

The correlation matrix is defined thus:

Let $X = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ be the $m \times n$ data matrix: m observations, n variables.

Define $X_b = \left[\frac{(x_1 - \mu_1)e}{s_1}, \frac{(x_2 - \mu_2)e}{s_2}, \frac{(x_3 - \mu_3)e}{s_3}, \dots \right]$ as the matrix of normalized data, with μ_1 being mean for the variable 1, μ_2 the mean for variable 2, etc., and s_1 the standard deviation of variable 1, etc., and e is a vector of all 1s.

The correlation matrix is then

$$C = X_b' X_b$$

A matrix A is positive semi-definite if there is no vector z such that $z'Az < 0$.

→ **A** Symmetric $n \times n$ real matrix (M) is said to be **positive definite** if $z'Az > 0$ for all non-zero vector z . Suppose C is not positive definite. Then there exists a vector w such that $w'Cw < 0$. However $(w'Cw)^T = (w'X_b'X_bw) = (X_bw)'(X_bw) = z_1^2 + z_2^2 + \dots$, where $z = X_bw$, and thus $w'Cw$ is a sum of squares and therefore cannot be less than zero. So not only the correlation matrix but any matrix U which can be written in the form $V'V$ is positive semi-definite.

$$\text{Covariance} = E[(x - E(x))(y - E(y))] = \sigma(x, y)$$

→ for a sample of vectors $x_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{in})^T$

$$\text{Sample mean} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$\text{Sample covariance } M = \frac{1}{n} \sum (x_i - \bar{x})(x_i - \bar{x})^T$$

$$z^T M z = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n [(x_i - \bar{x})^T z]^2 \geq 0$$

∴ M is always **positive semi-definite**

→ **Q** := why do we need Covariance matrix to be positive semi-definite?

→ Symmetric

A - square matrix

$A = A^T \Rightarrow$ symmetric (by default it is a square matrix)

Consider three variables, X , Y and $Z = X + Y$. Their covariance matrix, M , is not positive definite, since there's a vector $z = (1, 1, -1)'$ for which $z'Mz$ is not positive.

Population covariance matrices are positive semi-definite.

↳ data pulled from a specific distribution

the definite \Rightarrow Symmetric & non-singular.

Single Value Decompositions

SVD of matrix A is factorization of A into the product of 3 matrices $A = U\Sigma V^T$, where columns of U & V are orthonormal & Σ is positive diagonal matrix. can be done for both rectangular & square matrices.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1^T \\ v_2^T \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A = U\Sigma V^T$$

$$A^T A = (V\Sigma^T U^T)(U\Sigma V^T) = V(\Sigma^T \Sigma)V^T \rightarrow \text{Eigen vectors}$$

$A \rightarrow$ was rectangular/square

$A^T A \rightarrow$ positive semi-definite (symmetric)

Eigen values (1) for $A^T A \Rightarrow$ is σ^2 for A .

U & V are orthonormal
i.e. row & column ~~vectors~~ are unit vectors
|orthogonal matrix| = ± 1

proof: $A^T A = A A^T = I, \quad A^T = A^{-1}$

Condomination: $A A^T = U \underbrace{\Sigma V^T V \Sigma^T}_{=I} U^T = U(\Sigma \Sigma^T) U^T$

Σ is

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{5} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

upst vector \rightarrow most information \rightarrow 1st Right vector

Σ

U, σ_1, V^T

\hookrightarrow principle Component (PCA)

https://stats.stackexchange.com/questions/86269/what-is-the-effect-of-having-correlated-predictors-in-a-multiple-regression-model?utm_medium=organic&utm_source=google_rich_qa&utm_campaign=google_rich_qa

Variance Inflation factor

→ Inflation in the variance of regression coefficients due to correlation

collinearity

→ is a phenomenon in which one predictor variable in a multiple regression model can be linearly predicted from others with substantial degree of accuracy.

correlation

→ two variables vary together, if one changes the other changes, but it does not mean collinearity or that one can explain the other.

→ It is possible to have very low correlation among the variables but perfect collinearity.

Ex: 11 predictors — 10 Independent
— 1 is sum of other 10

Here correlations will be about 0.1 but collinearity is perfect.

