Logistic Regression

1 Logistic Regression

1.1 Decision Boundary

Decision boundary is a property of the hypothesis and not the data set.

$$h_{\Theta}(x) = g(\Theta^{\top}x) = P(y = 1|x;\Theta)$$

sigmoid function:

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$$

suppose predict $y = 1ifh_{\Theta}(x) \ge 0.5$

$$g(z) \ge 0.5 when z \ge 0$$

$$h_{\Theta}(x) = g(\Theta^{\top}x) \ge 0.5 \, whenever \, \Theta^{\top}x \ge 0$$

suppose predict y = 0if $h_{\Theta}(x) < 0.5$

$$h_{\Theta}(x) = g(\Theta^{\top}x) < 0.5 \text{ whenever } \Theta^{\top}x < 0$$

Non Linear Decision Boundaries

Add higher order polynomials, 2 exra features.

$$h_{\Theta}(x) = g(\Theta_0 + \Theta_1 x_1 + \Theta_2 x_2 + \Theta_3 x_1^2 + \Theta_4 x_2^2)$$

$$\Theta = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

predict y=1if $-1+x_1^2+x_2^2\geq 0$, that is $x_1^2+x_2^2\geq 1$ if we plot $x_1^2+x_2^2=1$, it's a circle. Inside of the circle, y=0, outside y=1.

With even higher polynomial terms, we can get even more complex decision boundaries.

1.2 Cost Function

Training set:

$$\{(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), (x^{(2)}, y^{(2)}), ..., (x^{(m)}, y^{(m)})\}$$

m examples:

$$x \in \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ \dots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \ x_0 = 1, \ y \in \{0, 1\}$$

$$h_{\Theta}(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\Theta^{\top} x}}$$

How do we choose parameters Θ ?

Cost function for logistic regression, needs to be convex and a cost function for linear regression would not be convex. Instead, use (6:20):

$$Cost(h_{\Theta}(x), y) = \begin{cases} -log(h_{\Theta}(x)) & if \ y = 1\\ -log(1 - h_{\Theta}(x)) & if \ y = 0 \end{cases}$$

 $Cost = 0 \ if \ y = 1, \ h_{\Theta}(x) = 1$

But as $h_{\Theta}(x) \to 0$, $Cost \to \infty$

Captures intuition that if $h_{\Theta}(x) = 0$, (predict $P(y = 1|x; \Theta)$, but y = 1, we'll penalize learning algorithm by a very large cost.

1.3 Simplified cost function and gradient descent

Logistic regression cost function

$$J(\Theta) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} Cost(h_{\Theta}(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}))$$

$$Cost(h_{\Theta}(x), y) = \begin{cases} -log(h_{\Theta}(x)) & if \ y = 1\\ -log(1 - h_{\Theta}(x)) & if \ y = 0 \end{cases}$$

Note: y = 0 or 1always

We can rewrite the cost function:

$$Cost(h_{\Theta}(x), y) = -y \log(h_{\Theta}(x)) - (1 - y) \log(1 - h_{\Theta}(x))$$

So then if y = 1 or if y = 0, this function loses terms accordingly. Now we can rewrite the cost function like so:

$$J(\Theta) = -\frac{1}{m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} y^{(i)} \log h_{\Theta}(x^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - h_{\Theta}(x^{(i)})) \right]$$

To fit parameters Θ :

$$\min_{\Theta}J(\Theta)$$

To make a prediction given new x:

Output

$$h_{\Theta}(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\Theta^{\top} x}}$$

which we interpret as probability that y = 1

$$p(y = 1|x; \Theta)$$

We're going to minimize the cost function with Gradient Descent.

$$\min_{\Theta}J(\Theta):$$
 Repeat {
$$\Theta_j=\Theta_j-\alpha\sum_{i=1}^m(h_{\Theta}(x^{(i)})-y^{(i)})x_j^{(i)}$$
 }

$$\Theta = \left[\begin{array}{c} \Theta_0 \\ \Theta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \Theta_n \end{array} \right]$$

Vectorized:

$$\Theta := \Theta - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{m} (h_{\Theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x^{(i)}$$

This is not the same as Gradient Descent for linear regression, because the definition of $h_{\Theta}(x)$ has changed.

Apply the method from linear regression to make sure logistic regression is converging, plot cost.

