Case Study 4: Optimized Real-Time Data Processing with Caching, Persisting, and Broadcasting

Problem Statement

The goal is to build a high-performance data pipeline for processing, aggregating, and storing sales data using the Walmart Recruiting Dataset. The focus is on optimizing the performance of Spark jobs by leveraging caching, persisting, and broadcasting while handling large-scale data efficiently. The pipeline should support data validation, enrichment with metadata, and efficient storage in Google Cloud Storage (GCS) using JSON and Parquet formats. Additionally, caching should be strategically used to improve performance, especially when data is accessed repeatedly across different stages of the pipeline.

Project Structure

The project is organized as follows:

Tasks

1. Data Preparation

Load and preprocess the Walmart Recruiting Dataset. The dataset includes:

 train.csv: Contains historical weekly sales data for various stores and departments.

- features.csv: Contains additional data about stores and regions.
- stores.csv: Contains store metadata.

2. Data Validation and Enrichment

Validate the sales data and enrich it with metadata by performing the following steps:

- Ensure no missing or invalid values in critical columns.
- Filter out records where weekly_sales is negative.
- Perform joins with features.csv and stores.csv on relevant keys.

Caching Scenario: Cache the features.csv and stores.csv datasets after cleaning, as they will be used repeatedly in multiple join operations.

3. Aggregation

Compute key metrics to analyze sales performance:

- Store-Level Metrics: Total weekly sales, average weekly sales, and topperforming stores.
- **Department-Level Metrics:** Total sales, weekly trends, and holiday vs. non-holiday sales.

Caching Scenario: Cache intermediate aggregation results (e.g., store-level or department-level metrics) to avoid recomputation when deriving further insights.

4. Storage Optimization

Optimize the storage of processed and aggregated data by:

- Storing enriched datasets in Parquet format for compact storage and efficient querying.
- Partitioning the data by store and Date.
- Saving aggregated metrics in JSON format for lightweight storage.

Caching Scenario: Cache the partitioned enriched dataset before writing to storage to ensure the partitioning logic is reused in downstream processes.

5. Real-Time Simulation

Simulate real-time ingestion and updates of weekly sales data by:

- Ingesting weekly sales updates from a Kafka topic.
- Updating aggregated metrics in real time to reflect new data.

Persisting Scenario: Persist the streaming results to ensure fault tolerance in case of node failures.

6. Performance Optimization

Optimize the performance of the pipeline by:

- Using caching for intermediate datasets that are accessed repeatedly.
- Using broadcasting for small, static datasets like stores.csv.
- Persisting aggregated metrics with a suitable storage level for downstream access.

Code Overview

SalesRecord/SalesRecord.scala

This file contains the case class and companion object for the salesRecord protocol buffer message. It includes methods for parsing and serializing the message, as well as lenses for accessing and modifying fields.

SalesRecord/SalesRecordProto.scala

This file contains the generated code for the SalesRecord protocol buffer message. It includes the Scala and Java descriptors for the message, as well as methods for accessing the descriptors.

StaticDataProcessing.scala

This file contains the main Spark application for processing the sales data. It includes the following steps:

- Initializing the Spark session with GCS configurations.
- Loading the datasets from GCS.

- Validating and enriching the data.
- Computing store-level and department-level metrics.
- Storing the enriched data and aggregated metrics in GCS.
- Simulating real-time ingestion and updates of weekly sales data.
- Optimizing the performance of the pipeline using caching, persisting, and broadcasting.

Steps and Results:

Load the features dataset:

1 2010-02-0	5 42.31	2.572	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA 211.0963582	8.106	fals
1 2010-02-1	2 38.51	2.548	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA 211.2421698	8.106	tru
1 2010-02-1	9 39.93	2.514	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA 211.2891429	8.106	fals
1 2010-02-2	6 46.63	2.561	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA 211.3196429	8.106	fals
1 2010-03-0	5 46.5	2.625	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA 211.3501429	8.106	fals
1 2010-03-1	2 57.79	2.667	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA 211.3806429	8.106	fals
1 2010-03-1	9 54.58	2.72	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA 211.215635	8.106	fals
1 2010-03-2	6 51.45	2.732	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA 211.0180424	8.106	fals
1 2010-04-0	2 62.27	2.719	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA 210.8204499	7.808	fals
1 2010-04-0	9 65.86	2.77	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA 210.6228574	7.808	fals

