Simple TWAMP (STAMP) Extensions for Segment Routing Networks

draft-gandhi-ippm-stamp-srpm-01

```
Rakesh Gandhi - Cisco Systems (<u>rgandhi@cisco.com</u>) - Presenter
Clarence Filsfils - Cisco Systems (<u>cfilsfil@cisco.com</u>)
Daniel Voyer - Bell Canada (<u>daniel.voyer@bell.ca</u>)
Mach(Guoyi) Chen - Huawei (<u>mach.chen@huawei.com</u>)
Bart Janssens - Colt (<u>Bart.Janssens@colt.net</u>)
```

Agenda

- Requirements and Scope
- Summary of Extensions
- Next Steps

Requirements and Scope

Requirements:

- Support in-band Delay Measurement
- Support stand-alone Direct Measurement Test Packet for Packet Loss
- Support links and SR paths

Goals:

- Eliminate per session provisioning on Session-Reflector
- No control-channel signaling for sessions
- Support hardware implementation very high scale for number of sessions and faster detection interval

Scope:

- STAMP [RFC 8762]
- STAMP TLVs [draft-ietf-ippm-stamp-option-tlv]

Review Comments

- Draft status:
 - a) Draft defines extensions for RFC 8762 STAMP
 - Updates RFC 8762 due to new field (control code) in the test packet
- Extensions specific to SR?
- 3. Editorial
 - a) Define Abbreviations (BSID, SRH, HMAC-SHA)
 - b) Use Test packet, Session-Sender, Session-Reflector terms
 - c) Show entire test packet with session-sender control code field
 - d) Indicate new packet loss packet is for direct measurement
 - e) Move Receive Counter and other Reply test packet fields to Section 4.1 from 3.2
 - Explain how the counters and sequence numbers are used to do loss measurement

STAMP - Session-Sender Control Code Field

Session-Sender Control Code

0x0: Out-of-band Reply Requested. This is the existing behavior.

Ox1: In-band Reply Requested.
Indicates that this test packet has been sent over a bidirectional path and the reply is required over the same path in the reverse direction.

0x2: No Reply Requested.

```
Sequence Number
    Timestamp
    Error Estimate
                      SSID
Control Code
              (24 octets)
      Session-Sender Control Code in Test Packet
```

STAMP - Session-Sender Control Code Field - Usage

- Two-way measurement mode for links
 - Reflector needs to send reply on the same link (in-band) (symmetric delay on forward and reverse link)
- No way of knowing if one-way or two-way mode from the received STAMP test packet
- Not scalable to configure for each (session id, source-address) on session-reflector (can have an order of 1K links)
 - Cannot always send reply on the same incoming interface as the STAMP test packet reply may need to be IP routed

STAMP - Return Path TLV

Return Path TLV (value TBA2):

Sub-TLVs Types:

- Type (value 1): Return Address. Target node address for the reply; different than the Source Address in the test packet
- Type (value 2): SR-MPLS Label Stack of the Reverse SR Path
- Type (value 3): SR-MPLS Binding SID [draft-ietf-pce-binding-label-sid] of the Reverse SR Policy
- Type (value 4): SRv6 Segment List of the Reverse SR Path
- Type (value 5): SRv6 Binding SID [draft-ietf-pce-binding-label-sid] of the Reverse SR Policy

STAMP - Return Path TLV - Usage

- For Bidir SR Policy, reply test packet needs to be sent (in-band) on the reverse SR Policy
- Bidir SR Path (forward and reverse) dynamically computed using CSPF by the head-end node
 - Path can change often based on topology change, link/node failure in the network, etc.
- No signaling in SR, possible to use PCE
- Need per session state on session-reflector node to store reverse paths (each session-id, source-address) – order of 10Ks SR Policy (that can have active and standby candidate-paths and each can have multiple segment-lists)
- In SR, state is in the packet

STAMP - Destination Node Address TLV

Destination Node Address TLV (value TBA1):

- Indicates the address of the intended recipient node of the test packet.
- The Session-Reflector node MUST NOT send reply if it is not the intended destination node of the test packet.
- Useful when test packet is sent with 127/8 destination address (e.g. sweeping ECMP paths).

