

Enhanced Performance Measurement and Liveness Monitoring in Segment Routing Networks

draft-gandhi-spring-sr-enhanced-plm-01

Rakesh Gandhi - Cisco Systems (rgandhi@cisco.com) - Presenter

Clarence Filsfils - Cisco Systems (cfilsfil@cisco.com)

Navin Vaghamshi - Reliance (Navin.Vaghamshi@ril.com)

Moses Nagarajah - Telstra (Moses.Nagarajah@team.telstra.com)

Agenda

- Requirements and Scope
- Summary
- Next Steps

Requirements and Scope

Requirements:

- Performance Delay Measurement & Liveness Monitoring in SR networks
 - ✓ End-to-end P2P/P2MP SR paths
 - ✓ Applicable to SR-MPLS/SRv6 data planes
 - ✓ Support ECMP SR paths
- Running single protocol for liveness detection and performance measurement in SR networks
 - ✓ Simplify deployment and reduce operational complexity
- No endpoint dependency
 - ✓ Stateless on endpoint (e.g. endpoint unaware of the probe protocol)
 - ✓ Higher scale and faster detection interval (e.g. packets not punted out of fast-path)


Scope:

- RFC 5357 (TWAMP Light) defined probe messages
- RFC 8762 (STAMP) defined probe messages
- User-configured IP/UDP path for probe messages

History of the Draft


- March 2020
 - Draft was published
- April 2020
 - Presented *version 00* in IETF MPLS WG Virtual Meeting

Liveness and Performance Monitoring of SR Policy



- Liveness monitoring for SR Policy uses PM probes (TWAMP Light/STAMP delay measurement messages) in Loopback Mode
- Probe messages sent using Segment List(s) of the SR Policy Candidate Path(s)
- Probe messages are not punted on the remote node (endpoint/reflector) out of fast-path
- Return path can be IP or SR
- Liveness failure is notified when consecutive N number of probe messages are not received back at the sender
- Round-trip delay metrics are notified when consecutive M number of probe messages have delay values exceed the configured thresholds

Enhanced Liveness and Performance Monitoring of SR Policy



- Use PM probes in loopback mode enabled with network programming function
 - The network programming function optimizes the "operations of punt, add receive timestamp and inject the probe packet" on the reflector node
- The endpoint node adds the receive timestamp in the payload of the received TWAMP Light or STAMP probe message without punting the probe message
 - Only add the receive timestamp if the source address in the probe message matches the local node address
- Liveness failure is notified when consecutive N number of probe messages are not received back at the sender
- One-way delay metrics are notified when consecutive M number of probe messages have delay values exceed the configured thresholds

Probe Message – Enhanced Loopback Mode

- Sender adds the Transmit Timestamp
- Reflector adds the Receive Timestamp at fixed offset locally provisioned (consistently in the network)
 - E.g. offset-byte 16 from the start of the payload



Figure: TWAMP Light Probe Message Format




Figure: STAMP Probe Message Format

SR-MPLS with Timestamp and Forward Function

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+
|                               | TC | S |           TTL           |
+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+
.
.
.
+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+
|                               | TC | S |           TTL           |
+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+
|                               | TC | S |           TTL           |
+-----+
| IP Header                               |
. Source IP Address = Endpoint IPv4 or IPv6 Address .
. Destination IP Address = Sender IPv4 or IPv6 Address .
. Protocol = UDP .
.
+-----+
| UDP Header                               |
. Source Port = As chosen by Sender .
. Destination Port = User-configured Port .
.
+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+
| Payload as defined in Section 4.2.1 of RFC 5357 |
| Payload as defined in Section 4.2 of RFC 8762   |
.
+-----+
```

Example Probe Message for SR-MPLS with Timestamp Label

- Extended Special-purpose label (TBA1) is defined for Timestamp and Forward network function
- Reverse Path can be IP or SR-MPLS
- Source and Destination Addresses are swapped to represent the Reverse direction path

SRv6 with Timestamp and Forward Function

```
| IP Header
. Source IP Address = Sender IPv6 Address
. Destination IP Address = Next IPv6 Address
.
+-----+
| SRH as specified in RFC 8754
. <Segment List>
. END.TSF with Target SID
.
+-----+
| IP Header
. Source IP Address = Reflector IPv6 Address
. Destination IP Address = Sender IPv6 Address
.
+-----+
| UDP Header
. Source Port = As chosen by Sender
. Destination Port = User-configured Port
.
+-----+
| Payload as defined in Section 4.2.1 of RFC 5357 |
| Payload as defined in Section 4.2 of RFC 8762
.
+-----+
```


Example Probe Message for SRv6 with Endpoint Function

- Endpoint Function END.TSF is defined for Timestamp and Forward and carried for the Reflector node SID
- Reverse path can be IP
 - Reflector node removes SRH
- Reverse path can be SR
 - Reverse direction SR path carried in SRH
 - Reflector node does not remove the SRH
- Source and Destination Addresses are swapped to represent the Reverse direction path in the inner IPv6 header

ECMP Support for SR Paths

- SR Paths can have ECMP between the ingress and transit nodes, between transit nodes and between transit and egress nodes.
- Sending PM probe queries that can take advantage of the hashing function in forwarding plane.
- Existing forwarding mechanisms are applicable to PM probe messages. Examples are:
 - For IPv4
 - Sweeping destination address in IPv4 header (e.g. 127/8) if return path is SR-MPLS
 - For IPv6
 - Sweeping flow label in IPv6 header

Example Provisioning Model



Next Steps

- Welcome your comments and suggestions
- Requesting WG adoption

Thank you