# Simple TWAMP (STAMP) Extensions for Segment Routing Networks

draft-gandhi-ippm-stamp-srpm-02

```
Rakesh Gandhi - Cisco Systems (<u>rgandhi@cisco.com</u>) - Presenter
Clarence Filsfils - Cisco Systems (<u>cfilsfil@cisco.com</u>)
Daniel Voyer - Bell Canada (<u>daniel.voyer@bell.ca</u>)
Mach(Guoyi) Chen - Huawei (<u>mach.chen@huawei.com</u>)
Bart Janssens - Colt (<u>Bart.Janssens@colt.net</u>)
```

# Agenda

- Requirements and Scope
- Summary of Extensions
- Next Steps

# Requirements and Scope

#### Requirements:

Support in-band Performance Measurement for links and SR paths

#### Goals:

- Avoid provisioning test sessions on Session-Reflector for stateless mode
- Avoid control protocol for signaling dynamic parameters
- High scale for number of test sessions and faster detection interval
  - Support hardware implementation

#### Scope:

- STAMP [RFC 8762]
- STAMP Extensions [RFC 8972]

### STAMP Destination Node Address TLV

#### **Destination Node Address TLV (value TBA1):**

- Indicates the address of the intended destination of the Session-Sender test packet.
- The Session-Reflector that supports this TLV, MUST NOT send reply if it is not the intended destination of the Session-Sender test packet.
- Useful when test packet is sent with 127/8 destination address (e.g. sweeping ECMP paths).

### STAMP Return Path TLV

### Return Path TLV (value TBA2) to carry one Sub-TLV for return path:

#### Sub-TLVs Types:

- Type (value 1): Return Path Control Code. Reply test packet based on the control code flags.
  - 0x0: No Reply Requested.
  - 0x1: In-band Reply Requested.
- Type (value 2): Return Address. Destination address for the reply; different than the Source Address in the Session-Sender test packet
- Type (value 3): SR-MPLS Label Stack of the Return SR Path
- Type (value 4): SR-MPLS Binding SID [draft-ietf-pce-binding-label-sid] of the Return SR Policy
- Type (value 5): SRv6 Segment List of the Return SR Path
- Type (value 6): SRv6 Binding SID [draft-ietf-pce-binding-label-sid] of the Return SR Policy

### Return Path Control Code Sub-TLV - Usage

### In-band Reply:

- For link delay measurement
  - Session-Reflector sends test packet in-band on the same incoming link in the reverse direction
  - Link can be Virtual, LAG or LAG member
- Avoid maintaining each test session (session id, source-address) on Session-Reflector
  - Stateless mode of STAMP Session-Reflector as defined in RFC 8762

#### No Reply:

- The Session-Reflector does not transmit test packet back to the Session-Sender and terminates the test packet
- Optionally, the Session-Reflector sends performance metrics via streaming telemetry using the information from the received test packet

### Return Address Sub-TLV - Usage

- The STAMP reply test packet may be transmitted to a different node than the Session-Sender
  - e.g. to a controller for telemetry use-cases.
- For this, the Session-Sender can specify in the test packet the receiving destination address for the Session-Reflector reply test packet.

### Return Path Segment List Sub-TLVs - Usage

- For SR path, reply test packet may need to be sent in-band on a specific return SR path
- For bidirectional SR path dynamically computed forward and reverse paths using CSPF by the head-end node
  - Path can change often based on topology change, link/node failure in the network, etc.
- No signaling in SR (PCE can be used)
- Avoid signaling and maintaining dynamic state on Session-Reflector the return path for each test session (each session-id, source-address)
  - Order of 10K SR Policy (that can have active and standby candidate-path and each can have multiple segment-lists)

## Next Steps

- Welcome your comments and suggestions
- Requesting WG adoption

# Thank you