosol

Remarks - Method None

RESULTS

Group	Number and Sex	Dose	Mortality
	of Animals	μg/L (Actual)	
I (control)	10/sex	0	0/10
II (low dose)	10/sex	0.12	0/10
III (mid dose)	10/sex	0.55	0/10
IV (high dose)	10/sex	2.03	0/10

Clinical Observations

High dose animals showed lower response to external stimuli compared to controls, and signs of exposure to an irritant atmosphere.

There were no treatment-related effects on bodyweight gain or food and water consumption.

Laboratory Findings – Clinical Chemistry, Haematology, Ophthalmic examination No adverse effects were observed in any treatment group.

Effects in Organs

No adverse effects were observed on organ weights or after macroscopic examination.

Erosion/hyperplasia with or without rhinitis were detected in the transitional epithelium of high dose animals and low incidence in mid dose animals. Hyperplasia was seen in the respiratory epithelium of high dose animals and mid dose males.

Remarks - Results

None

CONCLUSION

The No Observed Effect Level (NOEL) was $0.12 \mu g/L/day$ based on erosion/hyperplasia of the transitional epithelium and hyperplasia of the respiratory epithelium in the $0.55 \mu g/L$ group.

TEST FACILITY Huntingdon Life Sciences (2000)

7.7. Genotoxicity – bacteria

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD EA/MHW/MITI (1986)

EC Directive 2000/32/EC B.13/14 Mutagenicity – Reverse Mutation Test

using Bacteria.

Pre incubation procedure

Species/Strain S. typhimurium: TA1535, TA1537, TA98, TA100

E. coli: WP2 uvrA

Metabolic Activation System
Concentration Range in
Main Test

Phenobarbital/5,6-benzoflavone-induced rat liver S9 fraction
a) With metabolic activation:
39 - 2500 μg/plate
b) Without metabolic activation:
20 - 1250 μg/plate

Vehicle DMSO Remarks - Method None

RESULTS

Metabolic	Test Substance Concentration (µg/plate) Resulting in:				
Activation	Cytotoxicity in Cytotoxicity in		Precipitation	Genotoxic Effect	
	Preliminary Test	Main Test			
Absent					
Test 1	Not reported	313	None observed	None observed	
Test 2		313	None observed	None observed	
Present					
Test 1	Not reported	1250	2500	None observed	
Test 2		1250	2500	None observed	

Remarks - Results Positive controls induced significant increases in number of revertant

colonies and negative controls were within historical limits.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical was not mutagenic to bacteria under the conditions

of the test.

TEST FACILITY Mitsubishi-Kasei Institute (1991a)

7.8. Genotoxicity – in vitro

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD EA/MHW/MITI (1986)

EC Directive 2000/32/EC B.10 Mutagenicity - In vitro Mammalian

Chromosome Aberration Test.

Cell Type/Cell Line

Chinese hamster lung cells

Metabolic Activation System

Phenobarbital/5,6-benzoflavone-induced rat liver S9 fraction

Vehicle DMSO Remarks - Method None

Metabolic Activation	Test Substance Concentration (µg/mL)	Exposure Period	Harvest Time
Absent			
Test 1	20, 40, 80	24	24
	17.5, 35, 70	48	48
Test 2	15, 30, 60	6	24
Present			
Test 1			
Test 2	15, 30, 60	6	24

All cultures selected for metaphase analysis.

RESULTS

Metabolic	Test Substance Concentration (µg/mL) Resulting in:				
Activation	Cytotoxicity in Preliminary Test	Cytotoxicity in Main Test	Precipitation	Genotoxic Effect	
Absent	·				
Test 1	25	25	125	None observed	
Test 2	25	25	125	None observed	
Present					
Test 1	25				
Test 2	25	25	125	None observed	

Remarks - Results

The number of cells showing chromosomal gaps was significantly higher compared to controls for cells treated with 80 μ g/mL for 24 hours in the absence of metabolic activation, and also for cells treated with 30 μ g/mL in the presence of metabolic activation. However, in the absence of any other observed increase in aberrations, this is not in itself considered strong evidence of a clastogenic effect.

