LinkedList

```
#include<iostream>
using namespace std;
class node{
public:
    int data;
    node *next;
    node(int val){
        data = val;
        next = NULL;
};
class list{
    node* head;
    node* tail;
public:
    list(){
        head = NULL;
        tail = NULL;
    void insert_begin(int val){
        node* newNode = new node(val);
        if(head == NULL){
            head = tail = newNode;
        else{
            newNode->next = head;
            head = newNode;
};
int main() {
    list myList; // Create a list object
    myList.insert_begin(10);
    myList.insert_begin(5);
    return 0;
```

Excellent question. Let's dive deep into what's happening at the memory level. This is the key to truly understanding pointers and data structures like linked lists.

We need to talk about two main areas of memory: the **Stack** and the **Heap**.

- The Stack: This is a highly organized, fast region of memory. It's used for static memory allocation. Think of it as a stack of plates. When a function is called, a new "plate" (a stack frame) is placed on top. All the function's local variables (like int x, node* newNode) are stored on this plate. When the function finishes, its plate is removed, and all those local variables are instantly destroyed.
- The Heap: disorganized pile of memory. It's used for dynamic memory allocation, which is what happens when you use the new keyword. Memory allocated on the heap is not managed automatically. It stays there until you explicitly release it with the delete keyword. This allows data to persist even after the function that created it has finished.

Let's trace the execution of your code with a concrete example.

Imagine we have a main function like this:

```
C++
int main() {
    list myList; // Create a list object
    myList.insert_begin(10);
    myList.insert_begin(5);
    return 0;
}
```

Step 1: list myList;

When this line runs, the program allocates memory for our list object. Since myList is a local variable in main, it's created on the **Stack**.

A list object contains two pointers: head and tail. The list() constructor runs and sets both of these to NULL. In memory, NULL is essentially the address 0.

Memory Snapshot 1: After creating an empty list

| Memory Area | Address | Variable | Value |
|-------------|---------|-------------|----------------------------|
| Stack | 0x7FF_A | myList.head | 0x0000 (NULL) |
| | 0x7FF_B | myList.tail | 0x0000 (NULL) |
| Heap | - | - | (Completely empty for now) |

Step 2: myList.insert begin(10);

Now things get interesting. The insert begin function is called.

- 1. **Function Call**: A new stack frame for <code>insert_begin</code> is pushed onto the Stack. The parameter <code>val</code> is created within this frame and holds the value <code>10</code>.
- 2. node* newNode = new node (10);: This is a two-part command.
 - o new node (10): You've asked for memory from the **Heap**. The system finds a free block of memory large enough for a node object (one integer and one pointer). Let's say it finds this memory at address OxABCD.
 - o The node constructor runs on this memory block at 0xABCD. It sets data to 10 and next to NULL (0x0000).
 - o node* newNode = ...: The new operator returns the address it found: 0xABCD. This address is stored in the local pointer variable newNode, which lives on the **Stack** inside the insert begin frame.
- 3. if (head == NULL): The code checks myList.head. Looking at our table, it is indeed NULL. The condition is true.
- 4. head = tail = newNode;: This line copies the *value* of newNode (the address 0xABCD) into myList.head and myList.tail.

Memory Snapshot 2: During insert_begin (10)

| Memory Area | Address | Variable | Value |
|----------------|---------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Stack | 0x7FF_C | insert_begin::newNode | 0xABCD (An address) |
| | 0x7FF_D | insert_begin::val | 10 |
| | 0x7FF_A | myList.head | 0xABCD |
| | 0x7FF_B | myList.tail | 0xABCD |
| Неар | 0xABCD | (node allocated with new) | data=10, next=0x0000 |

5. **Function Return**: The <code>insert_begin</code> function is finished. Its stack frame is popped off and destroyed. The local variables <code>newNode</code> and <code>val</code> cease to exist. **BUT**, the memory at <code>0xABCD</code> on the heap is unaffected! <code>myList</code> still holds the address, so we haven't lost our node.

Step 3: myList.insert begin(5);

Here we go again, adding a second node.

- 1. **Function Call**: A new stack frame for insert_begin is pushed onto the Stack with val = 5.
- 2. node* newNode = new node(5);:
 - o new node (5): The system finds another free block on the **Heap**. Let's say this one is at address 0xEFF0.
 - o The node constructor runs on the memory at <code>0xEFF0</code>, setting data to 5 and next to <code>NULL</code>.
 - o **node* newNode** = ...: The address <code>0xEFFO</code> is stored in the new local newNode pointer on the Stack.
- 3. if (head == NULL): We check myList.head. It currently holds 0xABCD, so it is not NULL. The condition is false.
- 4. else block: The program jumps to the else block.
 - o newNode->next = head;: This is the magic link.
 - newNode->next: Go to the address in newNode (0xEFF0) and look at its next field.
 - head: Get the address currently in myList.head (0xABCD).
 - Copy the address <code>Oxabcd</code> into the <code>next</code> field of the node at <code>Oxeff0</code>. The new node is now pointing to the old first node.
 - o head = newNode;:
 - head =: Look at the myList.head variable on the stack.
 - newNode: Get the address currently in the local newNode variable (0xEFF0).
 - Copy the address <code>0xEFFO</code> into <code>myList.head</code>. The list's official starting point is now updated.

Memory Snapshot 3: During insert begin (5)

| Memory Area | Address | Variable | Value |
|-------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Stack | 0x7FF_C | insert_begin::newNode | Oxeff0 (An address) |
| | 0x7FF_D | insert_begin::val | 5 |
| | 0x7FF_A | myList.head | 0xEFF0 |
| | 0x7FF_B | myList.tail | 0xABCD |
| Heap | 0xABCD | (first node) | data=10, next=0x0000 |
| | 0xEFF0 | (second node) | data=5, next=0xABCD |

5. **Function Return**: The function finishes, its stack frame is destroyed. The local newNode pointer vanishes.

Final State

After all the code runs, our myList object on the Stack points to a chain of nodes on the Heap.

- myList.head contains 0xEFF0.
- The node at 0xEFF0 contains data=5 and next=0xABCD.
- The node at <code>0xABCD</code> contains data=10 and <code>next=0x0000</code> (NULL).
- myList.tail contains 0xABCD.

Visually, the structure is:

```
\texttt{myList.head} \rightarrow \texttt{[Node (data: 5, next: \rightarrow)]} \rightarrow \texttt{[Node (data: 10, next: NULL)]} \leftarrow \texttt{myList.tail}
```

This is how pointers allow you to build complex, dynamic structures in memory by simply storing and manipulating addresses.