# Simple TWAMP (STAMP) Extensions for Segment Routing Networks

draft-gandhi-ippm-stamp-srpm-02

```
Rakesh Gandhi - Cisco Systems (<u>rgandhi@cisco.com</u>) - Presenter
Clarence Filsfils - Cisco Systems (<u>cfilsfil@cisco.com</u>)
Daniel Voyer - Bell Canada (<u>daniel.voyer@bell.ca</u>)
Mach(Guoyi) Chen - Huawei (<u>mach.chen@huawei.com</u>)
Bart Janssens - Colt (<u>Bart.Janssens@colt.net</u>)
```

# Agenda

- Requirements and Scope
- Summary of Extensions
- Next Steps

# Requirements and Scope

#### Requirements:

Support in-band Performance Measurement for links and SR paths

#### Goals:

- Avoid provisioning test sessions on Session-Reflector for stateless mode
- Avoid control protocol for signaling dynamic parameters
- High scale for number of test sessions and faster detection interval
  - Support hardware implementation

#### Scope:

- STAMP [RFC 8762]
- STAMP Extensions [RFC 8972]

### STAMP Destination Node Address TLV

#### **Destination Node Address TLV (value TBA1):**

- Indicates the address of the intended destination node of the Session-Sender test packet.
- The Session-Reflector node MUST NOT send reply if it is not the intended destination node of the test packet.
- Useful when test packet is sent with 127/8 destination address (e.g. sweeping ECMP paths).

## STAMP Return Path TLV

## Return Path TLV (value TBA2) to carry one Sub-TLV for return path:

#### Sub-TLVs Types:

- Type (value 1): Return Path Control Code. Reply test packet based on the control code flags.
  - 0x0: No Reply Requested.
  - 0x1: In-band Reply Requested.
- Type (value 2): Return Address. Destination node address for the reply; different than the Source Address in the test packet
- Type (value 3): SR-MPLS Label Stack of the Return SR Path
- Type (value 4): SR-MPLS Binding SID [draft-ietf-pce-binding-label-sid] of the Return SR Policy
- Type (value 5): SRv6 Segment List of the Return SR Path
- Type (value 6): SRv6 Binding SID [draft-ietf-pce-binding-label-sid] of the Return SR Policy

## Return Path Control Code Field - Usage

- For link delay measurement
  - Session-Reflector needs to send reply on the same incoming link (symmetric delay on forward and reverse link)
  - Link can be Virtual, LAG or LAG member
- Avoid provisioning each test session (session id, source-address) on Session-Reflector (can have an order of 1K links)
  - Stateless mode of STAMP Session-Reflector as defined in RFC 8762

## STAMP Return Segment List - Usage

- For SR path, reply test packet may need to be sent on a specific return SR path
- Bidirectional SR path (forward and reverse) dynamically computed using CSPF by the head-end node
  - Path can change often based on topology change, link/node failure in the network, etc.
- No signaling in SR
- Avoid signaling and maintaining dynamic state on Session-Reflector to store return paths for each test session (each session-id, source-address)
  - Order of 10K SR Policy (that can have active and standby candidate-path and each can have multiple segment-lists)

## Next Steps

- Welcome your comments and suggestions
- Requesting WG adoption

# Thank you