Natural Language Processing with Deep Learning CS224N/Ling284



Christopher Manning

Lecture 3: Neural net learning: Gradients by hand (matrix calculus) and algorithmically (the backpropagation algorithm)

Named Entity Recognition (NER)

PER

• The task: find and classify names in text, for example:

```
Last night , Paris Hilton wowed in a sequin gown .

PER PER

Samuel Quinn was arrested in the Hilton Hotel in Paris in April 1989 .
```

LOC LOC

LOC

DATE DATE

Possible uses:

PER

- Tracking mentions of particular entities in documents
- For question answering, answers are usually named entities
- Often followed by Named Entity Linking/Canonicalization into Knowledge Base

Simple NER: Window classification using binary logistic classifier


- Idea: classify each word in its context window of neighboring words
- Train logistic classifier on hand-labeled data to classify center word {yes/no} for each class based on a concatenation of word vectors in a window
 - Really, we usually use multi-class softmax, but trying to keep it simple ©
- Example: Classify "Paris" as +/— location in context of sentence with window length 2:

```
the museums in Paris are amazing to see . X_{window} = [\begin{array}{ccc} x_{museums} & x_{in} & x_{Paris} & x_{are} & x_{amazing} \end{array}]^T
```

- Resulting vector $x_{window} = x \in R^{5d}$, a column vector!
- To classify all words: run classifier for each class on the vector centered on each word
 in the sentence

NER: Binary classification for center word being location

We do supervised training and want high score if it's a location



Remember: Stochastic Gradient Descent

Update equation:

$$\theta^{new} = \theta^{old} - \alpha \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)$$

 α = step size or learning rate

i.e., for each parameter:
$$\theta_j^{new} = \theta_j^{old} - \alpha \frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j^{old}}$$

In deep learning, we update the data representation (e.g., word vectors) too!

How can we compute $\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)$?

- 1. By hand
- 2. Algorithmically: the backpropagation algorithm

Lecture Plan

Lecture 4: Gradients by hand and algorithmically

- 1. Introduction (5 mins)
- 2. Matrix calculus (40 mins)
- 3. Backpropagation (35 mins)

Computing Gradients by Hand

- Matrix calculus: Fully vectorized gradients
 - "Multivariable calculus is just like single-variable calculus if you use matrices"
 - Much faster and more useful than non-vectorized gradients
 - But doing a non-vectorized gradient can be good for intuition; recall the first lecture for an example
 - Lecture notes and matrix calculus notes cover this material in more detail
 - You might also review Math 51, which has a new online textbook: http://web.stanford.edu/class/math51/textbook.html
 or maybe you're luckier if you did Engr 108

Gradients

Given a function with 1 output and 1 input

$$f(x) = x^3$$

It's gradient (slope) is its derivative

$$\frac{df}{dx} = 3x^2$$

"How much will the output change if we change the input a bit?"

At x = 1 it changes about 3 times as much: $1.01^3 = 1.03$

At x = 4 it changes about 48 times as much: $4.01^3 = 64.48$

Gradients

Given a function with 1 output and n inputs

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$$

 Its gradient is a vector of partial derivatives with respect to each input

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} = \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}, ..., \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right]$$

Jacobian Matrix: Generalization of the Gradient

Given a function with *m* outputs and *n* inputs

$$f(x) = [f_1(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), ..., f_m(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)]$$

It's Jacobian is an *m* x *n* matrix of partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}\right)_{ij} = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}\right)_{ij} = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}$$

Chain Rule

For composition of one-variable functions: multiply derivatives

$$z = 3y$$

$$y = x^{2}$$

$$\frac{dz}{dx} = \frac{dz}{dy}\frac{dy}{dx} = (3)(2x) = 6x$$

For multiple variables at once: multiply Jacobians

$$egin{aligned} m{h} &= f(m{z}) \ m{z} &= m{W} m{x} + m{b} \ rac{\partial m{h}}{\partial m{x}} &= rac{\partial m{h}}{\partial m{z}} rac{\partial m{z}}{\partial m{x}} = ... \end{aligned}$$

$$m{h} = f(m{z}), ext{what is } rac{\partial m{h}}{\partial m{z}}? \qquad \qquad m{h}, m{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n \ h_i = f(z_i)$$

$$m{h} = f(m{z}), ext{what is } rac{\partial m{h}}{\partial m{z}}? \qquad \qquad m{h}, m{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n \ h_i = f(z_i)$$

