## COMP 6651: Assignment 1 - partial solution

## PROBLEMS.

3 *Proof.* The assumption says there exist two numbers  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that for sufficiently large n,

$$c_2 n \le f(n) \ln f(n) \le c_1 n$$

Need to show two directions.

•  $f(n) = O(n/\ln n)$ : By

$$c_2 n \le f(n) \ln f(n) \le f^2(n)$$

we have  $f(n) \ge \sqrt{c_2}\sqrt{n}$ . Hence,  $\ln f(n) \ge \ln \sqrt{c_2} + \ln \sqrt{n} \ge c \cdot \ln n$  for some constant c > 0 and for sufficiently large n. This implies

$$f(n) \le \frac{c_1 n}{\ln f(n)} \le \frac{c_1}{c} \cdot \frac{n}{\ln n}.$$

•  $f(n) = \Omega(n/\ln n)$ : For sufficiently large n,

$$f(n) \le f(n) \ln f(n) \le c_1 n$$
.

Hence, similarly as before,  $\ln f(n) \leq c' \ln n$  for some constant c' > 0 and for sufficiently large n. Hence,

$$f(n) \ge \frac{c_2 n}{\ln f(n)} \ge \frac{c_2}{c'} \cdot \frac{n}{\ln n}.$$

6 Solution.

(a) Let c > 0 be a constant such that Merge(A, B) takes time c(|A| + |B|). Merging  $A_1$  with  $A_2$  takes time c(n+n) = 2cn and results in an array of size 2n. Then we apply Merge to this array and  $A_3$  which takes time c(2n+n) = 3cn and results in array of size 3n. Then we apply Merge to this array and  $A_4$  which takes time c(3n+n) = 4cn and results in array of size 4n, and so on. You see the pattern. In the last step, we merge an array of size (k-1)n with an array of size n which takes time c((k-1)n+n) = kcn. The overall time taken by the algorithm is

$$2cn + 3cn + 4cn + \dots + kcn = \left(\frac{(k)(k+1)}{2} - 1\right)cn.$$

Observe that the same calculation applies whether c comes from the big-Oh part of the statement that Merge(A,B) takes O(|A|+|B|) time, or if c comes from the big-Omega part of the statement that Merge(A,B) takes  $\Omega(|A|+|B|)$  time. Thus, we conclude that this merge procedure takes time  $\Theta(k^2n)$ .

```
procedure MultipleMerge(\ell, r)
```

 $\triangleright$  Arrays  $A_1, \ldots, A_k$  are global variables and indices  $\ell < r$  indicate which subsequence of arrays

```
needs to be merged, i.e., A_{\ell}, A_{\ell+1}, \dots, A_r.

if \ell = r then

return A_{\ell}

else

m \leftarrow \lfloor (\ell + r)/2 \rfloor

L \leftarrow MultipleMerge(\ell, m)

R \leftarrow MultipleMerge(m + 1, r)

return Merge(L, R)
```

(b) The idea is to merge the first k/2 arrays and the last k/2 arrays recursively and then merge the two returned lists. The following pseudocode implements this idea:

Let T(n, k) denote the worst-case running time of the above procedure on k lists, each of size n. Then we have T(n, 1) = O(n) and T(n, k) = 2T(n, k/2) + O(n) for  $k \geq 2$ . One can use the technique of the recursion tree to see that the total amount of work is O(nk) in each level of the recursion and that there are  $O(\log k)$  levels in total. Therefore, the running time is  $O(nk \log k)$ .