# Corporate Environmental Values And Culture As Catalysts For Sustainable Business Practices

**Dr Suman Kolpula[1], Dr. S. Udayakumar[2], G. Kothandaraman[3], Dr. Ramprakash O. Panchariya[4], Dr. Kumari Soni[5], Dr. Swati Gupta[6]**

[1]Assistant Professor, Department of Finance and Accounting, ICFAI Business School, Hyderabad, Telangana, India. sumankolpula@gmail.com

[2]Assistant Professor, School of Law, Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R &D Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India. indudaya@gmail.com. ORCID:0009-0006-2239-7207

[3]Assistant Professor of Law, School of Law, Veltech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R&D Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu. India. kothandan2513@gmail.com. ORCID:0009-0005-00322274

[4]Associate Professor, Department of Management Studies, B. D. College of Engineering Sevagram Wardha, Maharashtra, India. ram_bdce@rediffmail.com

[5]Assistant Professor, Department of Bachelor of Business Administration, Patna Women's College, Patna, Bihar. soni25madhubani@gmail.com

[6]Assistant Professor, Chitkara Business School, Chitkara University, Rajpura, Punjab, India. swati.gupta@chitkara.edu.in

## Abstract

*This research investigates the dynamic intersection of Corporate Environmental Values (CEVs), Environmental Culture (EC), and Business Sustainability (BS) in Indian companies with a huge mixed-methods research design. With environmental issues in the heart of corporate policy, it is increasingly crucial to comprehend the impact of organizational internal factors on sustainable performance particularly in emerging markets where regulation application and market maturity might be out of balance. Corporate Environmental Values are the moral foundation and strategic resolve that form the basis of an organisation's environmental accountability. These values are usually expressed by top management and reflected in corporate missions, policies, and strategic agendas. But their operationalisation is not automatic. This paper introduces that while CEVs provide the philosophical background, their applicability to making sustainability a reality depends on the degree to which they are embraced by the organization's work culture. Environmental Culture is the middleman that communicates values into action. EC comprises prevalent norms, implicit practices, leadership actions, and workers' participative mechanisms that inject the corporate environment with sustainability day by day. Qualitative part of this research grounded in in-depth interviews with 60 managers revealed four most important themes revealed leadership reflecting of values, informal passing of environmental norms, conflicts between short-term profit and ecological goals, and strategic advantages of sustainability practices. They illustrate that EC is not just a very valued demonstration but also an excellent behavior driver and performance. Business Sustainability, in this case, means the firm's ability to balance environmental, economic, and social goals. At the quantitative phase, structural equation modeling validated survey responses of 128 managers. Findings established that, although CEVs are positively linked to BS, it is significantly amplified when it goes through EC. This supports the strategic value of organizational culture as an intention and effect mediator. By integrating rich qualitative findings with empirical facts, the research provides an overall impression of sustainability*

## 1 . INTRODUCTION

Over the past few decades, business sustainability has evolved from a secondary, regulatory compliance activity to becoming an overarching strategic imperative for organizations globally. As environmental crises intensify, stakeholder activism rises, regulators pile pressure, and investors pose challenging questions, companies now need to not only reduce their environmental footprints but also add value to environmental sustainability and sustainable development. This paradigm change resulted in a more

*inside the organisation. It challenges leaders and policymakers to go beyond policy requirements and establish cultures which truly embody business environmental values a key strategy to achieve significant, long-lasting sustainability.*