Function has n outputs and n inputs $\rightarrow n$ by n Jacobian

$$m{h} = f(m{z}), ext{what is } rac{\partial m{h}}{\partial m{z}}? \qquad \qquad m{h}, m{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n \ h_i = f(z_i)$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{h}}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}}\right)_{ij} = \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial z_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} f(z_i)$$
 definition of Jacobian

$$m{h} = f(m{z}), ext{what is } rac{\partial m{h}}{\partial m{z}}?$$
 $h_i = f(z_i)$

$$oldsymbol{h},oldsymbol{z}\in\mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{h}}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}}\right)_{ij} = \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial z_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} f(z_i)$$

$$= \begin{cases} f'(z_i) & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}$$

definition of Jacobian

regular 1-variable derivative

$$m{h} = f(m{z}), ext{ what is } rac{\partial m{h}}{\partial m{z}}? \qquad \qquad m{h}, m{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n \ h_i = f(z_i)$$

$$oldsymbol{h}, oldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{h}}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}}\right)_{ij} = \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial z_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} f(z_i)$$

$$= \begin{cases} f'(z_i) & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases}$$

definition of Jacobian

regular 1-variable derivative

$$rac{\partial m{h}}{\partial m{z}} = \left(egin{array}{ccc} f'(z_1) & & 0 \ & \ddots & & \\ 0 & f'(z_n) \end{array}
ight) = \mathrm{diag}(m{f}'(m{z}))$$

$$rac{\partial}{\partial m{x}}(m{W}m{x}+m{b})=m{W}$$


$$rac{\partial}{\partial m{x}}(m{W}m{x}+m{b}) = m{W}$$
 $rac{\partial}{\partial m{b}}(m{W}m{x}+m{b}) = m{I}$ (Identity matrix)

$$egin{align*} rac{\partial}{\partial oldsymbol{x}}(oldsymbol{W}oldsymbol{x}+oldsymbol{b}) &= oldsymbol{W} \ rac{\partial}{\partial oldsymbol{b}}(oldsymbol{W}oldsymbol{x}+oldsymbol{b}) &= oldsymbol{I} \ (ext{Identity matrix}) \ rac{\partial}{\partial oldsymbol{u}}(oldsymbol{u}^Toldsymbol{h}) &= oldsymbol{h}^T \ rac{\partial}{\partial oldsymbol{u}}(oldsymbol{u}^Toldsymbol{u}^Toldsymbol{u}) &= oldsymbol{h}^T \ rac{\partial}{\partial oldsymbol{u}}(oldsymbol{u}^Toldsymbol{u}^Toldsymbol{u}) &= oldsymbol{h}^T \ rac{\partial}{\partial oldsymbol{u}}(oldsymbol{u}^Toldsymbol{u}^Toldsymbol{u}) &= oldsymbol{u}^T \ oldsymbol{u}^T oldsymbol{u}^Toldsymbol{u}^Toldsymbol{u}^T oldsymbol{u}^T oldsymbol{u}^T$$

$$egin{aligned} & rac{\partial}{\partial oldsymbol{x}}(oldsymbol{W}oldsymbol{x}+oldsymbol{b}) = oldsymbol{W} \ & rac{\partial}{\partial oldsymbol{b}}(oldsymbol{W}oldsymbol{x}+oldsymbol{b}) = oldsymbol{I} \ & [Identity matrix] \ & rac{\partial}{\partial oldsymbol{u}}(oldsymbol{u}^Toldsymbol{h}) = oldsymbol{h}^T \end{aligned}$$


- Compute these at home for practice!
 - Check your answers with the lecture notes

Back to our Neural Net!



Back to our Neural Net!

