

# MET CS 699 Data Mining Final Summarized Report 2

Classification for Credit Card Fraud Detection

By:

**RAJSHRI TOTLA**

## Table of Contents

Combinations Tried For Classifier Models .....	4
Information Gain Ranking Filter .....	4
OneR feature evaluator .....	5
Gain Ratio feature evaluator.....	5
Correlation Ranking Filter .....	5
Attribute Selection .....	6
1. Information Gain Ranking Filter - Balanced Dataset.....	6
2. Information Gain Ranking Filter - Unbalanced Dataset .....	6
3. OneR feature evaluator - Balanced Dataset .....	7
4. OneR feature evaluator - Unbalanced Dataset.....	7
5. Gain Ratio feature evaluator - Balanced Dataset .....	8
6. Gain Ratio feature evaluator - Unbalanced Dataset.....	8
7. Correlation Ranking Filter - Balanced Dataset .....	9
8. Correlation Ranking Filter - Unbalanced Dataset .....	9
Classifier Models .....	10
1. Random Forest.....	10
1. Information Gain Ranking Filter - Balanced Dataset.....	10
2. Information Gain Ranking Filter - Unbalanced Dataset .....	10
3. OneR feature evaluator - Balanced Dataset .....	11
4. OneR feature evaluator - Unbalanced Dataset.....	11
5. Gain Ratio feature evaluator - Balanced Dataset .....	12
6. Gain Ratio feature evaluator - Unbalanced Dataset.....	12
7. Correlation Ranking Filter - Balanced Dataset .....	13
8. Correlation Ranking Filter - Unbalanced Dataset .....	13
2. J48 .....	14
1. Information Gain Ranking Filter - Balanced Dataset.....	14
2. Information Gain Ranking Filter - Unbalanced Dataset .....	14
3. OneR feature evaluator - Balanced Dataset .....	15
4. OneR feature evaluator - Unbalanced Dataset.....	15
5. Gain Ratio feature evaluator - Balanced Dataset .....	16
6. Gain Ratio feature evaluator - Unbalanced Dataset.....	16
7. Correlation Ranking Filter - Balanced Dataset .....	17
8. Correlation Ranking Filter - Unbalanced Dataset .....	17

3. Naïve Bayes .....	18
1. Information Gain Ranking Filter - Balanced Dataset.....	18
2. Information Gain Ranking Filter - Unbalanced Dataset .....	18
3. OneR feature evaluator - Balanced Dataset .....	19
4. OneR feature evaluator - Unbalanced Dataset.....	19
5. Gain Ratio feature evaluator - Balanced Dataset .....	20
6. Gain Ratio feature evaluator - Unbalanced Dataset.....	20
7. Correlation Ranking Filter - Balanced Dataset .....	21
8. Correlation Ranking Filter - Unbalanced Dataset .....	21
4. Multilayer Perceptron.....	22
1. Information Gain Ranking Filter - Balanced Dataset.....	22
2. Information Gain Ranking Filter - Unbalanced Dataset .....	22
3. OneR feature evaluator - Balanced Dataset .....	23
4. OneR feature evaluator - Unbalanced Dataset.....	23
5. Gain Ratio feature evaluator - Balanced Dataset .....	24
6. Gain Ratio feature evaluator - Unbalanced Dataset.....	24
7. Correlation Ranking Filter - Balanced Dataset .....	25
8. Correlation Ranking Filter - Unbalanced Dataset .....	25
5. Simple Logistic.....	26
1. Information Gain Ranking Filter - Balanced Dataset.....	26
2. Information Gain Ranking Filter - Unbalanced Dataset .....	26
3. OneR feature evaluator - Balanced Dataset .....	27
4. OneR feature evaluator - Unbalanced Dataset.....	27
5. Gain Ratio feature evaluator - Balanced Dataset .....	28
6. Gain Ratio feature evaluator - Unbalanced Dataset.....	28
7. Correlation Ranking Filter - Balanced Dataset .....	29
8. Correlation Ranking Filter - Unbalanced Dataset .....	29
2nd Approach example .....	30
Conclusion.....	31

# Summary

1. Originally, we used weka's **Percentage Split** in which the split was 70%-30% **balanced** credit card dataset.
2. Secondly, used weka's **Supplied Test Set** Feature in which for training I used 100% **balanced** dataset and 30% **original** dataset.

## Combinations Tried For Classifier Models

Sr. No.	TRAIN	TEST	WEKA FEATURE	ATTRIBUTE SELECTION
1	Balanced 100% Dataset	Balanced 100% Dataset	<b>Percentage Split</b>	Balanced 100% Dataset
2	Balanced 100% Dataset	Unbalanced 30% Dataset	<b>Supplied Test Set</b>	Balanced 100% Dataset
3	Balanced Train Dataset (Made from 70% Original)	Unbalanced 30% Dataset (exclusive of train dataset)	<b>Supplied Test Set</b>	Unbalanced Train Dataset (Made from 70% Original)
4	Balanced Train Dataset (Made from 70% Original)	Unbalanced 30% Dataset (exclusive of train dataset)	<b>Supplied Test Set</b>	Balanced Train Dataset (Made from 70% Original)
<b>1: Results Originally Submitted</b> <b>2: Results don't changed so decided to redo whole project</b> <b>3 &amp; 4: Results are in Excel File</b>				