holistic understanding of sustainability as it moved beyond short-term profitability to long-term value creation on economic, environmental, and social dimensions [1]. Internal drivers within organizations have, in today's changing times, become the primary enablers of sustainability. Whereas most research has been directed toward external environmental pressures, e.g., regulation, competition, or consumer needs, increasing evidence supports that environmental values in organizations and environment culture, to an even more profound and fundamental extent, have a radical impact. CEVs are the strategic and ethical commitments that are introduced by top management on ecological responsibility [2]. These values when taken seriously indicate the organization's determination to linking business objectives with environmental stewardship. But values are not enough. Success with sustainability goals is contingent on having an environment culture to solidify these values and place them in organizational routine, decisionmaking, and employee behavior. EC is the collective norms, symbols, rituals, and tacit practices that convey an organization's environmental state of mind [3]. It is only in the presence of a sound environmental culture that sustainability ceases to be a top-down instruction but informs organizational DNA in the behavior of the day, internal communication, rewards, and performance measures [4]. While the necessity of CEVs and EC has been mutually agreed, the bulk of the research on offer is either based on qualitative case studies offering richness but not generalizability or quantitative questionnaires that are generalizable but lacking in richness. This study attempts to fill the methodology divide by using a mixed-method research design that incorporates qualitative interviews with 60 senior and mid-level Indian firm managers and quantitative surveying of 128 managers from a wider industry pool. This dual approach will allow us to delve deeper in understanding how environmental values are translated and constructed and sustained in any particular culture, and how both contribute to measurable sustainability outcomes. Qualitative phase employed thematic analysis to reveal leadership signaling patterns, informal cultural acquisition, business and ecological goal conflicts, and perceived effects of sustainability practice [5]. These themes guided the quantitative phase where we applied Structural Equation Modeling to test relationships between CEVs, EC, and business sustainability. By integrating values, culture, and sustainability performance in the framework of a sound mixed-methods approach, it offers sustainability professionals, corporate board decision-makers, and policymakers with practicable insights to create and sustain environmentally friendly organizations.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1. Theoretical Foundation

This research is based on the Organizational Culture-Values Alignment Theory that assumes that effective organizational outcomes are achieved when the espoused values of an organization are successfully aligned in its internal culture. Based on that, values are not enough to bring about change they need to be implemented through daily habits, rituals, and general norms [6]. Culture, in this context, means the practice of translating values into behavior to allow consistent action throughout the organization. When values in the environment are ingrained in the central part of the culture, they form the internal justification for decision-making, innovation momentum, and strategic alignment to achieve long-term goals for sustainability [7]. This theoretical perspective permits investigation of a cause-and-effect process: CEVs as inputs, EC functions and business sustainability as strategic result. The theory predicts that values-culture congruence not just produces internal consistency but also the organization's ability to produce steady, measurable, and valuable sustainability results in the long run [8].

## 2.2. Introduction to the Literature

As global attention is now more focused on climate change, loss of biodiversity, and resource depletion, companies are facing growing demands to prove their environmental responsibility. To this end, business sustainability has emerged as a strategic imperative for companies to transition away from factor-driven compliance to change initiated from within [9]. Although regulatory and market forces are still important, increasingly the best and longest-lasting sustainability practices are found in the internal values and culture of an organization [10]. It becomes important to discover how environmental priorities are internalized and responded to within organizations by analyzing the forces among CEVs, environmental culture, and business sustainability [11]. These three elements constitute the conceptual framework of this research. Corporate environmental values are the ethical and strategic leadership commitment to environmental responsibility. Environmental culture is where these values are embodied within the collective behaviors and informal norms. Business sustainability is the ability of the organization to generate long-term value in economic performance, environmental stewardship, and social contribution [12]. Existing research has a propensity to ensnare these constructs by analyzing either value statements or analyzing the sustainability performance without considering the cultural mechanisms that bridge the two. This research closes that gap by investigating the internalization of values into organizational culture and how this congruence facilitates corporations to attain sustainability in practice [13]. With a mixedmethods research design, this study can achieve the qualitative richness of internal processes and the quantitative robustness of cross-sectional analysis, offering an integrative portrayal of sustainability as an internally produced, culturally interpreted process.

## 2.3. Introduction to the Conceptual Framework

As a result, growing international pressure to adhere to sustainable development has compelled businesses to reconsider in-house philosophies and operation strategies towards transformation. At the center of these transformations are fundamental aspects of an organization, in this case, values the corporate executives have embraced and the employee culture [14]. They, together, can facilitate or serve as a hindrance to an organization's transition to long-term sustainability. Though external pressures such as regulation and market forces are involved, internal value architecture and culture is the source for sustainable and long-lasting change [15]. Three constructs are the theory base of this research, Corporate Environmental Values, Environmental Culture, and Business Sustainability. They are not stand-alone constructions but in dynamic system in which values shape culture, and culture shapes organizational sustainability outcomes. The review probes each of these concepts in turn and ends with a synthesized model to direct the current research. **2.4. Corporate Environmental Values**

