



**Trinity College Dublin**

Coláiste na Tríonóide, Baile Átha Cliath

The University of Dublin

SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND STATISTICS

# **MODERN DELAY-TOLERANT EMAIL**

RAKESH LAKSHMANAN

STEPHEN FARRELL

DECEMBER 7, 2025

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF  
M.SC. COMPUTER SCIENCE - FUTURE NETWORKED SYSTEMS

# Abstract

Abstract content

# Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisor, Professor Stephen Farrell. Under his supervision, I was able to successfully complete this dissertation. His inspiration and suggestions regarding the project's direction were essential for the progress of this research.

I am also grateful to my family and friends for their support and encouragement throughout this process.

# Contents

<b>Abstract</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction . . . . .	1
1.2 Delay/Disruption-Tolerant Networking . . . . .	1
1.2.1 Concept and Motivation . . . . .	1
1.2.2 DTN Architecture and Bundle Layer . . . . .	2
1.2.3 DTN Nodes, Regions, and Security . . . . .	2
1.2.4 Routing, Scheduling, and Buffer Management . . . . .	3
1.3 Internet Email Architecture . . . . .	3
1.3.1 Service Model and Roles . . . . .	3
1.3.2 Protocol Suite: SMTP, POP, IMAP, MIME . . . . .	4
1.3.3 Security and Anti-Abuse Mechanisms . . . . .	4
1.4 Comparison of DTN and Email Architectures . . . . .	5
1.5 Bundle Protocol in Interplanetary DTN . . . . .	5
1.5.1 Interplanetary Architecture and Gateways . . . . .	6
1.5.2 Naming, DNS, and BP Node Addressing . . . . .	6
1.5.3 Interplanetary Email over the Bundle Protocol . . . . .	6
1.5.4 Implementation Example: Interplanetary Overlay Network . . .	7
1.6 Interplanetary Overlay Network (ION) . . . . .	7
1.6.1 System Architecture . . . . .	8
1.6.2 Applications and Deployment . . . . .	8

<b>2</b>	<b>Literature Review</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>System Design</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Implementation</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Results and Discussion</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusions and Future Work</b>	<b>13</b>

# List of Figures

# List of Tables

# 1 | Introduction

## 1.1 Introduction

Delay/Disruption-Tolerant Networking (DTN) and Internet email are both store-and-forward communication systems that enable asynchronous message delivery across heterogeneous networks (1, 2). DTN generalizes the store-and-forward concept as a network-layer or overlay architecture for challenged environments, whereas email is a specific application-layer service built on top of relatively well-connected IP networks.(1, 2)

## 1.2 Delay/Disruption-Tolerant Networking

### 1.2.1 Concept and Motivation

DTN targets “challenged” networking environments characterized by intermittent connectivity, long or variable delays, high error rates, and constrained resources such as power and storage.(1, 3) In such environments, classical TCP/IP assumptions about continuous end-to-end paths and low round-trip time do not hold, which requires a different architectural approach.(1)

Typical DTN scenarios include deep-space communication, rural and remote connectivity, ad hoc vehicular and mobile networks, and disaster-response deployments where infrastructure is damaged or overloaded.(1, 3) The primary objective is to provide eventual data delivery despite disruptions, using persistent



storage and opportunistic forwarding across time-varying connectivity graphs.(1, 4)

### **1.2.2 DTN Architecture and Bundle Layer**

The canonical DTN architecture introduces a new overlay protocol layer, commonly called the bundle layer, which resides above region-specific transports (e.g., TCP, UDP, Licklider Transmission Protocol) and below applications.(1, 3) Applications generate protocol data units called bundles, which encapsulate payload data together with endpoint identifiers, lifetime, priority, and optional security blocks.(1, 5)

Each DTN node maintains persistent storage for bundles and performs a store-carry-forward operation: bundles are stored locally, carried while the node is mobile or idle, and forwarded when a suitable contact becomes available.(1, 6)

Convergence-layer adapters map bundle operations onto specific underlying transports (for example, the DTN TCP Convergence-Layer Protocol) so that the bundle layer is insulated from link heterogeneity.(5)

