Exploratory Data Analysis for Machine Learning

IBM Machine Learning - Project 1 Thanh Huynh February 2021

About the data

- The data originally came from the Board Game Geek database, including 90,000+ board games, their description, and ratings.
- This data set was collected by R for Data Science (R4DS) Online Learning Community and posted on their GitHub in March 2019. The .csv file can be found in Tidy Tuesday repository.
- R4DS selected games that have at least 50 ratings and were published between 1950 and 2016. The final data set has 10,532 rows and 22 columns.
- The data were split before this analysis: 80% train and 20% test

Data dictionary

Variable name	Туре	Description
game_id	integer	Unique game identifier
description	string	A paragraph of text describing the game
image	string	URL image of the game
max_player	integer	Maximum recommended players
max_playtime	integer	Maximum recommended playtime (min)
min_age	integer	Minimum recommended age
min_players	integer	Minimum recommended players
min_playtime	integer	Minimum recommended playtime (min)
name	string	Name of the game

playing_time	integer	Average playtime
thumbnail	string	URL thumbnail of the game
year_published	integer	Year game was published
artist	string	Artist for game art
category	string	Categories for the game (separated by commas)
compilation	string	If part of a multi-compilation - name of compilation
designer	string	Game designer
expansion	string	If there is an expansion pack - name of expansion
family	string	Family of game - equivalent to a publisher
mechanic	string	Game mechanic - how game is played, separated by comma
publisher	string	Company/person who published the game, separated by commas
average_rating	float	Average rating on Board Games Geek (1-10)
users_rated	integer	Number of users that rated the game

Data exploration plan

This analysis is the initial step in an attempt to build a baseline model to predict game average ratings based on their characteristics.

- 1. Data Overview
- 2. Data Cleaning and Feature Engineering: Categorical Data
- 3. Data Cleaning and Feature Engineering: Numeric Data
- 4. Hypothesis Testing

Data overview

- The train set has 8,425 rows and 22 columns
- There are missing data only in most of the categorical variables

game_id	0
year_published	0
average_rating	0
playing_time	0
name	0
min_playtime	0
users_rated	0
min_age	0
max_playtime	0
max_players	0
description	0
min_players	0
image	1
thumbnail	1
publisher	2
category	79
designer	94
mechanic	751
artist	2238
family	2255
expansion	6236
compilation	8103

Categorical data

- 1. Data Cleaning:
- Remove features that are not useful to discriminate the target: description, image, name, thumbnail, family, expansion, and compilation
- Also remove game_id

	count	unique	top	freq
description	8425	8423	How could that have happened? Black Stories ar	2
image	8424	8422	//cf.geekdo-images.com/images/pic2262580.png	2
name	8425	8314	Robin Hood	5
thumbnail	8424	8422	//cf.geekdo-images.com/images/pic2410035_t.png	2
artist	6187	3881	Franz Vohwinkel	141
category	8346	3310	Wargame,World War II	364
compilation	322	269	Traveller: The Classic Games, Games 1-6+	6
designer	8331	3978	(Uncredited)	442
expansion	2189	2106	Règlement de l'An XXX,Regulations of the Year \dots	7
family	6170	3321	Crowdfunding: Kickstarter	312
mechanic	7674	2708	Hex-and-Counter	406
publisher	8423	4538	GMT Games	140

Categorical data

2. Feature engineering:

Counts derived from category aggregates

- Each columns have multiple values that are separated by commas
- Extract unique values and print out total number of these values for each column
- Derive new features that count number of artists, designers, and publishers of each game
- Remove columns: artists, designer, and publisher
- Remove rows that have missing values

of	unique	values	of	artist:	5416
of	unique	values	of	category:	83
of	unique	values	of	designer:	4476
of	unique	values	of	mechanic:	51
of	unique	values	of	publisher:	3045
	of of of	of unique of unique of unique	of unique values of unique values of unique values	of unique values of of unique values of of unique values of	of unique values of artist: of unique values of category: of unique values of designer: of unique values of mechanic: of unique values of publisher:

Categorical data

Categories derived from category aggregates


- Get a set of all unique values in each variable
- Create new columns based on these values
- Iterate through all rows and fill in dummy values for each new column
- Group these dummy variables if possible


Note: One game can be assigned to more than one category/ mechanic

The next two pages represent bar plots of 44 game categories (grouped from 81 categories) and 51 game mechanics.