Corporate Environmental Values are the corporation's own strategic and ethical guide to the natural world. CEVs typically get their expression in the corporate mission statement language, executive speech, ethics codes, and sustainability declarations[16]. CEVs inform the corporation what it values, be it efficiency in its use of resources, reduction of wastes, utilization of renewable energy, or greater overall ecological stewardship. CEVs have a tendency to come from senior management and are directly connected to decision-makers' worldview and moral position [17]. Green values at companies where they exist in earnest and are reiterated become drivers of business model innovation, supply chain choice, stakeholder interaction, and investment in green technologies [18,30]. The values are motivational but also spill over into real-world decisions and inform long-term strategic direction. But in the absence of cultural endorsement, these values are only symbolic or performative, and therefore nothing tangible emerges from such efforts to be sustainable.

## 2.5. Environmental Culture

Environmental Culture is the inner organizational climate of shared practices, behaviors, and beliefs shaping an organization's environmental awareness. Apart from formal policies or legislations, culture resides in informal everyday decisions, team rituals, reward systems, people's stories, and taken-for-granted rules. Healthy environmental culture enables values implementation [19,27]. It defines how employees perceive environmental goals, middle managers prioritize on projects, and teams collaborate for green innovation. It can be organic or can be specifically encouraged with training, role modeling, storytelling,

and incentives [20]. A good EC ensures psychological safety to voice concerns for sustainability, creates experiments for green habits, and promotes collective accountability. Without this cultural basis, even the most green and progressive environmental values can be hard-pressed to gain traction on the ground. **2.6. Business Sustainability**

Business Sustainability refers to the capacity of a company to create long-term value through balancing environmental health, social wellbeing, and economic prosperity. Sustainable businesses do not put emphasis on short-term gains, but resilience, stakeholder equity, and regenerative operations [21]. BS can normally be defined as how a business minimizes its carbon footprint, invests in circular economy efforts, ensures employees' wellbeing, and gives back to society. Sustainability is only achievable through the systems-thinking process that reconciles internal intention with external performance [22,26].

Organizations with a strong BS will tend to incur lower cost of operation, enhanced brand loyalty, improved regulation fit, and improved risk management. Of specific interest is that sustainability is not a state but a dynamic process of continuous learning, innovation, and improvement [23]. Internal values and culture serve as levers to this process, directing the strategic pathways on which sustainability results are attained.

### 2.7. Integrated Theoretical Framework

The relationship between corporate environmental values, environmental culture, and business sustainability can only be meaningfully interpreted developmentally. CEVs are the lower-level ones, providing moral direction and strategic purpose [24]. These values won't get to work, though, unless within an enabling culture to express and translate into behavior. Environmental culture is the process of mediation that strengthens or breaks the values' impact. It transmits the symbolic and instrumental meaning of the values through communication, behavior norms and rituals of organizations [25]. When environmental culture is in agreement with CEVs, the organizations are likely to embrace strong sustainability practices that are not only compliant but change-oriented. This research is based on the premise that congruence internally within what a CEVs, how it acts EC, and what it does BS is the foundation of real sustainability [26]. With reference to this process, the research sets up a complete comprehension of how things internally based influence externally visible measures of sustainability in organizational contexts.

### 3. Research Objectives

- To examine how corporate environmental values are constructed and utilized in organizations.
- To explore how environmental culture contributes to the fulfillment of values into practices.
- To confirm the correlation of CEVs, EC, and BS to qualitative outcome and quantitative analysis.

### 4. METHODOLOGY

### 4.1. Phase 1: Qualitative Method

The qualitative part explored how managers see and practice environmental values and culture within their organizations. A purposeful sample of 60 senior, mid-level managers in 12 Indian organizations across industries such as manufacturing, IT, energy, and retail participated in semi-structured interviews (45–60 minutes). Manager interviews, conducted either face-to-face or online, addressed organizational values, environmental concerns, cultural routines, and sustainability performance. Data were coded using a six-step thematic analysis with NVivo 14 and yielded four overarching themes: leadership value signaling, informal cultural transmission, tensions between ecological and economic objectives, and strategic sustainability outcomes. These results were used to inform the construction of constructs for the quantitative phase.

