COMP 6651: Solutions to Assignment 8

Fall 2020

Submission through Moodle is due by November 15th at 23:55

1. (a) Variable x_1 stands for the amount of light beer to be produced, x_2 – regular beer, and x_3 – strong beer. The LP in standard form is

$$\max 30x_1 + 10x_2 + 30x_3$$

$$2x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \le 10$$

$$x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 \le 25$$

$$2x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 \le 30$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3 \ge 0$$

(b) The initial slack form:

$$z = 30x_1 + 10x_2 + 30x_3$$

$$x_4 = 10 - 2x_1 - x_2 - x_3$$

$$x_5 = 25 - x_1 - 2x_2 - 3x_3$$

$$x_6 = 30 - 2x_1 - 2x_2 - x_3$$

Basic feasible solution: (0, 0, 0, 10, 25, 30) (here and below, format: $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6)$). Entering variable: x_1 . Leaving variable: x_4 . New slack form:

$$z = 150 - 5x_2 + 15x_3 - 15x_4$$

$$x_1 = 5 - \frac{1}{2}x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_3 - \frac{1}{2}x_4$$

$$x_5 = 20 - \frac{3}{2}x_2 - \frac{5}{2}x_3 + \frac{1}{2}x_4$$

$$x_6 = 20 - x_2 + x_4$$

Basic feasible solution: (5,0,0,0,20,20). Entering variable: x_3 . Leaving variable: x_5 . New slack form:

$$z = 270 - 14x_2 - 12x_4 - 6x_5$$

$$x_1 = 1 - \frac{1}{5}x_2 - \frac{3}{5}x_4 + \frac{1}{5}x_5$$

$$x_3 = 8 - \frac{3}{5}x_2 + \frac{1}{5}x_4 - \frac{2}{5}x_5$$

$$x_6 = 20 - x_2 + x_4$$

Basic feasible solution: (1,0,8,0,0,6). No entering variable – simplex terminates. Maximum daily profit is \$270 and is obtained by brewing 1 gallon of light beer and 8 gallons of strong beer (and no regular beer) daily.

(c) The dual LP is

$$\begin{aligned} & \min & & 10y_1 + 25y_2 + 30y_3 \\ & & 2y_1 + y_2 + 2y_3 \ge 30 \\ & & y_1 + 2y_2 + 2y_3 \ge 10 \\ & & y_1 + 3y_2 + y_3 \ge 30 \\ & & y_1, y_2, y_3 \ge 0 \end{aligned}$$

- 2. (a) Let $Ax \leq b$ be the constraints of the LP (if they are not in this form, they can be converted into an equivalent constraint matrix in this form). Let x_1 and x_2 be two distinct feasible solutions. Then for any $\alpha \in (0,1)$ solution $x_{\alpha} = \alpha x_1 + (1-\alpha)x_2$ is also feasible since $Ax_{\alpha} = A(\alpha x_1 + (1-\alpha)x_2) = \alpha Ax_1 + (1-\alpha)Ax_2 \leq \alpha b + (1-\alpha)b = b$.
 - (b) Consider the following integer program:

PRIMAL DUAL
$$\max y \qquad \min \quad 3\beta$$
$$2y - 3x \le 0 \qquad \qquad 2\alpha + 2\beta \ge 1$$
$$2y + 3x \le 3 \qquad \qquad -3\alpha + 3\beta \ge 0$$
$$x, y \ge 0 \qquad \qquad \alpha, \beta \ge 0$$
$$x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \qquad \qquad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$$

It is easy to verify that the only feasible integral solutions for the PRIMAL are y = 0, x = 0 and y = 0, x = 1. Thus, integral optimal value of PRIMAL is 0.

Observe that in the DUAL if we set $\beta=0$ we are forced to set $\alpha\geq 1$ by the first constraint, but such choices of α and β would then violate the second constraint. Thus, the smallest feasible integral value of $\beta=1$. Setting $\alpha=0$ makes this solution feasible, therefore we conclude that integral optimal value of DUAL is 3.

- (c) Let x be a single variable and consider the LP $\max -x$ subject to $x \geq 0$. Observe that the feasible region is $[0, \infty)$, so it is unbounded. Yet the optimal value of LP is 0, which is bounded.
- (d) Consider a particular iteration of simplex. Suppose that $z = s_0 + c_1x_1 + \cdots + c_nx_n$ and x_i is chosen as an entering variable. This means that $c_i > 0$. Furthermore suppose that x_j is chosen as a leaving variable. Let the constraint corresponding to x_j prior to pivot be

$$x_j = s_j - \sum_{k \neq j} \beta_{j,k} x_k,$$

Since x_j is chosen as a leaving variable, we have $\beta_{j,i} > 0$. After the pivot, we have

$$x_i = \frac{s_j}{\beta_{j,i}} - \frac{1}{\beta_{j,i}} x_j + \sum_{k \neq i,j} \frac{\beta_{j,k}}{\beta_{j,i}}.$$

After plugging x_i into z we obtain that the coefficient of x_j in z is $-\frac{c_i}{\beta_{j,i}} < 0$. Therefore, in the next step of simplex x_j has a negative coefficient in front of it, so it won't be chosen as an entering variable.