Positive controls showed significant increases in the number of cells with chromosomal aberrations. Negative controls showed numbers of cells with aberrations that were within historical control ranges.

CONCLUSION

The notified chemical was not clastogenic to Chinese hamster lung cells

treated in vitro under the conditions of the test.

TEST FACILITY

Mitsubishi-Kasei Institute (1991b)

8. ENVIRONMENT

8.1. Environmental fate

8.1.1. Ready biodegradability

No data on the biodegradability of the notified chemical have been provided. For the purposes of the assessment it will be assumed that the notified chemical is not readily biodegradable.

8.1.2. Bioaccumulation

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD OECD TG 305C Bioconcentration: Flow-through Fish Test.

MITI Chemical Substances Control Law.

Species Cyprinus carpio
Exposure Period Exposure: 8 weeks

Auxiliary Solvent Acetone, HCO-30 and PVA-500.

Concentration Nominal 1 and 27.5 mg/L, based on a 48 h acute pre-test with orange killifish

Range which showed 10% mortality at 100 mg/L

Actual 11.6 and 126 µg/L (based on the measured soluble radioactivity)
Analytical Monitoring Liquid scintillation counting of radiolabelled test substance.

Remarks - Method The measured concentration of the test substance was based simply on

the level of radioactivity in the test water no attempt was made to identify the radioactive species. The levels of the test substance in the fish were

determined for the whole fish

RESULTS

Concentration Range in Fish High Exposure – 1673 – 3814 ng/g

Low exposure -153 - 369 ng/g

Bioconcentration Factor $13 \sim 32$.

CT50

Remarks - Results No fish mortalities were observed. The test substance hydrolyses in

water. Hence, the results reflect the bioconcentration of the hydrolysis products rather than the notified chemical. Steady state concentrations

were achieved within the first two weeks of the study.

CONCLUSION The notified chemical is not bioconcentrating.

TEST FACILITY Mitsubishi-Kasei (1992d)

8.2. Ecotoxicological investigations

8.2.1. Acute toxicity to fish

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD "Richtlinie 79/831/EWG Anhang V Teil C.1 "Akute Toxizität für Fische,

aktualisierte Fassung Februar 1990" (Guideline 79/831/EWG appendix V part of C.1 of "acute toxicity for fish, updated version February 1990).

Species Oncorynchus mykiss

Exposure Period 96 hours Auxiliary Solvent Acetone.

Water Hardness 249.9 mg CaCO₃/L

Analytical Monitoring HPLC

Remarks – Method The test substance hydrolyses in water to form a sequence of oligomers.

The report notes that there are indications that traces of the notified chemical may cause highly toxic effects to fish prior to complete hydrolysis. This was concluded from a water solubility trial in which 100

mg of the test substance was added to 1 L of water and stirred for 24 h, during the first 16 h droplets of test substance were observed on the surface. At the end of 24 h small white particles remained in the solution. Two fish were added to this solution and both were dead within 1 h of exposure. However, if the test was conducted at pH 2, then adjusted to pH 7.5 after 24 h (prior to the addition of the fish) no mortalities were observed. Stock solutions for the definitive study were therefore prepared by stirring the test substance in the media at pH 2 for 24 h prior to adjusting the pH to 7.8 to ensure complete hydrolysis of the test material.

The measured results are expressed in terms of the concentrations of the hydrolysis product. The test solutions were renewed after 48 h. Water quality parameters of pH (7.8), water temperature (14-15°C) and dissolved O_2 content (97-101%) were within normal limits throughout study.

