

Priority Queues

Dr. Aiman Hanna

**Department of Computer Science & Software Engineering
Concordia University, Montreal, Canada**

These slides have been extracted, modified and updated from original slides of :

Data Structures and Algorithms in Java, 5th edition. John Wiley & Sons, 2010. ISBN 978-0-470-38326-1.

Data Structures and the Java Collections Framework by William J. Collins, 3rd edition, ISBN 978-0-470-48267-4.

Both books are published by Wiley.

Copyright © 2010-2011 Wiley

Copyright © 2010 Michael T. Goodrich, Roberto Tamassia

Copyright © 2011 William J. Collins

Copyright © 2011-2021 Aiman Hanna

All rights reserved

Coverage

- The Priority Queue ADT
 - Priority Queue List Implementation
 - Sorting with Priority Queue
- Insertion Sort
- Selection Sort



Priority Queue ADT

- A *priority queue* (**P.Q.**) is an ADT for storing a collection of prioritized elements; the elements are referred to as *values*.
- P.Q. supports arbitrary insertion of elements, however the removal of the elements is made in order of priorities.
- Consequently, a P.Q. is fundamentally different from other position-based ADTs (such as stacks, queues, D.Qs, etc.), where operations are conducted on specific positions.
- P.Q. ADT stores elements according to their priorities and exposes no notion of positions to the user.

Priority Queue ADT

- A **key** can be used to indicate the priority of a value (p.s. value means an element here).
- Each **entry** in the P.Q. is hence a pair of (key, value)
- Main methods of the Priority Queue ADT
 - **insert**(k , x): insert an entry with key k and value x into PQ, and return the entry storing them
 - **removeMin**(): remove and returns the entry with smallest key (smallest key indicates first priority).

Priority Queue ADT

- Additional methods
 - `min()`: return the entry with smallest key, but do not remove it
 - `size()`, `isEmpty()`
- Applications:
 - Auctions
 - Stock market
 - ...

Priority Queue ADT

- Example of a P.Q.
 - Notice that the “Priority Queue” column is somewhat deceiving since it shows that the entries are sorted by keys, which is more than required of a P.Q.

Operations	Output	Priority Queue
insert(5, A)	$e_1 [= (5, A)]$	$\{(5, A)\}$
insert(9, C)	$e_2 [= (9, C)]$	$\{(5, A), (9, C)\}$
insert(3, B)	$e_3 [= (3, B)]$	$\{(3, B), (5, A), (9, C)\}$
insert(7, D)	$e_4 [= (7, D)]$	$\{(3, B), (5, A), (7, D), (9, C)\}$
min()	e_3	$\{(3, B), (5, A), (7, D), (9, C)\}$
removeMin()	e_3	$\{(5, A), (7, D), (9, C)\}$
size()	3	$\{(5, A), (7, D), (9, C)\}$
removeMin()	e_1	$\{(7, D), (9, C)\}$
removeMin()	e_4	$\{(9, C)\}$

Total Order Relations

- Keys in a priority queue can be arbitrary objects on which an order is defined.
- Two distinct entries in a priority queue can have the same key.
- P.Q. needs a comparison rule that will never contradict itself.
- In order for a comparison rule, which we denote by \leq , to be robust, it must define a *total order* relation.

Total Order Relations

- The comparison rule must be defined for each pair of keys and must satisfy the following properties:

- **Reflexive property:**

$$k \leq k$$

- **Antisymmetric property:**

$$k_1 \leq k_2 \wedge k_2 \leq k_1 \Rightarrow k_1 = k_2$$

- **Transitive property:**

$$k_1 \leq k_2 \wedge k_2 \leq k_3 \Rightarrow k_1 \leq k_3$$

- A comparison rule that satisfies these three properties will never lead to a comparison contradiction.

