

# Mean-Reversion StatArb

Zura Kakushadze

*Quantigic<sup>®</sup> Solutions LLC, Stamford, CT, USA*

*Business School & School of Physics, Free University of Tbilisi, Georgia*

[zura@quantigic.com](mailto:zura@quantigic.com)

(Guest lecture given at NYU Stern School of Business, Manhattan)  
(Hedge Fund Strategies, FINC-GB.3121, Summer 2015)

July 18, 2015

# Outline

## 1 Statistical Arbitrage

- What Is Mean-Reversion?
- How Is It Done?
- Pair Trading

## 2 Demeaned Returns

- Two Stocks
- Multiple Stocks
- Multiple Clusters

## 3 Regression

- Binary Loadings
- Non-Binary Loadings
- Weighted Regression

## 4 Optimization

- What Should Regression Weights Be?
- Sharpe Ratio Maximization
- Factor Models

## 5 References

## What is Mean-Reversion?

- Statistical Arbitrage (StatArb): *“refers to highly technical short-term mean-reversion strategies involving large numbers of securities (hundreds to thousands, depending on the amount of risk capital), very short holding periods (measured in days to seconds), and substantial computational, trading, and information technology (IT) infrastructure”* [Andrew Lo, 2010]
- “Mean-reversion”: what is it?
- Simple idea: some quantities are historically correlated
- Correlations: temporarily undone by market conditions
- Expect (hope): correlations restored in the future
- StatArb: capture profit from temporary mispricings
- Trader/academic lingo: “mean-reversion” / “contrarian investment”

## How Is It Done?

- Implementation: myriad ways of doing mean-reversion
- One approach (schematically):

mean-reversion via demeaning →  
regression →  
weighted regression →  
(constrained) optimization →  
factor models

- Street lingo: D.E. Shaw / RBC style StatArb [ZK, 2014]

## Pair Trading

- Simplest StatArb: pair trading
- 2 hist corr stocks (same sector): stock A (XOM) & stock B (RDS.A)
- Temp mispricing: A moves up (A is rich), B moves down (B is cheap)
- Pair trading strat: short A, buy B, net \$\$ neutral (hedge mkt risk)
- “Rich” & “cheap”: how to quantify?
- A & B prices: diff, not constant (drift)
- “Rich” & “cheap”: returns, not prices
- On average: A & B move in sync
- Say, A moves up more than B on *relative* basis: A is rich, B is cheap

## Pair Trading (Cont'ed)

- Prices @  $t_1$  (e.g., yest's close):  $P_A(t_1)$  &  $P_B(t_1)$
- Prices @  $t_2$  (e.g., today's open):  $P_A(t_2)$  &  $P_B(t_2)$
- Ex-date today:  $P_A(t_1)$  &  $P_B(t_1)$  adj for splits/divs
- Returns (typically small, log def is OK):

$$R_A \equiv \ln \left( \frac{P_A(t_2)}{P_A(t_1)} \right)$$

$$R_B \equiv \ln \left( \frac{P_B(t_2)}{P_B(t_1)} \right)$$

- If  $R_A > R_B$ : A is rich, B is cheap, short A, buy B

# Demeaned Returns

## Two Stocks

- Mean return:

$$\bar{R} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (R_A + R_B)$$

- Demeaned returns:

$$\tilde{R}_A \equiv R_A - \bar{R}$$

$$\tilde{R}_B \equiv R_B - \bar{R}$$

- Demeaned ret  $> (<)$  0: stock is rich (cheap)
- Shares  $Q_i$ ,  $i = A, B$  ( $> 0$  for buys,  $< 0$  for shorts): fixed

$$P_A |Q_A| + P_B |Q_B| = I \quad (\text{total investment level})$$

$$P_A Q_A + P_B Q_B = 0 \quad (\text{\$\$ neutrality})$$

- $P_i$ ,  $i = A, B$ : prices @ establish

## Multiple Stocks

- $N$  hist corr stocks (same sector): XOM, RDS.A, TOT, CVX, BP...
- $N$  returns:

$$R_i = \ln \left( \frac{P_i(t_2)}{P_i(t_1)} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

- Mean return:

$$\bar{R} \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N R_i$$

- Demeaned returns:

$$\tilde{R}_i \equiv R_i - \bar{R}$$

- Demeaned ret  $> (<)$  0: stock is rich/short (cheap/buy)



## Multiple Stocks (Cont'd)

- 2 conditions,  $N > 2$  unknowns ( $P_i$  prices @ establish):

$$\sum_{i=1}^N P_i |Q_i| = I \quad (\text{total investment level})$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N P_i Q_i = 0 \quad (\text{\$\$ neutrality})$$

- \$\$ positions:  $D_i \equiv P_i Q_i$
- Simple strat ( $\gamma > 0$ ):

$$D_i = -\gamma \tilde{R}_i$$

- $\gamma$  fixed:  $\gamma = I / \sum_{i=1}^N |\tilde{R}_i|$
- *One* mean-reversion strat: myriad exist

## Multiple Clusters

- Multiple clusters: sectors, industries, sub-industries etc.
- E.g.: stocks in oil, tech & healthcare sectors
- $K$  clusters: labeled by  $A = 1, \dots, K$
- $N \times K$  binary (loadings) matrix:  $\Lambda_{iA} = 1(0)$  if stock  $i \in (\notin)$  cluster  $A$

$$\Lambda_{iA} = \delta_{G(i), A}$$

$$G : \{1, \dots, N\} \mapsto \{1, \dots, K\}$$

- $G$ : maps stocks to clusters
- Clusters don't overlap: do mean-reversion separately for each cluster
- Can do in one shot: regression