- Let's find $\frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{b}}$
 - Really, we care about the gradient of the loss J_t but we will compute the gradient of the score for simplicity



1. Break up equations into simple pieces

$$s = \boldsymbol{u}^T \boldsymbol{h}$$
 $s = \boldsymbol{u}^T \boldsymbol{h}$ $h = f(\boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{b})$ $\boldsymbol{h} = f(\boldsymbol{z})$ $\boldsymbol{z} = \boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{b}$ \boldsymbol{x} (input) \boldsymbol{x} (input)

Carefully define your variables and keep track of their dimensionality!

$$egin{aligned} s &= oldsymbol{u}^T oldsymbol{h} \ oldsymbol{h} &= f(oldsymbol{z}) \ oldsymbol{z} &= oldsymbol{W} oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{b} \ oldsymbol{x} & ext{(input)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{b}} = \frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{h}}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{z}}{\partial \boldsymbol{b}}$$

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{s} &= oldsymbol{u}^T oldsymbol{h} \ oldsymbol{h} &= f(oldsymbol{z}) \ oldsymbol{z} &= oldsymbol{W} oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{b} \ oldsymbol{x} & ext{(input)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{b}} = \frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{h}}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{z}}{\partial \boldsymbol{b}}$$

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{s} &= oldsymbol{u}^T oldsymbol{h} \ oldsymbol{h} &= f(oldsymbol{z}) \ oldsymbol{z} &= oldsymbol{W} oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{b} \ oldsymbol{x} & ext{(input)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{b}} = \frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{h}}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{z}}{\partial \boldsymbol{b}}$$

$$s = \boldsymbol{u}^T \boldsymbol{h}$$
 $\boldsymbol{h} = f(\boldsymbol{z})$
 $\boldsymbol{z} = \boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{b}$
 $\boldsymbol{x} \quad \text{(input)}$

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{b}} = \frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{h}}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{z}}{\partial \boldsymbol{b}}$$

$$s = \boldsymbol{u}^T \boldsymbol{h}$$
 $\frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{b}} = \frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}} \quad \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{h}}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}} \quad \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{z}}{\partial \boldsymbol{b}}$ $\boldsymbol{z} = \boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{b}$ $\boldsymbol{x} \quad \text{(input)}$

$$egin{align} rac{\partial}{\partial oldsymbol{u}}(oldsymbol{u}^Toldsymbol{h}) &= oldsymbol{h}^T \ rac{\partial}{\partial oldsymbol{z}}(f(oldsymbol{z})) &= \mathrm{diag}(f'(oldsymbol{z})) \ rac{\partial}{\partial oldsymbol{b}}(oldsymbol{W}oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{b}) &= oldsymbol{I} \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{align} rac{\partial}{\partial oldsymbol{u}}(oldsymbol{u}^Toldsymbol{h}) &= oldsymbol{h}^T \ rac{\partial}{\partial oldsymbol{z}}(f(oldsymbol{z})) &= ext{diag}(f'(oldsymbol{z})) \ rac{\partial}{\partial oldsymbol{b}}(oldsymbol{W}oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{b}) &= oldsymbol{I} \ \end{pmatrix}$$

$$egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned\\ egin{aligned} egi$$

$$rac{\partial}{\partial oldsymbol{u}}(oldsymbol{u}^Toldsymbol{h}) = oldsymbol{h}^T \ rac{\partial}{\partial oldsymbol{z}}(f(oldsymbol{z})) = ext{diag}(f'(oldsymbol{z})) \ rac{\partial}{\partial oldsymbol{b}}(oldsymbol{W}oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{b}) = oldsymbol{I}$$

$$egin{aligned} s &= oldsymbol{u}^T oldsymbol{h} \ oldsymbol{h} &= f(oldsymbol{z}) \ oldsymbol{z} &= oldsymbol{W} oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{b} \ oldsymbol{z} &= oldsymbol{W} oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{b} \ oldsymbol{z} &= oldsymbol{u}^T \operatorname{diag}(f'(oldsymbol{z})) oldsymbol{I} \end{aligned}$$

$$rac{\partial}{\partial oldsymbol{u}}(oldsymbol{u}^Toldsymbol{h}) = oldsymbol{h}^T \ rac{\partial}{\partial oldsymbol{z}}(f(oldsymbol{z})) = ext{diag}(f'(oldsymbol{z})) \ rac{\partial}{\partial oldsymbol{b}}(oldsymbol{W}oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{b}) = oldsymbol{I}$$

$$egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egin{aligned\\ egin{aligned} egi$$

$$egin{aligned} rac{\partial}{\partial oldsymbol{u}}(oldsymbol{u}^Toldsymbol{h}) &= oldsymbol{h}^T \ rac{\partial}{\partial oldsymbol{z}}(f(oldsymbol{z})) &= \mathrm{diag}(f'(oldsymbol{z})) \ rac{\partial}{\partial oldsymbol{b}}(oldsymbol{W}oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{b}) &= oldsymbol{I} \end{aligned}$$

