

- . (a) The program has a bug which you should correct. Run the program through JIVE and observe the object diagram in order to understand the cause of the bug. Name your corrected file as Readers_Writers_Corrected.java. Run it through JIVE and make sure that the program is behaving correctly. Provide an explanation of the bug in a file explain.pdf. [LSEP]

Ans: The bug is that instead of using notifyAll() , the code was using notify(). Now the difference that comes along with in mainly 2 conditions, in the 2 boundary conditions:

- a) when the database is full then suppose a writer is in the critical section then it should wait and because no further writes are possible. However, in this case a read should happen and suppose a reader is waiting to read on the resource, in that case both reader and writer are waiting on the resource. Now if a notify is called and in that case what is going to happen is that it can either give a reader or writer the access if in case the access is given to the writer then we go into a deadlock as the reader was also waiting on the shared resource. Hence notifyAll() will wake all the waiting queues, which solves our problem.
- b) The same happens when the database is empty, if both the readers and writers are trying to get a lock over the shared resource in that case since thread scheduler randomly wakes either the reader thread or writer thread in that case, if a reader thread is woken up and not a writer thread which just kept on waiting will give us a deadlock state.