### 4.2. Phase 2: Quantitative Method

To statistically establish the relationship among CEVs, EC, and BS, there was a systematic survey of 128 managers of 20 Indian companies by stratified sampling across industries and places. The tool, based on qualitative themes as well as literature, comprised Likert-scale items (1–5) for assessing CEVs, EC, and BS. Reliability was established by Cronbach's alpha (>0.80), and construct validity was achieved by Confirmatory Factor Analysis. Structural Equation Modeling via AMOS validated the hypothesized

relationships, where significant path coefficients, appropriate model fit indices (CFI, RMSEA, TLI), and mediation effects of EC between CEVs and BS were established.

## 5. Data Analysis
### 5.1. Emerging Themes
**Table 1: Thematic Findings from Qualitative Interviews (N=60 Managers)**

| Theme | Description | Illustrative Insight |
|---|---|---|
| Leadership Value Signalling | Senior leaders actively promote environmental values through visible actions. | "Our CEO talks about climate goals in every review people take it seriously." |
| Informal Cultural Transmission | Sustainability norms spread through everyday interactions and team practices. | "We reuse and recycle not because of a rule, but because it's how we work." |
| Ecological-Economic Tensions | Managers face conflicts between profit goals and sustainability efforts. | "Budget constraints often limit our ability to invest in green alternatives." |
| Strategic Sustainability Outcomes | Firms with embedded values see improved brand, morale, and compliance. | "Going green has helped us attract young talent and new clients." |

### 5.2. Quantitative Validation
Thematic analysis demonstrates that informal cultural signals and leadership behaviour are the strongest predictors of embedding environment values within organizations. Financial constraints may act against sustainability targets, but companies with longer-term goal-coherent values and culture achieve quantifiable strategic benefit. Qualitative outcomes guided quantitative variables employed for testing verification of the relationships.

### 5.3. Variables & Sample Items
**Table 2: Survey Constructs, Number of Items, and Sources**

| Construct | No. of Items |
|---|---|
| Corporate Environmental Values (CEVs) | 6 |
| Environmental Culture (EC) | 5 |
| Business Sustainability (BS) | 7 |

**Table 3: Construct Reliability**

| Construct | No. of Items | Cronbach's Alpha |
|---|---|---|
| Corporate Environmental Values (CEVs) | 6 | 0.81 |
| Environmental Culture (EC) | 5 | 0.87 |
| Business Sustainability (BS) | 7 | 0.84 |

Cronbach alpha coefficients for CEVs (0.81), EC (0.87), and BS (0.84) reflect high internal consistency in the constructs. This finding verifies that the items of the survey measure each dimension uniformly, validating the scale's reliability for future statistical analysis.

**Table 4: Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) – Model Fit Indices**

| Fit Index | Threshold | Observed Value |
|---|---|---|
| Comparative Fit Index (CFI) | > 0.90 | 0.94 |
| Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) | < 0.08 | 0.05 |

The CFA fit statistics indicate a satisfactory model fit, with CFI = 0.94 and RMSEA = 0.05. The statistics indicate that the measurement model does reflect the underlying constructs satisfactorily, confirming the structure and warranting its application in SEM.

**Table 5: Descriptive Statistics and Correlations**

| Variable | Mean | SD | CEV | EC | BS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CEVs | 4.12 | 0.52 | 1 | | |
| EC | 4.02 | 0.48 | .69** | 1 | |
| BS | 4.08 | 0.56 | .61** | .72** | 1 |

The correlation matrix provides significant and high relationship between the major variables (p < 0.01). CEVs are significantly related to EC (r = 0.69) and BS (r = 0.61), suggesting that the more developed environmental values of those companies translate into a positive environmental culture and achieve improved sustainability. Highest correlation occurs between EC and BS (r = 0.72), which implies that a robust environmental culture is an important driver of sustainable business practice. They are in line with the hypothesized associations and are a good basis for subsequent structural inquiry.