3. Finally, the result mentioned in excel and screenshots in this report are after I did the whole project from beginning:
  - a. Performing pre-processing using R again as mentioned in previous report. (including Split and Sampling)
  - b. Performed Attribute Selection from
    - i. Unbalanced Train Dataset
    - ii. Balanced Train Dataset
  - c. Ran 5 classifier models for each
  - d. Total:  $4*5*2 = 40$  Models
4. Attribute Selection Results  
Interestingly attributes are repeating for the highlighted ones:

## Information Gain Ranking Filter

Balanced Dataset : 16,27,23,14,26,25

Unbalanced Dataset :15,18,13,11,12,17

### OneR feature evaluator

Balanced Dataset: 18,13,15,12,11,17

Unbalanced Dataset: 13,18,17,15,12,11

### Gain Ratio feature evaluator

Balanced Dataset: 18,15,13,11,12,17

Unbalanced Dataset: 11,18,23,8,15,19

### Correlation Ranking Filter

Balanced Dataset: 15,5,12,13,11,17

Unbalanced Dataset: 18,15,13,11,17,4

5. Now to generate classifiers:

**Training Data:** Balanced Train Dataset (Made from 70% of original dataset)

**Test Data:** Unbalanced original dataset other 30% (70% in train)

### RCODE

```
library('ROSE') #Sampling Package
setwd("") # Set working directory
data<- read.csv("creditcard.csv",header = TRUE) #read original file

# to work in weka change class variable to categorical
data$Class[which(data$Class==1)] <- "yes"
data$Class[which(data$Class==0)] <- "no"
table(data$Class) # check the class distribution

# perform test-train split
smp_siz = floor(0.70*nrow(data)) # sample size creation
set.seed(3) # set seed to ensure you always have same random numbers generated
# train data index
train_ind = sample(seq_len(nrow(data)),size = smp_siz, replace = FALSE) # Randomly identifies the rows equal to sample size
train = data[train_ind,] #creates the training dataset with row numbers stored in train_ind
table(train$Class) # check the class distribution
write.csv(train, "traincreditcard.csv") # save data

test=data[-train_ind,] # creates test data
table(test$Class) # check the class distribution
write.csv(test, "testcreditcard.csv") # save data

# sampling performed on train data
data <- as.matrix(data)
train1 <- as.data.frame(train)
balanced_data <- ovun.sample(Class ~ ., data = train1, method = "both",N = nrow(train1)) # performed hybrid sampling
table(balanced_data$Class)
write.csv(balanced_data, "balancedtraincreditcard.csv") # save data
```

# Attribute Selection

## 1. Information Gain Ranking Filter - Balanced Dataset

```
=== Attribute Selection on all input data ===

Search Method:
    Attribute ranking.

Attribute Evaluator (supervised, Class (nominal): 31 Class):
    Information Gain Ranking Filter

Ranked attributes:
0.999  16 V15
0.999  27 V26
0.999  23 V22
0.999  14 V13
0.999  26 V25
0.998  25 V24
0.998  20 V19
0.998  19 V18
0.998  21 V20
0.998  24 V23
0.998  10 V9
0.997  18 V17
0.996  17 V16
0.996   2 V1
0.996  13 V12
0.996   9 V8
0.995  29 V28
0.995   6 V5
0.995  12 V11
0.994  28 V27
0.993  15 V14
0.993  11 V10
0.993   3 V2
0.993   5 V4
0.992  22 V21
0.99   1 Time
0.988   8 V7
0.984   7 V6
0.982   4 V3
0.763  30 Amount

Selected attributes: 16,27,23,14,26,25,20,19,21,24,10,18,17,2,13,9,29,6,12,28,15,11,3,5,22,1,8,7,4,30 : 30
```

## 2. Information Gain Ranking Filter - Unbalanced Dataset

```
=== Attribute Selection on all input data ===

Search Method:
    Attribute ranking.

Attribute Evaluator (supervised, Class (nominal): 31 Class):
    Information Gain Ranking Filter

Ranked attributes:
0.0118406  15 V14
0.0118262  18 V17
0.0108356  13 V12
0.0106566  11 V10
0.00978    12 V11
0.0088374  17 V16
0.0070626   5 V4
0.0069322   4 V3
0.0060476  10 V9
0.0059665  19 V18
0.0058219   8 V7
0.0045742   3 V2
0.0034498  22 V21
0.0032144   7 V6
0.0032013  28 V27
0.0031911   6 V5
0.0026272   2 V1
0.0026012   9 V8
0.0022539  29 V28
0.0015825  20 V19
0.0015727  30 Amount
0.0012676  21 V20
0.001068    1 Time
0.0008594  24 V23
0.000381   23 V22
0.000354   26 V25
0.0001126  25 V24
0.0000772  14 V13
0         16 V15
0         27 V26

Selected attributes: 15,18,13,11,12,17,5,4,10,19,8,3,22,7,28,6,2,9,29,20,30,21,1,24,23,26,25,14,16,27 : 30
```

