Performance Measurement Using STAMP for Segment Routing Networks

draft-gandhi-spring-stamp-srpm-01 (previously draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-07)

```
Rakesh Gandhi - Cisco Systems (<u>rgandhi@cisco.com</u>) - Presenter
Clarence Filsfils - Cisco Systems (<u>cfilsfil@cisco.com</u>)
Daniel Voyer - Bell Canada (<u>daniel.voyer@bell.ca</u>)
Mach(Guoyi) Chen - Huawei (<u>mach.chen@huawei.com</u>)
Bart Janssens - Colt (<u>Bart.Janssens@colt.net</u>)
```

Agenda

- Requirements and Scope
- History of the Draft
- Updates Since IETF-106
- Summary
- Next Steps

Requirements and Scope

Requirements:

- Delay and Loss Performance Measurement (PM)
 - ✓ Links and End-to-end P2P/P2MP SR Paths
 - ✓ Applicable to SR-MPLS/SRv6 data planes
- Handle ECMP for SR Paths
- Support stand-alone direct-mode loss measurement

Scope:

- STAMP [RFC 8762]
- STAMP TLVs [draft-ietf-ippm-stamp-option-tlv]

History of the Draft

- Feb 2019
 - Draft was published draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-00
- Mar 2019
 - Presented draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-00 at IETF 104 Prague in SPRING WG
- May 2019
 - Added STAMP TLV for Return Path
- July 2019
 - Presented draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-01 at IETF 105 Montreal in IPPM WG
 - Slide 9 Titled Applicability of STAMP STAMP is supported
- Aug 2019
 - draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-02 included stand-alone LM messages
- Nov 2019
 - SPRING Chairs announced in the meeting the agreement with IPPM chairs to progress the draft in SPRING WG
 - Presented draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-04 at IETF 106 Singapore in SPRING WG
- Mar 2020
 - Moved STAMP support to draft-gandhi--spring-stamp-srpm-00
 - Keep TWAMP Light support as informational in draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-08

Updates Since IETF-106 (Version-04)

Updates:

- 1. Defined Control Code for "In-band Response Requested" for STAMP
 - ✓ Updated Two-way mode procedure using the Control Code
- 2. Defined Destination Address in STAMP Node Address TLV to identify the intended Destination node
- Added Return Address Sub-TLV in the STAMP Return Path TLV to send response to a specific node
- 4. Updated IANA registry action
- 5. Various editorial changes

Open Items:

None

STAMP Control Code Field

For a Query: Sender Control Code

0x0: Out-of-band Response Requested.

This is also the default behavior.

Ox1: In-band Response Requested.
Indicates that this query has been sent over a bidirectional path and the probe response is required over the same path in reverse direction. The bidirectional path does not have to be an SR path.

For a Response: Reflector Control Code

0x1: Error - Invalid Message. Indicates that the operation failed because the received query message could not be processed.

0xN: Additional Error will be defined in future.

Performance Measurement Modes

- One-way Measurement Mode
 - Reply sent "out of band" on IP/UDP path by default
- Two-way Measurement Mode
 - Reply sent "in-band" on reverse SR path
 - Based on Control Code from the probe query message
 - Use Return Path TLV for STAMP from the probe query message

Destination Address in STAMP Node Address TLV

Destination Node Address (value TBA1):

- Indicates the address of the intended recipient node of the query message.
- The reflector node MUST NOT send response if it is not the intended destination node of the query.
- Useful when query is sent with 127/8 destination address.

