t	std         257.353842         0.486592         0.836071         14.526497         1.102743         0.806057         49.693429           min         1.000000         0.000000         1.000000         0.420000         0.000000         0.000000         0.000000         0.000000         2.000000         0.000000         7.910400           50%         446.000000         0.000000         3.000000         3.000000         0.000000         0.000000         31.000000
1 2 3 4 4	894 2 Myles, Mr. Thomas Francis male 62.0 0 0 240276 9.6875 NaN Q 895 3 Wirz, Mr. Albert male 27.0 0 0 315154 8.6625 NaN S 896 3 Hirvonen, Mrs. Alexander (Helga E Lindqvist) female 22.0 1 1 3101298 12.2875 NaN S  Rest_data.tail()  PassengerId Pclass Name Sex Name Sex Name Name Name Name Name Name Name Name
t (c.: Rail) # 1 2 3 4 5	SibSp 418 non-null int64 Parch 418 non-null int64
9 10 dty ner t	Fare
t F 3 1 2 N	75% 1204.75000 3.00000 39.00000 1.00000 0.00000 31.50000  max 1309.00000 3.00000 76.00000 8.00000 9.00000 512.329200  Alue counts for the categorical variable  **rain_data['Pclass'].value_counts()  **Polass
Sex na er lar /a Sur Sur l	le 577 male 314 me: count, dtype: int64
iml iml iml iml iml iml iml iml iml iml	lue counts for Embarked:  barked 644 168 77 me: count, dtype: int64
'al'	lue counts for Parch:  rch  678  118  80  5  5  4  1  me: count, dtype: int64
ei lai 'a	<pre>print(f"\n\alue counts for {col}:\n") print(test_data[col].value_counts()) print("-"*40)  lue counts for Sex:  x</pre>
iml	lue counts for Embarked:  barked 270 102 46 esc. count, dtype: int64
/a: /a: /a: /a: /a: /a: /a: /a: /a: /a:	1 me: count, dtype: int64
F S F N S F T F C E C	rain_data.isnull().sum()  PassengerId
t # t <b>l</b> t p p p	######################################
Count	200
s p	Distribution of Age  • Most passangers are between 20 to 40 • About 250 passengers are of age around 30 • Very few passangers are above 60 years  Countplot for categorical features Sex, Pclass  Ins. countplot (x='Sex', data=train_data)  whit.title('Count of Passengers by Sex')  lit.show()
	Count of Passengers by Sex  500 - 40
# s	Tour of Passengers by Sex  • The count of male passengers is the highest, with around 550+ out of 892, showing that men made up the majority of the passengers on board.  • The count of female passengers is around 300 out of 892, indicating that women were fewer in number compared to men on the Titanic.  **COUNTPLOT FOR PASSANGER CLASS DISTRIBUTION** **ins.countplot(x="Pclass", data=train_data)** **lit.title("Passenger Class Distribution")**
count	Passenger Class Distribution  500  400 -  200 -
Е	Passenger Class Distribution plot  The 3rd class had the highest number of passengers by a large margin , shows many were lower-income passengers, including immigrants.  Interestingly, there were more 1st class passengers than 2nd class, despite higher ticket prices.  BIVARIATE ANALYSIS  Survival rate by gender
р	sis.countplot(x='Survived', hue='Sex', data=train_data) lit.title('Survival Counts by Gender') lit.show()  Survival Counts by Gender  Sex male female
S	Survival Counts by Gender  Most deaths were male: Over 400 of 549 who died were men, while fewer than 100 were women.  Most survivors were female: Over 200 of 342 survivors were women, showing they had a higher survival rate.  The trends are showing that Female passengers had a higher survival rate than male passengers. Most males did not survive.
s p p	ins.countplot (x='Survived', hue='Pclass', data=train_data) htt:title('Survival Counts by Passenger Class') htt.show()  Survival Counts by Passenger Class  350 -
	150 - 100 -
s p	• 1st Class had better survival: Around 100+ survived and only 60-70 died, indicating higher survival chances for wealthier passengers.  • 2nd Class was in between: Roughly 100 died and 50-70 survived, showing moderate survival rates compared to other classes.  30XPLOT OF AGE VS SURVIVED  Ins. boxplot(x='Survived', y='Age', data=train_data) Int. title('Age Distribution by Survival') Int. show()  Age Distribution by Survival  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O  O
	50 - 40 - 30 - 20 - 10 - 0 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10
	Age Distribution by Survival  Age:  The median age (middle line inside the box) of survived passengers (Survived = 1) is slightly lower than that of non-survived passengers (Survived = 0).  Age Range:  Survived passengers show a wider interquartile range (IQR) (the width of the box) compared to non-survived passengers, suggesting more variability in the ages of those who survived.  Both groups have ages ranging roughly from very young children (~0-5 years) to older adults (~80 years), but outliers are present.  Putliers:  There are many outliers on the higher age side (60+ years) in both groups, more noticeable in the non-survived group.  Survival Trend with Age:  It appears that younger individuals were slightly more likely to survive, based on the lower median and slight shift in the age distribution for the survived group.
s p	• However, survival was not restricted to any one age group — people of all ages survived and died.  MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS  SCATTERPLOT FOR FARE VS SURVIVED  Ins. scatterplot (y='Fare', x='Pclass', hue= 'Survived', data=train_data)  Int. show()  Fare vs Pclass colored by Survival  Survived  0 0 1 100 1
Fare	300 - 200 -
N F	Pclass by survival Pclass 1 passengers (1st class) paid significantly higher fares compared to Pclass 2 and 3.  Most of the high fare passengers were from Pclass 1, and many of them survived (orange dots).  In Pclass 2 and Pclass 3, fares are much lower, and a larger number of passengers did not survive (more blue dots).  Higher class (Pclass 1) is associated with higher survival rates, while lower classes (Pclass 2 and 3) show lower survival rates.  MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS Heatmap of correlations  Humeric_data = train_data.select_dtypes(include=[np.number])
Survived Passengerid	
Parch SibSp Age	0.0017
C	Passengerid Survived Pclass Age SibSp Parch Fare  Correlation Heatmap  • Fare has a strong negative correlation with Pclass (-0.55): → Higher class (Pclass 1) passengers paid higher fares.  • Survived shows a positive correlation with Fare (0.26): → Passengers who paid more were more likely to survive.  • Parch (parents/children aboard) and SibSp (siblings/spouses aboard) have a moderate positive correlation (0.41): → People who traveled with siblings/spouses were often also traveling with parents/children.  • Age has a slight negative correlation with Parch and SibSp: → Younger passengers tended to travel with family more often.  • Passengerld has almost no meaningful correlation with any other features (as expected, since it's just an ID)
s p	Pairplot (train_data[['Survived', 'Age', 'Fare', 'Pclass']], hue='Survived')  180
Fare	500
	Deservations from Pairplot:  • Age vs Survival: Younger passengers had slightly better chances of survival than older ones.  • Fare vs Survival: Passengers who paid higher fares (above 100) mostly survived.
S	<ul> <li>Pclass vs Survival: Most survivors were from 1st class (Pclass = 1). Most non-survivors were from 3rd class (Pclass = 3).</li> <li>Age vs Fare: There is no clear direct relation between age and fare. People of different ages paid a wide range of fares.</li> <li>Overall Distribution: <ul> <li>3rd class had more passengers.</li> <li>Fare distribution is highly skewed (most fares are low, few are very high).</li> <li>Age distribution is centered around 20-30 years.</li> </ul> </li> <li>SUMMARY AND KEY FINDINGS</li> <li>Most passengers were aged between 20 to 40 years, with a peak around age 30.</li> <li>Very few passengers were above 60 years of age.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Very few passengers were above 60 years of age.</li> <li>Male passengers were the majority (550+ out of 892); females were fewer (around 300).</li> <li>3rd class had the highest number of passengers, mostly lower-income or immigrants.</li> <li>There were more 1st class passengers than 2nd class, despite higher ticket prices.</li> <li>Most deaths were male, most survivors were female, males had a much lower survival rate.</li> <li>3rd class had highest deaths, 1st class had better survival rates.</li> <li>1st class passengers paid the highest fares, Lower class passengers paid less.</li> <li>Fare positively correlates with survival — passengers who paid more were more likely to survive.</li> <li>Survivors were slightly younger, with wider age variation. (60+ years) were more frequent in the non-survivor group.</li> <li>Fare and Pclass are strongly linked (1st class paid more).</li> </ul>

