COMP 520 Milestone 2

Rajveer Gandhi, Archit Agnihotri, Dipanjan Dutta

Abstract

This is a design and implementation report for Milestone 2 of the GoLite project.

1 Introduction

For the purposes of our project, we use a subset language of Go, called GoLite and implement the specifications according to those given in class. In this milestone, we were asked to design and implement the symbol table and the typechecker. We were given the design specifications and we implemented the symbol table and the typechecker according to these specifications.

In this report, we aimed for lucidity and reproducibility and covered most of the details of our design.

2 Design Decisions

2.1 Syntax tree

The syntax tree created in the first milestone had a lot of bugs so we decided to recreate the syntax tree altogether. Previously, we had one single convoluted NODE with a single type. This time around, the node is split up to support multiple types of nodes. This allowed us to easily manage the coding structure and help traverse the syntax tree with lesser difficulty. The different types of nodes that are defined are as follows:

- PROGRAM: Program node, root or beginning of the tree.
- \bullet PACKAGE: Package declaration.
- TOPLEVELDECL: Top level declarations. Can be one of the following:
 - FUNCDCL: Function declaration
 - -DCL: Non-function declaration. They can be:
 - * VARDCL: Top level variable declaration
 - * TYPEDCL: Top level type declaration
- \bullet $FUNC_SIGNATURE$: Function signature.
- PARAM LIST: Parameter list for a function signature.
- IDLIST: List of identifiers for short declarations, assignments and top-level declarations.
- \bullet TYPE: Type of a declaration.
- \bullet STRUCT TYPE: Block of the structure.
- \bullet BLOCK: Block of code enclosed by braces.
- \bullet STATEMENTS: Node acting as a linked list for consecutive statements.
- \bullet STATEMENT : Program statement.
- ELSE BLOCK: Special node for else part of an if block.
- \bullet SWITCH CONDITION : Condition for a switch block.
- SWITCH CASELIST: Linked-list like node for all the cases and default case for the switch block.

- EXPRLIST: Linked-list like node for expressions (for multiple assignments in one line).
- FOR CONDITION: Node for the condition of a for loop.
- SIMPLE : Simple statement.
- OTHER EXPR: Other statements (including function calls, struct member selector and slice indexing).

2.2 Symbol Table

The symbol table script was designed by Raj and Archit. The symbol table was created using a an array of the SYMBOL struct. In a logical sense, the symbol table is designed like a stack of frames, where each frame is associated with its corresponding AST node. The check for printing the symbol table is stored in a external integer variable g tokens.

The data structure for the symbol table is as follows:

- Symbol Table : The main symbol table frame structure. It consists of :
 - SYMBOL*table[HashSize]: An array of SYMBOL type for symbols in the symbol table. The HashSize is set to be 317.
 - SymbolTable * parent : Pointer to the parent table of the current symbol table (you can think of it as pointer to the previous element in stack)
- \bullet SYMBOL: Data structure to define the symbol. It consists of:
 - name: String to store the name of the symbol.
 - -SYMBOL*next: Pointer to the next symbol in the current symbol table.
 - symTYPE* data: Type of the symbol and additional information about the symbol.
- symTYPE: This structure is used to store the type of the symbol. It is used for printing the symbol table and can furthur be used in typechecking. It consists of:
 - enumSymbolCategory: The category of the symbol. It can be:
 - * type category : Declared type.
 - $*\ variable_category$: Declared variable.
 - $*\ function_category:$ Declared function.
 - * constant_category : Used specifically for "true" and "false". It is declared and added to the root symbol table for shadowing in the future.
 - enum symbol Type: It is used to identify whether it is a function declaration or not (as they are treated differently).
 - unionval: This contains a pointer to the TYPE and FUNC_SIGNATURE nodes of the AST.

In order to implement the symbol table, we defined some helper functions as well. These functions have some specific purpose, to help with printing the symbol table, to get a symbol from symbol table etc. The functions are described in the following subsections.

2.2.1 symIndent

The symIndent function is used to print proper indentation for the symbol table printing. For every indentation level (tracked by global integer variable $g_symIndent$) 4 spaces are printed.