## Industry Classification Hierarchy

Stocks	Sub-Industries	Industries	Sectors	"Market"			
AWD1	AWD	AW	A	UNIV			
AWD2							
AWE3	AWE						
AWE4							
AXF5	AXF	AX					
AXF6							
AXG7	AXG						
AXG8							
BYQ9	BYQ	BY	B				
BYQ10							
BYR11	BYR						
BYR12							
BZS13	BZS						
BZS14							
BZT15	BZT	BZ					
BZT16							

## Binary Loadings

- Regress (X-sectionally) returns  $R_i$  over loadings  $\Lambda_{iA}$ :

$$R_i = \sum_{A=1}^K \Lambda_{iA} f_A + \varepsilon_i, \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \Lambda_{iA} \varepsilon_i = 0$$

- $f_A / \varepsilon_i$ : regression coeff / residuals (no intercept,  $\sum_{A=1}^K \Lambda_{iA} = 1$ )

$$\bar{R}_A \equiv \frac{1}{N_A} \sum_{j \in J_A} R_j$$

$$\varepsilon_i = R_i - \bar{R}_{G(i)} = \tilde{R}_i$$

- $\bar{R}_A$ : mean return for cluster  $A$  ( $J_A$  spans  $N_A$  stocks in cluster  $A$ )
- $\tilde{R}_i$ : demeaned (w.r.t. cluster  $A$ ) return for stock  $i$  in cluster  $A$
- Demeaned returns: regression residuals (cluster neutral)

## Non-Binary Loadings

- Non-binary loadings  $\Omega_{iA}$ :

$$R_i = \sum_{A=1}^K \Omega_{iA} f_A + \varepsilon_i, \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \Omega_{iA} \varepsilon_i = 0$$

- “Regressed” returns:  $\tilde{R}_i = \varepsilon_i$  neutral w.r.t.  $\Omega_{iA}$

## Weighted Regression

- Non-unit weights  $w_i$ :

$$R_i = \sum_{A=1}^K \Omega_{iA} f_A + \varepsilon_i, \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \Omega_{iA} w_i \varepsilon_i = 0$$

- $\tilde{R}_i = w_i \varepsilon_i$ : neutral w.r.t.  $\Omega_{iA}$  (\$\$ neutral w/ intercept)

## What Should Regression Weights Be?

- Our simple mean-reversion strategy:

$$D_i = -\gamma \tilde{R}_i$$

- “Regressed” returns:  $\tilde{R}_i = w_i \varepsilon_i$
- Residuals: on average as volatile as returns  $R_i$
- Strat with  $w_i \equiv 1$ : loads up on volatile stocks
- Simple idea: suppress weights by volatility  $\sigma_i \equiv \sqrt{\text{Var}(R_i)}$
- But how?  $w_i = 1/\sigma_i$ ,  $w_i = 1/\sigma_i^2$ , ...?
- Naive:  $w_i = 1/\sigma_i$ ; correct:  $w_i = 1/\sigma_i^2$

## Share Ratio Maximization

- P&L, volatility & Sharpe ratio:

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^N R_i D_i$$

$$V = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^N C_{ij} D_i D_j}$$

$$S = \frac{P}{V}$$

- $C_{ij}$ : sample covariance matrix of returns
- Optimization  $S \rightarrow \max$ : solution  $D_i = \gamma \sum_{j=1}^N C_{ij}^{-1} R_j$
- Diagonal  $C_{ij} = \sigma_i^2 \delta_{ij}$
- $D_i = \gamma w_i R_i$ : weights  $w_i = 1/\sigma_i^2$

## Factor Models (FM)

- Sample cov.mat  $C_{ij}$ : singular if  $M \equiv \#(\text{observations}) < N + 1$
- Off-diag  $C_{ij}$ : not out-of-sample stable unless  $M \gg N$
- $N \sim 1000 - 2500$  (liquid portfolios): 5 years  $\sim 1260$  daily observations
- Short-holding/ephemeral strats: long lookbacks not desirable/avail
- Factor risk & specific (idiosyncratic) risk:  $R_i = \chi_i + \sum_A \Omega_{iA} f_A$
- Risk factors:  $f_A$ ,  $A = 1, \dots, K \ll N$
- Specific risk (SR) cov.mat:  $\text{Cov}(\chi_i, \chi_j) = \xi_i^2 \delta_{ij}$
- Factor risk cov.mat:  $\text{Cov}(f_A, f_B) \equiv \Phi_{AB}$
- Uncorrelated:  $\text{Cov}(\chi_i, f_A) = 0$
- Model cov.mat  $\Gamma_{ij} \equiv \text{Cov}(R_i, R_j) = \xi_i^2 \delta_{ij} + \sum_{A,B=1}^K \Omega_{iA} \Phi_{AB} \Omega_{jB}$
- $\Phi_{AB}$  positive-definite:  $\Gamma_{ij}$  positive-definite, invertible
- Opt w/ FM:  $\rightarrow$  weighted regression w/  $w_i = 1/\xi_i^2$  in small SR limit



# References



Lo, A.W. (2010) *Hedge Funds: An Analytic Perspective*. Princeton University Press, p. 260.



ZK (2014) Mean-Reversion and Optimization. *Journal of Asset Management* 16(1): 14-40; <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2478345>.

# The End



Thank you!