TWAMP Light Extensions for Segment Routing Networks

draft-gandhi-ippm-twamp-srpm-00

```
Rakesh Gandhi - Cisco Systems (<u>rgandhi@cisco.com</u>) - Presenter
Clarence Filsfils - Cisco Systems (<u>cfilsfil@cisco.com</u>)
Daniel Voyer - Bell Canada (<u>daniel.voyer@bell.ca</u>)
Mach(Guoyi) Chen - Huawei (<u>mach.chen@huawei.com</u>)
Bart Janssens - Colt (<u>Bart.Janssens@colt.net</u>)
```

Agenda

- Requirements and Scope
- History of the Draft
- Summary of Extensions
- Next Steps

Requirements and Scope

Requirements:

- Delay and synthetic Loss Measurement
- Support stand-alone direct-mode Loss Measurement

Scope:

- RFC 5357 (TWAMP Light) defined probe messages
- User-configured IP/UDP path for probe messages

History of the Draft

- Feb 2019
 - Draft was published draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-00
- Mar 2019
 - Presented draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-00 at IETF 104 Prague in SPRING WG
- July 2019
 - Presented draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-01 at IETF 105 Montreal in IPPM WG
 - Slide 9 Titled Applicability of STAMP
- Nov 2019
 - SPRING Chairs announced in the meeting the agreement with IPPM chairs to progress the draft in SPRING WG
 - Presented draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-04 at IETF 106 Singapore in SPRING WG
- Mar 2020
 - Moved STAMP support to draft-gandhi-spring-stamp-srpm-00
 - Keep TWAMP Light support as informational in draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-08
- Jul 2020
 - Presented draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-09 at IETF 109 in IPPM WG
- October 2020
 - Split draft into draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-11 and draft-gandhi-ippm-twamp-srpm-00

TWAMP Light - Session-Sender Control Code Field

In a Query: Session-Sender Control Code

0x0: Out-of-band Response Requested. This is the existing behavior.

Ox1: In-band Response Requested.
Indicates that this query has been sent over a bidirectional path and the probe response is required over the same path in the reverse direction.

0x2: No Response Requested.

• With this, the Session-Reflector node does not require any additional state for PM.

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
               Sequence Number
               Timestamp
      Error Estimate
Se Control Code
Padding
      Figure: Control Code in TWAMP Light Query Message
```

TWAMP Light - Stand-alone Direct-mode LM Message Format

- Stand-alone Direct-mode Loss Measurement (LM) query and response messages defined
 - Hardware efficient counter-stamping
 - Well-known locations for transmit and receive traffic counters
 - Stand-alone LM message, not tied to DM
- Direct-mode LM message format is also defined for authenticated mode
- User-configured destination UDP Port2 is used for identifying direct-mode LM probe packets
- Does not modify existing TWAMP Light (which is for DM) procedure as different destination UDP port is used for direct-mode LM

++
IP Header
. Source IP Address = Session-Sender IPv4 or IPv6 Address .
. Destination IP Address = Session-Reflector IPv4 or IPv6 Addr .
. Protocol = UDP
· Protocol = UDP
•
UDP Header
. Source Port = As chosen by Session-Sender .
. Destination Port = User-configured Port2 for Loss Measurement.
•
+-
Sequence Number
+-
Transmit Counter
Transmit counter
 +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
X B Reserved Block Number MBZ
+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
Receive Counter
+-
Session-Sender Sequence Number
+-
Session-Sender Counter
+-
X B Reserved Sender Block Nu MBZ
Sender TTL Padding (3 Bytes)
+-
·
· Padding .
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

IPPM Draft Review Comments

1. Draft status:

- a) Draft defines extensions for TWAMP Light (is not a new protocol)
- b) Draft is currently informational. Should be proposed standard due to protocol extensions
- c) Update RFC 5357 due to new field (control code) in the message
- 2. Extensions are not specific to SR, document should be renamed
- 3. Does not introduce any new security issue with this draft
- 4. Editorial
 - a) Define Abbreviations (BSID, SRH, HMAC-SHA)
 - b) Use Session-Sender, Session-Reflector terms
 - c) Show entire test packet with session-sender control code field
 - d) Indicate packet loss is direct-mode loss
 - e) Move Receive Counter and other Response message fields to Section 4.1 from 3.2
 - Explain how the counters and sequence numbers are used to do loss measurement
- 5. Extend ICMP for direct-mode loss measurement out of scope

SPRING Draft Review Comments

- 1. Destination UDP port used has zero UDP checksum with IPv6 header
 - Add Reference for RFC 6936 in Security Section
- 2. Add references for well-known terms "Link", "SR Path", and "Congruent paths"
- 3. Add reference for Yang data model draft in provisioning model section
- 4. Liveness is to compute "connection loss" performance metric
 - Similar to the widely deployed synthetic packet loss metric
- Editorial
 - Control-channel signaling -> TWAMP-control protocol
 - Indicate packet loss is direct-mode loss
 - Use test packet term for query message
 - H/W timestamps required -> H/W timestamps recommended
 - IPv6 address ::1/128 or ::FFFF:127/104
 - Clarify Section 4.1.4.2 and 4.2.2.2 depict the packet format with word "as needed" for inner IP Header
 - Different UDP destination port when running authenticated and unauthenticated sessions simultaneously

Next Steps

- Welcome your comments and suggestions
- Request IPPM WG adoption

Thank you