# TWAMP Light Extensions for Segment Routing Networks

draft-gandhi-ippm-twamp-srpm-00

```
Rakesh Gandhi - Cisco Systems (<u>rgandhi@cisco.com</u>) - Presenter
Clarence Filsfils - Cisco Systems (<u>cfilsfil@cisco.com</u>)
Daniel Voyer - Bell Canada (<u>daniel.voyer@bell.ca</u>)
Mach(Guoyi) Chen - Huawei (<u>mach.chen@huawei.com</u>)
Bart Janssens - Colt (<u>Bart.Janssens@colt.net</u>)
```

## Agenda

- Requirements and Scope
- History of the Draft
- Summary of Extensions
- Next Steps

## Requirements and Scope

#### Requirements:

- Delay and synthetic Loss Measurement
- Support stand-alone direct-mode Loss Measurement

#### Scope:

- RFC 5357 (TWAMP Light) defined probe messages
- User-configured IP/UDP path for probe messages

## TWAMP Light - Summary of PM Drafts

#### draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm

- Defines procedures for delay, synthetic loss and direct-mode loss measurements
  - For Links and end-to-end SR Paths for SR-MPLS and SRv6 data planes

#### draft-gandhi-ippm-twamp-srpm

- Defines extensions for TWAMP Light for Segment Routing
  - Defines Session-Sender Control Code field for in-band response request
  - Defines stand-alone direct-mode loss measurement query and response messages

## History of the Draft

- Feb 2019
  - Draft was published draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-00
- Mar 2019
  - Presented draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-00 at IETF 104 Prague in SPRING WG
- July 2019
  - Presented draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-01 at IETF 105 Montreal in IPPM WG
    - Slide 9 Titled Applicability of STAMP
- Nov 2019
  - SPRING Chairs announced in the meeting the agreement with IPPM chairs to progress the draft in SPRING WG
  - Presented draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-04 at IETF 106 Singapore in SPRING WG
- Mar 2020
  - Moved STAMP support to draft-gandhi-spring-stamp-srpm-00
  - Keep TWAMP Light support as informational in draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-08
- Jul 2020
  - Presented draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-09 at IETF 109 in IPPM WG
- October 2020
  - Split draft into draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-11 and draft-gandhi-ippm-twamp-srpm-00

#### TWAMP Light - Session-Sender Control Code Field

#### In a Query: Session-Sender Control Code

0x0: Out-of-band Response Requested. This is the existing behavior.

Ox1: In-band Response Requested.
Indicates that this query has been sent over a bidirectional path and the probe response is required over the same path in the reverse direction.

0x2: No Response Requested.

• With this, the Session-Reflector node does not require any additional state for PM.

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
               Sequence Number
               Timestamp
      Error Estimate
Se Control Code
Padding
      Figure: Control Code in TWAMP Light Query Message
```

## TWAMP Light - Stand-alone Direct-mode LM Message Format

- Stand-alone Direct-mode Loss Measurement (LM) query and response messages defined
  - Hardware efficient counter-stamping
    - Well-known locations for transmit and receive traffic counters
  - Stand-alone LM message, not tied to DM
- Direct-mode LM message format is also defined for authenticated mode
- User-configured destination UDP Port2 is used for identifying direct-mode LM probe packets
- Does not modify existing TWAMP Light (which is for DM) procedure as different destination UDP port is used for direct-mode LM

++
IP Header
. Source IP Address = Session-Sender IPv4 or IPv6 Address .
. Destination IP Address = Session-Reflector IPv4 or IPv6 Addr .
. Protocol = UDP
•
†
UDP Header
. Source Port = As chosen by Session-Sender .
. Destination Port = User-configured Port2 for Loss Measurement.
· ·
+-
Sequence Number
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-
Transmit Counter
X B  Reserved   Block Number   MBZ
+-
Receive Counter
+-
Session-Sender Sequence Number
+-
Session-Sender Counter
Dession-bender counter
X B  Reserved   Sender Block Nu   MBZ
+-
Sender TTL   Padding (3 Bytes)
+-
. Padding .
+-

### draft-gandhi-ippm-twamp-srpm - Review Comments

#### 1. Draft status:

- a) Draft defines extensions for TWAMP Light (is not a new protocol)
- b) Draft is currently informational. Should be proposed standard due to protocol extensions
- c) Update RFC 5357 due to new field (control code) in the message
- 2. Extensions are not specific to SR, document should be renamed
- 3. Does not introduce any new security issue with this draft
- 4. Editorial
  - a) Define Abbreviations (BSID, SRH, HMAC-SHA)
  - b) Use Session-Sender, Session-Reflector terms
  - c) Show entire test packet with session-sender control code field
  - d) Indicate packet loss is direct-mode loss
  - e) Move Receive Counter and other Response message fields to Section 4.1 from 3.2
    - Explain how the counters and sequence numbers are used to do loss measurement
- 5. Extend ICMP for direct-mode loss measurement out of scope

#### draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm - Review Comments

- 1. Destination UDP port used has zero UDP checksum with IPv6 header
  - Add Reference for RFC 6936 in Security Section
- 2. Add references for well-known terms "Link", "SR Path", and "Congruent paths"
- 3. Add reference for Yang data model draft in provisioning model section
- 4. Liveness is to compute "connection loss" performance metric
  - Similar to the widely deployed synthetic packet loss metric
- Editorial
  - Control-channel signaling -> TWAMP-control protocol
  - Indicate packet loss is direct-mode loss
  - Use test packet term for query message
  - H/W timestamps required -> H/W timestamps recommended
  - IPv6 address ::1/128 or ::FFFF:127/104
  - Clarify Section 4.1.4.2 and 4.2.2.2 depict the packet format with word "as needed" for inner IP Header
  - Different UDP destination port when running authenticated and unauthenticated sessions simultaneously

### Next Steps

- Welcome your comments and suggestions
- Request IPPM WG adoption

## Thank you