Return Address in STAMP Return Path TLV

Return Path (value TBA2):

Sub-TLVs Types:

- Type (value 0): Return Address. Target node address of the response different than the Source Address in the query
- Type (value 1): SR-MPLS Label Stack of the Reverse SR Path
- Type (value 2): SR-MPLS Binding SID [draft-ietf-pce-binding-label-sid] of the Reverse SR Policy
- Type (value 3): SRv6 Segment List of the Reverse SR Path
- Type (value 4): SRv6 Binding SID [draft-ietf-pce-binding-label-sid] of the Reverse SR Policy

Stand-alone LM Message Format for STAMP

- Loss Measurement (LM) message defined
 - Hardware efficient counter-stamping
 - Well-known locations for transmit and receive traffic counters
 - Stand-alone LM message, not tied to DM
- LM message format is also defined for authenticated mode
- User-configured destination UDP Port2 is used for identifying LM probe packets
- Does not modify existing STAMP (which is for DM)
 procedure as different UDP destination Port2 is used
 for LM

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
 IP Header
 Source IP Address = Sender IPv4 or IPv6 Address
 Destination TP Address = Reflector TPv4 or TPv6 Address
 Protocol = UDP
 Router Alert Option Not Set
 UDP Header
 Source Port = As chosen by Sender
 Destination Port = User-configured Port2 for Loss Measurement.
Sequence Number
Transmit Counter
|X|B| Reserved
          Block Number
Receive Counter
              Sender Counter
      |Sender Block Nu | MBZ
   Reserved
                           Re Control Code
Sender TTL
```


Next Steps

- Welcome your comments and suggestions
- Implementation exists
- In WG adoption (SPRING WG) queue
- Keep IPPM WG in the loop about the milestones

Thank you

Backup

Example Provisioning Model



Probe Query for Links

• User-configured destination UDP **port1** is used for DM probe messages and **port2** is used for LM probe messages, both in unauthenticated mode.

```
IP Header
 Source IP Address = Sender IPv4 or IPv6 Address
  Destination TP Address = Reflector TPv4 or TPv6 Address
. Protocol = UDP
UDP Header
. Source Port = As chosen by Sender
. Destination Port = User-configured Port
| Pavload = DM Message as specified in Section 4.2 of RFC 8762 | |
. Payload = LM Message as specified in Figure 7 or 8
                  Figure: Probe Query Message
```

Probe Query for SR-MPLS and SRv6 Policy

For **end-to-end** performance delay/loss measurement of SR Policy, the probe query message is sent on the SR Policy with:

- 1. MPLS label stack for SR-MPLS Policies
- SRv6 SRH [RFC 8754] with SID list for SRv6 Policies

```
6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
 IP Header
  Source IP Address = Sender IPv6 Address
  Destination IP Address = Next Hop IPv6 Address
 SRH as specified in RFC 8754
  <SID List>
 IP Header (Optional)
  Source IP Address = Sender IPv6 Address
  Destination IP Address = Reflector IPv6 Address
 UDP Header
  Source Port = As chosen by Sender
  Destination Port = User-configured Port
Payload = DM or LM Query Message
```

Probe Response Message

 The probe response message is sent using the IP/UDP information from the probe query message.


```
IP Header
. Source IP Address = Reflector IPv4 or IPv6 Address
. Destination IP Address = Source IP Address from Query
. Protocol = UDP
UDP Header
. Source Port = As chosen by Reflector
. Destination Port = Source Port from Query
| Payload = DM Response Message |
. Payload = LM Response Message
                  Figure: Probe Response Message
```

ECMP Support for SR Path

- SR Path can have ECMP between the ingress and transit nodes, between transit nodes and between transit and egress nodes.
- Sending PM probe queries that can take advantage of the hashing function in forwarding plane.
- Existing forwarding mechanisms are applicable to PM probe messages. Examples are:
 - For IPv4
 - Sweeping destination address in IPv4 header (e.g. 127/8)
 - For IPv6
 - Sweeping flow label in IPv6 header

Backup

STAMP DM Message with Direct Measurement TLV (DM+LM Combined Probe Message)



```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
Sequence Number
Timestamp
Error Estimate
Receive Timestamp
Session-Sender Sequence Number
Session-Sender Timestamp
Session-Sender Error Estimate
|Ses-Sender TTL |
Direct Measurement Type
Session-Sender Tx counter (S TxC)
Session-Reflector Rx counter (R RxC)
Session-Reflector Tx counter (R TxC)
Figure: Reflector Message Format
```

Thank you