RESULTS

Concentrat	tion mg/L	Number of Fish		1	Mortalit	y	
Nominal [Notified Chem.]	Actual [hydrolysis product]	·	1 h	24 h	48 h	72 h	96 h
0	-	10	0	0	0	0	0
6.25	5.59	10	0	0	0	0	0
12.5	-	10	0	0	0	0	0
25.0	19.5	10	0	0	0	0	0
50.0	-	10	0	0	0	0	0
100.0	81.1	10	0	0	0	0	0

LC50 > 100 mg/L at 96 hours (nominal).

NOEC (or LOEC) $\geq 100 \text{ mg/L}$ at 96 hours.

Remarks – Results Due to the preparation of the test solutions, the above results indicate

toxicity of the hydrolysis products only.

CONCLUSION The hydrolysis product of the notified chemical is practically non-toxic

to Oncorynchus mykiss.

TEST FACILITY Battelle Europe (1992i)

8.2.2. Acute toxicity to aquatic invertebrates

TEST SUBSTANCE Notified chemical

METHOD "Richtlinie 79/831/EWG Anhang V Teil C.2 "Akute Toxizität für

Daphnien, aktualisierte Fassung Februar 1990" (Guideline 79/831/EWG appendix V part of C.2 of "acute toxicity for Daphnia, updated version

February 1990).

Species Daphnia magna

Exposure Period 48 hours
Auxiliary Solvent Acetone.
Water Hardness Not Stated
Analytical Monitoring HPLC

Remarks - Method The test substance hydrolyses in water to form a sequence of oligomers.

The report notes that there are indications that the traces of the notified chemical may cause toxic effects to Daphnia prior to complete hydrolysis. This was concluded from a trial in which a stock solution of 10 mg/L of the test substance was used to prepare concentrations down to 0.001 mg/L. Ten daphnids were exposed to the freshly prepared test

concentrations. After 48 h all daphnids exposed to the 10 mg/L were immobilised and a single daphnid at a concentration of 0.01 mg/L. The latter immobilisation was not believed to be treatment related.

Stock solutions for the definitive study were thus prepared by stirring the test substance in the test media at pH 2 for 24 h prior to adjusting the pH to 8.2 to ensure complete hydrolysis of the test material.

The measured results are expressed in terms of the concentrations of the hydrolysis product. Water quality parameters of pH (7.8), water temperature (18-22°C), dissolved O_2 (94%) content were within normal limits throughout study.

RESULTS

Concentrat	ion mg/L	Number of D.	Number In	nmobilised
Nominal [Notified Chem.]	Actual [hydrolysis product]	magna	24 h	48 h
0		20	0	0
12.5	9.44, 12.7	20	0	0
25.0		20	0	3
50.0		20	0	5
100.0	74.6, 83.5	20	2	13
200.0		20	3	20
400.0	295, 281	20	6	20

EC50 77.5 mg/L at 48 hours (nominal)

NOEC 12.5 mg/L at 48 hours

Remarks – Results Due to the preparation of the test solutions, the above results indicate toxicity of the hydrolysis products only.

CONCLUSION The hydrolysis products of the notified chemical are slightly toxic to

Daphnia magna.

TEST FACILITY Battelle Europe (1992j)

9. RISK ASSESSMENT

9.1. Environment

9.1.1. Environment – exposure assessment

It is expected that very little exposure to the environment is likely to occur during the use of the imported notified chemical. The majority of wastes containing the notified chemical generated during formulation and moulding are expected to be disposed of to landfill or incinerated. Up to 50 kg per annum of the notified chemical could be disposed of to landfill, including as residues in empty containers of hardener. Most of this waste would be cured polymeric resin in which the chemical will be incorporated into the inert matrix of the resin and be unavailable to the environment. If the containers are destroyed in landfill the notified chemical is unlikely to leach into the water compartment due to its low water solubility. A small amount may be disposed of to sewers as a result of the reconditioning of drums. However, this is likely to be very small and the calculation of the Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC) could not be done.