### 3. OneR feature evaluator - Balanced Dataset

```
=== Attribute Selection on all input data ===

Search Method:
  Attribute ranking.

Attribute Evaluator (supervised, Class (nominal): 31 Class):
  OneR feature evaluator.

  Using 10 fold cross validation for evaluating attributes.
  Minimum bucket size for OneR: 6

Ranked attributes:
99.927  18 V17
99.904  13 V12
99.899  15 V14
99.897  12 V11
99.884  11 V10
99.878  17 V16
99.871  19 V18
99.865  10 V9
99.843  23 V22
99.842  25 V24
99.841  14 V13
99.838  26 V25
99.836  5 V4
99.833  16 V15
99.833  27 V26
99.832  9 V8
99.82  24 V23
99.819  20 V19
99.816  21 V20
99.813  29 V28
99.807  6 V5
99.797  4 V3
99.796  7 V6
99.791  3 V2
99.789  8 V7
99.788  2 V1
99.785  22 V21
99.773  28 V27
99.768  1 Time
93.464  30 Amount

Selected attributes: 18,13,15,12,11,17,19,10,23,25,14,26,5,16,27,9,24,20,21,29,6,4,7,3,8,2,22,28,1,30 : 30
```

### 4. OneR feature evaluator - Unbalanced Dataset

```
=== Attribute Selection on all input data ===

Search Method:
  Attribute ranking.

Attribute Evaluator (supervised, Class (nominal): 31 Class):
  OneR feature evaluator.

  Using 10 fold cross validation for evaluating attributes.
  Minimum bucket size for OneR: 6

Ranked attributes:
99.903  13 V12
99.902  18 V17
99.881  17 V16
99.874  15 V14
99.873  12 V11
99.873  11 V10
99.864  19 V18
99.857  10 V9
99.838  4 V3
99.837  3 V2
99.836  8 V7
99.831  9 V8
99.829  22 V21
99.829  1 Time
99.829  28 V27
99.829  6 V5
99.827  25 V24
99.827  27 V26
99.827  16 V15
99.827  26 V25
99.827  30 Amount
99.827  20 V19
99.827  21 V20
99.827  23 V22
99.827  14 V13
99.827  24 V23
99.827  29 V28
99.826  7 V6
99.826  2 V1
99.819  5 V4

Selected attributes: 13,18,17,15,12,11,19,10,4,3,8,9,22,1,28,6,25,27,16,26,30,20,21,23,14,24,29,7,2,5 : 30
```

## 5. Gain Ratio feature evaluator - Balanced Dataset

=== Attribute Selection on all input data ===

Search Method:  
Attribute ranking.

Attribute Evaluator (supervised, Class (nominal): 31 Class):  
Gain Ratio feature evaluator

Ranked attributes:

0.199	18	V17
0.198	15	V14
0.184	13	V12
0.175	11	V10
0.167	12	V11
0.156	17	V16
0.153	5	V4
0.149	4	V3
0.135	8	V7
0.132	10	V9
0.131	19	V18
0.126	3	V2
0.123	22	V21
0.122	7	V6
0.121	6	V5
0.121	2	V1
0.12	28	V27
0.119	29	V28
0.118	9	V8
0.115	1	Time
0.115	20	V19
0.114	21	V20
0.113	24	V23
0.112	27	V26
0.112	25	V24
0.112	26	V25
0.111	14	V13
0.111	16	V15
0.111	23	V22
0.105	30	Amount

Selected attributes: 18,15,13,11,12,17,5,4,8,10,19,3,22,7,6,2,28,29,9,1,20,21,24,27,25,26,14,16,23,30 : 30

## 6. Gain Ratio feature evaluator - Unbalanced Dataset

=== Attribute Selection on all input data ===

Search Method:  
Attribute ranking.

Attribute Evaluator (supervised, Class (nominal): 31 Class):  
Gain Ratio feature evaluator

Ranked attributes:

0.078839	11	V10
0.033006	18	V17
0.031096	23	V22
0.017316	8	V7
0.016823	15	V14
0.010765	19	V18
0.009834	13	V12
0.00953	12	V11
0.009175	17	V16
0.006261	5	V4
0.006071	6	V5
0.005725	3	V2
0.005684	10	V9
0.005278	7	V6
0.005208	4	V3
0.00455	28	V27
0.003266	22	V21
0.002701	9	V8
0.002454	26	V25
0.001648	2	V1
0.001463	29	V28
0.001245	21	V20
0.001079	20	V19
0.001066	30	Amount
0.00086	24	V23
0.000686	1	Time
0.000159	25	V24
0.000118	14	V13
0	16	V15
0	27	V26

Selected attributes: 11,18,23,8,15,19,13,12,17,5,6,3,10,7,4,28,22,9,26,2,29,21,20,30,24,1,25,14,16,27 : 30



## 7. Correlation Ranking Filter - Balanced Dataset

=== Attribute Selection on all input data ===

Search Method:

Attribute ranking.