**Table 6: Structural Equation Modeling**

| Path | β Coefficient | p-value | Result |
|---|---|---|---|
| CEVs → EC | 0.68 | <.001 | Significant |
| EC → BS | 0.74 | <.001 | Significant |
| CEVs → BS (direct) | 0.22 | 0.05 | Weakly Significant |
| CEVs → EC → BS (indirect) | 0.50 | <.001 | Strong Mediation |

SEM results validate the expected relationships among the constructs. Corporate Environmental Values (CEVs) have strong significant effects on Environmental Culture (EC) (β = 0.68, p < .001), showing that if companies prioritize environmental values that are sustainable, they establish a robust environment culture. EC also has robust positive effects on Business Sustainability (BS) (β = 0.74, p < .001), emphasizing the pivotal role of culture in achieving sustainability outcomes. Straight-line relationship between CEVs and BS is weakly significant (β = 0.22, p = 0.05), that is, although environmental values contribute to sustainability, environmental culture is the dominant mechanism. Thereafter, there is a significant and strong indirect effect (β = 0.50, p < .001), which makes EC the salient mediator for the relationship between CEVs and BS. Generally, the model favors a partial mediation model, in which internal values lead to sustainability primarily through cultural change.

**Table 7: Model Fit Indices**

| Fit Index | Value | Threshold for Good Fit | Interpretation |
|---|---|---|---|
| CFI | 0.95 | ≥ 0.90 | Excellent model fit |
| TLI | 0.93 | ≥ 0.90 | Strong model fit |
| RMSEA | 0.045 | ≤ 0.06 | Indicates close fit |

The overall fit statistics of the proposed hypothesized structural model reveal that the hypothesized structural model is a good fit for the data observed. Both the Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) and Comparative Fit Index (CFI) of 0.95 and 0.93, respectively, are above the minimum requirement of 0.90, indicating excellent incremental fit. Furthermore, the Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) is 0.045, which is also within the acceptable limit (≤ 0.06), thus affirming a close and parsimonious fit to the data. Overall, these indices affirm the validity and robustness of the model in its ability to test the interrelations of Corporate Environmental Values, Environmental Culture, and Business Sustainability.

**Table 8: Thematic–Statistical Integration**

| Theme (Qualitative) | Statistical Path Supported (Quantitative) | β Coefficient | Interpretation |
|---|---|---|---|
| T1: Leadership Value Signalling | CEVs → EC | 0.68 | Strong influence of corporate values on shaping environmental culture |

| T2: Informal Cultural Transmission | EC → BS | 0.74 | Culture significantly drives business sustainability outcomes |
|---|---|---|---|
| T3: Value-Profitability Tensions | CEVs → BS (direct) | 0.22 | Weak direct link implies the necessity of cultural mediation |
| T4: Strategic Sustainability Outcome | CEVs → EC → BS (indirect) | 0.50 | Mediation confirmed; culture translates values into sustainable business practices |

Synthetic analysis supports that environmental culture is an important mediator in forming corporate environmental values to sustainable business results. Qualitative themes are highly congruent with the quantitative SEM paths to support a mediated sustainability model where culture channels values effectively.

Table 9: Model Summary

| Pathway | β Coefficient | Significance | Interpretation |
|---|---|---|---|
| Corporate Environmental Values → Environmental Culture | 0.68 | $p < 0.001$ | Strong influence; values shape culture |
| Environmental Culture → Business Sustainability | 0.74 | $p < 0.001$ | Environmental culture strongly predicts sustainability outcomes |
| Corporate Environmental Values → Business Sustainability (Direct) | 0.22 | $p = 0.05$ | Weak direct effect; suggests need for mediation |
| CEVs → EC → BS (Indirect/Mediated Path) | 0.50 | $p < 0.001$ | Strong mediation; culture effectively transmits values into sustainable practices |

The model verifies environmental culture to be an effective mediator that amplifies the effect of corporate environmental values on business sustainability. The direct effect is not significant, but the indirect (mediated) effect is significant.

## 8. Implications

This research has multi-level contributions. At the academic level, this work is in support that business sustainability and corporate environmental values have to be mediated by environmental culture, and it contributes to the existing literature through context-specific mixed-methods research in an emerging economy. Managerially, the work shows that leadership has to extend beyond symbolic signaling of value and actively create a culture of sustainability by using informal structures like internal champions and rituals. At the policy level, the research implies that national sustainability programs should incorporate organisational culture building into them explicitly and sector guidelines can facilitate the linking of corporate values and cultural practices at a daily level to influence sustainable change.

