```
Any body relationship,
                                they feel, should be grist to
our sexual mill, and as an inventive species it should
be natural for us to experiment with any postures we
like-the more the better, in fact, because this will
increase the complexity
                         of the sexual act, increase
sexual novelty, and prevent sexual boredom between
the members of a long-mated pair. Their argument is
a perfectly valid one in the context within which they
present it, but in trying to score their point they have
gone too far. Their real objection was to the idea that
any variations of the basic posture are `sinful'. To
counteract this idea, they stressed the value of these
variations, and were quite right to do so, for the
reasons given. Any improvement in sexual rewards
for the members of a mated pair will obviously be
important in strengthening the pair-bond. They are
biologically sound for our species. But in fighting this
battle the authorities concerned lost sight of the fact
there is nevertheless one basic, natural mating posture
for our species-the face-to-face posture. Virtually all
the sexual signals and erogenous zones are on the front
of the body-the facial expressions, the lips, the beard,
the nipples, the areolar signals, the breasts of the
female, the pubic hair,
                                 the genitals themselves, the
major blushing areas, and
                                 the major sexual flush areas.
It could be argued that
                                 many of these signals would
operate perfectly well in the earlier stages, which could
be face-to-face, but then, for the copulation itself, with
both partners now fully
                                 aroused by frontal stimulation, the male
could shtinto a rear position for rearentry copulation, or, forthat matter, into
any
other
unusual posture he cared to select. This is perfectly
true, and possible as a novelty device, but it has certain
disadvantages. To start with, the identity of the sexual
partner is much more important to a pair-bonding
species like ours. The frontal approach means that
the in-coming sexual signals and rewards are kept
tightly linked with the identity signals from the partner. Face-to-face sex
is `personalised sex'. In addition,
                                 sensation from the frontally
the pre-copulatory tactile
concentrated erogenous
                                zones can be extended into
the copulatory phase when the mating act is performed
face-to-face. Many of these sensations would be lost by
```