Performance Measurement Using STAMP for Segment Routing Networks

draft-gandhi-spring-stamp-srpm-00

```
Rakesh Gandhi - Cisco Systems (<u>rgandhi@cisco.com</u>) - Presenter Clarence Filsfils - Cisco Systems (<u>cfilsfil@cisco.com</u>)

Daniel Voyer - Bell Canada (<u>daniel.voyer@bell.ca</u>)

Mach(Guoyi) Chen - Huawei (<u>mach.chen@huawei.com</u>)

Bart Janssens - Colt (<u>Bart.Janssens@colt.net</u>)
```

Agenda

- Requirements and Scope
- History of the Draft
- Updates Since IETF-106
- Summary
- Next Steps

Requirements and Scope

Requirements:

- Delay and Loss Performance Measurement (PM)
 - ✓ Links and End-to-end P2P/P2MP SR Policies
 - ✓ Applicable to SR-MPLS/SRv6 data planes
- No need to bootstrap PM session (e.g. to negotiate UDP port) spirit of SR
 - ✓ Stateless on egress node spirit of SR
- Handle ECMP for SR Policies
- Support stand-alone direct-mode loss measurement

Scope:

- STAMP [RFC 8762]
- STAMP TLVs [draft-ietf-ippm-stamp-option-tlv]

History of the Draft

- Feb 2019
 - Draft was published draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-00
- May 2019
 - Added STAMP TLV for Return Path
- Mar 2019
 - Presented revision-00 at IETF 104 Prague in SPRING WG
- July 2019
 - Presented revision-01 at IETF 105 Montreal in IPPM WG
 - Slide 9 Titled Applicability of STAMP STAMP is supported
- Aug 2019
 - Revision-02 updates included a section on stand-alone LM messages
- Nov 2019
 - SPRING Chairs announced in the meeting the agreement with IPPM chairs to progress the draft in SPRING WG
 - Presented revision-04 at IETF 106 Singapore in SPRING WG
- Mar 2020
 - Moved STAMP support to draft-gandhi--spring-stamp-srpm-00
 - Scope TWAMP Light support as informational in draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-08

Updates Since IETF-106 (Revision-04)

Updates:

- 1. Defined Control Code for "In-band Response Requested" for STAMP
 - ✓ Updated Two-way mode procedure using the Control Code
- 2. Defined Destination Address in STAMP Node Address TLV to identify the intended Destination node
- Added Return Address Sub-TLV in the STAMP Return Path TLV to send response to a specific node
- 4. Various editorial changes

Open Items:

- Identify TLV as Mandatory or Optional
- Update IANA registry action

STAMP Control Code Field

For a Query: Sender Control Code

0x0: Out-of-band Response Requested.

This is also the default behavior.

Ox1: In-band Response Requested.
Indicates that this query has been sent over a bidirectional path and the probe response is required over the same path in reverse direction. The bidirectional path does not have to be an SR path.

For a Response: Reflector Control Code

Ox1: Error - Invalid Message.
Indicates that the operation failed because the received query message could not be processed.
OxN: Additional Error will be defined in future.

```
Timestamp
Se Control Code
Session-Sender Error Estimate | MBZ
Ses-Sender TTL
Figure 6: Sender and Reflector Control Code in STAMP DM Message
```

Performance Measurement Modes

- One-way Measurement Mode
 - Reply sent "out of band" on IP/UDP path by default
- Two-way Measurement Mode
 - Reply sent "in-band" on reverse SR path
 - Based on Control Code from the probe query message
 - Use Return Path TLV for STAMP from the probe query message
- Loopback Measurement Mode
 - Probe message carries the return path in the header of the packet

Destination Address in STAMP Node Address TLV

Destination Node Address (value TBA1):

- Indicates the address of the intended recipient node of the query message.
- The reflector node SHOULD NOT send response if it is not the intended destination node of the query.
- Useful when query is sent with 127/8 destination address.