2.2.2 Hash

This function is used to create the corresponding hash for a symbol, in order to map and store it in the symbol table. Hashing is done by Division-remainder method.

2.2.3 initSymbolTable

In this function, a node of type SymbolTable is created and initialized. The table array is initialized and all elements are set to null in order to avoid segmentation faults due to bad dereferencing. The parent of the symbol table is also set to null. The newly created symbol table is then returned.

2.2.4 scopeSymbolTable

This function is called when a new sub table (or sub-block or stack element) is to be created with new scope, inside the current symbol table. initSymbolTable is called to create a new SymbolTable frame. The parent of the SymbolTable frame is set to be the symbol table frame in which the scope was at the time of this function call. The function returns the new SymbolTable node.

2.2.5 putSymbol

This function is used to store a symbol in the current scoped SymbolTable frame.

- If the symbol is " ", the symbol is not put in the symbol table and a NULL is returned.
- If the symbol is already defined in the current scoped frame, an error is thrown.

Otherwise, the symbol is added to the current frame, along with its type and category. If the type is not given, we set the type as NULL. If g tokens is 1, the symbol is printed as well. It also returns the symbol.

2.2.6 scopeInc and scopeDec

It increments and decrements the $g_symIndent$ variable for printing the symbol table, respectively. It also prints the "{" and "}" for the opening and closing of symbol table frame.

2.2.7 printSymbol

This function pretty prints a symbol from the symbol table. First it prints the correct indentation (calls $g_symIndent$). Then it finds out the category of the symbol and stores it in sym_cat . It then prints according to the type of the symbol. If it is a basic, array, slice or struct type, it calls the prettyTYPE function from the pretty printer. Otherwise, if it is a function declaration, it calls a special pretty printer function $symPrettyFUNC_SIGNATURE$ that prints the function symbol in proper format. If the type is not known or to be derived from R.H.S. of an expression, "<infer>" is printed as an indication that it has to be inferred during typechecking phase.

2.2.8 getSymbol

This function is used to fetch a symbol from the symbol table. It uses a recursive approach to traverse through the stack of frames. If, on reaching the root frame, the symbol is not found, an error is thrown stating that the symbol is not declared. If, however, the symbol is found in a frame, it immediately returns the symbol.

2.2.9 defSymbol

This is similar to *getSymbol*, however, it returns a boolean value. It returns true if the symbol is found, false otherwise. It is used in checks while traversing the AST to create the symbol table. The purpose of creating function is to not handle *NULL* pointers in any check.

2.2.10 initSymType

This function sets the type and category of a symbol and returns a symTYPE variable. It takes a void pointer p that contains the type of the symbol, and the enum value of the symbol type. p is casted appropriately and stored in the val union of the symTYPE node.

2.2.11 Predeclared symbols

The symbol table contains the following predeclared symbols :

- 1. **int**
- 2. float64
- 3. rune
- 4. string
- 5. bool
- 6. true
- 7. false

The last two symbols are of constant type and used for shadowing purposes.

2.2.12 Scoping

The first challenge we faced while generating the symbol table was creating nested scopes/frames. We came up with a plan of creating a new frame (by calling scopeSymbolTable) every time we encounter a block of code or branching from the flow of the program. A new frame is created for every :

- struct body
- code enclosed in braces
- function declaration
- for loop
- switch block
- case in a switch block
- if block
- else-if/else block

All variables in parent frames can be redeclared in these frames but a variable declared in this frame cannot be redeclared in the same frame.

Infinite loops and while loops also have separate frames for symbol table, but thy are essentially the same frame as created for a block of code. For loop can have declaration in the first part of the three-part, thus, we decided to create a separate condition for frame before the recursion.

2.2.13 Short Declarations

Another challenge we faced was to ensure that every short declaration must have at least one undeclared variable. To implement that, we used a local boolean variable atLeastOneVarNotDeclared (initially set to false). We looped through the L.H.S. of the short declaration statement and checked whether a variable is defined in the symbol table or not. If we see that the variable is not defined, we add that variable to the symbol table and change the value of atLeastOneVarNotDeclared to true. If, after traversing through the identifier list in the L.H.S. of the statement, the value of atLeastOneVarNotDeclared is false, we throw an error and exit.

