intro_to_sparse_data_and_embeddings

April 24, 2019

Copyright 2017 Google LLC.

1 Intro to Sparse Data and Embeddings

Learning Objectives: * Convert movie-review string data to a sparse feature vector * Implement a sentiment-analysis linear model using a sparse feature vector * Implement a sentiment-analysis DNN model using an embedding that projects data into two dimensions * Visualize the embedding to see what the model has learned about the relationships between words

In this exercise, we'll explore sparse data and work with embeddings using text data from movie reviews (from the ACL 2011 IMDB dataset). This data has already been processed into tf.Example format.

1.1 Setup

Let's import our dependencies and download the training and test data. tf.keras includes a file download and caching tool that we can use to retrieve the data sets.

```
In [0]: from __future__ import print_function
    import collections
    import io
    import math
    import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
    import numpy as np
```

```
import pandas as pd
import tensorflow as tf
from IPython import display
from sklearn import metrics

tf.logging.set_verbosity(tf.logging.ERROR)
train_url = 'https://download.mlcc.google.com/mledu-datasets/sparse-data-embedding/tra
train_path = tf.keras.utils.get_file(train_url.split('/')[-1], train_url)
test_url = 'https://download.mlcc.google.com/mledu-datasets/sparse-data-embedding/test
test_path = tf.keras.utils.get_file(test_url.split('/')[-1], test_url)
```

1.2 Building a Sentiment Analysis Model

Let's train a sentiment-analysis model on this data that predicts if a review is generally *favorable* (label of 1) or *unfavorable* (label of 0).

To do so, we'll turn our string-value terms into feature vectors by using a *vocabulary*, a list of each term we expect to see in our data. For the purposes of this exercise, we've created a small vocabulary that focuses on a limited set of terms. Most of these terms were found to be strongly indicative of *favorable* or *unfavorable*, but some were just added because they're interesting.

Each term in the vocabulary is mapped to a coordinate in our feature vector. To convert the string-value terms for an example into this vector format, we encode such that each coordinate gets a value of 0 if the vocabulary term does not appear in the example string, and a value of 1 if it does. Terms in an example that don't appear in the vocabulary are thrown away.

NOTE: We could of course use a larger vocabulary, and there are special tools for creating these. In addition, instead of just dropping terms that are not in the vocabulary, we can introduce a small number of OOV (out-of-vocabulary) buckets to which you can hash the terms not in the vocabulary. We can also use a **feature hashing** approach that hashes each term, instead of creating an explicit vocabulary. This works well in practice, but loses interpretability, which is useful for this exercise. See the tf.feature_column module for tools handling this.

1.3 Building the Input Pipeline

First, let's configure the input pipeline to import our data into a TensorFlow model. We can use the following function to parse the training and test data (which is in TFRecord format) and return a dict of the features and the corresponding labels.

```
In [0]: def _parse_function(record):
    """Extracts features and labels.

Args:
    record: File path to a TFRecord file
Returns:
    A `tuple` `(labels, features)`:
    features: A dict of tensors representing the features
    labels: A tensor with the corresponding labels.
    """
    features = {
        "terms": tf.VarLenFeature(dtype=tf.string), # terms are strings of varying lengths
```

```
"labels": tf.FixedLenFeature(shape=[1], dtype=tf.float32) # labels are 0 or 1
}

parsed_features = tf.parse_single_example(record, features)

terms = parsed_features['terms'].values
labels = parsed_features['labels']

return {'terms':terms}, labels
```

To confirm our function is working as expected, let's construct a TFRecordDataset for the training data, and map the data to features and labels using the function above.

```
In [0]: # Create the Dataset object.
    ds = tf.data.TFRecordDataset(train_path)
    # Map features and labels with the parse function.
    ds = ds.map(_parse_function)
```

Run the following cell to retrieve the first example from the training data set.

Now, let's build a formal input function that we can pass to the train() method of a Tensor-Flow Estimator object.

1.4 Task 1: Use a Linear Model with Sparse Inputs and an Explicit Vocabulary

For our first model, we'll build a LinearClassifier model using 50 informative terms; always start simple!