Attribute Evaluator (supervised, Class (nominal): 31 Class):

Correlation Ranking Filter

Ranked attributes:

0.7558	15	V14
0.7068	5	V4
0.6856	12	V11
0.6852	13	V12
0.624	11	V10
0.5887	17	V16
0.5628	4	V3
0.556	18	V17
0.5409	10	V9
0.4832	3	V2
0.4712	8	V7
0.4582	19	V18
0.4293	2	V1
0.3848	7	V6
0.3782	6	V5
0.2504	20	V19
0.1796	1	Time
0.1453	21	V20
0.1369	22	V21
0.1009	28	V27
0.0997	25	V24
0.0899	29	V28
0.0623	26	V25
0.0578	30	Amount
0.0561	14	V13
0.0501	27	V26
0.0467	24	V23
0.0463	9	V8
0.046	16	V15
0.0353	23	V22

Selected attributes: 15,5,12,13,11,17,4,18,10,3,8,19,2,7,6,20,1,21,22,28,25,29,26,30,14,27,24,9,16,23 : 30

## 8. Correlation Ranking Filter - Unbalanced Dataset

=== Attribute Selection on all input data ===

Search Method:

Attribute ranking.

Attribute Evaluator (supervised, Class (nominal): 31 Class):

Correlation Ranking Filter

Ranked attributes:

0.326481	18	V17
0.302544	15	V14
0.260593	13	V12
0.216883	11	V10
0.196539	17	V16
0.192961	4	V3
0.187257	8	V7
0.154876	12	V11
0.133447	5	V4
0.111485	19	V18
0.101347	2	V1
0.097733	10	V9
0.094974	6	V5
0.091289	3	V2
0.043643	7	V6
0.040413	22	V21
0.034783	20	V19
0.02009	21	V20
0.019875	9	V8
0.01758	28	V27
0.012323	1	Time
0.009536	29	V28
0.007221	25	V24
0.005632	30	Amount
0.00457	14	V13
0.004455	27	V26
0.004223	16	V15
0.003308	26	V25
0.002685	24	V23
0.000805	23	V22

Selected attributes: 18,15,13,11,17,4,8,12,5,19,2,10,6,3,7,22,20,21,9,28,1,29,25,30,14,27,16,26,24,23 : 30

# Classifier Models

## 1. Random Forest

### 1. Information Gain Ranking Filter - Balanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	85384	99.9309 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	59	0.0691 %
Kappa statistic	0.801	
Mean absolute error	0.0018	
Root mean squared error	0.0251	
Relative absolute error	0.3648 %	
Root relative squared error	5.0152 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	1.000	0.217	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.801	0.941	1.000	no
	0.783	0.000	0.821	0.783	0.801	0.801	0.941	0.811	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.999	0.217	0.999	0.999	0.999	0.801	0.941	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
85265	26	a = no
33	119	b = yes

### 2. Information Gain Ranking Filter - Unbalanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	85390	99.938 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	53	0.062 %
Kappa statistic	0.8224	
Mean absolute error	0.0017	
Root mean squared error	0.0248	
Relative absolute error	0.3472 %	
Root relative squared error	4.9597 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	1.000	0.191	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.823	0.938	1.000	no
	0.809	0.000	0.837	0.809	0.823	0.823	0.938	0.804	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.999	0.190	0.999	0.999	0.999	0.823	0.938	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
85267	24	a = no
29	123	b = yes

### 3. OneR feature evaluator - Balanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	85390	99.938 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	53	0.062 %
Kappa statistic	0.8224	
Mean absolute error	0.0017	
Root mean squared error	0.0248	
Relative absolute error	0.3472 %	
Root relative squared error	4.9597 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	1.000	0.191	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.823	0.938	1.000	no
	0.809	0.000	0.837	0.809	0.823	0.823	0.938	0.804	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.999	0.190	0.999	0.999	0.999	0.823	0.938	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

	a	b	<-- classified as
85267	24		a = no
29	123		b = yes

### 4. OneR feature evaluator - Unbalanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	85390	99.938 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	53	0.062 %
Kappa statistic	0.8224	
Mean absolute error	0.0017	
Root mean squared error	0.0248	
Relative absolute error	0.3472 %	
Root relative squared error	4.9597 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	1.000	0.191	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.823	0.938	1.000	no
	0.809	0.000	0.837	0.809	0.823	0.823	0.938	0.804	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.999	0.190	0.999	0.999	0.999	0.823	0.938	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

	a	b	<-- classified as
85267	24		a = no
29	123		b = yes

## 5. Gain Ratio feature evaluator - Balanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	85390	99.938 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	53	0.062 %
Kappa statistic	0.8224	
Mean absolute error	0.0017	
Root mean squared error	0.0248	
Relative absolute error	0.3472 %	
Root relative squared error	4.9597 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	1.000	0.191	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.823	0.938	1.000	no
	0.809	0.000	0.837	0.809	0.823	0.823	0.938	0.804	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.999	0.190	0.999	0.999	0.999	0.823	0.938	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
85267	24	a = no
29	123	b = yes

## 6. Gain Ratio feature evaluator - Unbalanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	85368	99.9122 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	75	0.0878 %
Kappa statistic	0.7187	
Mean absolute error	0.0028	
Root mean squared error	0.0299	
Relative absolute error	0.5506 %	
Root relative squared error	5.9872 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	1.000	0.368	0.999	1.000	1.000	0.726	0.914	1.000	no
	0.632	0.000	0.835	0.632	0.719	0.726	0.914	0.672	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.999	0.368	0.999	0.999	0.999	0.726	0.914	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
85272	19	a = no
56	96	b = yes