2.3 Typechecker

The typechecker was created in a fashion very similar to what was discussed in the lecture slides. The typechecker has a recursive approach where a program component is well-typed if all its sub-components are well typed and it follows its own typechecking rule. In order to implement typing comparisons and set types of expressions, we used the symTYPE struct from symbol.h. A new variable of this structure is created after evaluating an expression and the return type of that expression is stored in this struct. We decided to use this struct because it helps brings a standard in the typing of expressions in our code. We added a symTYPE variable to the EXPR and OTHER EXPR nodes.

2.3.1 Scoping rules

The scoping rules are consistent with the Milestone 2 language specifications.

- Blocks define a scope. Blocks can be:
 - struct body
 - code enclosed in braces
 - function declaration
 - for loop
 - switch block
 - case in a switch block
 - if block
 - else-if/else block

- A variable/type/function declared in a scope can be accessed in that scope
- A variable/type/function declared in an outer scope can be redeclared in an inner scope.
- A variable/type/function declared in current scope cannot be redeclared in current scope.
- A variable/type/function cannot be accessed before declaration.

2.3.2 Helper functions

In order to implement typechecking, we created a few helper functions to perform some tasks need for typechecking.

- initTypes: Creates the types for the predeclared symbols in the symbol table. (int, float64, rune, string, bool, "true" and "false"). "true" and "false" are set as boolean types for shadowing.
- has Same Type: It checks whether two given symTYPE variables have same base type or not. If they do, the function returns true. Otherwise an error is thrown.
- isBool, isNumeric, isInteger, isNumericOrString, isStruct and isSliceOrArray: These four helper functions check whether a given type is the same as the other one or not. If it is not, it gives an error message saying that it is an incorrect type and exits with status code 1. Otherwise, it returns true.

2.3.3 Top-level declarations

A top level declaration can be of three types. Each has its own typechecking rule.

- Variable declaration: For every variable declaration in the top-level, we go through the L.H.S. of the declaration (*idlist*) and we see if there is an expression on the R.H.S., it should be well-typed. Then we check for previous declaration of the variable. If undeclared, we add a mapping of the variable to the type of the R.H.S. expression. If it is declared in an outer scope, we create the new mapping as a shadow of the outer mapping. If it is declared in the current scope, we throw an error.
- Type declaration: Similar to variable declaration. We check if it is declared previously. If undeclared, we add a mapping of the type to the underlying type. If it is declared in an outer scope, we create the new mapping as a shadow of the outer mapping. If it is declared in the current scope, we throw an error.
- Function declaration: We check the function signature first. If the function of that name is already declared, we throw an error. Otherwise we typecheck the block of the function. We have two special functions that needed to be typechecked with special conditions:
 - init: The init function cannot have any parameters or return types. It can, however, be redeclared.
 - main: The main function cannot have any parameters or return types.

After this we recursive typecheck the block of the function.

2.3.4 Statements

Typechecking on statements is done using a linked-list like node *STATEMENTS*. For every statement, typechecking is done recursively for every component of the statement. Note that statements themselves do not have any a type of their own.

- Variable and type declarations : Similar to top-level declarations.
- Blocks : Blocks are typechecked recursively. It is well typed if all the statements in a block are well typed.
- break and continue statements: These statements are trivially well-typed. Placement of these statements are checked by the prior weeding pass.
- return statement : return statements are well-typed if :
 - The *expr* component is well-typed and the resolved type of *expr* is the same as the enclosing function return type.
 - There is no *expr* and the enclosing function has no return type.

Also, one thing we missed initially, but caught late on, is that we should typecheck all statements after the *return* statement, as it can be within a conditional or iterative block inside the function.