Any incineration of the notified chemical or polymer containing it will result in the destruction of the chemical and the formation of water and oxides of carbon and nitrogen.

At the end of their useful lives articles made from the resin containing the notified chemical would be disposed of to landfill.

9.1.2. Environment – effects assessment

The ecotoxicological data provided by the notifier indicate that the chemical may be highly toxic to fish and aquatic invertebrates. However, the chemical hydrolyses into species that are practically non toxic to fish and slightly toxic to daphnia. The most sensitive species to the hydrolysis products was *Daphnia magna* with a reported EC50 of 77.5 mg/L (nominal concentration based on added notified chemical) at 48 hours. Hence, a predicted no effect concentration (PNEC - aquatic ecosystems) of 0.0775 mg/L has been derived by dividing the end point of 77.5 mg/L by a worst-case scenario uncertainty (safety) factor of 1000 (as toxicity data are only available for two trophic levels).

9.1.3. Environment – risk characterisation

The notified chemical does not pose a significant risk to the environment based on its reported use pattern because there will be very low environmental exposure. The majority of the chemical will form a cured polymeric matrix. The majority of the notified chemical will eventually be disposed of to landfill including the final products at the end of their useful lives.

Despite the low PNEC, it is not anticipated that there will be any release of the chemical into the aquatic environment under the proposed use patterns and levels are expected to be well below the safety margin.

Tests show that the notified chemical has a low potential to bioaccumulate. Abiotic or slow biotic processes are expected to be largely responsible for the eventual degradation of the notified chemical as it is not expected to be readily biodegradable.

9.2. Human health

9.2.1. Occupational health and safety – exposure assessment

Transport & Supply

Exposure during transport will only occur in the event of an accident involving breach of the import drums. This is expected to be infrequent and acute, and will be limited by the use of personal protective equipment (PPE) and clean up operations as specified in the MSDS.

There is potential for dermal exposure to the notified chemical during transfer of sealed import drums into storage, and from storage to the production area. Exposure will be limited by the use of extensive PPE including gloves, disposable sleeves, protecting clothing, aprons and safety glasses.

Formulation

There is potential for dermal exposure during opening of the import drums and connection of pumping equipment between import drum and blending vessel. Exposure will be limited by local exhaust ventilation (LEV) and extensive PPE including chemically resistant clothing, impervious gloves, safety goggles and respirator with chemically appropriate filtering cartridge.

Exposure during blending and mould filling is expected to be low, as these steps are largely automated and conducted under LEV.

Mould Assembly

There is potential for dermal exposure when workers manually load trays of moulds filled with liquid formulated resin into the oven. There is further potential for dermal, ocular and inhalation exposure to residual vapours of notified chemical when workers manually remove cooled trays from the oven after curing, and during mould cleaning and disassembly. Exposure will be limited by LEV and extensive PPE including chemically resistant clothing, impervious gloves, safety goggles and positive pressure supplied air respirator. Mould cleaning will be conducted in a closed room supplied with LEV.

Quality Assurance; Maintenance

There is potential for dermal exposure to the notified chemical during QA sampling and testing, and during equipment maintenance, as a result of accidental spills. Exposure will be limited by PPE as described above.

<u>Monitoring</u>

Exposure will be monitored in all process workers, including testing for sensitisation to this class of chemical and periodic lung function tests.

9.2.2. Public health – exposure assessment

Public exposure during transport of imported drums of notified chemical is only likely in the event of a major accident or industrial spill.

The public will be exposed to finished, cured articles containing the notified chemical; however the notified chemical will not be biologically accessible.

Overall, public exposure is expected to be low.

9.2.3. Human health – effects assessment

In acute studies in rats, the notified chemical is harmful when administered orally and very toxic when administered by inhalation. Acute dermal toxicity data were not provided.

The notified chemical is severely irritating to rabbit eyes and corrosive to rabbit skin, with effects persisting to the end of the observation periods. In the skin irritation test, subcutaneous bleeding at the test site in the form of petechiae was observed in 2/6 animals at necropsy.