TASK\_5\_EXPLORATORY\_DATA\_ANALYSIS

In [3]:
 train\_data = pd.read\_csv(r"C:\Users\Rakshitha K B\Documents\ELEVATE LABS INTERNSHIP\DATASETS\Titan-ML Dataset\train.csv")
 test\_data = pd.read\_csv(r"C:\Users\Rakshitha K B\Documents\ELEVATE LABS INTERNSHIP\DATASETS\Titan-ML Dataset\test.csv")
 gender\_submission\_data = pd.read\_csv(r"C:\Users\Rakshitha K B\Documents\ELEVATE LABS INTERNSHIP\DATASETS\Titan-ML Dataset\gender\_submission.csv")

1 Cumings, Mrs. John Bradley (Florence Briggs Th... female 38.0

Futrelle, Mrs. Jacques Heath (Lily May Peel) female 35.0

Braund, Mr. Owen Harris male 22.0

Heikkinen, Miss. Laina female 26.0

Allen, Mr. William Henry male 35.0

Name Sex Age SibSp Parch

1

0

0

1

0

0

0

0

Ticket Fare Cabin Embarked

NaN

C85

NaN

S

С

S

S

S

A/5 21171 7.2500

PC 17599 71.2833

113803 53.1000 C123

373450 8.0500 NaN

0 STON/O2. 3101282 7.9250

In [2]: import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns

Understanding the train data

PassengerId Survived Pclass

1

0

3

3

1

3

5

In [12]: #to check the data structure
 train\_data.head()

Out[12]:

0

2

3

4