## 7. Correlation Ranking Filter - Balanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	85392	99.9403 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	51	0.0597 %
Kappa statistic	0.8256	
Mean absolute error	0.0016	
Root mean squared error	0.0242	
Relative absolute error	0.3291 %	
Root relative squared error	4.8487 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	1.000	0.204	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.826	0.941	1.000	no
	0.796	0.000	0.858	0.796	0.826	0.826	0.941	0.804	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.999	0.204	0.999	0.999	0.999	0.826	0.941	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
85271	20	a = no
31	121	b = yes

## 8. Correlation Ranking Filter - Unbalanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	85384	99.9309 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	59	0.0691 %
Kappa statistic	0.801	
Mean absolute error	0.0018	
Root mean squared error	0.025	
Relative absolute error	0.3563 %	
Root relative squared error	4.9946 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	1.000	0.217	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.801	0.945	1.000	no
	0.783	0.000	0.821	0.783	0.801	0.801	0.945	0.791	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.999	0.217	0.999	0.999	0.999	0.801	0.945	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
85265	26	a = no
33	119	b = yes

## 2. J48

### 1. Information Gain Ranking Filter - Balanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	85166	99.6758 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	277	0.3242 %
Kappa statistic	0.4649	
Mean absolute error	0.0032	
Root mean squared error	0.0564	
Relative absolute error	0.6431 %	
Root relative squared error	11.2921 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.997	0.204	1.000	0.997	0.998	0.511	0.897	1.000	no
	0.796	0.003	0.330	0.796	0.466	0.511	0.897	0.470	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.997	0.204	0.998	0.997	0.997	0.511	0.897	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
85045	246	a = no
31	121	b = yes

### 2. Information Gain Ranking Filter - Unbalanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	85253	99.7776 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	190	0.2224 %
Kappa statistic	0.5691	
Mean absolute error	0.0022	
Root mean squared error	0.0469	
Relative absolute error	0.4427 %	
Root relative squared error	9.3846 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.998	0.171	1.000	0.998	0.999	0.599	0.914	1.000	no
	0.829	0.002	0.434	0.829	0.570	0.599	0.914	0.532	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.998	0.171	0.999	0.998	0.998	0.599	0.914	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
85127	164	a = no
26	126	b = yes

### 3. OneR feature evaluator - Balanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	85253	99.7776 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	190	0.2224 %
Kappa statistic	0.5691	
Mean absolute error	0.0022	
Root mean squared error	0.0469	
Relative absolute error	0.4427 %	
Root relative squared error	9.3846 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.998	0.171	1.000	0.998	0.999	0.599	0.914	1.000	no
	0.829	0.002	0.434	0.829	0.570	0.599	0.914	0.532	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.998	0.171	0.999	0.998	0.998	0.599	0.914	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
85127	164	a = no
26	126	b = yes

### 4. OneR feature evaluator - Unbalanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	85253	99.7776 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	190	0.2224 %
Kappa statistic	0.5691	
Mean absolute error	0.0022	
Root mean squared error	0.0469	
Relative absolute error	0.4427 %	
Root relative squared error	9.3846 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.998	0.171	1.000	0.998	0.999	0.599	0.914	1.000	no
	0.829	0.002	0.434	0.829	0.570	0.599	0.914	0.532	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.998	0.171	0.999	0.998	0.998	0.599	0.914	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
85127	164	a = no
26	126	b = yes

## 5. Gain Ratio feature evaluator - Balanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	85253	99.7776 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	190	0.2224 %
Kappa statistic	0.5691	
Mean absolute error	0.0022	
Root mean squared error	0.0469	
Relative absolute error	0.4427 %	
Root relative squared error	9.3846 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.998	0.171	1.000	0.998	0.999	0.599	0.914	1.000	no
	0.829	0.002	0.434	0.829	0.570	0.599	0.914	0.532	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.998	0.171	0.999	0.998	0.998	0.599	0.914	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
85127	164	a = no
26	126	b = yes

## 6. Gain Ratio feature evaluator - Unbalanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	85026	99.512 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	417	0.488 %
Kappa statistic	0.3267	
Mean absolute error	0.0048	
Root mean squared error	0.0693	
Relative absolute error	0.9694 %	
Root relative squared error	13.8722 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.996	0.329	0.999	0.996	0.998	0.380	0.834	0.999	no
	0.671	0.004	0.217	0.671	0.329	0.380	0.834	0.292	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.995	0.328	0.998	0.995	0.996	0.380	0.834	0.998	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
84924	367	a = no
50	102	b = yes



## 7. Correlation Ranking Filter - Balanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	85262	99.7882 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	181	0.2118 %
Kappa statistic	0.581	
Mean absolute error	0.0021	
Root mean squared error	0.0458	
Relative absolute error	0.4217 %	
Root relative squared error	9.1591 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.998	0.171	1.000	0.998	0.999	0.609	0.914	1.000	no
	0.829	0.002	0.448	0.829	0.582	0.609	0.914	0.532	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.998	0.171	0.999	0.998	0.998	0.609	0.914	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
85136	155	a = no
26	126	b = yes

## 8. Correlation Ranking Filter - Unbalanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	85195	99.7097 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	248	0.2903 %
Kappa statistic	0.5028	
Mean absolute error	0.0029	
Root mean squared error	0.0535	
Relative absolute error	0.5773 %	
Root relative squared error	10.7095 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.997	0.171	1.000	0.997	0.999	0.547	0.914	1.000	no
	0.829	0.003	0.362	0.829	0.504	0.547	0.914	0.567	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.997	0.171	0.999	0.997	0.998	0.547	0.914	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
85069	222	a = no
26	126	b = yes