The data set now has 5,608 rows and 109 columns








Data description

	max_players	max_playtime	min_age	min_players	min_playtime	playing_time	year_published	average_rating	users_rated	num_artist	num_category	num_designer	num_mechanic	num_publisher
count	5608.000000	5608.000000	5608.000000	5608.000000	5608.000000	5608.000000	5608.000000	5608.000000	5608.000000	5608.000000	5608.000000	5608.000000	5608.000000	5608.000000
mean	5.010521	105.758559	9.955599	2.059379	91.313302	105.758559	2004.717725	6.546314	1166.660663	2.203994	2.651926	1.411733	2.600927	2.824893
std	7.543777	866.538797	3.301289	0.674542	848.267125	866.538797	11.284651	0.775103	3548.581155	7.690679	1.300462	0.802652	1.501255	3.683774
min	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	1951.000000	2.339400	50.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
25%	4.000000	30.000000	8.000000	2.000000	30.000000	30.000000	2001.000000	6.051200	100.000000	1.000000	2.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000
50%	4.000000	45.000000	10.000000	2.000000	45.000000	45.000000	2009.000000	6.548855	237.000000	1.000000	2.000000	1.000000	2.000000	2.000000
75%	6.000000	90.000000	12.000000	2.000000	90.000000	90.000000	2013.000000	7.065962	755.250000	2.000000	3.000000	2.000000	3.000000	3.000000
max	362.000000	60000.0000000	25.000000	9.000000	60000.0000000	60000.0000000	2016.000000	9.003920	67655.000000	510.000000	14.000000	11.000000	12.000000	92.000000

- 1. Data cleaning
 - Derive game_age from year_published
 - Remove max_playtime, min_playtime, and users_rated
 - Select data that have non zero values

	max_players	min_age	min_players	playing_time	year_published	average_rating	num_artist	num_category	num_designer	num_mechanic	num_publisher	game_age
count	5240.000000	5240.000000	5240.000000	5240.000000	5240.000000	5240.000000	5240.000000	5240.000000	5240.000000	5240.000000	5240.000000	5240.000000
mean	5.102290	10.471756	2.070611	101.502481	2004.554008	6.525332	2.213550	2.663740	1.406870	2.624046	2.909542	14.445992
std	7.753493	2.441990	0.666585	858.286053	11.397775	0.765409	7.936809	1.316168	0.794444	1.512648	3.783639	11.397775
min	1.000000	2.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1951.000000	2.339400	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	3.000000
25%	4.000000	8.000000	2.000000	30.000000	2000.000000	6.036300	1.000000	2.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	6.000000
50%	4.000000	10.000000	2.000000	45.000000	2009.000000	6.525335	1.000000	2.000000	1.000000	2.000000	2.000000	10.000000
75%	6.000000	12.000000	2.000000	90.000000	2013.000000	7.032408	2.000000	3.000000	2.000000	3.000000	3.000000	19.000000
max	362.000000	25.000000	9.000000	60000.0000000	2016.000000	9.003920	510.000000	14.000000	11.000000	12.000000	92.000000	68.000000



- The target (average_rating) has a normal distribution
- Most features are right skewed
- Severe outliers


2. Feature engineering

Log transformation for skewed variables

- Apply log transformation and check for skewness again.
- The result shows that log transformation does not work well for num_artist, num_designer, num_publisher, and year_published

Next page present a pairplot of numeric features that have nearly normal distribution.

- No strong linear relationship between the features and the target. Linear regression might not be well-suited to this problem
- Might try adding polynomial and interaction terms and examine their correlation with the target




Polynomial Features and Their Correlations

Numeric data

Adding polynomial and interaction terms

This plot shows that polynomial and interaction terms do not have significantly higher correlations with the target comparing to the original features



Binning numeric data that cannot be scaled by log transformation

- These are num_artist, num_designer, num_publisher, and year_published
- Apply dummy transformation to these bins
- New columns from these bins: group_artist_three_or_more, group_designer_three_or_more, group_max_players_five_or_six, group_max_players_seven_or_more, group_publisher_four_or_more, group_year_published_between_2001_and_2009, group_year_published_between_2010_and_2013, and group_year_published_between_2014_and_2016

Remove the original columns after transformation (log and binning). The data set now has 5,240 rows and 131 columns

- Main purpose: check if there are differences in average ratings between one group and others
- Due to different variances between two groups, Welch's t-test is used
- Perform multiple tests across all categories, mechanics, and groups (derived from numeric data)
- Sample of hypotheses:
 - Ho: War games and other games have similar ratings on average
 - Ha: There is a difference in average ratings between war games and other games


- Result tables are shown in the next three pages. These values are sorted by p-values with colored bars (green for positive values and red for negative ones)
- For those that have p-value < 0.05 and |t-value| > 1.96, we reject the null hypotheses
- The sign of t-value suggests the direction of the test. A positive sign means that the group of interest has higher average ratings than others. On the contrary, a negative sign means that the group of interest has lower average ratings than others.