There was evidence of sensitisation in a Guinea pig adjuvant test.

The notified chemical is not mutagenic and is not clastogenic to Chinese hamster lung cells in vitro.

In 28-day repeat dose oral toxicity studies in rats, the NOEL was determined to be 10 mg/kg bw/day, based on reduced adrenal weights and relative brain and testis weights, reduced haematocrit, and increased mean corpuscular volume in animals treated with the next highest dose of 15 mg/kg bw/day.

In a 90-day repeat dose inhalation toxicity study in rats, the NOEL was determined to be 0.12 μ g/mL/day, based on erosion and hyperplasia of the transitional epithelium and hyperplasia of the respiratory epithelium in animals treated with the next highest dose of 0.55 μ g/mL/day.

Based on the available data, the notified chemical is classified as a hazardous substance in

accordance with the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances* (NOHSC 2004) and assigned the following risk phrases:

R26 Very toxic by inhalation

R22 Harmful if swallowed

R34 Causes burns

R42/43 May cause sensitisation by inhalation and skin contact

9.2.4. Occupational health and safety – risk characterisation

The notified chemical presents a risk of adverse health effects via dermal, ocular, inhalation or oral exposure. Predicted adverse effects include systemic toxicity via ingestion or inhalation, severe dermal and/or ocular irritation, and dermal and/or respiratory sensitisation.

Given the sensitising properties of the notified chemical, precautions to prevent exposure must be taken for all personnel, but especially those who either have had prior contact with this chemical class or suffer from any form of compromised respiratory function (NOHSC, 1990).

The most likely routes of exposure are dermal and possible ocular contact during formulation, mould assembly and QA sampling and testing; and inhalation of residual vapours when removing mould trays from the oven. Dermal and ocular exposure will be limited by the use of extensive PPE. Inhalation exposure will be limited by LEV and, provided the mould trays are sufficiently cooled before being removed from the oven, the low vapour pressure of the notified chemical.

There is a lower likelihood of exposure during transport, supply and maintenance work. Exposure during these stages will be limited by extensive PPE.

Overall, the control measures in place and the use of PPE are expected to ensure sufficient protection against exposure to the notified chemical. Therefore the risk to occupational health & safety is expected to be low.

9.2.5. Public health – risk characterisation

Although the notified chemical is classified for a number of health hazards, public exposure is expected to be negligible. Therefore, the overall risk to public health is expected to be low.

10. CONCLUSIONS – ASSESSMENT LEVEL OF CONCERN FOR THE ENVIRONMENT AND HUMANS

10.1. Hazard classification

Based on the available data the notified chemical is classified as hazardous under the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances*. The classification and labelling details are:

R26 Very toxic by inhalation

R22 Harmful if swallowed

R34 Causes burns

R42/43 May cause sensitisation by inhalation and skin contact

As a comparison only, the classification of notified chemical using the Globally Harmonised System for the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS) (United Nations, 2003) is presented below. This system is not mandated in Australia and carries no legal status but is presented for information purposes.

	Hazard category	Hazard statement
Acute toxicity	1	Fatal if inhaled
	4	Harmful if swallowed

Skin corrosion/irritation	1	Causes severe skin burns and eye damage	
	1	Causes serious eye damage	
Respiratory sensitiser	1	May cause allergic or asthmatic symptoms or breathing difficulties if inhaled.	
Skin sensitiser	1	May cause allergic skin reaction	

The notified chemical could not be classified for environmental hazard due to its tendency to hydrolyse. However, it appears to exhibit some toxicity.

10.2. Environmental risk assessment

The chemical is not considered to pose a risk to the environment based on its reported use pattern.

10.3. Human health risk assessment

10.3.1. Occupational health and safety

There is Low Concern to occupational health and safety under the conditions of the occupational settings described.

