



---

## [EEI] Editor Decision - Major revisions Required

1 message

---

Dr. Tole Sutikno <bee@iaescore.com>

Thu, Oct 12, 2023 at 3:08 PM

To: Mr Mirajul Islam <merajul15-9627@diu.edu.bd>

Cc: Md. Mahmudul Hasan <mahmudul15-13284@diu.edu.bd>, Rajesh Kumar Das <rajesh15-13032@diu.edu.bd>, Mocksidul Hassan <mocksidul15-13881@diu.edu.bd>, Sultana Razia <sultana15-14285@diu.edu.bd>, Sharun Akter Khushbu <sharun.cse@diu.edu.bd>

-- Paper ID# 7617  
-- Strictly adhere to the guidelines for authors  
<https://iaescore.com/gfa/bee.docx>, also read: <https://bit.ly/35R6JTs> and  
<https://bit.ly/2DxU9MI>  
-- Research Paper: min 25 references (primarily to journal papers) and  
Review/study/survey Paper: min 50 references (primarily to journal papers)

---

Dear Mr Mirajul Islam,

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics, "Hybrid Deep Learning: A Comparative Study on AI Algorithms in Natural Language Processing for Text Classification", a Scopus (<https://www.scopus.com/sourceid/21100826382>) and ScimagoJR (<https://www.scimagojr.com/journalsearch.php?q=21100826382&tip=sid&clean=0>) indexed journal, with CiteScore: 3.0, SNIP: 0.639 and SJR: 0.299.

Our decision is to: Major revisions Required

1. Your revised paper should demonstrate a clear understanding of the key issues related to your topic of choice. The paper should display analysis and not mere summary of the topic under consideration. It should also include evidence to support arguments where necessary. Your paper should demonstrate a connection of the references you mention to the central topic and to each other where necessary throughout the paper.

2. Authors should have made substantial/intellectual contribution (the new findings with contrast to the existing works). Highlight the main theme of the work with the specific goals of the design and development approach. For preparing your paper strictly adhere to the guide of authors, please read the checklist for preparing your paper for publication at: <https://bee.org/index.php/EEI/about/editorialPolicies#custom-4>. Please try to follow the format as closely as possible.

3. Attention Please! Method section

The experimental/method section is a straightforward description of what you did in your research and how you did it, clear and detailed at every stage. A detailed method section will make your article reproducible by other researchers, allowing them to trust and build on your work. A detailed explanation of all methodologies, instruments, materials, procedures, measurements, and other variables used in the investigation. A thorough description of the data analysis and decisions for excluding some data and including others.

Please submit your highlighted updated manuscript in MS Word file format (or LATEX source files; ZIP your files if you present your paper in LaTeX). Refer to materials at: <https://bit.ly/35R6JTs> and <https://bit.ly/2DxU9MI> for further guidelines, and submit the revised paper within 8 weeks through our online system at the same ID number (NOT as a new submission) on Tab "Review" as an "Author Version" file for re-review by Reviewers. Then, your revised paper will be judged for acceptance, revision, or rejection based on

the editor's and Reviewers comments.

I look forward for hearing from you

Thank you

Best Regards,  
Dr. Tole Sutikno  
Editor, Bulletin of EEI  
[bee@iaescore.com](mailto:bee@iaescore.com)

---

#### # EDITOR-IN-CHIEF COMMENTS:

1. The introduction should contextualize your study and provide any specialized information that the general measurement or control reader may need to understand what follows. It must explain the significance of previous work and the problems your work solves. It should also include a list of comparable works. The introduction should define the article's contribution(s) and show how it is demonstrated in the rest of the manuscript. A typical introduction should be as brief as possible and include the following:

- a. An outline of the problem.
- b. A review of the relevant literature, noting briefly the major contributors and indicating:
  - What the main contributors did?
  - What the main contributors found?
- c. A statement of unsolved problems and/or areas requiring improvement; particularly the one(s) considered in your manuscript.
- d. In regard to the above, describe what you will perform that has not been done before (what are your new contributions?).
- e. An outline of how the following sections show what you did and how its relevance will be demonstrated.

2. This paper contains no critical discussion, comparison, or interpretation. What are the ramifications of your findings? What will come in handy in the future?

---

#### # ASSOCIATE EDITORS COMMENTS:

1. The method section is a detailed step-by-step description of the experimental procedure that includes all of the information needed to replicate the work described in the paper. The Method must include a description of both novel and standard experimental approaches, as well as whatever minimal justification is required to persuade the reader that the methods are correct.

A well-written Method section:

1. Is the "how-to" section of your paper, containing all of the pertinent details for producing your results.
2. Persuades the reader that your approach is correct by providing justification for selecting your methodology, which may include analysis or theoretical justification.
3. Gives readers the details, algorithms, and techniques necessary to confirm and/or replicate your findings

The Methods section's purpose is to describe how the questions and knowledge gaps raised in the Introduction will be addressed in the Results section.