The following code constructs the feature column for our terms. The categorical_column_with_vocabulary_list function creates a feature column with the string-to-feature-vector mapping.

terms_feature_column = tf.feature_column.categorical_column_with_vocabulary_list(key="'

Next, we'll construct the LinearClassifier, train it on the training set, and evaluate it on the evaluation set. After you read through the code, run it and see how you do.

```
In [0]: my_optimizer = tf.train.AdagradOptimizer(learning_rate=0.1)
        my_optimizer = tf.contrib.estimator.clip_gradients_by_norm(my_optimizer, 5.0)
        feature_columns = [ terms_feature_column ]
        classifier = tf.estimator.LinearClassifier(
          feature_columns=feature_columns,
          optimizer=my_optimizer,
        )
        classifier.train(
          input_fn=lambda: _input_fn([train_path]),
          steps=1000)
        evaluation_metrics = classifier.evaluate(
          input_fn=lambda: _input_fn([train_path]),
          steps=1000)
        print("Training set metrics:")
        for m in evaluation_metrics:
          print(m, evaluation_metrics[m])
        print("---")
        evaluation_metrics = classifier.evaluate(
```

```
input_fn=lambda: _input_fn([test_path]),
    steps=1000)

print("Test set metrics:")
for m in evaluation_metrics:
    print(m, evaluation_metrics[m])
print("---")
```

1.5 Task 2: Use a Deep Neural Network (DNN) Model

The above model is a linear model. It works quite well. But can we do better with a DNN model? Let's swap in a DNNClassifier for the LinearClassifier. Run the following cell, and see how you do.

```
classifier = tf.estimator.DNNClassifier(
        feature_columns=[tf.feature_column.indicator_column(terms_feature_column)], #
        hidden_units=[20,20],
        optimizer=my_optimizer,
                                                                      #
      try:
        classifier.train(
         input_fn=lambda: _input_fn([train_path]),
         steps=1000)
        evaluation_metrics = classifier.evaluate(
         input_fn=lambda: _input_fn([train_path]),
         steps=1)
        print("Training set metrics:")
        for m in evaluation_metrics:
         print(m, evaluation_metrics[m])
        print("---")
        evaluation_metrics = classifier.evaluate(
         input_fn=lambda: _input_fn([test_path]),
         steps=1)
        print("Test set metrics:")
        for m in evaluation metrics:
         print(m, evaluation_metrics[m])
        print("---")
      except ValueError as err:
        print(err)
```

1.6 Task 3: Use an Embedding with a DNN Model

In this task, we'll implement our DNN model using an embedding column. An embedding column takes sparse data as input and returns a lower-dimensional dense vector as output.

NOTE: An embedding_column is usually the computationally most efficient option to use for training a model on sparse data. In an Section ?? at the end of this exercise, we'll discuss in more depth the implementational differences between using an embedding_column and an indicator_column, and the tradeoffs of selecting one over the other.

In the following code, do the following:

- Define the feature columns for the model using an embedding_column that projects the data into 2 dimensions (see the TF docs for more details on the function signature for embedding_column).
- Define a DNNClassifier with the following specifications:
- Two hidden layers of 20 units each
- Adagrad optimization with a learning rate of 0.1
- A gradient_clip_norm of 5.0

NOTE: In practice, we might project to dimensions higher than 2, like 50 or 100. But for now, 2 dimensions is easy to visualize.

