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In this project, we were asked to experiment with an insurance dataset from Kaggle, and to explore how machine learning model can be used to forecast the target (fraud detection). We were expected to gain experience using our knowledge of actuarial science, common data mining and machine learning library and were expected to submit a report about the dataset and the code. After performing the required tasks on a dataset of my choice, herein lies my final report.

One of the major and most prevalent issues that insurers deal with is fraud. This report focuses on vehicle insurance company claim statistics. The objective of this project is to develop a system that can identify fraudulent auto insurance claims.
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# Data and Associated insurance problem

## **Dataset description**

The “[Auto Insurance Claims Data](https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/buntyshah/auto-insurance-claims-data)” dataset has 1000 rows and 40 columns. This dataset includes customer information (age, insured\_sex, insured\_education\_level, insured\_hobbies) as well as information on the insurance policy (policy\_number, policy\_state, policy\_annual\_premium). Additionally, it contains information about the accident that served as the foundation for the claims. The column titles include things like the incident location, severity, auto model, auto year, and bind date for policies. The target column named fraud reported which tell us the claimed reported as fraud or not.

## **Problem Statement**

One of the major and most prevalent issues that insurers deal with is fraud. This report focuses on vehicle insurance company claim statistics. For each insurer, fraudulent claims can be quite expensive. It is crucial to understand which assertions are true and which are false. Insurance companies are unable to individually examine every claim since doing so would be prohibitively expensive. In this report, we'll make use of data, the insurers' most valuable resource while battling fraud. We use a number of attributes that the insurer includes in the data regarding the claims, insured individuals, and other conditions.

# Data Preprocessing

## **Missing Values & Data cleaning**

The first step that we need to do is data cleaning. Some columns include the null value, which is used to denote missing, ambiguous, or possibly nonexistent values. we can see some missing values denoted by '?' so, first we replace these missing values with ‘NA’. Columns property damage, police report available, collision type, and \_c39 in our dataset have null values in amounts of 360, 343, 178 and 1000, respectively. The total amount of missing values in this dataset is about 5%. Let us drop the \_c39 column as it has all the values are missing. So, the amount of missing data is change to 2.4%. Missing values in the dataset can be replaced in a variety of ways, like we can replace these with mean, median or mode. In our case we replace missing values with model.

## **Exploratory Data Analysis**
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Description automatically generatedExploratory data analysis was conducted making two subset of data one for numerical and another one is categorical data. The target variable is Fraud\_reported column. There were 753 non-frauds opposed to 247 frauds. In contrast to 75.3% non-false claims, 24.7% of the data were fraudulent claims.

The category and numerical data are visualized using bar plots and histograms. Additionally, box plots are employed to show the numerical data, aiding in the identification of outliers for the following phase. To see the relationships between the variables, utilize the correlation matrix.

High relationship between age and months\_as\_customer, according to the correlation matrix. Additionally, there is a significant correlation among the total\_claim amount, injury claim, property claim, and vehicle\_claim which is the some of all others claim.

## **Feature Engineering & Feature Selection**
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Description automatically generatedHandling outliers is the most crucial step in the feature engineering process since it guarantees that our model is trained on accurate data, which produces accurate models. From box plot in previous step, we see some of the columns have outliers The quantile is used for removing outliers.

The second step is feature encoding, converts categorical data into numerical values that ML systems can comprehend. One hot encoding is used for this purpose. Later, we also look for the correlations that are most closely related to our target variables and select those which are above 10%.

# Description of the models used

## **SVM Classifier**

A supervised machine learning approach called Support Vector Machine (SVM) is used for both classification and regression. Although we also refer to regression concerns, categorization is the most appropriate term. Finding a hyperplane in an N-dimensional space that clearly classifies the data points is the goal of the SVM method. The number of features determines the hyperplane's size. The hyperplane is essentially a line if there are just two input features. The hyperplane turns into a 2-D plane if there are three input features. Imagining something with more than three features gets challenging.