2. Please look for relevant references below, download, read, and cite the sources to improve your paper.

-----  
Reviewer A:

Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper? Is the abstract an appropriate and adequate digest of the work? Is the paper clear, concise, and well organized?

Please suggest change of the title as appropriate, and how should authors organize their paper

:

How does this paper show the rate of contribution strength and scientific quality to the field? Do authors place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers? Is the paper free from obvious errors, misconceptions, or ambiguity? Is the paper written in correct English? Please note grammatical errors and suggest corrections:

Please mark appropriate scale for the overall grade for this paper?:

Good

Reviewer's comments and suggestions how to improve the paper

:

- In figure 2, we want to make sure, is it correct to use commas? because in English thousands use commas (1,234) and decimals use dots (0.123).
- Figure 3 is a little blurry, so the writing in the figure is not clearly visible. You should increase the resolution of the figure again so that the writing in the figure looks clear.
- It is better to mention sub-figure 5 and sub-figure 6. For example, the writer can mention Figure 5 and then continue with sub-figure 5. After that, show Figure 5.
- Add more references that are relevant to the research. The author can add references from reputable journals, proceedings or books.
- It is better to add the biography of each author.

-----  
Reviewer F:

Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper? Is the abstract an appropriate and adequate digest of the work? Is the paper clear, concise, and well organized?

Please suggest change of the title as appropriate, and how should authors organize their paper

:

- Extensive editing of English language and style required
  - The manuscript is not suited for a journal publication.
  - Introduction must be improved, because the topics are presented very superficially.
  - The quality of the figures is poor.
  - Section conclusion is too short and the future directions must be written.
  - The work and the manuscript must be substantially improved.
  - As paper lacks to include the latest papers, these papers must be added-
- A. Recognition of off-line isolated handwritten character using counter propagation network
- B. The Impact of Training Iterations on ANN Applications Using BPNN Algorithm
- Add main contributions list as points in the Introduction section.

- Add the rest organization section at the end of the Introduction section.
- More clarifications and highlighted about the research gaps in the related works section.
- I feel that more explanation would be need on how the proposed method is performed.
- The Limitations of the proposed study need to be discussed before conclusion.
- Rewrite the Conclusion section to be:
- You must more clearly highlight the theoretical and practical implications of your research
- Discuss research contributions.
- -Indicate practical advantages (in at least one separate paragraph),

How does this paper show the rate of contribution strength and scientific quality to the field? Do authors place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers? Is the paper free from obvious errors, misconceptions, or ambiguity? Is the paper written in correct English? Please note grammatical errors and suggest corrections:

- Extensive editing of English language and style required
- The manuscript is not suited for a journal publication.
- Introduction must be improved, because the topics are presented very superficially.
- The quality of the figures is poor.
- Section conclusion is too short and the future directions must be written.
- The work and the manuscript must be substantially improved.
- As paper lacks to include the latest papers, these papers must be added-

A. Recognition of off-line isolated handwritten character using counter propagation network

B. The Impact of Training Iterations on ANN Applications Using BPNN Algorithm

- Add main contributions list as points in the Introduction section.
- Add the rest organization section at the end of the Introduction section.
- More clarifications and highlighted about the research gaps in the related works section.
- I feel that more explanation would be need on how the proposed method is performed.
- The Limitations of the proposed study need to be discussed before conclusion.
- Rewrite the Conclusion section to be:
- You must more clearly highlight the theoretical and practical implications of your research
- Discuss research contributions.
- -Indicate practical advantages (in at least one separate paragraph),

Please mark appropite scale for the overall grade for this paper?:

Poor

Reviewer's comments and suggestions how to improve the paper

:

- Extensive editing of English language and style required
  - The manuscript is not suited for a journal publication.
  - Introduction must be improved, because the topics are presented very superficially.
  - The quality of the figures is poor.
  - Section conclusion is too short and the future directions must be written.
  - The work and the manuscript must be substantially improved.
  - As paper lacks to include the latest papers, these papers must be added-
- A. Recognition of off-line isolated handwritten character using counter propagation network
- B. The Impact of Training Iterations on ANN Applications Using BPNN

#### Algorithm

- Add main contributions list as points in the Introduction section.
- Add the rest organization section at the end of the Introduction section.
- More clarifications and highlighted about the research gaps in the related works section.
- I feel that more explanation would be need on how the proposed method is performed.
- The Limitations of the proposed study need to be discussed before conclusion.
- Rewrite the Conclusion section to be:
- You must more clearly highlight the theoretical and practical implications of your research
- Discuss research contributions.
- -Indicate practical advantages (in at least one separate paragraph),

---

Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics

<http://beei.org>