Entries & Comparators

- Two important questions must be asked:
 - How do we keep track of the associations between keys and values?
 - How do we compare keys so as to determine the smallest key?
- The definition of a P.Q. implicitly makes use of two special kinds of objects, which answer the above questions:
 - The *entry* object
 - The *comparator* object

Entry ADT

- ❑ An **entry** in a priority queue is simply a key-value pair
- ❑ That is, an entry object is actually composed of a key and a value objects
- ❑ Priority queues store entries to allow for efficient insertion and removal based on keys
- ❑ Methods of Entry ADT:
 - **getKey**: returns the key for this entry
 - **getValue**: returns the value associated with this entry

Entry ADT

- As a Java interface:

```
/**  
 * Interface for a key-value  
 * pair entry  
 **/  
public interface Entry<K,V> {  
    public K getKey();  
    public V getValue();  
}
```

Comparator ADT

- ❑ It is important to define a way for specifying the total order relation for comparing keys.
- ❑ One possibility is to use a particular key type that the P.Q. can compare.
- ❑ The problem with such approach is that the utilization of different keys would require the creation of different/multiple P.Qs.
- ❑ An alternative strategy is to require the keys to be able to compare themselves to one another.
- ❑ This solution allows us to write a general P.Q. that can store instances of a key class that has a well-established *natural ordering*.

Comparator ADT

- ❑ It is possible to have comparable objects by implementing the [java.lang.Comparable](#) interface.
- ❑ The problem with such approach however is that there are cases where the keys will be required to provide more information than they should/expected to, such as their comparison rules.
- ❑ For instance, there are two natural ways to compare "7" and "21". "7" is < "21" if the rule is integer comparison, where "21" is < "7" if the rule is lexicographic ordering.
- ❑ Such cases would require the keys themselves to provide their comparison rules.

Comparator ADT

- ❑ Instead, we can use special comparator objects that are external to the keys to supply the comparison rules.
- ❑ A comparator encapsulates the action of comparing two objects according to a given total order relation.
- ❑ A generic priority queue uses an auxiliary comparator.
- ❑ We assume that a priority queue is given a comparator object when it is constructed. The P.Q. uses its comparator for keys comparisons.

Comparator ADT

- Primary method of the Comparator ADT:
 - **compare(a, b)**: returns an integer i such that
 - ◆ $i < 0$ if $a < b$,
 - ◆ $i = 0$ if $a = b$
 - ◆ $i > 0$ if $a > b$
 - ◆ An error occurs if a and b cannot be compared.
- The [java.util.Comparator](#) interface correspond to the above comparator ADT.

Example Comparator

- Lexicographic comparison of 2-D points:

```
/** Comparator for 2D points under the
    standard lexicographic order. */
public class Lexicographic implements
    Comparator {
    int xa, ya, xb, yb;
    public int compare(Object a, Object b)
        throws ClassCastException {
        xa = ((Point2D) a).getX();
        ya = ((Point2D) a).getY();
        xb = ((Point2D) b).getX();
        yb = ((Point2D) b).getY();
        if (xa != xb)
            return (xb - xa);
        else
            return (yb - ya);
    }
}
```

- Point objects:

```
/** Class representing a point in the
    plane with integer coordinates */
public class Point2D {
    protected int xc, yc; // coordinates
    public Point2D(int x, int y) {
        xc = x;
        yc = y;
    }
    public int getX() {
        return xc;
    }
    public int getY() {
        return yc;
    }
}
```


Priority Queue Sorting

- ❑ We can use a priority queue to sort a set of comparable elements
 1. Insert the elements one by one with a series of **insert** operations
 2. Remove the elements in sorted order with a series of **removeMin** operations
- ❑ The running time of this sorting method depends on the priority queue implementation

Priority Queue Sorting

Algorithm *PQ-Sort*(S, C)

Input sequence S , comparator C for the elements of S

Output sequence S sorted in increasing order according to C

$P \leftarrow$ priority queue with comparator C

while $\neg S.isEmpty()$

$e \leftarrow S.removeFirst()$

$P.insert(e, \emptyset)$

while $\neg P.isEmpty()$

$e \leftarrow P.removeMin().getKey()$

$S.addLast(e)$

Notice that in the above code, the elements of the input sequence S serve as keys of the priority queue P .