### **1.2.3 DTN Nodes, Regions, and Security**

The architecture partitions the overall system into regions, such as terrestrial IP networks, satellite segments, and deep-space links, which may employ different lower-layer protocols and routing schemes.(3) The bundle layer provides interoperability across these regions using endpoint identifiers and late binding, allowing applications to communicate without being aware of underlying heterogeneity.(3, 6)

Security is addressed using dedicated bundle security mechanisms that provide authentication, integrity protection, and confidentiality over multi-hop, disruption-prone paths.(3) Because DTN nodes may store data for long periods and may replicate messages to improve delivery probability, the security design also considers access control, resistance to resource exhaustion, and key management

across regions.(4, 6)

### **1.2.4 Routing, Scheduling, and Buffer Management**

Routing in DTNs differs fundamentally from traditional IP routing because an end-to-end path between source and destination may not exist at any given time.(4, 6) Instead, routing algorithms operate over time-varying or probabilistic contact graphs, taking into account predicted or observed node mobility and contact opportunities.(6)

Existing DTN routing schemes can be broadly classified by the degree of replication and the information they exploit: epidemic and multi-copy protocols maximize delivery probability at the cost of bandwidth and buffer usage, while single-copy or quota-based schemes trade delivery ratio for reduced overhead.(4, 6) Since contacts are intermittent and buffers are limited, joint scheduling and buffer management policies are required to decide which bundles to transmit or drop according to priorities, deadlines, and resource constraints.(6)

## **1.3 Internet Email Architecture**

### **1.3.1 Service Model and Roles**

Internet email is an asynchronous application-layer service that delivers messages between users identified by email addresses of the form `local-part@domain`.(2, 7) The system is decomposed into functional roles including Message User Agents (MUAs), Message Submission Agents (MSAs), Message Transfer Agents (MTAs), Message Delivery Agents (MDAs), and Mail Access Agents.(2)

MUAs (such as desktop clients or webmail front-ends) are responsible for message composition, display, and user interaction, but they offload submission, relay, and storage to server-side agents.(2) MSAs accept messages from MUAs, apply initial checks, and hand them to MTAs, which relay messages between domains until they

reach an MDA that deposits them into per-user mailboxes.(2, 7)

### **1.3.2 Protocol Suite: SMTP, POP, IMAP, MIME**

The Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) defines the submission and relay of messages between MSAs and MTAs, including envelope commands and response codes for reliable transfer (7). Originally designed for clear text operation and implicit trust between servers, SMTP has been extended with authentication and encryption mechanisms such as SMTP AUTH and STARTTLS.(7)

For mailbox access, the Post Office Protocol version 3 (POP3) supports simple download-and-delete retrieval, while the Internet Message Access Protocol (IMAP) offers folder hierarchies, server-side search, and synchronization across multiple clients and devices.(8) At the message format level, the Internet Message Format standard and Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) specify headers, structure, multiple body parts, and attachments, enabling rich content and internationalization.(9)

### **1.3.3 Security and Anti-Abuse Mechanisms**

Traditional email architecture did not include end-to-end confidentiality, strong sender authentication, or robust abuse controls, making it vulnerable to spoofing, spam, and phishing (2). In practice, deployments mitigate these weaknesses by combining transport-layer security (TLS), server and user authentication, and extensive content-based filtering, often using machine learning to classify spam and malicious messages.(2, 10)

Domain-level authentication and policy mechanisms such as Sender Policy Framework (SPF), DomainKeys Identified Mail (DKIM), and DMARC enable domains to assert which hosts may send mail on their behalf and to specify handling rules for authentication failures.(2) Additional secure application architectures have been proposed that overlay existing email infrastructure with stronger identity,

integrity, and legal guarantees, while preserving compatibility with standard protocols.(10)

## **1.4 Comparison of DTN and Email Architectures**

Both DTN and Internet email rely on asynchronous, store-and-forward operation, with intermediate nodes temporarily buffering data before forwarding it to the destination.(1, 2) However, DTN is conceived as a general-purpose network-layer architecture for environments with extreme delay and disruption, while email is a specific application protocol suite designed for relatively stable IP networks (1, 7).