Note:

Recall:

$$J(\Theta) = -\frac{1}{m} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} y^{(i)} \log h_{\Theta}(x^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - h_{\Theta}(x^{(i)})) \right]$$

Vectorized:

$$J(\Theta) = \frac{1}{m} \left[-y^{\top} \log h_{\Theta}(X) - (1 - y)^{\top} \log(1 - h_{\Theta}(X)) \right]$$

The gradient of the cost is a vector of the same length as Θ where the j^{th} element for j = (0, 1, 2, ..., n) is defined as follows:

$$\frac{\partial J(\Theta)}{\partial \Theta_j} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_{\Theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)}$$

Vectorized:

$$\frac{\partial J(\Theta)}{\partial \Theta_j} = \frac{1}{m} X^{\top} h_{\Theta}(X) - y$$

1.4 Advanced Optimization

Given Θ , we have code that can compute:

- J(Θ)
- $\frac{\partial}{\partial \Theta_i} J(\Theta)$ (for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$)

We can use these to minimize the cost function:

- Gradient descent
- Conjugate gradient
- BFGS
- L-BFGS

Advantages:

- No need to manually pick α
 - Line search algorithm automatically picks α , even for each iteration.
- Often faster than gradient descent

Disadvantages:

• More complex

```
Example: \Theta = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta_1 \\ \Theta_2 \end{bmatrix}
\Theta_1 = 5
\Theta_2 = 5
J(\Theta) = (\Theta_1 - 5)^2 + (\Theta_2 - 5)^2
\frac{\partial}{\partial \Theta_1} J(\Theta) = 2(\Theta_1 - 5)
\frac{\partial}{\partial \Theta_2} J(\Theta) = 2(\Theta_1 - 5)

i function [jVal, gradient] = cos
    jVal = (theta(1) - 5)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)^2 + (6)
```

```
function [jVal, gradient] = costFunction(theta)
jVal = (theta(1) - 5)^2 + (theta(2) - 5)^2;
gradient = zeros(2, 1)
gradient(1) = 2 * (theta(1) - 5);
gradient(1) = 2 * (theta(2) - 5);
```

jVal gets the result of the cost function

gradient gets a 2x1 vector, the terms of which correspond to the two derivative terms.

We can then call the advanced optimization function, fminunc, function minimization unconstrained. Chooses optimal value of Θ automagically.

```
options = optimset('GradObj', 'on', 'MaxIter', '100');
initialTheta = zeros(2, 1);
[optTheta, functionVal, exitFlag] = ...
    fminunc(@costFunction, initialTheta, options)
```

@ represents a pointer to the above costFunction set some options:

- 'GradObj', 'on' means that we will provide a gradient
- 'MaxIter', '100' means the number of iterations

 $initialTheta \in \mathbb{R}^d, d \geq 2$

How do we apply this to logistic regression?

```
theta = \left| \begin{array}{c} \Theta_1 \\ \Theta_1 \\ \vdots \end{array} \right|
function [jVal, gradient] = costFunction(theta)
jval = [code to compute J(\Theta)];
gradient(1) = [code to compute \frac{\partial}{\partial \Theta_0} J(\Theta)];
gradient(2) = [code to compute \frac{\partial}{\partial \Theta_1} J(\Theta)];
gradient(n+1) = [code to compute \frac{\partial}{\partial \Theta_n} J(\Theta)];
```

1.5 Multi-class classification: One-vs-all

How to get logistic regression to get to work with multi-class classification problems.

Scenario 1: tag emails for different folders

Scenario 2: Medical diagrams: Not ill, cold, flu

Scenario 3: Weather: Sunny, Cludy, Rainy, Snow

There will be more groups of items on plot.

Turn the problem into 3 different binary classification problems.

We'll create a "fake" trainig set, where Class 1 gets assigned to a positive class and Class 2 and 3 get assigned to the negative class.

 $h_{\Theta}^{(1)}(x)$ will denote, say triangles

 $h_{\Theta}^{(2)}(x)$ will denote, say squares $h_{\Theta}^{(2)}(x)$ will denote, say x's

We fit 3 classifiers that try to estimate what is the probability that y = i

$$h_{\Theta}^{(i)} = P(y = i | x; \Theta) (i = 1, 2, 3)$$

Train a logistic regression classifier $h_{\Theta}^{(i)}x$ for each class i to predict the probability that y=1.

On a new input x, to make a prediction, pick the class i that maximizes

$$\max_i h_{\Theta}^{(i)}(x)$$