TWAMP Light Extensions for Segment Routing Networks

draft-gandhi-ippm-twamp-srpm-00

```
Rakesh Gandhi - Cisco Systems (<u>rgandhi@cisco.com</u>) - Presenter
Clarence Filsfils - Cisco Systems (<u>cfilsfil@cisco.com</u>)
Daniel Voyer - Bell Canada (<u>daniel.voyer@bell.ca</u>)
Mach(Guoyi) Chen - Huawei (<u>mach.chen@huawei.com</u>)
Bart Janssens - Colt (<u>Bart.Janssens@colt.net</u>)
```

Agenda

- Requirements and Scope
- History of the Draft
- Summary of Extensions
- Next Steps

Requirements and Scope

Requirements:

- Delay and Loss Performance Measurement (PM)
 - ✓ Links and End-to-end P2P/P2MP SR Paths
 - ✓ Applicable to SR-MPLS/SRv6 data planes
- Support stand-alone direct-mode loss measurement

Scope:

- RFC 5357 (TWAMP Light) defined probe messages
- User-configured IP/UDP path for probe messages

History of the Draft

- Feb 2019
 - Draft was published draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-00
- Mar 2019
 - Presented draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-00 at IETF 104 Prague in SPRING WG
- July 2019
 - Presented draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-01 at IETF 105 Montreal in IPPM WG
 - Slide 9 Titled Applicability of STAMP
- Nov 2019
 - SPRING Chairs announced in the meeting the agreement with IPPM chairs to progress the draft in SPRING WG
 - Presented draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-04 at IETF 106 Singapore in SPRING WG
- Mar 2020
 - Moved STAMP support to draft-gandhi-spring-stamp-srpm-00
 - Keep TWAMP Light support as informational in draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-08
- Jul 2020
 - Presented draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-09 at IETF 109 in IPPM WG
- October 2020
 - Split draft into draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-11 and draft-gandhi-ippm-twamp-srpm-00

TWAMP Light - Session-Sender Control Code Field

In a Query: Session-Sender Control Code

0x0: Out-of-band Response Requested. This is also the default (current) behavior.

Ox1: In-band Response Requested.
Indicates that this query has been sent over a bidirectional path and the probe response is required over the same path in the reverse direction.

0x2: No Response Requested.

- With this, the Session-Reflector node does not require any additional SR state for PM (recall that in SR networks, the state is in the probe packet and signaling of the parameters is avoided).
- Also applicable to non-SR paths.

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
           Sequence Number
Timestamp
    Error Estimate
Padding
    Figure: Control Code in TWAMP Light Query Message
```

TWAMP Light - Stand-alone Direct-mode LM Message Format

- Stand-alone Direct-mode Loss Measurement (LM) query and response messages defined
 - Hardware efficient counter-stamping
 - Well-known locations for transmit and receive traffic counters
 - Stand-alone LM message, not tied to DM
- Direct-mode LM message format is also defined for authenticated mode
- User-configured destination UDP Port2 is used for identifying direct-mode LM probe packets
- Does not modify existing TWAMP Light (which is for DM) procedure as different destination UDP port is used for direct-mode LM

```
IP Header
 Source IP Address = Session-Sender IPv4 or IPv6 Address
 Destination IP Address = Session-Reflector IPv4 or IPv Addr
 Protocol = UDP
 UDP Header
 Source Port = As chosen by Session-Sender
 Destination Port = User-configured Port2 for Loss Measurement.
 Sequence Number
        Transmit Counter
|X|B| Reserved
         Block Number
Receive Counter
        Session-Sender Sequence Number
             Session-Sender Counter
|X|B| Reserved | Sender Block Nu|
Padding (3 Bytes)
Padding
```

Next Steps

- Welcome your comments and suggestions
- Request IPPM WG adoption

Thank you