DTN introduces a unified bundle layer to integrate heterogeneous underlying networks and explicitly models time-varying connectivity and contact opportunities (1, 3). On the contrary, the email architecture builds on existing IP transport and focuses on application-level roles, standardized message formats, and security mechanisms for addressing and content-level

## **1.5 Bundle Protocol in Interplanetary DTN**

The Bundle Protocol (BP) is the core network protocol of Delay/Disruption-Tolerant Networking (DTN), designed to support long-delay and intermittently connected paths using store-and-forward transfer of application data units called bundles (11). In interplanetary scenarios, BP is typically deployed as an overlay over one or more convergence layers (such as TCP, LTP, or space link protocols), providing persistent storage and late binding of next hops so that disruptions that would break conventional end-to-end transports do not prevent eventual delivery (11). This overlay role allows BP to act as a unifying backbone across heterogeneous regional networks, particularly where deep-space links have radically different characteristics from local terrestrial segments (11).

### **1.5.1 Interplanetary Architecture and Gateways**

Proposed interplanetary network architectures generally assume that local networks on Earth, the Moon, and Mars are IP-based, while deep-space and interplanetary trunks use BP to interconnect these IP “islands” (12). IP/BP gateways terminate local IP sessions, encapsulate application-layer traffic (for example HTTP or SMTP) into bundle payloads, and forward those bundles over scheduled BP contacts so that remote gateways can reconstruct the original IP traffic within their own local environments (12). This architecture enables reuse of existing Internet applications without requiring native end-to-end IP connectivity across long-delay space links (12).

### **1.5.2 Naming, DNS, and BP Node Addressing**

To integrate BP-based interplanetary connectivity with name resolution, an interplanetary DNS model has been proposed in which each planetary body operates its own DNS root system and associated top-level domains (13). In this model, DNS records for those TLDs resolve primarily to local IP/BP gateways rather than directly reachable off-world IP addresses, and can include resource records that map domain names to BP node identifiers as well as MX records pointing to interplanetary mail gateways (13). DNSSEC can still be applied with distinct trust anchors per world, while most DNS traffic remains local and only application data is carried over BP between planets (13).

### **1.5.3 Interplanetary Email over the Bundle Protocol**

Email is a prominent application driving the deployment of DTN and BP in interplanetary contexts, where entire SMTP exchanges or packeted email messages are encapsulated as bundle payloads and transported between IP networks on different planetary bodies (12, 14). One proposal describes several deployment models where Mail Transfer Agents (MTAs) cooperate with Bundle Protocol Agents

(BPAs) to move email between Earth and remote BP nodes, including cases in which the remote node has only BP connectivity and relies on a local shim to provide SMTP semantics to users or applications (12). A complementary specification defines a concise encapsulation based on Batch SMTP media types and a dedicated BP service number, allowing conventional MTAs at each end to operate unchanged while the interplanetary hop is handled solely via BP (14).

#### **1.5.4 Implementation Example: Interplanetary Overlay Network**

The Interplanetary Overlay Network (ION) is a widely used implementation of the DTN Bundle Protocol and has been employed in both spaceflight-related experiments and terrestrial DTN testbeds (11). ION provides configuration-driven contact plans, persistent storage of bundles, and adapters to multiple convergence layers, thereby realizing the store-and-forward, contact-scheduled forwarding behavior required by DTN architectures (11). Subsequent work often uses ION as a reference implementation when evaluating performance and operational considerations for DTN deployments in satellite and interplanetary networks (11).

### **1.6 Interplanetary Overlay Network (ION)**

The Interplanetary Overlay Network (ION) is a mature, open-source implementation of the Delay/Disruption-Tolerant Networking (DTN) Bundle Protocol (BP), developed primarily for space communications but also applicable to terrestrial challenged networks (11). ION provides a complete DTN node stack including bundle processing, contact plan management, convergence layer protocols (such as TCPCL and LTP), and administrative tools for monitoring and management (15). It has been deployed in NASA missions, satellite testbeds, and research environments, serving as the de facto reference implementation for BP evaluation and experimentation (11, 15).