Re-using Computation

- Suppose we now want to compute $\; rac{\partial s}{\partial oldsymbol{W}} \;$
 - Using the chain rule again:

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}} = \frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{h}}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{z}}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}$$

Re-using Computation

- Suppose we now want to compute $\; rac{\partial s}{\partial oldsymbol{W}} \;$
 - Using the chain rule again:

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}} = \frac{\partial s}{\partial h} \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial W}
\frac{\partial s}{\partial b} = \frac{\partial s}{\partial h} \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial b}$$

The same! Let's avoid duplicated computation ...

Re-using Computation

- Suppose we now want to compute $\; rac{\partial s}{\partial oldsymbol{W}} \;$
 - Using the chain rule again:

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}} = \boldsymbol{\delta} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{z}}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}
\frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{b}} = \boldsymbol{\delta} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{z}}{\partial \boldsymbol{b}} = \boldsymbol{\delta}
\boldsymbol{\delta} = \frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{h}}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}} = \boldsymbol{u}^T \circ f'(\boldsymbol{z})$$

 δ is the local error signal

Derivative with respect to Matrix: Output shape

- What does $\frac{\partial s}{\partial oldsymbol{W}}$ look like? $oldsymbol{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{n imes m}$
- 1 output, *nm* inputs: 1 by *nm* Jacobian?
 - Inconvenient to then do $\theta^{new} = \theta^{old} \alpha \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)$

Derivative with respect to Matrix: Output shape

- What does $\frac{\partial s}{\partial oldsymbol{W}}$ look like? $oldsymbol{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{n imes m}$
- 1 output, *nm* inputs: 1 by *nm* Jacobian?
 - Inconvenient to then do $heta^{new} = heta^{old} lpha
 abla_{ heta} J(heta)$

Instead, we leave pure math and use the **shape convention**: the shape of the gradient is the shape of the parameters!

• So
$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}$$
 is n by m :

• So
$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{W}}$$
 is n by m :
$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial s}{\partial W_{11}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial s}{\partial W_{1m}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial s}{\partial W_{n1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial s}{\partial W_{nm}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Derivative with respect to Matrix

- What is $\frac{\partial s}{\partial oldsymbol{W}} = oldsymbol{\delta} \frac{\partial oldsymbol{z}}{\partial oldsymbol{W}}$
 - $oldsymbol{\delta}$ is going to be in our answer
 - The other term should be $oldsymbol{x}$ because $oldsymbol{z} = oldsymbol{W} oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{b}$

• Answer is: $\frac{\partial s}{\partial {m W}} = {m \delta}^T {m x}^T$

 δ is local error signal at z x is local input signal


Deriving local input gradient in backprop

• For $\frac{\partial z}{\partial w}$ in our equation:

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial W} = \delta \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial W} = \delta \frac{\partial}{\partial W} (Wx + b)$$

- Let's consider the derivative of a single weight W_{ii}
- W_{ij} only contributes to z_i
 - For example: W_{23} is only used to compute z_2 not z_1

$$\frac{\partial z_i}{\partial W_{ij}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial W_{ij}} \boldsymbol{W}_{i.} \boldsymbol{x} + b_i$$
$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial W_{ij}} \sum_{k=1}^{d} W_{ik} x_k = x_j$$



Why the Transposes?

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial \mathbf{W}} = \boldsymbol{\delta}^T \quad \boldsymbol{x}^T
[n \times m] \quad [n \times 1][1 \times m]
= \begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \delta_n \end{bmatrix} [x_1, ..., x_m] = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 x_1 & ... & \delta_1 x_m \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \delta_n x_1 & ... & \delta_n x_m \end{bmatrix}$$

- Hacky answer: this makes the dimensions work out!
 - Useful trick for checking your work!
- Full explanation in the lecture notes
 - Each input goes to each output you want to get outer product

What shape should derivatives be?