1.6.1 Hint

```
In [0]: # Here's a example code snippet you might use to define the feature columns:
    terms_embedding_column = tf.feature_column.embedding_column(terms_feature_column, dimensure_columns = [ terms_embedding_column ]
```

1.6.2 Complete the Code Below

```
evaluation_metrics = classifier.evaluate(
  input_fn=lambda: _input_fn([test_path]),
  steps=1000)

print("Test set metrics:")
for m in evaluation_metrics:
  print(m, evaluation_metrics[m])
print("---")
```

1.6.3 Solution

Click below for a solution.

```
terms_embedding_column = tf.feature_column.embedding_column(terms_feature_column, dime:
      feature_columns = [ terms_embedding_column ]
      my_optimizer = tf.train.AdagradOptimizer(learning_rate=0.1)
      my_optimizer = tf.contrib.estimator.clip_gradients_by_norm(my_optimizer, 5.0)
      classifier = tf.estimator.DNNClassifier(
        feature_columns=feature_columns,
        hidden_units=[20,20],
        optimizer=my_optimizer
       classifier.train(
        input_fn=lambda: _input_fn([train_path]),
        steps=1000)
      evaluation_metrics = classifier.evaluate(
        input_fn=lambda: _input_fn([train_path]),
        steps=1000)
      print("Training set metrics:")
      for m in evaluation_metrics:
        print(m, evaluation_metrics[m])
      print("---")
      evaluation_metrics = classifier.evaluate(
        input_fn=lambda: _input_fn([test_path]),
        steps=1000)
      print("Test set metrics:")
      for m in evaluation_metrics:
        print(m, evaluation_metrics[m])
      print("---")
```

1.7 Task 4: Convince yourself there's actually an embedding in there

The above model used an embedding_column, and it seemed to work, but this doesn't tell us much about what's going on internally. How can we check that the model is actually using an embedding inside?

To start, let's look at the tensors in the model:

```
In [0]: classifier.get_variable_names()
```

that there embedding there: Okay, we can see is an layer in 'dnn/input_from_feature_columns/input_layer/terms_embedding/...'. (What's interesting here, by the way, is that this layer is trainable along with the rest of the model just as any hidden layer is.)

Is the embedding layer the correct shape? Run the following code to find out.

NOTE: Remember, in our case, the embedding is a matrix that allows us to project a 50-dimensional vector down to 2 dimensions.

```
In [0]: classifier.get_variable_value('dnn/input_from_feature_columns/input_layer/terms_embedd
```

Spend some time manually checking the various layers and shapes to make sure everything is connected the way you would expect it would be.

1.8 Task 5: Examine the Embedding

Let's now take a look at the actual embedding space, and see where the terms end up in it. Do the following: 1. Run the following code to see the embedding we trained in **Task 3**. Do things end up where you'd expect?

- 2. Re-train the model by rerunning the code in **Task 3**, and then run the embedding visualization below again. What stays the same? What changes?
- 3. Finally, re-train the model again using only 10 steps (which will yield a terrible model). Run the embedding visualization below again. What do you see now, and why?

```
# Do a little setup to make sure the plot displays nicely.
plt.rcParams["figure.figsize"] = (15, 15)
plt.xlim(1.2 * embedding_matrix.min(), 1.2 * embedding_matrix.max())
plt.ylim(1.2 * embedding_matrix.min(), 1.2 * embedding_matrix.max())
plt.show()
```

1.9 Task 6: Try to improve the model's performance

See if you can refine the model to improve performance. A couple things you may want to try:

- Changing hyperparameters, or using a different optimizer like Adam (you may only gain one or two accuracy percentage points following these strategies).
- There's a full vocab- Adding additional terms to informative_terms. ulary file with all 30,716 terms for this data set that you can use at: https://download.mlcc.google.com/mledu-datasets/sparse-data-embedding/terms.txt You can pick out additional terms from this vocabulary file, or use the whole thing via the categorical_column_with_vocabulary_file feature column.

```
In [0]: # Download the vocabulary file.
        terms_url = 'https://download.mlcc.google.com/mledu-datasets/sparse-data-embedding/term
       terms_path = tf.keras.utils.get_file(terms_url.split('/')[-1], terms_url)
In [0]: # Create a feature column from "terms", using a full vocabulary file.
        informative_terms = None
        with io.open(terms_path, 'r', encoding='utf8') as f:
          # Convert it to a set first to remove duplicates.
          informative_terms = list(set(f.read().split()))
        terms_feature_column = tf.feature_column.categorical_column_with_vocabulary_list(key="
        terms_embedding_column = tf.feature_column.embedding_column(terms_feature_column, dime:
        feature_columns = [ terms_embedding_column ]
        my_optimizer = tf.train.AdagradOptimizer(learning_rate=0.1)
        my_optimizer = tf.contrib.estimator.clip_gradients_by_norm(my_optimizer, 5.0)
        classifier = tf.estimator.DNNClassifier(
          feature_columns=feature_columns,
          hidden_units=[10,10],
          optimizer=my_optimizer
        )
        classifier.train(
          input_fn=lambda: _input_fn([train_path]),
          steps=1000)
        evaluation_metrics = classifier.evaluate(
          input_fn=lambda: _input_fn([train_path]),
```

