

# Lab 3 Writeup

Rasmi Lamichhane

Alex Ring

## Question 2a

**Give one test case that behaves differently under dynamic scoping versus static scoping (and does not crash). Explain the test case and how they behave differently in your write-up.**

```
const b = 5;
```

```
const foo = function (x){  
  const a = b + 5;  
  return a;  
}
```

```
const boo = function (x){  
  const b = 2;  
  return foo(1);  
}
```

```
console.log(foo(1))  
console.log(boo(1))
```

The above function will print 10 and 10 for static scoping and 10 and 7 for dynamic scoping. This is because with static scoping the first declaration of  $b = 5$  will be used in the foo function, so when you enter the foo function from the call in boo, it will use the  $b=5$  binding for b (because it is in the next highest scope) and return 10. Where as with dynamic scoping the  $b=2$  binding in the boo function will be the newest thing on the stack and be used for b when foo is called. In the static instance we return  $5 + 5$ , and in the dynamic case we return  $5 + 2$ .

## Question 3d

**Explain whether the evaluation order is deterministic as specified by the judgment form  $e \rightarrow e'$ .**

Yes the evaluation order is deterministic as specified by the judgement form  $e \rightarrow e'$ . Each of the step judgement forms only has a singular next step, and according to the course notes: "If our rules have this property then we say that our reduction system is deterministic. In other words, there is always at most one 'next' step."

#### **Question 4**

**Consider the small-step operational semantics for JAVASCRIPTY shown in Figures 7, 8, and 9. What is the evaluation order for  $e1 + e2$ ? Explain. How do we change the rules obtain the opposite evaluation order?**

The evaluation order for  $e1 + e2$  is a value check and step procedure until we hit two values. First you check  $e1$  to be a value, if it is not you recursively step on  $e1$  until you arrive at a value. If  $e1$  is a value, you check  $e2$  to be a value. If  $e2$  is not a value you recursively step on  $e2$  until you arrive at a value. Once both  $e1$  and  $e2$  are values you do the addition. If we wanted to reverse this order we would simply change the judgement forms to step on  $e2$  before  $e1$ .

#### **Question 5**

**In this question, we will discuss some issues with short-circuit evaluation.**

**(a) Concept. Give an example that illustrates the usefulness of short-circuit evaluation. Explain your example.**

The example of short-circuiting would be the function “and”. For example for and function traditionally we can say if  $a==b$  return true and if  $a!=b$  then return false. However, we can skip most of the step and just say if true return  $B(a==b)$  else false.

**(b) JAVASCRIPTY. Consider the small-step operational semantics for JAVASCRIPTY shown in Figures 7, 8, and 9. Does  $e1 \ \&\& \ e2$  short circuit? Explain.**

Yes  $e1 \ \&\& \ e2$  short circuit. In the judgement form you are checking a value  $v1$  to be true or false. If the value is true you then return  $e2$  for further evaluation, but if it is false you simply stop evaluating. Avoiding unneeded work of evaluating the expression  $e2$ .