- Similarly, $\frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{b}} = \boldsymbol{h}^T \circ f'(\boldsymbol{z})$ is a row vector
 - But shape convention says our gradient should be a column vector because b is a column vector ...
- Disagreement between Jacobian form (which makes the chain rule easy) and the shape convention (which makes implementing SGD easy)
 - We expect answers in the assignment to follow the shape convention
 - But Jacobian form is useful for computing the answers

What shape should derivatives be?

Two options:

- 1. Use Jacobian form as much as possible, reshape to follow the shape convention at the end:
 - What we just did. But at the end transpose $\frac{\partial s}{\partial m{b}}$ to make the derivative a column vector, resulting in $m{\delta}^T$
- 2. Always follow the shape convention
 - Look at dimensions to figure out when to transpose and/or reorder terms
 - The error message $oldsymbol{\delta}$ that arrives at a hidden layer has the same dimensionality as that hidden layer

3. Backpropagation

We've almost shown you backpropagation

It's taking derivatives and using the (generalized, multivariate, or matrix) chain rule


Other trick:

We **re-use** derivatives computed for higher layers in computing derivatives for lower layers to minimize computation

Computation Graphs and Backpropagation

- Software represents our neural net equations as a graph
 - Source nodes: inputs
 - Interior nodes: operations


$$egin{aligned} s &= oldsymbol{u}^T oldsymbol{h} \ oldsymbol{h} &= f(oldsymbol{z}) \ oldsymbol{z} &= oldsymbol{W} oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{b} \ oldsymbol{x} & ext{(input)} \end{aligned}$$



Computation Graphs and Backpropagation

- Software represents our neural net equations as a graph
 - Source nodes: inputs
 - Interior nodes: operations
 - Edges pass along result of the operation

$$egin{aligned} s &= oldsymbol{u}^T oldsymbol{h} \ oldsymbol{h} &= f(oldsymbol{z}) \ oldsymbol{z} &= oldsymbol{W} oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{b} \ oldsymbol{x} & ext{(input)} \end{aligned}$$




Computation Graphs and Backpropagation

 Software represents our neural net equations as a graph

$$s = \boldsymbol{u}^T \boldsymbol{h}$$

"Forward Propagation" (t+b)


operation



Backpropagation


- Then go backwards along edges
 - Pass along gradients

$$egin{aligned} s &= oldsymbol{u}^T oldsymbol{h} \ oldsymbol{h} &= f(oldsymbol{z}) \ oldsymbol{z} &= oldsymbol{W} oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{b} \ oldsymbol{x} & ext{(input)} \end{aligned}$$




- Node receives an "upstream gradient"
- Goal is to pass on the correct "downstream gradient"

$$\boldsymbol{h} = f(\boldsymbol{z})$$




- Each node has a local gradient
 - The gradient of its output with respect to its input

$$\boldsymbol{h} = f(\boldsymbol{z})$$



- Each node has a local gradient
 - The gradient of its output with respect to its input


$$\boldsymbol{h} = f(\boldsymbol{z})$$



- Each node has a local gradient
 - The gradient of its output with respect to its input


$$\boldsymbol{h} = f(\boldsymbol{z})$$

[downstream gradient] = [upstream gradient] x [local gradient]




• What about nodes with multiple inputs?

$$z = Wx$$



Multiple inputs → multiple local gradients

$$z = Wx$$



Downstream gradients

Local gradients


Upstream gradient

$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y) \max(y, z)$$
$$x = 1, y = 2, z = 0$$

$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y) \max(y, z)$$
$$x = 1, y = 2, z = 0$$

Forward prop steps


$$a = x + y$$
$$b = \max(y, z)$$
$$f = ab$$



$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y) \max(y, z)$$
$$x = 1, y = 2, z = 0$$

Forward prop steps

$$a = x + y$$
$$b = \max(y, z)$$
$$f = ab$$




$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y) \max(y, z)$$
$$x = 1, y = 2, z = 0$$

Forward prop steps

$$a = x + y$$
$$b = \max(y, z)$$
$$f = ab$$

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial x} = 1 \quad \frac{\partial a}{\partial y} = 1$$



$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y) \max(y, z)$$
$$x = 1, y = 2, z = 0$$

Forward prop steps

$$a = x + y$$

$$b = \max(y, z)$$

$$f = ab$$


$$a = x + y$$

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial x} = 1 \quad \frac{\partial a}{\partial y} = 1$$

$$b = \max(y, z)$$

$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial y} = \mathbf{1}(y > z) = 1 \quad \frac{\partial b}{\partial z} = \mathbf{1}(z > y) = 0$$

$$f = ab$$



$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y) \max(y, z)$$
$$x = 1, y = 2, z = 0$$