vocab

```
steps=1000)
print("Training set metrics:")
for m in evaluation_metrics:
   print(m, evaluation_metrics[m])
print("---")

evaluation_metrics = classifier.evaluate(
   input_fn=lambda: _input_fn([test_path]),
   steps=1000)

print("Test set metrics:")
for m in evaluation_metrics:
   print(m, evaluation_metrics[m])
print("---")
```

1.10 A Final Word

We may have gotten a DNN solution with an embedding that was better than our original linear model, but the linear model was also pretty good and was quite a bit faster to train. Linear models train more quickly because they do not have nearly as many parameters to update or layers to backprop through.

In some applications, the speed of linear models may be a game changer, or linear models may be perfectly sufficient from a quality standpoint. In other areas, the additional model complexity and capacity provided by DNNs might be more important. When defining your model architecture, remember to explore your problem sufficiently so that you know which space you're in.

1.10.1 Optional Discussion: Trade-offs between embedding_column and indicator_column

Conceptually when training a LinearClassifier or a DNNClassifier, there is an adapter needed to use a sparse column. TF provides two options: embedding_column or indicator_column.

When training a LinearClassifier (as in **Task 1**), an embedding_column in used under the hood. As seen in **Task 2**, when training a DNNClassifier, you must explicitly choose either embedding_column or indicator_column. This section discusses the distinction between the two, and the trade-offs of using one over the other, by looking at a simple example.

Suppose we have sparse data containing the values "great", "beautiful", "excellent". Since the vocabulary size we're using here is V=50, each unit (neuron) in the first layer will have 50 weights. We denote the number of terms in a sparse input using s. So for this example sparse data, s=3. For an input layer with V possible values, a hidden layer with d units needs to do a vector-matrix multiply: $(1 \times V) * (V \times d)$. This has O(V * d) computational cost. Note that this cost is proportional to the number of weights in that hidden layer and independent of s.

If the inputs are one-hot encoded (a Boolean vector of length *V* with a 1 for the terms present and a 0 for the rest) using an indicator_column, this means multiplying and adding a lot of zeros.

When we achieve the exact same results by using an embedding_column of size d, we look up and add up just the embeddings corresponding to the three features present in our example input of "great", "beautiful", "excellent": $(1 \times d) + (1 \times d) + (1 \times d)$. Since the weights for the features that are absent are multiplied by zero in the vector-matrix multiply, they do not contribute to the result. Weights for the features that are present are multiplied by 1 in the vector-matrix multiply.

Thus, adding the weights obtained via the embedding lookup will lead to the same result as in the vector-matrix-multiply.

When using an embedding, computing the embedding lookup is an O(s*d) computation, which is computationally much more efficient than the O(V*d) cost for the indicator_column in sparse data for which s is much smaller than V. (Remember, these embeddings are being learned. In any given training iteration it is the current weights that are being looked up.)

As we saw in **Task 3**, by using an embedding_column in training the DNNClassifier, our model learns a low-dimensional representation for the features, where the dot product defines a similarity metric tailored to the desired task. In this example, terms that are used similarly in the context of movie reviews (e.g., "great" and "excellent") will be closer to each other the embedding space (i.e., have a large dot product), and terms that are dissimilar (e.g., "great" and "bad") will be farther away from each other in the embedding space (i.e., have a small dot product).