

PHYS 662 - Quantum Field Theory I
Student: **Ralph Razzouk**

Homework 5

Problem 1 - Propagator as Green Function

- (a) Show that both the advanced and retarded propagators are Green functions to the Klein-Gordon operator.
- (b) Show that the Feynman propagator is a Green function for the Klein-Gordon operator.

Solution. (a) The Klein-Gordon operator is given by

$$\square + m^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 + m^2.$$

A Green function $G(x)$ satisfies the following relation

$$(\square + m^2)G(x) = -\delta^4(x).$$

The advanced (G^+) and retarded (G^-) propagators in position space are

$$G^\pm(x) = \mp \frac{\theta(\pm x^0)}{2\pi} \delta(x^2),$$

where $x^2 = (x^0)^2 - \mathbf{x}^2$ is the spacetime interval.

Applying the Klein-Gordon operator to G^\pm , we get

$$(\square + m^2)G^\pm(x) = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 + m^2 \right) \left[\mp \frac{\theta(\pm x^0)}{2\pi} \delta(x^2) \right].$$

Using the chain rule and product rule, we get

• **Time derivative terms:**

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [\theta(\pm x^0) \delta(x^2)] = \theta(\pm x^0) \frac{\partial^2 \delta(x^2)}{\partial t^2} \pm \delta'(x^0) \frac{\partial \delta(x^2)}{\partial t} + \delta(x^0) \frac{\partial^2 \delta(x^2)}{\partial t^2}$$

• **Spatial derivative terms:**

$$\nabla^2 [\theta(\pm x^0) \delta(x^2)] = \theta(\pm x^0) \nabla^2 \delta(x^2).$$

We use the key identity

$$\square \delta(x^2) = -2\pi \delta^4(x).$$

Combining terms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \square [\theta(\pm x^0) \delta(x^2)] &= \theta(\pm x^0) \square \delta(x^2) \pm \delta'(x^0) \frac{\partial \delta(x^2)}{\partial t} + \delta(x^0) \frac{\partial^2 \delta(x^2)}{\partial t^2} \\ &= -2\pi \theta(\pm x^0) \delta^4(x) \pm \text{terms with } \delta'(x^0). \end{aligned}$$

The terms with $\delta'(x^0)$ and its derivatives cancel out due to the properties of distributions. Thus,

$$(\square + m^2)G^\pm(x) = -\delta^4(x).$$

The m^2 term vanishes when acting on the delta function because $\delta(x^2)$ is supported only on the light cone where $x^2 = 0$.

Therefore, both G^+ and G^- are indeed Green functions of the Klein-Gordon operator.

(b) The Feynman propagator in momentum space is given by

$$\tilde{G}_F(p) = \frac{i}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon}.$$

To prove it is a Green function, we need to show that

$$(\square + m^2)G_F(x) = -\delta^4(x).$$

We start from the momentum space representation and transform to position space

$$G_F(x) = \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} e^{-ip \cdot x}.$$

Applying the Klein-Gordon operator in position space is equivalent to multiplying by $(p^2 - m^2)$ in momentum space, hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\square + m^2)G_F(x) &= \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i(p^2 - m^2)}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} e^{-ip \cdot x} \\ &= \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} i \left(1 - \frac{i\epsilon}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \right) e^{-ip \cdot x} \\ &= \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} i e^{-ip \cdot x} - \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\epsilon}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} e^{-ip \cdot x}. \end{aligned}$$

The first term yields

$$\int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} i e^{-ip \cdot x} = -\delta^4(x).$$

For the second term, we can show that as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\epsilon}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} e^{-ip \cdot x} = 0.$$

This follows because the integrand is bounded by ϵ multiplied by a function that has a $1/p^2$ falloff and the exponential factor oscillates rapidly for large p .

Thus,

$$(\square + m^2)G_F(x) = -\delta^4(x).$$

We can also understand this result by noting that the Feynman propagator can be written as

$$G_F(x) = \theta(x^0)G^+(x) + \theta(-x^0)G^-(x),$$

where G^\pm are the advanced and retarded propagators.

Since we've already shown that G^\pm are Green functions

$$(\square + m^2)G^\pm(x) = -\delta^4(x).$$

Using the properties of the step function, we have

$$\theta(x^0) + \theta(-x^0) = 1$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\square + m^2)G_F(x) &= (\square + m^2) [\theta(x^0)G^+(x) + \theta(-x^0)G^-(x)] \\ &= -\theta(x^0)\delta^4(x) - \theta(-x^0)\delta^4(x) \\ &= -\delta^4(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the Feynman propagator is indeed a Green function of the Klein-Gordon operator. ■

Problem 2 - Causality Propagator

- (a) Calculate the two point function of massive free fields in $d + 1$ dimensions (hint: you might need Bessel functions).
 (b) Calculate the commutator.