### 3. Naïve Bayes

#### 1. Information Gain Ranking Filter - Balanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	82498	96.5533 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	2945	3.4467 %
Kappa statistic	0.0803	
Mean absolute error	0.0426	
Root mean squared error	0.1745	
Relative absolute error	8.5306 %	
Root relative squared error	34.9146 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.966	0.118	1.000	0.966	0.982	0.192	0.951	1.000	no
	0.882	0.034	0.044	0.882	0.083	0.192	0.952	0.168	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.966	0.118	0.998	0.966	0.981	0.192	0.951	0.998	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
82364	2927	a = no
18	134	b = yes

#### 2. Information Gain Ranking Filter - Unbalanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	83967	98.2725 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	1476	1.7275 %
Kappa statistic	0.147	
Mean absolute error	0.023	
Root mean squared error	0.1209	
Relative absolute error	4.6027 %	
Root relative squared error	24.1806 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.983	0.145	1.000	0.983	0.991	0.262	0.965	1.000	no
	0.855	0.017	0.082	0.855	0.150	0.262	0.965	0.575	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.983	0.145	0.998	0.983	0.990	0.262	0.965	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
83837	1454	a = no
22	130	b = yes

### 3. OneR feature evaluator - Balanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	83967	98.2725 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	1476	1.7275 %
Kappa statistic	0.147	
Mean absolute error	0.023	
Root mean squared error	0.1209	
Relative absolute error	4.6027 %	
Root relative squared error	24.1806 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.983	0.145	1.000	0.983	0.991	0.262	0.965	1.000	no
	0.855	0.017	0.082	0.855	0.150	0.262	0.965	0.575	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.983	0.145	0.998	0.983	0.990	0.262	0.965	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
83837	1454	a = no
22	130	b = yes

### 4. OneR feature evaluator - Unbalanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	83967	98.2725 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	1476	1.7275 %
Kappa statistic	0.147	
Mean absolute error	0.023	
Root mean squared error	0.1209	
Relative absolute error	4.6027 %	
Root relative squared error	24.1806 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.983	0.145	1.000	0.983	0.991	0.262	0.965	1.000	no
	0.855	0.017	0.082	0.855	0.150	0.262	0.965	0.575	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.983	0.145	0.998	0.983	0.990	0.262	0.965	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
83837	1454	a = no
22	130	b = yes

## 5. Gain Ratio feature evaluator - Balanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	83967	98.2725 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	1476	1.7275 %
Kappa statistic	0.147	
Mean absolute error	0.023	
Root mean squared error	0.1209	
Relative absolute error	4.6027 %	
Root relative squared error	24.1806 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.983	0.145	1.000	0.983	0.991	0.262	0.965	1.000	no
	0.855	0.017	0.082	0.855	0.150	0.262	0.965	0.575	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.983	0.145	0.998	0.983	0.990	0.262	0.965	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
83837	1454	a = no
22	130	b = yes

## 6. Gain Ratio feature evaluator - Unbalanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	82695	96.7838 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	2748	3.2162 %
Kappa statistic	0.076	
Mean absolute error	0.0443	
Root mean squared error	0.1672	
Relative absolute error	8.8684 %	
Root relative squared error	33.4456 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.968	0.224	1.000	0.968	0.984	0.175	0.943	1.000	no
	0.776	0.032	0.042	0.776	0.079	0.175	0.943	0.086	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.968	0.223	0.998	0.968	0.982	0.175	0.943	0.998	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
82577	2714	a = no
34	118	b = yes

## 7. Correlation Ranking Filter - Balanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	83756	98.0256 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	1687	1.9744 %
Kappa statistic	0.1316	
Mean absolute error	0.0248	
Root mean squared error	0.1301	
Relative absolute error	4.9538 %	
Root relative squared error	26.0168 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.980	0.138	1.000	0.980	0.990	0.247	0.969	1.000	no
	0.862	0.020	0.073	0.862	0.134	0.247	0.969	0.341	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.980	0.138	0.998	0.980	0.988	0.247	0.969	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
83625	1666	a = no
21	131	b = yes

## 8. Correlation Ranking Filter - Unbalanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	84043	98.3615 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	1400	1.6385 %
Kappa statistic	0.1549	
Mean absolute error	0.024	
Root mean squared error	0.1195	
Relative absolute error	4.793 %	
Root relative squared error	23.9104 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.984	0.138	1.000	0.984	0.992	0.270	0.977	1.000	no
	0.862	0.016	0.087	0.862	0.158	0.270	0.977	0.515	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.984	0.138	0.998	0.984	0.990	0.270	0.977	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
83912	1379	a = no
21	131	b = yes

## 4. Multilayer Perceptron

### 1. Information Gain Ranking Filter - Balanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	82302	96.3239 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	3141	3.6761 %
Kappa statistic	0.0755	
Mean absolute error	0.0714	
Root mean squared error	0.1473	
Relative absolute error	14.2744 %	
Root relative squared error	29.4605 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.963	0.118	1.000	0.963	0.981	0.186	0.949	1.000	no
	0.882	0.037	0.041	0.882	0.079	0.186	0.949	0.687	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.963	0.118	0.998	0.963	0.980	0.186	0.949	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
82168	3123	a = no
18	134	b = yes