- \bullet if block: An if block is well-typed if:
 - Its initial statement is well typed (typechecked recursively for statement)
 - The conditional expression is well-typed and resolves to bool
 - The statements in the blocks typecheck (done by recursively typechecking the block)
- switch block: A switch block is well-typed if:
 - Its initial statement is well typed (typechecked recursively for statement)
 - The conditional expression is well-typed
 - The *case* expressions are well-typed and they resolve to the same type as the *switch* condition, otherwise an error is thrown.
 - All the statements under every case are recursively typechecked to we well-typed
 - If there is no switch condition (the symTYPE of the condition is NULL), then all case expressions
 must resolve to a bool.
- for loops: There are 3 kinds of "for" loops:
 - Infinite loop: It is well-typed if its body block is well-typed.
 - "while" loop: It is well typed if its conditional expression is well-typed and resolves to bool and its body block is well-typed. If the condition does not resolve to bool, an error is thrown.
 - for loop: It has three parts:
 - * The initial statement is recursively checked to be well-typed.
 - * The condition typechecks and resolves to bool, otherwise an error is thrown.
 - * The post-loop operation statement typechecks.

If the above three conditions are valid, we typecheck the body of the loop to be well-typed.

- print statements: print and println statements are well-typed if the expressions enclosed in it are all well-typed and resolves to a base type (int, float64, rune, string, bool). Declared types, even if derived from base types, are not allowed.
- Empty statements: They are trivially well-typed
- Increment and decrement statements: These are well-typed if the L.H.S. expression is well-typed and resolves to a numeric base type (int, float64 or rune)
- Short declarations : This was one of the trickiest typechecks. We checked that :
 - All expressions on R.H.S. and L.H.S. are well-typed (by recursion)
 - There is one variable in the L.H.S. that is not declared in the current scope (done by using a flag).
 If all variables are declared in current scope, an error is thrown.
 - The expressions in the R.H.S. must resolve to same type as the corresponding variables in L.H.S. that are declared in current scope (present in the current frame of symbol table).

This was one of the hardest typechecking. We performed several tests on the reference compiler and the Golang compiler and cross-referenced with the given specifications to get it right as much as possible.

- Assignment statements: For all assignment statements, both L.H.S. and R.H.S. are checked to be well-typed for every corresponding pair, by iterating over the *idlist* on L.H.S. and recursivey typechecking both L.H.S. and R.H.S. Every variable in the L.H.S. is checked to be already declared. Then for every pair, it is checked that the type of R.H.S. expression is the same as the type of L.H.S. variable as stored in the symbol table. If there is a type mismatch, an error is thrown.
- Op-assignment statements: Similar to assignment statements. For vop = expr, The operator acts as a function that has 2 operand of types typeof(v) and typeof(expr). Unlike assignment statements, the op-assignment returns a value of type same as typeof(v).

2.3.5 Expressions

Expressions are typechecked in a way similar to statements. Iterating over the linked-list like node *EXPRLIST*, we typecheck each expression recursively. The type of expression is selected using a switch-case block and typechecking is done according to whichever case is matched with the kind of expression.

- Expressions with binary operators: They are recursively typechecked for both L.H.S. and R.H.S. If they are well-typed, we check whether they resolve to same types and also, whether these types are accepted by the operator. For this, we used the specification given as reference and mimicked the behavior of the operators as specified. Finally, we set the type of the expression (by declaring a symTYPE variable) and set type to the resolved type of the expression, according to the specification table given.
- Unary operations: These are typechecked similar to binary operations. We check if the R.H.S. expression is well-typed. If it is, we look at the operator and see if the R.H.S. type is compatible with the operator (as mentioned in the specification). If so, we set *symTYPE* as the resolved type of the expression, otherwise we throw an error.
- Literals: These are trivially well-typed. We only check that a literal node has the corresponding type correct. For example, an *int* literal should have an *int* type etc.
- Identifiers: We check the identifier name in the symbol table. If present, it is well-typed and symTYPE is set as the type of the identifier in the symbol table. If it is not found in the symbol table, an error is thrown.
- Function calls: This was another difficult typechecking that we had to handle. Our approach was:
 - Recursively check all arguments are well-typed by iterating over the argument list.
 - The function is defined and has the parameter types same as that of the argument list (done by symbol table lookup).
 - The function name is not "init" (it cannot be called)
 - Since type casting is also handled as a function call, we handled them under this expression as well:
 - * The type resolves to one of the base types (by string comparison)
 - * The expression that is to be cast must be one of the types that are allowed to be cast to the target type. This is done by recursive typechecking the expression. (according to the specification)
 - * By recursively accessing the symbol table, we find out the underlying type of the type to be cast to and match it to the type of the expression, or both of them are numeric types, or it behaves like a conversion to string, where type can be string and expr can be an integer (int or rune). If not, an error is thrown.
- Array or slice indexing: We checked that the name of the array or slice is well-typed and present in the symbol table. Then we checked that the index specified is of type *int*. If so, then the expression's symTYPE has a type same as that specified in the symbol table. We do not check for out-of-bounds access.
- Struct member access: For expression like a.x, we:
 - Checked the type of a in the symbol table and if it is not a struct, we threw an error.
 - Checked if the nested symbol table frame of that struct has a field declared called x. If not, an error is thrown.

