

Module → I. Calculus - I

Ex. 1

Q.1 Verify Rolle's Theorem:

$$\text{i) } f(x) = \frac{\sin x}{e^x} \text{ in } [0, \pi]$$

Ans →

If a function is differentiable, then it is also continuous

$$\text{∴ } f'(x) = \frac{e^x \cdot \cos x - e^x \cdot \sin x}{e^{2x}}$$

$$f'(x) = \frac{\cos x - \sin x}{e^x}$$

∴ The function is differentiable for $x \in [0, \pi]$

$$f(0) = \frac{\sin 0}{e^0} = 0$$

$$f(\pi) = \frac{\sin \pi}{e^\pi} = 0$$

$$\text{∴ } f(0) = f(\pi)$$

∴ hence rolle's Theorem is justified.

$$\text{ii) } f(x) = 1 - 3(x-1)^{2/3} \text{ in } 0 \leq x \leq 2$$

$$\text{Ans } \rightarrow f'(x) = 0 - 3(x-1)^{-1/3} \times \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)$$

$$f'(x) = -2(x-1)^{-1/3}$$

at $x = 1$ ∴ The function is differentiable for $x \in R$

$$f'(x) = \frac{-2}{0} \quad \text{undefined}$$

hence it is not differentiable and continuous.

~~$$\begin{aligned}\text{so } f(0) &= 1 - 3(0-1)^{2/3} \\ &= 1 - 3(-1)^{2/3} \\ &= 1-3 \\ &= -2\end{aligned}$$~~

~~$$\begin{aligned}\text{so } f(2) &= 1 - 3(2-1)^{2/3} \\ &= 1 - 3(1)^{2/3} \\ &= 1-3 \\ &= -2\end{aligned}$$~~

~~$$\text{so } f(0) = f(2)$$~~

hence rolle's theorem is not justified.

iii) $f(x) = |x|$ in $[-1, 1]$

Ans \rightarrow $f(x) = -x$ for $[-1, 0]$
 $f(x) = x$ for $[0, 1]$

L.H.D
 $[-1, 0]$

$$f(x) = -x \quad [-1, 0]$$

R.H.D

$$[0, 1]$$

$$f(x) = x \quad [0, 1]$$

$$f'(x) = -1$$

$$f'(x) = 1$$

hence it is differentiable

$$\text{so L.H.D} \neq \text{R.H.D}$$

hence it is differentiable

so $f(x) = |x|$ is not continuous and differentiable.

~~$$f(-1) = |-1| = 1$$~~

~~$$f(1) = |1| = 1$$~~

~~$$\text{so } f(-1) = f(1)$$~~

hence Rolle's theorem is not justified.

$$\text{iv) } f(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 + 1 & , 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 3-x & , 1 \leq x \leq 2 \end{cases}$$

Ans \rightarrow $(x^2 + 1)$ and $(3-x)$ is a polynomial function.
hence they are differentiable.

L.H.D for $x \in [0, 1]$

$$f(x) = x^2 + 1$$

$$f'(x) = 2x$$

R.H.D for $x \in [0, 1]$

$$f(x) = 3 - x$$

$$f'(x) = -1$$

$$\therefore L.H.D \neq R.H.D$$

Hence the function is not differentiable
 \therefore Rolle's theorem is not justified.

Q.2) Use Rolle's Theorem to prove that the equation $ax^3 + bx^2 = \frac{a}{3} + \frac{b}{2}$ has a root between 0 and 1.

$$\text{Ans} \rightarrow ax^3 + bx^2 = \frac{a}{3} + \frac{b}{2}$$

$$ax^3 + bx^2 - \frac{a}{3} - \frac{b}{2} = 0$$

$$6ax^2 + 6bx - 2a - 3b = 0$$

$$\therefore \text{let } f'(x) = 6ax^2 + 6bx - 2a - 3b$$

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{we are considering } f'(x) \text{ and not } f(x) \text{ because} \\ \text{we will prove it by reverse of Rolle's theorem} \end{array} \right\}$

$$\int f'(n) dn = \int (6ax^2 + 6bn - 2a - 3b) dn$$

$$f(n) = \frac{6an^3}{3} + \frac{6bn^2}{2} - 2an - 3bn + C$$

$$f(n) = \frac{2}{3}an^3 + 3bn^2 - 2an - 3bn + C$$

let C be 0.

$$f(0) = 0 + 0 - 0 - 0 + 0$$

$$f(0) = 0$$

$$f(1) = \frac{2}{3}a + 3b - 2a - 3b$$

$$= 0$$

$$\therefore f(0) = f(1)$$

∴ by using rolle's theorem $0 < c < 1$