

IAT804 Assignment 2: Short Paper Assessment

Mohammad Rajabi Seraji

Vancouver, Canada

mrajabis@sfu.ca

ABSTRACT

The goal of this document is to identify and analyze the methodological approaches, research designs, data collection and analysis methods of [1] in less than 1600 words. It serves as a report for IAT804 course of SIAT SFU. *TODO: summarize all in here*

Author Keywords

Research Methodology; Research Designs; Assessment; HCI; Intuitive Interaction; Natural User Interfaces

WORLD-VIEW

The abstract of the document literally commences with a statement about addressing the issues and challenges of natural user interface designers. The positioning of these statements alongside the essence of conclusion section shows us that the authors are following a "**Pragmatic World-view**".

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY

It is explicitly stated in the abstract that [1] uses an "**Exploratory mixed method**" research design. Reviewing the text also supports this claim about; they conduct a series of quantitative experiments followed by different open-ended reviews and questionnaires. Their method of data collection is also a mixture of qualitative and quantitative methods. They are also both interested in answering the qualitative question of how to improve designers' experience in natural user interfaces, as well as exploring the quantitative statistical comparisons of usability, intuitive interactions and awareness. Therefore we can safely conclude that this study is using a "**Mixed method design**" with an "**Exploratory comparative methodology**." It also uses a "**Between-subjects experiment design**" to conduct the experiments in the quantitative phase.

DATA COLLECTION METHODS

This study collects quantitative data in a series of between-subject **experiments** (task completions) and collects task-completion times from them. It also uses **questionnaires** between some of these tasks to obtain Likert values for responses. Basically it uses different scales and established measurement systems to quantify the outcomes of each experiment.

There is also qualitative data collection in form of **interviews** with open-ended questions, **observational notes** and **video recordings** from the experiment sessions.

USE OF THEORY

Your paper's title, authors and affiliations should run across the full width of the page in a single column 17.8 cm (7 in.) wide. The title should be in Helvetica or Arial 18-point bold. Authors' names should be in Times New Roman or Times Roman 12-point bold, and affiliations in 12-point regular.

VALIDITY

There are some internal threats to the validity of this study. It is mentioned that the majority of the participants (seventy-two percent) were chosen from the university students [1]. It is also mentioned that most of the participants were daily users of computers and smart-phones. All of these factors introduce an internal validity threat in form of **selection of participants**. This threat has not been properly addressed in the paper. Unfortunately this distribution can also result in an **external interaction of selection and treatment threat** which may prevent the study from being generalized to a wider population.

Another internal threat could be **Testing** threat in which the familiarization of the participants with the instrument may affect the outcomes. Fortunately by proper timing of the tasks and interviews and also sequentializing the nature of the experiments, researchers have addressed this issue in an ample manner.

ETHICAL CONCERNS

Since some of the participants were from university students, there might be an issue of power relationship of undue effect. For instance the graduate students could have been friends of the research staff or students studying under the staff's tutorship. All of these could have introduced a certain bias into the study. Although, since the CHI requires (or recommends) ethical reviews from REBs before accepting a submission, these concerns might have been properly addressed in the ethics proposal.

First Page Copyright Notice

This template includes a sample ACM copyright notice at the bottom of page 1, column 1. Upon acceptance, you will be provided with the appropriate copyright statement and unique DOI string for publication. Accepted papers will be distributed in the conference publications. They will also be placed in the ACM Digital Library, where they will remain

accessible to thousands of researchers and practitioners worldwide. See http://acm.org/publications/policies/copyright_policy for the ACM's copyright and permissions policy.

REFERENCES

- [1] Anna Macaranas, Alissa N. Antle, and Bernhard E. Riecke. 2015. What is Intuitive Interaction? Balancing Users' Performance and Satisfaction with Natural User Interfaces. *Interacting with Computers* 27, 3 (02 2015), 357–370. DOI:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/iwc/iwv003>