TWAMP Light Extensions for Segment Routing Networks

draft-gandhi-ippm-twamp-srpm-00

```
Rakesh Gandhi - Cisco Systems (<u>rgandhi@cisco.com</u>) - Presenter
Clarence Filsfils - Cisco Systems (<u>cfilsfil@cisco.com</u>)
Daniel Voyer - Bell Canada (<u>daniel.voyer@bell.ca</u>)
Mach(Guoyi) Chen - Huawei (<u>mach.chen@huawei.com</u>)
Bart Janssens - Colt (<u>Bart.Janssens@colt.net</u>)
```

Agenda

- Requirements and Scope
- History of the Draft
- Summary
- Next Steps

Requirements and Scope

Requirements:

- Delay and Loss Performance Measurement (PM)
 - ✓ Links and End-to-end P2P/P2MP SR Paths
 - ✓ Applicable to SR-MPLS/SRv6 data planes
- Support stand-alone direct-mode loss measurement

Scope:

- RFC 5357 (TWAMP Light) defined probe messages
- User-configured IP/UDP path for probe messages

History of the Draft

- Feb 2019
 - Draft was published draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-00
- Mar 2019
 - Presented draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-00 at IETF 104 Prague in SPRING WG
- July 2019
 - Presented draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-01 at IETF 105 Montreal in IPPM WG
 - Slide 9 Titled Applicability of STAMP
- Nov 2019
 - SPRING Chairs announced in the meeting the agreement with IPPM chairs to progress the draft in SPRING WG
 - Presented draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-04 at IETF 106 Singapore in SPRING WG
- Mar 2020
 - Moved STAMP support to draft-gandhi-spring-stamp-srpm-00
 - Keep TWAMP Light support as informational in draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-08
- Jul 2020
 - Presented draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-09 at IETF 109 in IPPM WG
- October 2020
 - Split draft into draft-gandhi-spring-twamp-srpm-11 and draft-gandhi-ippm-twamp-srpm-00

TWAMP Light Control Code Field

In a Query: Sender Control Code

0x0: Out-of-band Response Requested. This is also the default (current) behavior.

Ox1: In-band Response Requested.
Indicates that this query has been sent over a bidirectional path and the probe response is required over the same path in the reverse direction.

0x2: No Response Requested.

- With this, the reflector node does not require any additional SR state for PM (recall that in SR networks, the state is in the probe packet and signaling of the parameters is avoided).
- Also applicable to non-SR paths.

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
               Sequence Number
Timestamp
     Error Estimate
MBZ
                              Se Control Code
                Padding
      Figure: Control Code in TWAMP Light Query Message
```

Stand-alone Direct-mode LM Message Format for TWAMP Light

- Stand-alone Direct-mode Loss Measurement (LM) message defined
 - Hardware efficient counter-stamping
 - Well-known locations for transmit and receive traffic counters
 - Stand-alone LM message, not tied to DM
- Direct-mode LM message format is also defined for authenticated mode
- User-configured destination UDP Port2 is used for identifying LM probe packets
- Does not modify existing TWAMP Light (which is for DM) procedure as different destination UDP is used for LM

```
IP Header
 Source IP Address = Sender IPv4 or IPv6 Address
 Destination IP Address = Reflector IPv4 or IPv6 Address
 Protocol = UDP
UDP Header
 Source Port = As chosen by Sender
 Destination Port = User-configured Port2 for Loss Measurement.
Sequence Number
        Transmit Counter
|X|B| Reserved
        Block Number
Receive Counter
            Sender Sequence Number
       Sender Counter
|X|B| Reserved | Sender Block Nu|
Padding (3 Bytes)
Padding
```

Next Steps

- Welcome your comments and suggestions
- Implementation exists
- Request IPPM WG adoption

Thank you