Stand-alone Direct Measurement Test Packet for Packet Loss

- Stand-alone Direct Measurement test packet defined
 - Hardware efficient counter-stamping
 - Well-known locations for transmit and receive traffic counters
 - Block number of the counters for alternate marking method [RFC 8321]
 - Traffic class of the counters for per class packet loss
- Direct Measurement test packet is also defined for authenticated mode
- User-configured destination UDP Port2 is used for identifying direct measurement test packets
- Does not modify the existing STAMP procedure as different destination UDP port is used for direct measurement test packets
- Flags
 - X set to 1 for 64-Bit Counter, set to 0 for 32-Bit Counter
 - B set to 1 for Byte Counter, set to 0 for Packet Counter
 - T set to 1 for Sender-DSCP scoped Counter

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
 IP Header
  Source IP Address = Session-Sender IPv4 or IPv6 Address
 Destination IP Address = Session-Reflector IPv4 or IPv6 Addr
  Protocol = UDP
 UDP Header
 Source Port = As chosen by Session-Sender
  Destination Port = User-configured Port2 direct measurement
  Sequence Number
      Transmit Counter
|X|B|T| Reserved| Block Number
Receive Counter
                Session-Sender Sequence Number
                Session-Sender Counter
|X|B|T| Reserved|Sender Block Nu| MBZ
 Ses-Sender TTL | Sender-DSCP | MBZ
Figure: Session-Reflector Direct Measurement Test Packet
```

Direct Measurement TLV vs. Direct Measurement Test Packet


STAMP Direct Measurement TLV

- 1. Cannot implement counter collection in hardware for Sender TX, Reflector RX and Reflector TX for packet loss?
 - Session-reflector hardware to parse STAMP TLVs in receive packets to decide if receive counter to be punted to the controlplane
 - Reply test packet with transmit counter NOT at the same location
 needed for hardware counter-stamping (like STAMP timestamp)
 - Hardware needs to write both timestamp and counter in the injected packet – not capable
- 2. For control-plane implementation, how can we measure packet loss without alternate marking method (block number)?
- 3. Need STAMP TLV processing in hardware
- 4. Counter not at fixed location due to TLVs
- 5. Counter location deeper into the packet (Eth 18, IPv6 40, UDP 8, STAMP 44, TLV Type 4, Total = 114 Byte) load into write-able memory
- 6. Direct Measurement TLV supports **32-bit packet** counters
- 7. Does not support per-traffic class direct measurement (DSCP TLV processing not specified for Counters)

Direct Measurement Test Packet

- Suitable for collecting data packet counters from hardware inline counter-stamping (for P2P connections)
 - Reply test packet with transmit counter at the same location
 important property for hardware counter-stamping (like STAMP timestamp)
- Direct Measurement Test Packet identifies the block number of the counters - used for alternate marking method (RFC 8321) for control-plane based packet loss
- 3. No TLV processing in hardware
- 4. Counter at fixed location, well-known location for SRv6 network programming
- Counter location earlier into the packet (Eth 18, IPv6 40, UDP 8, Seq 4, Total = 70 Byte)
- 6. Direct Measurement Test Packet supports **32-bit packet and byte** counters and **64-bit packet and byte** counters
- 7. Per traffic-class counter collection (per traffic-class loss measurement) (e.g., drop best effort traffic)

Link Loss Direct Measurement - Inline Counter-stamping in Hardware




- · Advertise extended TE metrics link loss percentage
 - · RFC 8570 (IS-IS)
 - · RFC 7471 (OSPF)
 - · RFC 8571 (BGP-LS)

- One Way Packet Loss %
 = 100* ((C1(t) C1(t-1)) (C2(t) C2(t-1)) / (C1(t) C1(t-1))
 = 100* (((103 100) (203 200)) / (103 100))
- Traffic Counters counter-stamping in hardware

= 0

Alternate Marking Method for Packet Loss

- RFC 8321 Alternate-Marking Method for Passive and Hybrid Performance Monitoring
- draft-ietf-mpls-sfl-framework Synonymous Flow Label Framework
- Control plane based packet loss with distributed forwarding LCs



Next Steps

- Welcome your comments and suggestions
- Requesting WG adoption

Thank you

STAMP Test Packet with Direct Measurement TLV

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 +-+-++-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-
T
MBZ (30 octets)
T
 +-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-
~
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-
STAMP TLV Flags Type Length
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-
Session-Sender Tx counter (S TxC)
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-
Session-Reflector Rx counter (R RxC)
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-
Session-Reflector Tx counter (R TxC)
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-
Figure: Session-Sender Test Packet Format

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
Sequence Number
Timestamp
Error Estimate
Receive Timestamp
Session-Sender Sequence Number
Session-Sender Timestamp
Session-Sender Error Estimate
|Ses-Sender TTL |
|STAMP TLV Flags | Type
Session-Sender Tx counter (S TxC)
Session-Reflector Rx counter (R RxC)
    Session-Reflector Tx counter (R TxC)
Figure: Session-Reflector Test Packet Format
```