## **Decision tree**

An algorithmic strategy that can split the dataset in numerous ways based on various criteria can be used to create decision trees. A tree's decision nodes, where the data is divided, and leaves, from which we derive the result, are its two key components.

The most effective and well-liked categorization and predictions technique is the decision tree. A decision tree is a tree structure that resembles a flowchart, where each internal node represents a test on an attribute, each branch a test result, and each leaf node (terminal node) a class label.

## **Logistic regression**

The probability of a target variable is predicted using the supervised learning classification algorithm Know ad logistic regression. Since the target or dependent variable is binary in nature, there are only two potential classes: 1 (which denotes success/yes) and 0 (which denotes failure/no). A logistic regression model makes mathematical predictions about P(Y=1) as a function of X. One of the most basic machine learning algorithms, it may be applied to a number of classifications issues.

## **Random forest**

As is common knowledge, a forest is made up of trees, and a forest with more trees will be more sturdy. Similar to this, a random forest algorithm builds decision trees on data samples, obtains predictions from each one, and then votes to determine the best option. Because it averages the results, the ensemble method—which is superior to a single decision tree—reduces over-fitting.

# Analysis of the Results & Conclusion

Our top model, Support Vector Machine, has an accuracy rating of 83%. Out of 34 affirmative cases, our model predicts 24 true positive cases, and 142 true negative cases, out of 166 cases. Out of 218 positive instances, it predicts 21 false positive cases and 13 false negative cases. This results in a f1 score of 89.31%.

## **Evaluation Metrices**
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Description automatically generated**F1-score**: A better indicator of cases that were mistakenly classified than the accuracy matrix is the F1 score, which is the harmonic mean of precision and recall. The formula of F1 score:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | SVM | Decision Tree | Logistic Regression | Random Forest |
| F1-Score | 89.31 | 88.68 | 89.3 | 88.75 |

**Precision:** It is suggested that this term refers to the percentage of correctly detected positive instances among all of the projected positive cases. When the costs of False Positives are high, it is therefore helpful.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | SVM |  | Decision Tree | Logistic Regression | Random Forest |
| Precision | 91.61 |  | 88.41 | 91.61 | 87.95 |

**Recall:** That this statistic represents the proportion of all correctly identified positive cases among all actual positive cases. When the cost of False Negatives is high, it is crucial.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | SVM | Decision Tree | Logistic Regression | Random Forest |
| Recall | 87.11 | 88.95 | 87.11 | 85.88 |

**Table

Description automatically generatedConfusion matrix:** A table that frequently describes how a classification model, also known as a "classifier," performs given a set of test data for which the true values are known.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| SVM | Decision Tree | Logistic Regression | Random Forest |
| |  |  | | --- | --- | | 24 | 21 | | 13 | 142 | | |  |  | | --- | --- | | 18 | 18 | | 19 | 145 | | |  |  | | --- | --- | | 20 | 18 | | 17 | 145 | | |  |  | | --- | --- | | 17 | 17 | | 20 | 146 | |
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Description automatically generated**Accuracy:** It is one of the more visible indicators and represents the total number of cases that were correctly identified. When all classes are equally essential, it is most frequently utilized.

## **Discussion and Conclusion:**

The objective of this project is to develop a system that can identify fraudulent auto insurance claims. The difficulty with detecting fraud using machine learning is that fraudulent claims are much less frequent than legitimate ones. Fraud detection in the insurance industry is a difficult task due to the various types of fraud and the low proportion of known fraud cases in typical data sets. When creating fraud detection models, it is important to balance the cost of false alarms with the benefits of preventing losses. Machine learning methods can improve the accuracy of predictions, allowing loss prevention teams to achieve a high level of coverage with a low rate of false positives. Fraudulent insurance claims can involve a variety of actions taken by individuals to gain an unfair advantage from the insurance company, such as fabricating the details of an incident, providing false information, and exaggerating the extent of damage.

# APPENDIX