## 9. CONCLUSION

This study reveals that, while corporate environmental values serve as the philosophical underpinning for organizational sustainability, they are insufficient to generate substantial and long-term transformation. Without a strong, coordinated environmental culture defined by common norms, informal practices, leadership modeling, and internal peer support, sustainability goals are frequently utopian rather than operationalized. The findings stress the role of culture in translating values into everyday activities and long-term corporate sustainability outcomes. Using a convergent mixed-methods approach, this study bridges the gap between qualitative managerial insights and quantitative structural validation, providing a more comprehensive knowledge of how sustainability is incorporated within organizations. The study also identifies a significant gap in the literature: while much has been published on environmental policies

and corporate social responsibility in emerging economies, few studies have experimentally tested the dynamic interplay between values, culture, and organizational sustainability performance. This work thus makes both theoretical and practical contributions, particularly for firms in India and similar contexts where sustainability transformation necessitates internal alignment and cultural reinforcement in addition to policy compliance or external branding.

## REFERENCE

1. Lozano, R., Barreiro-Gen, M., & Zafar, A. (2021). Collaboration for organizational sustainability limits to growth: Developing a factors, benefits, and challenges framework. Sustainable Development, 29(4), 728–737. https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.2170

2. Hofstede, G., Hofstede, G. J., & Minkov, M. (2005). Cultures and organizations: Software of the mind. McGraw-Hill.

3. Kantabutra, S., & Ketprapakorn, N. (2020). Toward a theory of corporate sustainability: A theoretical integration and exploration. Journal of Cleaner Production, 270, Article 122292. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122292

4. P. Nagpal, A. Pawar and S. H. M, "Predicting Employee Attrition through HR Analytics: A Machine Learning Approach," 2024 4th International Conference on Innovative Practices in Technology and Management (ICIPTM), Noida, India, 2024, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/ICIPTM59628.2024.10563285.

5. Setyaningrum, R. P. (2017). Relationship between servant leadership in organizational culture, organizational commitment, organizational citizenship behavior and customer satisfaction. European Research Studies Journal, 20(3A), 554–569.

6. Engert, S., Rauter, R., & Baumgartner, R. J. (2016). Exploring the integration of corporate sustainability into strategic management: A literature review. Journal of Cleaner Production, 112, 2833–2850. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.08.031

7. Nagpal, P. (2022). Organizational commitment as an outcome of employee engagement: A social exchange perspective using a SEM model. International Journal of Biology, Pharmacy and Allied Sciences, 11(1), 72–86.

8. Nagpal, P., & Kumar, A. C. K. (2019). The effect of perceived high-performance work practices on employee engagement: An empirical study on IT firms in India. Think India Journal, 22(43), 272-278. ISSN: 0971-1260.

9. Patil, U. S., Amutha, T., Paranjpye, R., Andre Jorge Bernard, A. G., Mangrulkar, A. L., Sudhin, S., & Nagpal, P. (2024). Exploring nanotechnology's influence on cross-industry transformation: Financial performance, human capital, and market dynamics impacts. Nanotechnology Perceptions, 14, 707-718.

10. Hahn, T., Figge, F., Pinkse, J., & Preuss, L. (2018). A paradox perspective on corporate sustainability: Descriptive, instrumental, and normative aspects. Journal of Business Ethics, 148(2), 235–248. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-017-3587-2

11. Galpin, T., Whittington, J. L., & Bell, G. (2015). Is your sustainability strategy sustainable? Creating a culture of sustainability. Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society, 15(1), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-01-2013-0004

12. Nagpal, P. (2024). Talent management practices: Unleashing employee engagement through perceived organizational support. In Proceedings of the 1st Pamir Transboundary Conference for Sustainable Societies (PAMIR 2023) (pp. 499-505). https://doi.org/10.5220/0012492300003792

13. Heras-Saizarbitoria, I., Urbieta, L., & Boiral, O. (2022). Organizations' engagement with sustainable development goals: From cherry-picking to SDG-washing? Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management, 29(2), 316–328. https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2202

14. Nagpal, P., Pawar, A., & Sanjay, H. M. (2025). Analysis of entrepreneurial motivation on entrepreneurial success in SMEs. In Sustainable Smart Technology Businesses in Global Economies (pp. 149–162). Taylor & Francis. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781041017721

15. Shankar, S. G., Kumari, V. P., Nagpal, P., & Dhote. (2023). Revolution agri-food systems: Leveraging digital innovations for equitable sustainability and resilience. African Journal of Biological Sciences (South Africa), 6(8), 520–530.

