

Lab Session 13

MA-581 : Numerical Computations Lab

R. Alam

November 08, 2021

1. The purpose of this experiment is to illustrate that QR factorization by Householder reflector (MATLAB command `[Q, R] = qr(A)`) is better than modified Gram-Schmidt scheme (MGS) and classical Gram-Schmidt scheme (CGS).

Consider the n -by- n Hilbert matrix H (use MATLAB command `H = hilb(n)` to generate H). Your task is to use different methods listed below to orthonormalize the columns of H for $n = 7$ and $n = 12$.

- (a) Write a MATLAB function implementing classical Gram-Schmidt method (CGS).

```
function [Q, R] = cgsqr(A)
% [Q, R] = cgsqr(A) employs classical Gram-Schmidt scheme to compute
% an isometry Q, an upper triangular matrix R such that A=QR.

[m, n] = size(A); % Assume that m >= n
Q = A; R = zeros(n);
for k = 1:n
    R(1:k-1,k) = Q(:,1:k-1)' * A(:,k);
    Q(:,k) = A(:,k) - Q(:,1:k-1) * R(1:k-1,k);
    R(k,k) = norm(Q(:,k));
    Q(:,k) = Q(:,k)/R(k,k);
end
```

- (b) Write a MATLAB function implementing modified Gram-Schmidt method (MGS).

```
function [Q, R] = mgsqr(A)
% [Q, R] = mgsqr(A) employs modified Gram-Schmidt scheme to compute
% an isometry Q, an upper triangular matrix R such that A=QR.

[m,n] = size(A); % Assume that m >=n
Q = A; R = zeros(n);
for k = 1:n
    R(k,k) = norm(Q(:,k));
    Q(:,k) = Q(:,k)/R(k,k);
    R(k,k+1:n) = Q(:,k)' * Q(:,k+1:n);
    Q(:,k+1:n) = Q(:,k+1:n) - Q(:,k) * R(k,k+1:n);
end
```

- (c) QR decomposition with reflectors. Use MATLAB command `[Q,R] = qr(H, 0)`, which produces an 'economy size' QR decomposition of H with Q being an isometry.

Examine the deviation from orthonormality by computing $\|Q' * Q - \text{eye}(n)\|_2$ in each case (MATLAB command `norm(eye(n)-Q'*Q)`). Setting $E := QR - H$, we have $H + E = QR$. Check the residual norm $\|E\|_2 = \text{norm}(H - Q * R)$. The small residual error of order $\mathcal{O}(u)$ as well as small deviation from orthonormality of order $\mathcal{O}(u)$ imply that the algorithm is backward stable. In other words, the algorithm computes QR factorization of a slightly perturbed matrix which is indistinguishable from A . Test the backward stability of CGS, MGS and Householder QR factorization.

Find the condition number of H and check whether or not the matrix Q obtained from the MGS program satisfies $\|Q' * Q - \text{eye}(n)\|_2 \approx u * \text{cond}(H)$.

Did you get what you would expect in light of the values of unit roundoff u and $\text{cond}(H)$? Which among all the above methods produces the smallest deviation from orthonormality?

2. The Householder QR factorization is backward stable., that is, if \hat{Q} and \hat{R} are computed QR factors of A using reflectors then $\|\hat{Q}^T \hat{Q} - I\|_2 = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$ and $A + E = \hat{Q} \hat{R}$ for some matrix E such that $\|E\|_2 / \|A\|_2 = \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{u})$. This, however, does not necessarily mean that \hat{Q} and \hat{R} will be close to the exact Q and R . This can be test as follows:

```
>>R = triu(randn(50)); % compute a 50-by-50 random upper triangular matrix.
>>[Q, X] = qr(randn(50)); % compute a 50-by-50 random unitary matrix Q.
>>A = Q*R; % A is a matrix with known QR factors.
>>[S, T] = qr(A); % Computes Householder QR factorization of A.
```

Setting $E = S^*T - A$, we have $A+E = S^*T$. Compute $\text{norm}(E)$ and $\text{norm}(S^*S - \text{eye}(50))$. What is your conclusion?

Now compute $[\text{norm}(Q-S), \text{norm}(R-T)]$

to conclude that S and T are very far from the known QR factors Q and R of A . This illustrates that the Householder QR factorization is not **forward stable**.

*** End ***