10.3.2. Public health

There is Negligible Concern to public health when used to produce cured, finished articles for sale to the public.

11. MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEET

11.1. Material Safety Data Sheet

The MSDS of the notified chemical provided by the notifier was in accordance with the NOHSC *National Code of Practice for the Preparation of Material Safety Data Sheets* (NOHSC, 2003). It is published here as a matter of public record. The accuracy of the information on the MSDS remains the responsibility of the applicant.

11.2. Label

The label for the notified chemical provided by the notifier was in accordance with the NOHSC *National Code of Practice for the Labelling of Workplace Substances* (NOHSC, 1994). The accuracy of the information on the label remains the responsibility of the applicant.

12. RECOMMENDATIONS

REGULATORY CONTROLS

Hazard Classification and Labelling

- The Office of the ASCC, Department of Employment and Workplace Relations should consider the following health hazard classification for the notified chemical:
 - T⁺: R26 Very toxic by inhalation
 - X_n: R22 Harmful if swallowed
 - C: R34 Causes burns
 - R42/43 May cause sensitisation by inhalation and skin contact
- Use the following risk phrases for products/mixtures containing the notified chemical:
 - − ≥ 25%:
 - R26 Very toxic by inhalation
 - R22 Harmful if swallowed
 - R34 Causes burns
 - R42/43 May cause sensitisation by inhalation and skin contact
 - 25%> conc ≥10%
 - R26 Very toxic by inhalation
 - R34 Causes burns
 - R42/43 May cause sensitisation by inhalation and skin contact

- 10%> conc ≥7%:
 - R26 Very toxic by inhalation
 - R36/38 Irritating to eyes and skin
 - R42/43 May cause sensitisation by inhalation and skin contact
- 7%> conc ≥5%
 - R23 Toxic by inhalation
 - R36/38 Irritating to eyes and skin
 - R42/43 May cause sensitisation by inhalation and skin contact
- 5%> conc ≥1%
 - R23 Toxic by inhalation
 - R42/43 May cause sensitisation by inhalation and skin contact
- 1%> conc ≥0.1%
 - R20 Harmful by inhalation

Health Surveillance

• As the notified chemical is a health hazard, and belongs to a chemical class that is a Schedule 3 sensitiser, employers should carry out health surveillance for any worker who has been identified in the workplace risk assessment as having a significant risk of inhalation or dermal exposure, in accordance with the relevant NOHSC guidelines (NOHSC, 1990).

CONTROL MEASURES

Exposure to the notified chemical should be controlled in all cases in accordance with the NOHSC guidelines for this chemical class (NOHSC, 1990).

Occupational Health and Safety

- Employers should implement the following engineering controls to minimise occupational exposure to the notified chemical as introduced:
 - Automated pumping of notified chemical from import containers to mixing vessel
 - Automated dispensation of liquid resin to fill moulds
 - Local exhaust ventilation during formulation, mould assembly, QA sampling and maintenance work
- Employers should implement the following safe work practices to minimise occupational exposure during handling of the notified chemical as introduced, and after curing during mould cleaning and assembly:
 - Avoid spills and splashing
 - Ensure oven is cooled before opening to remove mould trays
 - Only carry out mould assembly in a well ventilated room
- Employers should ensure that the following personal protective equipment is used by workers to minimise occupational exposure to the notified chemical as introduced, and after curing during mould cleaning and assembly:
 - Chemically impervious gloves
 - Clothing that protects the body, arms and legs
 - Goggles or face shield
 - Respirator with appropriate filtering cartridge during transfer of notified chemical from import containers to mixing vessel
 - Positive pressure supplied air respirator during manual mould cleaning and mould assembly

Guidance in selection of personal protective equipment can be obtained from Australian, Australian/New Zealand or other approved standards.

• Atmospheric monitoring should be conducted during manufacture of cured articles from the notified chemical, to ensure that workplace concentrations of notified

chemical do not exceed the exposure standards for this chemical class (NOHSC, 1990; NOHSC, 1995).