If both of these are valid, the expression returns the type of the variablex, as defined in the struct.

2.4 Weeder

The weeder is implemented in order to catch any syntactic errors that might not have been directly caught in the parser's grammar. The following checks were implemented in the weeder:

2.4.1 Single default case

The language specifies that there can be at most one default case inside a single switch block. We used a local variable *hasDefault* that is initially set to zero. If a default case is encountered, it is set to one. If another default case is found while *hasDefault* is 1, we throw an error. We used a local variable to correct weed through nested switch cases which are recursive calls. Once the switch block is complete, *hasDefault* is set back to zero.

2.4.2 Break and continue statements

According to the language specification, continue statements can only appear inside a for loop and break statements can only appear inside a for loop or switch block. We had two global static integer variables insideFor and insideSwitch that stores the level of depth inside a for loop and switch block, respectively (Initial values of both are zero). When a for loop is encountered, insideFor is incremented by 1 and control enters the for loop. When control comes back after finishing the for loop, insideFor is decremented by 1. The behaviour is similar for insideSwitch as well. If a continue statement is encountered when insideFor is zero, an error is thrown. If a break statement is encountered when both insideFor and insideSwitch are zero, an error is thrown. Making insideFor and insideSwitch global and integer helps weed nested loops and nested blocks in a program.

2.4.3 Blank identifier

According to Go specification, blank identifiers can be used:

- as an identifier in a declaration
- as an operand on the left side of an assignment
- as an identifier on left side of = assignment only
- as a parameter name in function declaration

We used a global boolean variable isBlankIdValid that is initially set to false. It is set to true before:

- the weeding of identifier lists of a declaration
- LHS of an assignment statement
- LHS of a short declaration statement

Whenever the recursive weeding of the above are done, the *isBlankIdValid* variable is set to false. If during any expression or statement evaluation, we encounter an "_" we check if *isBlankIdValid* is true or false. If it is false, we throw an error.

2.4.4 Unbalanced assignments

If the *LHS* and the *RHS* of an assignment or declaration statement contain unequal number of operands, the compile should quit with appropriate error. This is implemented with two local variables *lhsCount* and *rhsCount*. The *weedIDLIST* and *weedEXPRLIST* functions return the number of operands in the idlist in the *LHS* of an assignment or declaration, or the number of expressions in the *RHS* of the same. The counters are stored in *lhsCount* and *rhsCount* respectively. If they do not match, an error is thrown. Otherwise, the counters are reset to zero for the next statement.

2.4.5 Return statements

The language specifies that return statements can only be inside a function body. We use a static global integer variable *insideFunction* that is initially set to zero. When we are weeding the function declaration, we increment the *insideFunction* variable by one and then start weeding of the function block. As soon as weeding of the block is done and control comes back, we decrement *insideFunction* by one. If a return statement is encountered and the value of *insideFunction* is zero, an error is thrown. Use of an integer variable allows for weeding of nested functions (which are not supported in the language yet, but its a nice provision to have).

2.4.6 Terminating statements

The language specifies that for functions that has a return type, must have a terminating statement as the last statement. We implemented it by passing through the function body after weeding the block and finding the last statement of the body. We pass that statement as parameter to the helper function *isTerminating* in order to check if its a terminating statement or not.

- return statement: If the last statement is a return statement, the function returns true.
- block: If the last statement is a block, we pass through the statements of the block and recursively call is Terminating with the last statement of that block and returned the value returned by the recursive call.