Sequence-based Priority Queue

- Implementation with an unsorted list



- Performance:
 - **insert** takes $O(1)$ time since we can insert the item at the beginning or end of the sequence
 - **removeMin** and **min** take $O(n)$ time since we have to traverse the entire sequence to find the smallest key

- Implementation with a sorted list



- Performance:
 - **insert** takes $O(n)$ time since we have to find the place where to insert the item
 - **removeMin** and **min** take $O(1)$ time, since the smallest key is at the beginning

Selection-Sort

- Selection Sort algorithm works as follows:
 - Find the minimum value in the collection (list/sequence, P.Q., etc.)
 - Swap it with the value in the first position
 - Repeat the steps above for the remainder of the list (starting at the second position and advancing each time)
- [Click here to view some illustrative animations](#)
- What is the running time?

Selection-Sort

- Running time of the *PQ-Sort(S, C)* Selection-sort; that is when the P.Q. is implemented with unsorted sequence:
 1. (First loop): Inserting the elements into the priority queue with n **insert** operations takes $O(n)$ time
 2. (Second loop) Removing the elements in sorted order (repeated selection) from the priority queue with n **removeMin** operations takes time proportional to
$$n + n - 1 + n - 2 + \dots + 3 + 2 + 1$$
Resulting in a total of $O(n + n^2) \rightarrow O(n^2)$
- \rightarrow Selection-sort runs in $O(n^2)$ time

Selection-Sort Example

Input: Sequence S Priority Queue P
 (7,4,8,2,5,3,9) ()

Phase 1 (first loop)

(a)	(4,8,2,5,3,9)	(7)
(b)	(8,2,5,3,9)	(7,4)
..
(g)	()	(7,4,8,2,5,3,9)

Phase 2 (second loop)

(a)	(2)	(7,4,8,5,3,9)
(b)	(2,3)	(7,4,8,5,9)
(c)	(2,3,4)	(7,8,5,9)
(d)	(2,3,4,5)	(7,8,9)
(e)	(2,3,4,5,7)	(8,9)
(f)	(2,3,4,5,7,8)	(9)
(g)	(2,3,4,5,7,8,9)	()

Insertion-Sort

- Insertion Sort algorithm is as follows:
 - Removes an element (possibly arbitrary) from the input data
 - Insert the element into the correct position in the already-sorted list
 - Repeat until no input elements remain.
- [Click here to view some illustrative animations](#)
- What is the running time? What is fastest case?

Insertion-Sort

- Running time of the *PQ-Sort(S, C)* Insertion-sort; that is when the P.Q. is implemented with sorted sequence (obtained after phase 1 (first loop) is finished):

1. (First loop): Inserting the elements into the priority queue with n **insert** operations takes time proportional to

$$1 + 2 + \dots + n$$

2. (Second loop): Removing the elements in sorted order from the priority queue with a series of n **removeMin** operations takes $O(n)$ time

Resulting in a total of $O(n^2 + n) \rightarrow O(n^2)$

- \rightarrow Insertion-sort runs in $O(n^2)$ time

Insertion-Sort

- Special case:
 - If the sequence is already (by luck) sorted, then
 1. (First loop): Sorting the list will take $O(n)$ time
 2. (Second loop): Removing the elements in sorted order from the priority queue with a series of n **removeMin** operations takes $O(n)$ time

Resulting in a total of $O(n + n) \rightarrow O(n)$

Insertion-Sort Example

	Sequence S	Priority queue P
Input:	(7,4,8,2,5,3,9)	()
Phase 1 (first loop)		
(a)	(4,8,2,5,3,9)	(7)
(b)	(8,2,5,3,9)	(4,7)
(c)	(2,5,3,9)	(4,7,8)
(d)	(5,3,9)	(2,4,7,8)
(e)	(3,9)	(2,4,5,7,8)
(f)	(9)	(2,3,4,5,7,8)
(g)	()	(2,3,4,5,7,8,9)
Phase 2 (second loop)		
(a)	(2)	(3,4,5,7,8,9)
(b)	(2,3)	(4,5,7,8,9)
..
(g)	(2,3,4,5,7,8,9)	()

In-place Insertion-Sort

- Instead of using an external data structure, we can implement selection-sort and insertion-sort in-place
- A portion of the input sequence itself serves as the priority queue
- For in-place insertion-sort
 - We keep sorted the initial portion of the sequence
 - We can use **swaps** instead of modifying the sequence

