

Why custom Deleter?

(318)

Legacy code

class A

{

...

void release() { ... }

~A() { ... }

}

Why we need to
call more fn before
deleting mem

legacy
code so

we don't ~~free~~
to change it

A *aPtr = new A();

...

aPtr->release();

delete aPtr;

What is the problem
here?

[If we use smart
pointer helper
this release mem
will never get
call it will call
only default destr

Custom deleter for shared_ptr

only default destr

specified in the constructor of smart pointer

shared_ptr<A> spA (new A, &deleter)

If we not provide our own

deleter then it will call default

destr. If it will call deletion A object
which will A destructor and release()
method will not be called.

deleter can be

* a fn pointer

* A lambda fn
functor

319
ex. deleter function using for pointer

```
void deleterA (A* ptr)
```

```
{  
    cout << "Deleting" << endl
```

```
    if (ptr)
```

```
    {  
        ptr = release(); // we need to  
        delete ptr;
```

```
    }  
    // explicitly call
```

```
    release method in the destructor.
```

custom deleter

```
shared_ptr<A> spA(new A(),  
                  &deleterA);
```

custom deleter using lambda exp.

```
shared_ptr<A> spA(new A(),
```

```
    [&ptr] { cout << "Deleting" << endl;
```

```
    if (ptr)
```

```
    {  
        ptr = release;
```

```
        delete ptr;
```

```
    }  
};
```

Custom deleter using functor

(320)

class Del

{
public:

void operator() (A* p)

{ cout << "Deleting" << endl;

if (p)

< p->release();

delete p;

};

shared_ptr< A > spA (new A, Del);

Custom deleter for unique_ptr

↓
specified in the constructor. deleter type will be
part of unique_ptr type

unique_ptr< A, function <void(A*)>>

p (new A, [](A* p) { cout <<

"Deleting" << endl;

delete p;

});

(321)

Reference → this like alias of b

int a = 10

b is a reference of a

int &b = a

int &a; X

int b

int &a = b

int &j = 5 X

~~int &~~ ^{that} ~~const~~ int &j = 5

int &i = (j + k) X ^{not}

exp computation in temp which

is kind of ~~ref~~ const.

→ ~~const~~ int &i = j + k

Call by ref.

void call_by_ref(int &b, int c) ^{value of b is copied to value of c}
 {
 cout << b << " " << c << endl;
 cout << c << c << c << endl;
 }

int main()

 int a = 10, b = 20

 call_by_ref(a, b)

bond a will start referring to variable a

~~we cannot have a reference to a variable~~
reference, or ref to literal or ref to exp. →

~~we can a const ref to literal and expression~~

Ex. 2

```
int ret_const (const int &n)
```

```
{
  ++n
}
```

```
    but return (n+1) answered
```

return by value

```
int returnbyval (int &n)
```

```
{
  return (n)
```

```
int main
```

```
{
  int a = 10
```

```
    int b = returnbyval (a) ✓
```

```
int &b = returnbyval(a) X
```

since while returning value n is getting copied to temporary and and it is expression which is constant and we cannot have a reference to exp so make (b)

as const ref.

~~const int &b = returnbyval~~

323) return by reference

int & return-by-ref (int &x)

h. return(x) → It is not returning the value of x but reference of x to give address of x to store value of x. which is address of x.

int a = 10

cout << int &b = return-by-ref(a); ✓

int &b = return-by-ref(a) ✓

b = return-by-ref(a) ✓

→ so b now becomes address of a

x. while return by ref we should not return ref of local variable it is unpredictable

ex. 2

int & return-ref (int &x) { return x; }

int a = 10, b

b = return-ref(a) ✓

return-ref(a) = 3 ✓

a = cout << a =
0/p 3 ✓

Ex. 2

int & return-ret (int &a)

```
{  
    int t = a;  
    t++;  
    return (t);  
}
```

int a = 10, b;

b = return-ret(a)

return-ret(a) = 3 \neq unpredictable

value

~~we~~ we should always return ref or live variable like

- ① heap ✓
- ② static, global ✓

int a = 10, &b = a ✓

&b = c ✗

~~in~~ In C++ ~~ref~~ reference stores the address ~~by~~
but there is no way to store the address
that address.

~~The~~ The reference in Java and C++ has a difference.