Load the train dataset:

```
|Store|Dept| Date|Weekly_Sales|IsHoliday|
        1|2010-02-05|
    1|
                       24924.5
                                  falsel
    1|
        1|2010-02-12|
                       46039.49
                                  truel
    1|
        1|2010-02-19|
                       41595.55
                                  falsel
    1|
                                  falsel
        1|2010-02-26|
                       19403.54
                      21827.9| false|
    1|
        1|2010-03-05|
        1|2010-03-12|
    1|
                                  falsel
                      21043.39
    1 1 2010-03-19 22136.64 false
    1| 1|2010-03-26| 26229.21| false|
    1| 1|2010-04-02| 57258.43| false|
    1| 1|2010-04-09| 42960.91| false|
only showing top 10 rows
```

Load the Stores Dataset:

```
|Store|Type| Size|
    1 A | 151315 |
    2 | A | 202307 |
    3| B| 37392|
    4 A | 205863 |
    5 B 34875
    6 A 202505
    7| B| 70713|
    8 A | 155078 |
   9| B|125833|
   10| B|126512|
only showing top 10 rows
```

Enriched dataset formed after joins:

Store			ekly_Sales Is									nployment Is		
	10-02-05	1	24924.5	falsel	42.31	2.572	NA I	NA I	NA I	NA I	NA 211.0963582	8.106	falsel	A 151315
1 26	10-02-12	1	46039.49	true	38.51	2.548	NA	NA I	NA	NA	NA 211.2421698	8.106	true	A 151315
1 26	10-02-19	1	41595.55	false	39.93	2.514	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA 211.2891429	8.106	false	A 151315
1 26	10-02-26	1	19403.54	false	46.63	2.561	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA 211.3196429	8.106	false	A 151315
1 26	10-03-05	1	21827.9	false	46.5	2.625	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA 211.3501429	8.106	false	A 151315
1 26	10-03-12	1	21043.39	false	57.79	2.667	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA 211.3806429	8.106	false	A 151315
1 26	10-03-19	1	22136.64	false	54.58	2.72	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA 211.215635	8.106	false	A 151315
1 26	10-03-26	1	26229.21	false	51.45	2.732	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA 211.0180424	8.106	false	A 151315
1 26	10-04-02	1	57258.43	false	62.27	2.719	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA 210.8204499	7.808	false	A 151315
1 26	10-04-09	1	42960.91	false	65.86	2.77	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA 210.6228574	7.808	false	A 151315
														+

Store wise Metrics formed after grouping by store:

```
|Store| Total_Weekly_Sales|Average_Weekly_Sales|Data_Count|
   31|1.9961493036000007E8| 19757.98578244087|
                                                10103|
   34 1.382526272E8 13546.21077797374
                                                10206
   28|1.8927150802999988E8| 18739.753270297017|
                                               10100|
   26|1.4341661982000002E8| 14565.978043875688|
                                                9846|
   27|2.5385718997000003E8| 24892.84075014709| 10198|
   44 4.329367155000003E7 6060.992797144061
                                                 7143
   12|1.4429114655999997E8| 14924.611766652873|
                                                9668
   22 | 1.4707677093E8 | 15245.855802840262 |
                                                9647
  1|2.2240676677000004E8| 21742.767305699486|
                                              10229
                                              10459
   13 2.8651795036000013E8 | 27394.392423749894 |
```

Top Performing Stores:

Department wise Metrics formed after grouping by department:

```
|Store|Dept|Total_Weekly_Sales|Average_Weekly_Sales|Data_Count|
    2 | 80 | 3723902.120000002 | 26041.27356643358
                                                       143
    8 52 278165.8300000001 1945.2155944055949
                                                       143
   15 | 14 | 1828384.11 | 12785.902867132867 |
                                                       143 l
   15 | 26 |
                  708668.85 4955.726223776223
                                                       143 l
   18 95 8246559.999999999 57668.25174825174
                                                       143|
   32 79
             2211345.25 | 15463.952797202797
                                                       143|
   42 | 96 | 2171236.81 | 15183.474195804196 |
                                                       143|
   43 | 7 |
                    73898.52 516.7728671328672
                                                       143|
   3 | 22 | 443553.09000000014 | 3101.769860139861 |
                                                       143|
   28|
        16 | 1079739 . 5700000005 |
                               7550.6263636363671
                                                       143 l
```

Conclusion

This project demonstrates how to build a high-performance data pipeline for processing, aggregating, and storing sales data using Spark. By leveraging

caching, persisting, and broadcasting, the pipeline can handle large-scale data efficiently and provide real-time insights into sales performance.