**Individual Peer Evaluation Form**

Your name: Rajkumar

Write the name of your classmate you are preparing this review for in the designated column. Using a scale of 1-4 (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=agree; 4=strongly agree) answer each question. If you aren’t able to answer the question based on what is posted in the discussion board, reach out to your classmate for more information via the discussion board. Total the numbers in each column. **Make sure to answer the questions on the 2nd page.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Evaluation Criteria | Peer Name:  AvishekRoy |
| Has plan in place to complete course project. | 4 |
| Has found datasets/data sources to support project idea. | 4 |
| Has solidified project idea. | 4 |
| Has identified resources for project. | 4 |
| Topic is related to data science and demonstrates topics learned to date through program. | 4 |
| Risks and potential issues have been identified. | 4 |
| TOTALS | 24 |

Feedback on Individual’s project topic:

1. How clear is the classmates project topic? What questions does their topic make you consider?

I was intrigued by the topic and realized that the project datasets were highly temporally dependent.

1. What risks or issues should your classmate consider while working on their project?

No issues I found with this project

1. Additional suggestions/comments that might be beneficial to your peer?

Its very interesting project and would like to add more values to train the data.

Smoothing and ARIMA approaches can be used. Smoothing methods can be employed with non-stationary data, however ARIMA requires a stationary time series.

Adapted from a peer evaluation form developed at Johns Hopkins University (October, 2006)