- if statements: We declare two local bool variables: if Terminating and elseTerminating, both initially set to false.
 - If the *if* statement does not have an *else* part, the function returns zero.
 - If it has an empty if block, zero is returned, otherwise we recursively call isTerminating on the last statement of the block and store the value returned in ifReturning.
 - If it has an empty *else* block, zero is returned, otherwise we recursively call *isTerminating* on the last statement of the block and store the value returned in *elseReturning*.
 - If it has an empty else-if block, zero is returned, otherwise we recursively call isTerminating on the if-else block and store the value returned in elseReturning.

If, in the end, we return the value of ifReturningANDelseReturnung.

- for loop: According to the specification, a for loop is terminating if there are no break statements in the body and there is no loop condition. If these conditions are satisfied, 1 is returned otherwise 0 is returned.
- switch block: A switch block can be a terminating statement if there is no break in it (checked by traversing through all statements under ever case), it has a default case (checked using a local bool flag isDefault) and all the cases end in terminating statements (checked by recursive call on the last statement of every case in the case-list). If all the conditions are satisfied, the function returns 1 otherwise it returns 0.
- For all other statement types, the function returns zero.

If the returned value if false or zero, we throw an error and exit with status code 1. Additionally:

- If a function with non-void return type has a return without an expression, an error is thrown.
- If a function with no return type has a return statement with an expression, an error is thrown.

2.5 Invalid programs and their typing rule violation

- 1. $incompatible_type_append_with_slices.go: append$ is well-typed if the first term is a slice and the second term is of the same type as first type. Here, they have different type aliases (even though they derive the same base type).
- 2. $incorrect_number_of_function_call_arguments.go$: A function call is well-typed if all of its arguments are well-typed and it has the same number of arguments and the types of arguments are same as corresponding types of parameters. Here, the number of arguments do not match the number of parameters.
- 3. $incorrect_assignment_of_function_return_value.go$: An assignment statement is well-typed if both L.H.S. and R.H.S. are well typed and type of every pair of corresponding lvalue and expression is the same. In this program, the function returns a float64 but the variable it is stored into is of type int.
- 4. $invalid_adding_bool_and_string.go$: A + operation requires both its operands to be either numeric or string. In this program, the L.H.S. operand is of type boolean, which is a violation.
- 5. $invalid_function_return_value_diff_type_alias_arrays.go$: The return statement is well typed if :
 - It has no expression and its enclosing function has no return type
 - The type of the expression of return statement is the same as the function's return type.

In this program, the return type of the function is [5]num2 but the function returns [5]num1 (even though both num1 and num2 have same base types).

- 6. $invalid_assignment_return_value_array_size_mismatch.go$: Same rule as no. 3. Here the function returns [5]int but the value is stored in a variable of type [6]int
- 7. $invalid_non_boolean_case_for_switch_without_condition.go$: A switch block without condition is well-typed if all of its case condition expr resolves to bool. In this program, the switch does not have an expr condition and the case condition resolves to int.

- 8. $invalid_function_return_value_diff_type_alias.go$: Same typing rule as no. 5. In this program, the return type of the function is num2 but the function returns num1 (even though both num1 and num2 have same base types).
- 9. invalid int condition in if.go: An if statement type checks if:
 - Initial declaration, if present, type checks
 - Condition expression is well-typesd and resolves to type bool
 - \bullet Statements in the if block typechecks
 - Statements in else if/else blocks typecheck

In this program, the expression is well-typed but resolves to an *int* type instead of a *bool* type.

- 10. $invalid_non_boolean_condition_in_while_loop.go$: A "while" loop is well-typed if the condition expr resolves to bool type and its body typechecks. In this program, the expr condition evaluates to int type, which is a violation.
- 11. $invalid_return_statement_in_function.go$: Same typing rule as 5. Here, the function has int return type, but inside the function body, it return a string value.
- 12. invalid short dec type mismatch declared var.go: A short declaration is well-typed if:
 - All the expressions in R.H.S. are well typed
 - At least one variable in L.H.S. is undeclared
 - Declared variables in L.H.S. and corresponding expressions in R.H.S. must be of the same type.