- A copy of the MSDS should be easily accessible to employees.
- If products and mixtures containing the notified chemical are classified as hazardous to health in accordance with the NOHSC *Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances*, workplace practices and control procedures consistent with provisions of State and Territory hazardous substances legislation must be in operation.

Disposal

• The notified chemical should be disposed of by incineration or secure landfill.

Storage

- The following precautions should be taken regarding storage of the notified chemical:
 - Store in a fully bunded, well ventilated area

Emergency procedures

Spills of the notified chemical and liquid resin should be contained with suitable
adsorbent material and care should be exercised not to allow material to enter drains
and watercourses. The adsorbent material should be transferred to plastic bags sealed
inside a drum and incinerated.

12.1. Secondary notification

The Director of Chemicals Notification and Assessment must be notified in writing within 28 days by the notifier, other importer or manufacturer:

- (1) Under Section 64(1) of the Act; if
 - the use pattern changes in such a way as to increase the exposure to the aquatic compartment. At this time a full set of test aquatic toxicity testing should be supplied for the notified chemical.

or

- (2) Under Section 64(2) of the Act:
 - if any of the circumstances listed in the subsection arise.

The Director will then decide whether secondary notification is required.

13. BIBLIOGRAPHY

Battelle Europe (1992a) Determination of the Boiling Point of [Notified Chemical] in Accordance with EEC-Guideline A.2. (84/449/EEC). Study No. BE-P-32-92-A02-04. Battelle Europe, Frankfurt, Germany. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier)

Battelle Europe (1992b) Determination of the Relative Density of [Notified Chemical] in Accordance with EEC-Guideline A.3. Study No. BE-P-32-92-A03-02-BG. Battelle Europe, Frankfurt, Germany. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier)

Battelle Europe (1992c) Determination of the Flash Point of [Notified Chemical] in Accordance with EEC-Guideline A.9. Study No. BE-P-32-92-A09-02-BG. Battelle Europe, Frankfurt, Germany. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier)

Battelle Europe (1992d) Determination of the Auto-Flammability of [Notified Chemical] in Accordance with EEC-Guideline A.15 (84/449/EEC). Study No. BE-P-32-92-A15-04. Battelle Europe, Frankfurt, Germany. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier)

Battelle Europe (1992e) Determination of the Fat Solubility of [Notified Chemical] in Accordance with EEC-Guideline A.7. Study No. BE-P-32-92-A07-04. Battelle Europe, Frankfurt, Germany. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier)

