

EG4321/EG7040

Nonlinear Control

Dr. Matt Turner

Motivation

Nonlinear control so far

Aims of Lecture

Motivation

Nonlinear control so far

- ▶ Quite hard!

Aims of Lecture

Motivation

Nonlinear control so far

- ▶ Quite hard!
- ▶ Gaps between necessity and sufficiency (conservatism)

Aims of Lecture

Motivation

Nonlinear control so far

- ▶ Quite hard!
- ▶ Gaps between necessity and sufficiency (conservatism)
- ▶ So far, mainly analysis has been treated

Aims of Lecture

Motivation

Nonlinear control so far

- ▶ Quite hard!
- ▶ Gaps between necessity and sufficiency (conservatism)
- ▶ So far, mainly analysis has been treated

Aims of Lecture

1. To introduce the *nonlinear dynamic inversion* (NDI) approach to controller design
2. To examine the merits/deficiencies of NDI

Feedback Linearisation/Nonlinear Dynamic Inversion


Recall ideas from classical control

- Want y to track r

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = r(t)$$



Naive approach - invert the plant



- Obviously: $y = r$ for all time
- Is this a perfect controller?

Problems with “inversion”

We would not have 70 years of feedback control if inversion was the answer

Many problems:

- ▶ Requires invertibility of $G(s)$ - often difficult/impossible
- ▶ Requires stability of G - feedforward control
- ▶ Requires perfect knowledge of G - very sensitive to perturbations
- ▶ Unable to cope with disturbances
- ▶ ...

But aspect of inversion are appealing:

- ▶ Idea of “cancelling” troublesome (nonlinear?) dynamics attractive

Feedback linearisation - simple case

Assume system is given in form:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= Ax + B\Gamma(x)(u - g(x)) \\ y &= Cx\end{aligned}$$

where

- ▶ $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$; $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$
- ▶ $\Gamma(.) : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$
- ▶ $g(.) : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^m$

i.e a very special structure in which there are clearly visible linear elements

- ▶ If $\Gamma(x) \equiv I$ and $g(x) \equiv 0 \Rightarrow$ linearity recovered

Feedback linearisation - simple case II

- Choose specially structured control law


$$u = g(x) + \Gamma(x)^{-1}v$$

- Using this in system dynamics

$$\dot{x} = Ax + B\Gamma(x)[g(x) + \Gamma^{-1}(x)v - g(x)]$$

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bv$$

- Hence we have a linear system driven by the “virtual control” v



Feedback linearisation - simple example

Consider the pendulum dynamics

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_1 &= x_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= -\frac{g}{l} \sin(x_1) - \frac{b}{m} x_2 + \frac{1}{ml^2} u\end{aligned}$$

This can be re-written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -\frac{b}{m} \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{A}} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{ml^2} \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{B}} \left(u(t) - \underbrace{glm \sin(x_1)}_{\mathbf{g(x)}} \right)$$

Therefore with

$$u(t) = glm \sin(x_1) + v$$

we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -\frac{b}{m} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{ml^2} \end{bmatrix} v(t)$$

Another simple example [Khalil]

Consider the dynamics

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_1 &= a \sin(x_2) \\ \dot{x}_2 &= -x_1^2 + u\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Clearly this system does not fit the form introduced earlier
- ▶ Introduce *nonlinear change of coordinates*

$$\begin{aligned}z_1 &= x_1 \\ z_2 &= a \sin(x_2)\end{aligned} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{aligned}\dot{z}_1 &= \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{z}_2 &= a \cos(x_2) \dot{x}_2\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Simplifying

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{z}_1 &= z_2 \\ \dot{z}_2 &= a \cos(\sin^{-1}(z_2/a)) (-z_1^2 + u)\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Thus letting

$$u = z_1^2 + \frac{1}{\cos(\sin^{-1}(z_2/a))} v \quad \Rightarrow$$

\dot{z}_1	$=$	z_2
\dot{z}_2	$=$	av

Extrapolations

If system does not **initially** have a structure suitable for feedback linearisation, it may be possible to change coordinates so that it does

- ▶ Coordinate change $T(.) : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$ is typically *nonlinear*.

$$z = T(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{z} = \frac{\partial T(x)}{\partial x} \dot{x}$$

i.e. $T(x)$ must be differentiable

- ▶ Also we need to recover x so mapping $T(.)$ must be invertible:

$$x = T^{-1}(z)$$

($T^{-1}(.)$ denotes inverse of mapping not inverse of matrix)

- ▶ $T(x)$ must be a **diffeomorphism**
 - ▶ Search for $T(x)$ not trivial
 - ▶ $T(x)$ not unique