16. Kantabutra, S. (2019). Achieving corporate sustainability: Toward a practical theory. Sustainability, 11(15), 4155. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11154155

17. Nagpal, P., Aggarwal, S., Sharma, A., Datta, A., Kuzieva, N., & Gurusamy, M. (2025). Revolutionizing human resources for safer automotive work environments. In AI's role in enhanced automotive safety (pp. 501–514). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-0442-7.ch032

18. Landrum, N. E., & Ohsowski, B. M. (2018). Identifying worldviews on corporate sustainability: A content analysis of corporate sustainability reports. Business Strategy and the Environment, 27, 128–151. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.1989

19. P Nagpal, Avinash Pawar, Sanjay. H.M. (2024). Sustainable Entrepreneurship: Balancing Push and Pull Factors for Customer Loyalty In Organic Product Marketing. African Journal of Biological Sciences (South Africa) 6 (9), 1134-1144. doi: 10.33472/AFJBS.6.9.2024.1134-1144.

20. Pooja Nagpal (2023). The Impact of High Performance Work System and Engagement. Business Review" Vol17 (1) pp 5764, ISSN 0973- 9076

21. Lopes, C. M., Scavarda, A., Hofmeister, L. F., Thomé, A. M. T., & Vaccaro, G. L. R. (2017). An analysis of the interplay between organizational sustainability, knowledge management, and open innovation. Journal of Cleaner Production, 142, 476– 488. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.10.083

22. Tata, J., & Prasad, S. (2015). National cultural values, sustainability beliefs, and organizational initiatives. Cross Cultural Management: An International Journal, 22(2), 278–296. https://doi.org/10.1108/CCM-03-2014-0028

23. Pooja Nagpal (2022) Online Business Issues and Strategies to overcome it- Indian Perspective. SJCC Management Research Review. Vol 12 (1) pp 1-10. June 2022, Print ISSN 2249-4359. DOI: 10.35737/sjccmrr/v12/il/2022/151

24. Lozano, R., Barreiro-Gen, M., & Zafar, A. (2021). Collaboration for organizational sustainability limits to growth: Developing a factors, benefits, and challenges framework. Sustainable Development, 29(4), 728–737. https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.2170

25. Lakshmi, J.Divya, Pooja Nagpal, et al., (2021). Stress and Behavioral Analysis of Employees using Statistical & Correlation Methods. International Journal of Aquatic Science 12(01), 275-281. ISSN: 2008- 8019 2021

26. Pooja Nagpal (2023). The Transformative Influence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on Financial Organizations World Wide. 3rd International Conference on Information & Communication Technology in Business, Industry & Government (ICTBIG). Symbiosis University of Applied Science, Indore.

27. Pooja Nagpal & Ravindra. H.V. (2017). Make in India and Skill India- A hand in glove scheme of GOI to transform Indian Economy. Acme Intellects. International Journal of Research in Management, Social Sciences & technology, 20 (20). 1-14. ISSN -2320- 2939. Online ISSN 2320-2793.

28. Bhupendra Kumar, Namita R, Pooja Nagpal, (2022) et.al. Impact of Microfinance on the Inclusive Development of Bihar. Innovations, 71, 454-465.

29. Pennington, L. K., & More, E. (2016). Culture's roles in organizational sustainability. Academy of Management Proceedings, 2016(1), Article 15415. https://doi.org/10.5465/ambpp.2016.15415abstract

30. Schaltegger, S. (2011). Sustainability as a driver for corporate economic success: Consequences for the development of sustainability management control. Society and Economy, 33(1), 15–28. https://doi.org/10.1556/socec.33.2011.1.4