	t-value	p-value	maze	-3.009117	0.004285
	t-value	p-value	pirates	-2.329630	0.021775
category_name			political	2.278630	0.023735
children's game	- <mark>1</mark> 5.841916	0.000000	mythology	2.144308	0.034218
war	13.893726	0.000000	spies/secret agents	2.004349	0.050047
component	-10.584794	0.000000	entertainment	1.937038	
humor	-9.138182	0.000000	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		0.053610
party game	-7.005245	0.000000	religious	1.763866	0.084909
animals	-6.487482	0.000000	print & play	1.709978	0.090178
trains	4.741813	0.000006	aviation / flight	1.708230	0.091375
			skills	-1.677003	0.093654
renaissance	4.690531	0.000007	exploration	1.409116	0.159621
activity	4.241476	0.000024	environmental	1.298456	0,200263
space exploration	4.478895	0.000032	adventure	0.972847	0.331317
fighting	3.980679	0.000077	mature / adult	0.791506	0,437057
industry / manufacturing	4.088935	0.000090	AND SERVICE OF THE SE	s de servicio de la	
age of reason	3.980063	0.000221	arabian	-0.638325	0.527225
ancient	3,669080	0.000289	murder/mystery	0.600392	0.550026
	-3,629330	0.000328	horror	-0.465960	0.641699
abstract strategy	_		sports	0.423544	0. 672805
medieval	3.532035	0.000461	medical	0.424722	0.675144
fantasy	3.358155	0.000818	travel	0.345949	0.730699
farming	3.341165	0.001299	prehistoric	0.332909	0.740358
science fiction	2.937326	0.003463		555500000000000000000000000000000000000	#870V (1.04.55)
nautical	2,900014	0.004100	american west	0.231495	0.817 530
	The second secon		mafia	-0.115298	0.908744
			zombies	-0.002223	0.998233

	t-value	p-value	action / movement programming	4.203600	0.000050	
mechanic_name			pattern building	-4.014621	0.000088	
area control / area influence	13.681888	0.000000	stock holding	3.906744	0.000189	
worker placement	12.531980	0.000000	betting/wagering	-3.804758	0.000243	
simulation	11.917241	0.000000	point to point movement	3.653005	0.000308	
variable player powers	11.257887	0.000000	secret unit deployment	3.471693	0.000631	
deck / pool building	11.228836	0.000000	simultaneous action selection	3.098684	0.002062	
roll / spin and move	-10.953231	0.000000	tile placement	2.819089	0.004956	
action point allowance system	8,988847	0.000000	singing	-3.479737	0.005121	
grid movement	9.043836	0.000000	player elimination	2.795235	0.005905	
dice rolling	8.376262	0.000000	set collection	-2.591833	0.009665	
hex-and-counter	7.678370	0.000000	rock-paper-scissors	-2.586553	0.013116	
card drafting	7.236475	0.000000	acting	-2.472040	0.016953	
route/network building	7.371719	0.000000	partnerships	2.153588	0.032088	
campaign / battle card driven	7.395763	0.000000	time track	2.053270	0.048476	
variable phase order	7.407286	0.000000	role playing	1.959523	0.051902	
pattern recognition	-7,046414	0.000000	paper-and-pencil	1.934789	0.060433	
area movement	6.606161	0,000000	commodity speculation	1.693678	0.093399	
co-operative play	6.594492	0.000000	storytelling	-1.496163	0.138264	
trick-taking	-5.584741	0.000000	auction/bidding	1.426467	0.154380	
modular board	4,749033	0.000003	area-impulse	1.207543	0.239942	
1011			trading	-1.016744	0.310614	
chit-pull system	5.020407	0.000003	area enclosure	0.984194	0.328520	
crayon rail system	6.101341	0.000040	pick-up and deliver	0.741010	0.459464	
memory	-4.161645	0.000043	voting	-0.721287	0.471988	
hand management	4.088635	0.000045	take that	-0.422657	0.673047	
			press your luck	0.323775	0.746403	
			line drawing	0.253232	0.802941	

These tables show that on average:

- People like war games
- People do not like children's games and component games
- People like games that use area control / area influence, worker placement, simulation, variable player powers, and deck / pool building
- People do not like games that use roll / spin and move mechanic
- People like games published between 2014 and 2016
- People like games that were designed by three or more artists



• Since these features might have effects on each other, there need to be more analyses before jumping to a conclusion. For example, perhaps area control mechanic is mostly used in war games, or children's games are mostly played by rolling and spinning. War games might be more complex and need more artists to complete.

Further data engineering and analyzing

- Score game complexity by calculating weighted average of number of artists, number of designers,
 and number of publishers. Examine the relationship between this score and the target
- Reduce categorical data dimensionality and create interaction terms among them or with numeric data
- Apply mutual information regression for feature selection
- Apply Backward Stepwise Regression
- Build a pipeline to preprocess data and run the model on the test set

Conclusion

As shown in the analysis, linear regression might not be a good fit to this data set. However, it might be good enough as a baseline model. To collect a better dataset, one might request the Board Game Geek API and retrieve other features such as weight (complexity rating), number of reviews, or explore available data from Kaggle.

Jupyter Notebook for this analysis can be found here: https://github.com/thuynh323/IBM-Machine-Learning/blob/master/1-EDA/Project-1.ipynb