Forward prop steps

$$a = x + y$$

$$b = \max(y, z)$$

$$f = ab$$

$$a = x + y$$

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial x} = 1$$

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial y} = 1$$

$$b = \max(y, z)$$


$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial y} = \mathbf{1}(y > z) = 1$$

$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial z} = \mathbf{1}(z > y) = 0$$

$$f = ab$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial a} = b = 2$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial b} = a = 3$$



$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y) \max(y, z)$$
$$x = 1, y = 2, z = 0$$

Forward prop steps

$$a = x + y$$

$$b = \max(y, z)$$

$$f = ab$$

$$a = x + y$$


$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial x} = 1 \quad \frac{\partial a}{\partial y} = 1$$

$$b = \max(y, z)$$

$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial y} = \mathbf{1}(y > z) = 1 \quad \frac{\partial b}{\partial z} = \mathbf{1}(z > y) = 0$$

$$f = ab$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial a} = b = 2 \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial b} = a = 3$$



$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y) \max(y, z)$$
$$x = 1, y = 2, z = 0$$

Forward prop steps

$$a = x + y$$


$$b = \max(y, z)$$

$$f = ab$$

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial x} = 1 \quad \frac{\partial a}{\partial y} = 1$$

$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial y} = \mathbf{1}(y > z) = 1 \quad \frac{\partial b}{\partial z} = \mathbf{1}(z > y) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial a} = b = 2 \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial b} = a = 3$$



$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y) \max(y, z)$$
$$x = 1, y = 2, z = 0$$


Forward prop steps

$$a = x + y$$
$$b = \max(y, z)$$
$$f = ab$$

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial x} = 1 \quad \frac{\partial a}{\partial y} = 1$$

$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial y} = \mathbf{1}(y > z) = 1$$
 $\frac{\partial b}{\partial z} = \mathbf{1}(z > y) = 0$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial a} = b = 2$$
 $\frac{\partial f}{\partial b} = a = 3$



$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y) \max(y, z)$$
$$x = 1, y = 2, z = 0$$


Forward prop steps

$$a = x + y$$
$$b = \max(y, z)$$
$$f = ab$$

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial x} = 1 \quad \frac{\partial a}{\partial y} = 1$$

$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial y} = \mathbf{1}(y > z) = 1$$
 $\frac{\partial b}{\partial z} = \mathbf{1}(z > y) = 0$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial a} = b = 2$$
 $\frac{\partial f}{\partial b} = a = 3$



$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y) \max(y, z)$$
$$x = 1, y = 2, z = 0$$

Forward prop steps

$$a = x + y$$

$$b = \max(y, z)$$

$$f = ab$$

$$a = x + y$$


$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial x} = 1 \quad \frac{\partial a}{\partial y} = 1$$

$$b = \max(y, z)$$


$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial y} = \mathbf{1}(y > z) = 1 \quad \frac{\partial b}{\partial z} = \mathbf{1}(z > y) = 0$$

$$f = ab$$


$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial a} = b = 2 \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial b} = a = 3$$



Gradients sum at outward branches



Gradients sum at outward branches



$$a = x + y$$

$$b = \max(y, z)$$


$$f = ab$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial a} \frac{\partial a}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial y}$$

Node Intuitions

$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y) \max(y, z)$$
$$x = 1, y = 2, z = 0$$


• + "distributes" the upstream gradient to each summand



Node Intuitions

$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y) \max(y, z)$$
$$x = 1, y = 2, z = 0$$


- + "distributes" the upstream gradient to each summand
- max "routes" the upstream gradient



Node Intuitions

$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y) \max(y, z)$$
$$x = 1, y = 2, z = 0$$


- + "distributes" the upstream gradient
- max "routes" the upstream gradient
- * "switches" the upstream gradient



Efficiency: compute all gradients at once

- Incorrect way of doing backprop:
 - First compute $\frac{\partial s}{\partial b}$


$$egin{aligned} s &= oldsymbol{u}^T oldsymbol{h} \ oldsymbol{h} &= f(oldsymbol{z}) \ oldsymbol{z} &= oldsymbol{W} oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{b} \ oldsymbol{x} & ext{(input)} \end{aligned}$$