- Battelle (1992f) Inhalation Toxicity Study of Liquid Aerosol in Rats: Limit Test/LC-50. Study No. SC920038. Battelle, OH, USA. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier)
- Battelle Europe (1992g) Acute Dermal Irritation/Corrosion Study of [Notified Chemical] in Rabbits. Study No. BE-MT-32-92-01-DIK-02. Battelle Europe, Frankfurt, Germany. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier)
- Battelle Europe (1992h) Acute Eye Irritation/Corrosion Study of [Notified Chemical] in Rabbits. Study No. BE-MT-32-92-02-EIK-02. Battelle Europe, Frankfurt, Germany. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier)
- Battelle Europe (1992i) Study on the Freshwater Fish (Rainbow Trout) Acute Toxicity of [Notified Chemical]. Study No. BE-EA-32-92-03-F1A-02. Battelle Europe, Frankfurt, Germany. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier)
- Battelle Europe (1992j) Study on the Daphnia Acute Toxicity of [Notified Chemical]. Study No. BE-EA-32-92-03-DAK-02. Battelle Europe, Frankfurt, Germany. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier)
- Battelle Europe (1993a) Determination of the Purity of [Notified Chemical] by Gas Chromatography. Study No. BE-P-32-92-GC-04. Battelle Europe, Frankfurt, Germany. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier)
- Battelle Europe (1993b) Determination of the Solidification Point of [Notified Chemical] in Accordance with EEC-Guideline A.1. (84/449/EEC). Study No. BE-P-32-92-A01-04. Battelle Europe, Frankfurt, Germany. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier)
- Battelle Europe (1993c) Determination of the Flammability of [Notified Chemical] in Accordance with EEC-Guideline A.13. (84/449/EEC). Study No. BE-P-32-92-A13-04. Battelle Europe, Frankfurt, Germany. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier)
- Battelle Europe (1993d) Determination of the Explosive Properties of [Notified Chemical] in Accordance with EEC-Guideline A.14. (84/449/EEC). Study No. BE-P-32-92-A14-04. Battelle Europe, Frankfurt, Germany. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier)
- Battelle Europe (1993e) Acute Dermal Sensitisation Study of [Notified Chemical] in Guinea Pigs. Study No. BE-MT-32-92-03-SIG-02. Battelle Europe, Frankfurt, Germany. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier)
- Huntingdon Life Sciences (1999) [Notified Chemical] Vapour Pressure Determination. Study No. MTO101/972373. Huntingdon Life Sciences Ltd, Suffolk, U.K. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier)
- Huntingdon Life Sciences (2000) [Notified Chemical] 90 Day Repeat Dose Inhalation Toxicity Study in Rats. Study No. MTU 043/994932. Huntingdon Life Sciences, Cambridgeshire, U.K. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier)
- Mitsubishi-Kasei Institute (1991a) Bacterial Reverse Mutation Test of [Notified Chemical]. Study No. 0L281. Mitsubishi-Kasei Institute of Toxicological and Environmental Sciences, Ibaraki, Japan. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier)
- Mitsubishi-Kasei Institute (1991b) Chromosomal Aberration Test of [Notified Chemical] in Cultured Mammalian Cells. Study No. 0L282. Mitsubishi-Kasei Institute of Toxicological and Environmental Sciences, Ibaraki, Japan. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier)
- Mitsubishi-Kasei Institute (1991c) Acute Oral Toxicity Study of [Notified Chemical] in Rats. Study No. OL279. Mitsubishi-Kasei Institute of Toxicological and Environmental Sciences, Ibaraki, Japan. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier)
- Mitsubishi-Kasei Institute (1992b) Toxicity Study of [Notified Chemical] Oral Administration to Rats for 28 Days. Study No. OL280. Mitsubishi-Kasei Institute of Toxicological and Environmental Sciences, Ibaraki, Japan. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier)
- Mitsubishi-Kasei Institute (1992c) Additional Study for Determination of the Toxicity of [Notified Chemical] by Repeated Oral Administration to Rats for 28 Days. Study No. OL465. Mitsubishi-Kasei Institute of Toxicological and Environmental Sciences, Ibaraki, Japan. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier)

Mitsubishi-Kasei Institute (1992d) Bioaccumulation Study of [Notified Chemical] with Carp. Study No. 0B320G. Mitsubishi-Kasei Institute of Toxicological and Environmental Sciences, Japan. (unpublished report submitted by the notifier)

- NOHSC (1990) Worksafe Australia Guide-[Chemical Class]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.
- NOHSC (1994) National Code of Practice for the Labelling of Workplace Substances [NOHSC:2012(1994)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.
- NOHSC (1995) Adopted National Exposure Standards for Atmospheric Contaminants in the Occupational Environment [NOHSC:1003(1995)]
- NOHSC (2004) Approved Criteria for Classifying Hazardous Substances [NOHSC:1008(2004)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, AusInfo.
- NOHSC (2003) National Code of Practice for the Preparation of Material Safety Data Sheets, 2nd edn [NOHSC:2011(2003)]. National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, Canberra, Australian Government Publishing Service.
- United Nations (2003) Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS). United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UN/ECE), New York and Geneva.