→ Singular correlation/covariance matrices situations

- ① number of variables is Equal or greater than the number of cases.
- ② two or more variable sum up to constant.
- ③ 2 variables are identical or differ merely in mean (level) or variance (scale).

Variance Inflation Factor

→ is ratio of variance in a model with multiple predictors divided by variance of a model with one predictor alone.

- quantifies severity of multi collinearity
- provides an index that measures how much the variance of estimated coefficients is increased by collinearity.
- High variance in weights causes model to be unstable.

$VIF > 1 \Rightarrow$ slight "

$5 < VIF < 10 \Rightarrow$ high correlation maybe problematic

$VIF > 10 \Rightarrow$ regression coefficients are poorly estimated

\rightarrow Multicollinearity (MC) \Rightarrow Standard Error of Coef increases

due to overinflated Std. Errors, MC makes some variables statistically insignificant, when they should be significant.

Solⁿ + ① Remove highly correlated predictors
or PLS (partial least squares) or PCA.

\rightarrow [low p-value \Rightarrow statistically significant, but if VIF is high don't trust p-value.]

{ note: p-value = 0 (low) \Rightarrow Reject Null hypothesis }
(i.e. coefficient = 0)

MAP - Maximum a Posteriori

\rightarrow seeks the most probable value for parameter ω under the posterior.
 \downarrow
parameter in regression

\rightarrow SVD + QR factorization can^{also} help in identifying collinear predictors

Study

Standard Error

Std. Dev of mean of samples.

P-value

Relation between Std. Error Vs P-value.

<https://www.quora.com/question/relationship-between-standard-error-and-p-value>

Correlation between X and Residual

$\rightarrow \text{Corr}(X, \hat{\epsilon}) = 0$ always

$\rightarrow \text{Corr}(X, \epsilon) = 0$ if $\hat{\beta} = \beta$

\downarrow true error \downarrow true β

NULL SPACE

Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix
 The null space is the set of solutions to the homogeneous system $A\bar{x} = \bar{0}$
 Nullspace of A $N(A) = \{ \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : A\bar{x} = \bar{0} \}$

Linear Vs Non-Linear Regression

Linear regression can produce curved lines and nonlinear regression is not named for its curved lines.

Non-linear \Rightarrow Nonlinear combination of model parameters and depends on one or more independent variables.

$$y \sim f(x, \beta)$$

$$f(x; \beta) = \frac{\beta_1 x}{\beta_2 + x} \rightarrow \text{non-linear}$$

$$f(x; \beta) = \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2^2 \rightarrow \text{linear}$$

non-linear, cause this $f(x; \beta)$ can't be expressed as β_1 & β_2 .

Unbiased Estimator

If X_i are independent Bernoulli r.v with unknown parameter p , then the probability mass function of each X_i is:

$$f(x_i; p) = p^{x_i} (1-p)^{1-x_i}$$

likelihood $\hookrightarrow L(p) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; p) = p^{\sum x_i} (1-p)^{n - \sum x_i}$

\rightarrow apply log & maximize $\Rightarrow \hat{p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} \rightarrow \text{MLE Estimator of } p$

Produced with a Trial Version of PDF Annotator - www.PDFAnnotator.com

Unbiased: If the following holds $E[u(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)] = \theta$
then the statistic $u(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ is an unbiased
Estimator of the parameter θ . Otherwise, $u(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ is a
biased Estimator of θ .

Q If the MLE of p is an unbiased Estimator of p ?

$$E[\hat{p}] = E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i) = \frac{1}{n} (np) = p$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{p}$ is an unbiased Estimator of p .

- We've shown that, under the Gaussian assumption $y \sim N(Xw, \sigma^2 I)$,

linear regression $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[w_{\text{ML}}] = w, \quad \text{Var}[w_{\text{ML}}] = \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1}$

- When there are very large values in $\sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1}$, the values of w_{ML} are very sensitive to the measured data y (more analysis later).

- This is bad if we want to analyze and predict using w_{ML} .

\rightarrow Using Ridge Regression we can answer the w_{ML} sensitivity issue.

$$\rightarrow p(x/\mu, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} \sqrt{\det(\Sigma)}} \left(\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x-\mu)\right) \right)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[x] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x p(x/\mu, \Sigma) dx = \mu$$