In this program, the third clause is violated. Variable a, already declared as int, is assigned a string value.

- 13. $invalid_string_decrement.go$: Increment/decrement statements are well-typed if their expressions are well-typed and resolve to a numeric base type (int, float64 or rune). Here, decrement operation is done on a string expression.
- 14. $invalid_struct_member_assignment.go$: A field selection expr.id is well-typed if the expression is of a type that resolves to a struct and that struct contains the id. Here, p is of type a that has an int variable x, but it is being assigned a string value.
- 15. $invalid_type_assignment.go$: Same typing rule as no. 3. Here, p.y is of type a but the R.H.S. is of type c (even though the structs a and c have identical structure).
- 16. $invalid_type_comparison.go$: The binary expression == is well typed if both the operands are comparable (both of same types). Here, the operands are of type num1 and num2 respectively, hence they are in violation (even though the base types of num1 and num2 are int).
- 17. $invalid_type_comparison_2.go$: Same typing rule as no. 16. Here the variables are of types num1 and num2, but the num2 type is of type num1. However, they are still different types, so they are in conflict with the typing rule.
- 18. $invalid_type_comparison_3.go$: Same typing rule as no. 16. Here the two variables compared have two different struct types.
- 19. invalid_typecasting_from_string_to_int.go: A type cast type(expr) is well typed if:
 - type is well typed and resolves to a base type
 - \bullet expr is well typed and satisfies one of the three conditions:
 - (a) type and expr resolve to underlying same types
 - (b) type and expr resolve to numeric types
 - (c) type resolves to string and expr resolves to rune or int

Here, integer type casting is done in a string variable, which is in violation with the above rule.

20. $invalid_unary_not_op_on_int.go$: The unary logical NOT expression is well typed if the R.H.S. expression resolves to a bool value. In this program, NOT is done on an int variable.

- 21. invalid_unary_operation_on_string.go: The unary minus expression is well typed if the R.H.S. expression is well typed and resolves to a numeric type (int, float64 or rune). Here, the unary minus is implemented on a string variable.
- 22. $invalid_u sage_o f_v ariable_b efore_d eclaration.go$: In GoLite, identifiers must be declared before they are used. In this program, a is initialized with the value of b, but b is declared after a.
- 23. $invalid_use_of_or_operator.go$: The binary operation || (logical OR) is well typed if both its operands resolve to bool types. In this program, both the operands for the || operation are int values, which are not supported in GoLite.
- 24. $invalid_variable_array_size_declaration.go$: In GoLite, arrays declared must have a constant size and the size cannot be a variable. This program is in direct conflict with that rule.
- 25. $invalid_while_loop_int_condition.go$: The condition for while loop must resolve to a bool value. However, in this program, the condition for the while loop has an int type.
- 26. mismatched_type_in_op_assignment.go: In Go, the "+ =" operation requires both its operands to be of same type. In this program, L.H.S operand is of type float64 and R.H.S. operand is of type int.
- 27. redeclaration_of_variable_in_same_scope.go: This is actually in violation of a scoping rule. According to the scoping rules, a variable declared in a higher scope can be redeclared in a lower scope, but a variable (re)declared in a certain scope cannot be redeclared in the same scope (which is what is being implemented in this program).
- 28. switch_case_expression_type_mismatch.go: In a switch statement, the case expressions must have the same type as the switch condition. In this program, the switch condition expression is of type int but the case expressions are of type rune.
- 29. type_redeclaration.go: This program violates the same scoping rule as no. 27, which is applicable for types as well.
- 30. undeclared_variable.go: A variable cannot be used or accessed without declaring it, either by var or ":=" declarations.
- 31. $invalid_modulo_op_rune_float.go$: A modulo (%) operation is well typed if both its operands are integer values (int or rune). In this program, the % operation is done on a rune and a float64 operand.

3 Team member contribution

• Archit Agnihotri

- Created the symbol table
- Created the typechecker

• Rajveer Gandhi

- Created the symbol table
- Created the typechecker

• Dipanjan Dutta

- Created the weeder
- Created the typechecker
- Created the invalid programs
- Managed the report

• All of us

- Tested and debugged the programs