### 2. Information Gain Ranking Filter - Unbalanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	83087	97.2426 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	2356	2.7574 %
Kappa statistic	0.1005	
Mean absolute error	0.0613	
Root mean squared error	0.1563	
Relative absolute error	12.2554 %	
Root relative squared error	31.2695 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.973	0.105	1.000	0.973	0.986	0.218	0.943	1.000	no
	0.895	0.027	0.055	0.895	0.104	0.218	0.943	0.348	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.972	0.105	0.998	0.972	0.984	0.218	0.943	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
82951	2340	a = no
16	136	b = yes

### 3. OneR feature evaluator - Balanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	83087	97.2426 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	2356	2.7574 %
Kappa statistic	0.1005	
Mean absolute error	0.0613	
Root mean squared error	0.1563	
Relative absolute error	12.2554 %	
Root relative squared error	31.2695 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.973	0.105	1.000	0.973	0.986	0.218	0.943	1.000	no
	0.895	0.027	0.055	0.895	0.104	0.218	0.943	0.348	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.972	0.105	0.998	0.972	0.984	0.218	0.943	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
82951	2340	a = no
16	136	b = yes

### 4. OneR feature evaluator - Unbalanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	83087	97.2426 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	2356	2.7574 %
Kappa statistic	0.1005	
Mean absolute error	0.0613	
Root mean squared error	0.1563	
Relative absolute error	12.2554 %	
Root relative squared error	31.2695 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.973	0.105	1.000	0.973	0.986	0.218	0.943	1.000	no
	0.895	0.027	0.055	0.895	0.104	0.218	0.943	0.348	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.972	0.105	0.998	0.972	0.984	0.218	0.943	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
82951	2340	a = no
16	136	b = yes

## 5. Gain Ratio feature evaluator - Balanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	83087	97.2426 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	2356	2.7574 %
Kappa statistic	0.1005	
Mean absolute error	0.0613	
Root mean squared error	0.1563	
Relative absolute error	12.2554 %	
Root relative squared error	31.2695 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.973	0.105	1.000	0.973	0.986	0.218	0.943	1.000	no
	0.895	0.027	0.055	0.895	0.104	0.218	0.943	0.348	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.972	0.105	0.998	0.972	0.984	0.218	0.943	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
82951	2340	a = no
16	136	b = yes

## 6. Gain Ratio feature evaluator - Unbalanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	81877	95.8265 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	3566	4.1735 %
Kappa statistic	0.0677	
Mean absolute error	0.1544	
Root mean squared error	0.2181	
Relative absolute error	30.883 %	
Root relative squared error	43.6374 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.958	0.105	1.000	0.958	0.979	0.177	0.969	1.000	no
	0.895	0.042	0.037	0.895	0.071	0.177	0.969	0.583	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.958	0.105	0.998	0.958	0.977	0.177	0.969	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
81741	3550	a = no
16	136	b = yes



## 7. Correlation Ranking Filter - Balanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	81948	95.9096 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	3495	4.0904 %
Kappa statistic	0.0636	
Mean absolute error	0.0878	
Root mean squared error	0.188	
Relative absolute error	17.5696 %	
Root relative squared error	37.6194 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.959	0.178	1.000	0.959	0.979	0.164	0.920	1.000	no
	0.822	0.041	0.035	0.822	0.067	0.164	0.921	0.099	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.959	0.177	0.998	0.959	0.977	0.164	0.920	0.998	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
81823	3468	a = no
27	125	b = yes

## 8. Correlation Ranking Filter - Unbalanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	82324	96.3496 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	3119	3.6504 %
Kappa statistic	0.0749	
Mean absolute error	0.0655	
Root mean squared error	0.1476	
Relative absolute error	13.0985 %	
Root relative squared error	29.5242 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.964	0.132	1.000	0.964	0.981	0.184	0.971	1.000	no
	0.868	0.036	0.041	0.868	0.078	0.184	0.971	0.687	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.963	0.131	0.998	0.963	0.980	0.184	0.971	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
82192	3099	a = no
20	132	b = yes

## 5. Simple Logistic

### 1. Information Gain Ranking Filter - Balanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	83210	97.3866 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	2233	2.6134 %
Kappa statistic	0.1042	
Mean absolute error	0.1276	
Root mean squared error	0.1812	
Relative absolute error	25.5279 %	
Root relative squared error	36.2419 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.974	0.118	1.000	0.974	0.987	0.221	0.954	1.000	no
	0.882	0.026	0.057	0.882	0.107	0.221	0.954	0.679	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.974	0.118	0.998	0.974	0.985	0.221	0.954	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
83076	2215	a = no
18	134	b = yes

### 2. Information Gain Ranking Filter - Unbalanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	80949	94.7404 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	4494	5.2596 %
Kappa statistic	0.0522	
Mean absolute error	0.1581	
Root mean squared error	0.2234	
Relative absolute error	31.6296 %	
Root relative squared error	44.69 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.948	0.132	1.000	0.948	0.973	0.152	0.958	1.000	no
	0.868	0.052	0.029	0.868	0.055	0.152	0.958	0.617	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.947	0.131	0.998	0.947	0.971	0.152	0.958	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
80817	4474	a = no
20	132	b = yes