Efficiency: compute all gradients at once

- Incorrect way of doing backprop:
 - First compute $\frac{\partial s}{\partial \boldsymbol{b}}$
 - Then independently compute $\frac{\partial s}{\partial W}$
 - Duplicated computation!


orop:
$$s = oldsymbol{u}^T oldsymbol{h}$$
 $egin{aligned} oldsymbol{h} & = f(oldsymbol{z}) \ oldsymbol{\frac{\partial s}{\partial W}} & oldsymbol{z} & = oldsymbol{W} oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{b} \ oldsymbol{x} & (ext{input}) \end{aligned}$




Efficiency: compute all gradients at once

- Correct way:
 - Compute all the gradients at once
 - Analogous to using $oldsymbol{\delta}$ when we computed gradients by hand

$$egin{aligned} s &= oldsymbol{u}^T oldsymbol{h} \ oldsymbol{h} &= f(oldsymbol{z}) \ oldsymbol{z} &= oldsymbol{W} oldsymbol{x} + oldsymbol{b} \ oldsymbol{x} & ext{(input)} \end{aligned}$$



Back-Prop in General Computation Graph



- 1. Fprop: visit nodes in topological sort order
 - Compute value of node given predecessors
- 2. Bprop:
 - initialize output gradient = 1
 - visit nodes in reverse order:

Compute gradient wrt each node using gradient wrt successors


$$\{y_1, y_2, \ldots y_n\}$$
 = successors of x

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial z}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x}$$

Done correctly, big O() complexity of fprop and bprop is **the same**

In general, our nets have regular layer-structure and so we can use matrices and Jacobians...

Automatic Differentiation




- The gradient computation can be automatically inferred from the symbolic expression of the fprop
- Each node type needs to know how to compute its output and how to compute the gradient wrt its inputs given the gradient wrt its output
- Modern DL frameworks (Tensorflow, PyTorch, etc.) do backpropagation for you but mainly leave layer/node writer to hand-calculate the local derivative


Backprop Implementations

```
class ComputationalGraph(object):
   # . . .
    def forward(inputs):
       # 1. [pass inputs to input gates...]
       # 2. forward the computational graph:
        for gate in self.graph.nodes topologically sorted():
            gate.forward()
        return loss # the final gate in the graph outputs the loss
    def backward():
       for gate in reversed(self.graph.nodes_topologically_sorted()):
            gate.backward() # little piece of backprop (chain rule applied)
        return inputs gradients
```


Implementation: forward/backward API



(x,y,z are scalars)



Implementation: forward/backward API



(x,y,z are scalars)

```
class MultiplyGate(object):
    def forward(x,y):
       z = x*y
        self.x = x # must keep these around!
        self.y = y
        return z
   def backward(dz):
       dx = self.y * dz # [dz/dx * dL/dz]
       dy = self.x * dz # [dz/dy * dL/dz]
        return [dx, dy]
```

Manual Gradient checking: Numeric Gradient

For small h (≈ 1e-4),

$$f'(x) \approx \frac{f(x+h) - f(x-h)}{2h}$$

- Easy to implement correctly
- But approximate and very slow:
 - You have to recompute f for every parameter of our model
- Useful for checking your implementation
 - In the old days, we hand-wrote everything, doing this everywhere was the key test
 - Now much less needed; you can use it to check layers are correctly implemented

Summary

We've mastered the core technology of neural nets!



- Backpropagation: recursively (and hence efficiently) apply the chain rule along computation graph
 - [downstream gradient] = [upstream gradient] x [local gradient]
- Forward pass: compute results of operations and save intermediate values
- Backward pass: apply chain rule to compute gradients

Why learn all these details about gradients?

- Modern deep learning frameworks compute gradients for you!
 - Come to the PyTorch introduction this Friday!
- But why take a class on compilers or systems when they are implemented for you?
 - Understanding what is going on under the hood is useful!
- Backpropagation doesn't always work perfectly
 - Understanding why is crucial for debugging and improving models
 - See Karpathy article (in syllabus):
 - https://medium.com/@karpathy/yes-you-should-understand-backprop-e2f06eab496b
 - Example in future lecture: exploding and vanishing gradients