Return Address in STAMP Return Path TLV

Return Path (value TBA2):

Sub-TLVs:

- Type (value 0): Return Address. Target node address of the response different than the Source Address in the query
- 2. Type (value 1): SR-MPLS Label Stack of the Reverse SR Path
- 3. Type (value 2): SR-MPLS Binding SID [draft-ietf-pce-binding-label-sid] of the Reverse SR Policy
- 4. Type (value 3): SRv6 Segment List of the Reverse SR Path
- 5. Type (value 4): SRv6 Binding SID [draft-ietf-pce-binding-label-sid] of the Reverse SR Policy

```
Return Path Sub-TLVs
            Figure: Return Path TLV
              Length
                          Reserved
              Segment(1)
              Segment(n)
    Figure: Segment List Sub-TLV in Return Path TLV
```

Stand-alone LM Message Format for STAMP

- Loss Measurement (LM) message defined
 - Hardware efficient counter-stamping
 - Well-known locations for transmit and receive traffic counters
 - Stand-alone LM message, not tied to DM
- LM message format is also defined for authenticated mode
- User-configured destination UDP Port2 is used for identifying LM probe packets
- Does not modify existing STAMP (which is for DM) procedure as different UDP destination Port2 is used for LM.

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
 IP Header
 Source IP Address = Sender IPv4 or IPv6 Address
 Destination TP Address = Reflector TPv4 or TPv6 Address
 Protocol = UDP
 Router Alert Option Not Set
 UDP Header
 Source Port = As chosen by Sender
 Destination Port = User-configured Port2 for Loss Measurement.
Sequence Number
Transmit Counter
|X|B| Reserved
          Block Number
Receive Counter
|X|B| Reserved | Sender Block Nu | MBZ
```


Next Steps

- Welcome your comments and suggestions
- Implementation exists
- In WG adoption (SPRING WG) queue
- Keep IPPM WG in the loop about the milestones

Thank you

Backup

Example Provisioning Model



Probe Query for Links

• User-configured destination UDP **port1** is used for DM probe messages in unauthenticated mode and **port2** is used for LM probe messages in unauthenticated mode.

```
| IP Header
 Source IP Address = Sender IPv4 or IPv6 Address
  Destination TP Address = Reflector TPv4 or TPv6 Address
 Protocol = UDP
UDP Header
  Source Port = As chosen by Sender
  Destination Port = User-configured Port for Delay Measurement.
| Payload = DM Message |
. Payload = LM Message
                  Figure: Probe Query Message
```

Probe Query for SR-MPLS and SRv6 Policy

For **end-to-end** performance delay/loss measurement of SR Policy, the probe query messages are sent on the SR Policy path with:

- 1. MPLS label stack for SR-MPLS Policies
- 2. SRv6 SRH [RFC 8754] with SID list for SRv6 Policies

```
Message for DM or LM
     Figure: Probe Query Message for SR-MPLS Policy
                SRH
Message for DM or LM
  (Using IPv6 Addresses)
     Figure: Probe Query Message for SRv6 Policy
```

Probe Response Message

 The probe response message is sent using the IP/UDP information from the probe query message.


```
IP Header
. Source IP Address = Reflector IPv4 or IPv6 Address
 Destination IP Address = Source IP Address from Query
. Protocol = UDP
UDP Header
. Source Port = As chosen by Reflector
. Destination Port = Source Port from Query
DM Payload
. LM Payload
                  Figure: Probe Response Message
```

ECMP Support for SR Policy

- SR Policy can have ECMP between the ingress and transit nodes, between transit nodes and between transit and egress nodes.
- Sending PM probe queries that can take advantage of the hashing function in forwarding plane.
- Existing forwarding mechanisms are applicable to PM probe messages:
 - For IPv4 and IPv6
 - Destination addresses in IP header (e.g. 127/8 for IPv4 and FFFF:7F00/104 for IPv6)
 - Flow label in IPv6 header

Backup

STAMP DM Message with Direct Measurement TLV (DM+LM Combined Probe Message)



```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
Sequence Number
Timestamp
Error Estimate
Receive Timestamp
Session-Sender Sequence Number
Session-Sender Timestamp
Session-Sender Error Estimate
|Ses-Sender TTL |
Direct Measurement Type
Session-Sender Tx counter (S TxC)
Session-Reflector Rx counter (R RxC)
Session-Reflector Tx counter (R TxC)
Figure: Reflector Message Format
```

Thank you