### 3. OneR feature evaluator - Balanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	80949	94.7404 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	4494	5.2596 %
Kappa statistic	0.0522	
Mean absolute error	0.1581	
Root mean squared error	0.2234	
Relative absolute error	31.6296 %	
Root relative squared error	44.69 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.948	0.132	1.000	0.948	0.973	0.152	0.958	1.000	no
	0.868	0.052	0.029	0.868	0.055	0.152	0.958	0.617	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.947	0.131	0.998	0.947	0.971	0.152	0.958	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
80817	4474	a = no
20	132	b = yes

### 4. OneR feature evaluator - Unbalanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	80949	94.7404 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	4494	5.2596 %
Kappa statistic	0.0522	
Mean absolute error	0.1581	
Root mean squared error	0.2234	
Relative absolute error	31.6296 %	
Root relative squared error	44.69 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.948	0.132	1.000	0.948	0.973	0.152	0.958	1.000	no
	0.868	0.052	0.029	0.868	0.055	0.152	0.958	0.617	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.947	0.131	0.998	0.947	0.971	0.152	0.958	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
80817	4474	a = no
20	132	b = yes

## 5. Gain Ratio feature evaluator - Balanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	80949	94.7404 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	4494	5.2596 %
Kappa statistic	0.0522	
Mean absolute error	0.1581	
Root mean squared error	0.2234	
Relative absolute error	31.6296 %	
Root relative squared error	44.69 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.948	0.132	1.000	0.948	0.973	0.152	0.958	1.000	no
	0.868	0.052	0.029	0.868	0.055	0.152	0.958	0.617	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.947	0.131	0.998	0.947	0.971	0.152	0.958	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
80817	4474	a = no
20	132	b = yes

## 6. Gain Ratio feature evaluator - Unbalanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	83415	97.6265 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	2028	2.3735 %
Kappa statistic	0.0997	
Mean absolute error	0.2915	
Root mean squared error	0.3083	
Relative absolute error	58.309 %	
Root relative squared error	61.6684 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.977	0.237	1.000	0.977	0.988	0.201	0.929	1.000	no
	0.763	0.023	0.055	0.763	0.103	0.201	0.929	0.497	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.976	0.236	0.998	0.976	0.986	0.201	0.929	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
83299	1992	a = no
36	116	b = yes

## 7. Correlation Ranking Filter - Balanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	81948	95.9096 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	3495	4.0904 %
Kappa statistic	0.0636	
Mean absolute error	0.0878	
Root mean squared error	0.188	
Relative absolute error	17.5696 %	
Root relative squared error	37.6194 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.959	0.178	1.000	0.959	0.979	0.164	0.920	1.000	no
	0.822	0.041	0.035	0.822	0.067	0.164	0.921	0.099	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.959	0.177	0.998	0.959	0.977	0.164	0.920	0.998	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
81823	3468	a = no
27	125	b = yes

## 8. Correlation Ranking Filter - Unbalanced Dataset

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	81868	95.8159 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	3575	4.1841 %
Kappa statistic	0.068	
Mean absolute error	0.1242	
Root mean squared error	0.1967	
Relative absolute error	24.8437 %	
Root relative squared error	39.3482 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.958	0.099	1.000	0.958	0.979	0.178	0.978	1.000	no
	0.901	0.042	0.037	0.901	0.071	0.178	0.978	0.665	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.958	0.099	0.998	0.958	0.977	0.178	0.978	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
81731	3560	a = no
15	137	b = yes

## 2nd Approach example

Tried a few models but no better results so restarted again.

One of the example:

**TRAIN : Approach 1 balanced Dataset (100%) + TEST: Unbalanced Dataset (30%)**

### J48 + Info Gain Attribute Selection

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	84860	99.3177 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	583	0.6823 %
Kappa statistic	0.3566	
Mean absolute error	0.0068	
Root mean squared error	0.0818	
Relative absolute error	1.3603 %	
Root relative squared error	16.4479 %	
Total Number of Instances	85443	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.993	0.000	1.000	0.993	0.997	0.466	0.998	1.000	no
	1.000	0.007	0.218	1.000	0.359	0.466	0.998	0.385	yes
Weighted Avg.	0.993	0.000	0.999	0.993	0.995	0.466	0.998	0.999	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	<-- classified as
84697	583	a = no
0	163	b = yes

## Conclusion

After trying multiple approaches and starting the project from scratch, classifier accuracy still gives approx 99% for most, error need to be rectify. Possible reason of error could be:

- **Sampling error:** In R on trying sampling only hybrid sampling it able to balnace data, no other sampling or strata sampling in JMP also not working. Possible some different language needs to be used for sampling .
- **Wrong Methodology:** While building the classifier some wrong procedure is being followed.
- One more **point to be considered** is that the attribute values are PCA components, numeric values which are leading to a perfect model. If we had known which attribute signifies what we could have made better choices, e.g. If we know its Address1/ Mobile number's PCA attribute then we could have skip that as that may not help in building good model.
- Option could be to try all possible sets to get the best model which will require a lot time frame as more than 100 model could be possible considering set of 6 attributes and 4 classifier algorithms.