### **1.6.1 System Architecture**

ION operates as a distributed daemon-based system where the primary `iond` process manages the global DTN node state, bundle storage, and contact scheduling (11).

Bundles are persisted in a SQLite database for reliability across restarts, with separate tables tracking bundle metadata, custody records, and delivery status to support proactive custody transfer and retransmission (15). The architecture separates core BP logic from convergence layers via a plugin model, allowing ION to adapt to diverse underlying links including UDP, TCP, LTP, and space data link protocols without modifying the bundle core (11, 16).

### **1.6.2 Applications and Deployment**

ION ships with reference applications demonstrating BP usage, including the Bundle Relay Utility (BRU) for simple store-forward, the DTNperf tool for throughput measurement, and higher-layer protocol shims for email, file transfer, and web services over BP (15). It has been flight-qualified for NASA's Deep Space Network and CubeSat missions, with operational deployments managing terabytes of scientific data across solar system distances (11). The codebase remains actively maintained with contributions from JPL, ESA, and academic partners, supporting both RFC 5050 BPv6 and emerging BPv7 specifications (15, 16).

## **2 | Literature Review**



## 3 | System Design

System design content

## 4 | Implementation

Implementation content

## 5 | Results and Discussion

### Results

## 6 | Conclusions and Future Work

### Conclusions

# Bibliography

- [1] Kevin Fall. A delay-tolerant network architecture for challenged internets. In *ACM SIGCOMM*, 2003.
- [2] Dave Crocker. Internet mail architecture. *RFC 5598*, 2009.
- [3] Vinton G. Cerf, Scott C. Burleigh, Adrian J. Hooke, et al. Delay-tolerant networking architecture. *RFC 4838*, 2007.
- [4] Aruna Balasubramanian, Brian N. Levine, and Arun Venkataramani. Dtn routing as a resource allocation problem. *ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review*, 2007.
- [5] Scott Burleigh et al. Delay-tolerant networking tcp convergence-layer protocol version 4. *RFC 9174*, 2022.
- [6] C. C. Sobin et al. A survey of routing and data dissemination in delay tolerant networks. *Ad Hoc Networks*, 2012.
- [7] John C. Klensin. Simple mail transfer protocol. *RFC 5321*, 2008.
- [8] Mark R. Crispin. Internet message access protocol - version 4rev1. *RFC 3501*, 2003.
- [9] Pete Resnick. Internet message format. *RFC 5322*, 2008.
- [10] Francesco Buccafurri et al. Secure application email architecture. In *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*, 2023.

- [11] Scott C. Burleigh. Interplanetary overlay network: An implementation of the dtn bundle protocol. In *Proceedings of the 2007 4th IEEE Consumer Communications and Networking Conference (CCNC)*, pages 222–226. IEEE, 2007. doi: 10.1109/CCNC.2007.51.
- [12] Scott M. Johnson. A method for delivery of smtp messages over bundle protocol networks. Internet-Draft draft-johnson-dtn-interplanetary-smtp-01, Internet Engineering Task Force, 2024. URL <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-johnson-dtn-interplanetary-smtp/01/>. Work in progress.
- [13] Scott M. Johnson. An interplanetary dns model. Internet-Draft draft-johnson-dtn-interplanetary-dns-04, Internet Engineering Task Force, 2025. URL <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-johnson-dtn-interplanetary-dns/04/>. Work in progress.
- [14] Marc Blanchet. Encapsulation of email over delay-tolerant networks (dtn) using the bundle protocol. Internet-Draft draft-blanchet-dtn-email-over-bp-06, Internet Engineering Task Force, 2025. URL <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-blanchet-dtn-email-over-bp/>. Work in progress.
- [15] Jet Propulsion Laboratory. Interplanetary overlay network (ion) – official repository. <https://github.com/InterplanetaryOverlayNetwork/ion>, 2025. Accessed: 2025-12-07.
- [16] Keith Scott and Scott C. Burleigh. Bundle Protocol Specification. RFC 5050, November 2007. URL <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5050>.