Solution. (a) Consider the action of the field operators on the vacuum states, given by

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) |\Omega\rangle &= \int \left(e^{-ik_\mu x^\mu} a_{\mathbf{k}} |\Omega\rangle + e^{ik_\mu x^\mu} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger |\Omega\rangle \right) \widetilde{dk} \\ &= \int e^{ik_\mu x^\mu} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger |\Omega\rangle \widetilde{dk}, \\ \langle\Omega| \phi(x) &= \int \left(\langle\Omega| a_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-ik_\mu x^\mu} + \langle\Omega| a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger e^{ik_\mu x^\mu} \right) \widetilde{dk} \\ &= \int \langle\Omega| a_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-ik_\mu x^\mu} \widetilde{dk}.\end{aligned}$$

The expectation value is

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Omega| a_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger |\Omega\rangle &= \langle\Omega| [a_{\mathbf{k}}, a_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger] |\Omega\rangle + \langle\Omega| a_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}} |\Omega\rangle \\ &= (2\pi)^d (2\omega_{\mathbf{k}'}) \delta^d(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}').\end{aligned}$$

The two point function becomes

$$\begin{aligned}D(x - y) &= \langle\Omega| \phi(x) \phi(y) |\Omega\rangle \\ &= \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d (2\omega_{\mathbf{k}})} \frac{d^d k'}{(2\pi)^d (2\omega_{\mathbf{k}'})} \langle\Omega| a_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger |\Omega\rangle e^{-ik_\mu x^\mu + ik'_\mu y^\mu} \\ &= \int \frac{d^d k d^d k'}{(2\pi)^d (2\omega_{\mathbf{k}})} \delta^d(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') e^{-ik_\mu x^\mu + ik'_\mu y^\mu} \Big|_{k^0=\omega_{\mathbf{k}}, k'^0=\omega_{\mathbf{k}'}} \\ &= \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d (2\omega_{\mathbf{k}})} e^{-ik_\mu (x^\mu - y^\mu)} \Big|_{k^0=\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Since two point function is a scalar, it can be further simplified by transforming to other frames. This necessitates us splitting the calculation into two cases, depending on the separation of the events x and y .

- **Time-like Separation:** If the events are time-like separated then we can transform to a frame in which $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} = 0$, $x^0 - y^0 = t$. Then, the two point function becomes

$$\begin{aligned}D(t) &= \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d (2\omega_{\mathbf{k}})} e^{-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}} t} \\ &= \int \frac{k^{d-1} dk d\Omega}{(2\pi)^d (2\omega_{\mathbf{k}})} e^{-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}} t} \\ &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{d}{2})} \int_0^\infty \frac{k^{d-1}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} e^{-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}} t} dk.\end{aligned}$$

Performing a change of variables from k to $E \equiv \omega_{\mathbf{k}}$. Since $E^2 = k^2 + m^2$ and $k \geq 0$, we get

$$k = \sqrt{E^2 - m^2}, \quad E dE = k dk.$$

Thus, the integral becomes

$$D(t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)} \int_m^\infty (E^2 - m^2)^{\frac{d-2}{2}} e^{-iEt} dE.$$

We can represent this in terms of Hankel functions. Define a variable z as $E = zm$. Then

$$D(t) = \frac{m^{d-1}}{(4\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)} \int_1^\infty e^{-imt} (z^2 - 1)^{\frac{d-2}{2}} dz.$$

The Hankel function of the first kind has the integral representation

$$H_\nu^{(1)}(-t) = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{i}{\Gamma\left(\nu + \frac{1}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^\nu \int_1^\infty e^{-itz} (z^2 - 1)^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} dz.$$

Taking $\nu = \frac{d-1}{2}$, the two point function can be written in terms of the Hankel function of the first kind as

$$D(t) = \frac{i}{4} \left(\frac{m}{2\pi t}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} H_{\frac{d-1}{2}}^{(1)}(-mt).$$

- **Space-like Separation:** If the events are space-like separated, then we can transform to a frame in which $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{r}$, $x^0 - y^0 = 0$. Then, the two point function becomes

$$D(\mathbf{r}) = \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d (2\omega_{\mathbf{k}})} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}}.$$

For the 3 + 1-dimensional case, we transform to a frame where $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} = r\hat{z}$, $x^0 - y^0 = 0$. Then, the two point function becomes

$$D(\mathbf{r}) = \int \frac{k^2 dk \sin(\theta) d\theta d\phi}{(2\pi)^3 (2\omega_{\mathbf{k}})} e^{ikr \cos(\theta)} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{k^2 dk}{2\sqrt{k^2 + m^2}} \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin(\theta) e^{ikr \cos(\theta)}.$$

The angular integral can easily be evaluated by the substitution $x = \cos(\theta)$ as

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta e^{ikr \cos(\theta)} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_1^{-1} dx e^{ikrx} = \frac{1}{2ikr} (e^{ikr} - e^{-ikr}) = \frac{\sin(kr)}{kr}.$$

Substituting this back into the two point function, we get

$$D(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{k^2 dk}{\sqrt{k^2 + m^2}} \frac{\sin(kr)}{kr}.$$

As it turns out, this can be written in terms of Bessel functions. To see this, let us define the variable $z = kr$. Then, we get

$$D(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi r)^2} \int_0^\infty dz \frac{z \sin(z)}{\sqrt{z^2 + m^2 r^2}}.$$

The modified Bessel function of the second kind has the integral representation

$$K_1(x) = -\frac{1}{x} \int_0^\infty dz \frac{z \sin(z)}{\sqrt{z^2 + x^2}}.$$

Then, the two point function can be written in terms of modified Bessel functions of the second kind as

$$D(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{m}{4\pi^2 r} K_1(mr).$$

(b) Let us evaluate the product of two fields by a mode expansion

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x)\phi(y) &= \int \widetilde{dk} \widetilde{dk'} \left(e^{-ik_\mu x^\mu} a_{\mathbf{k}} + e^{ik_\mu x^\mu} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \right) \left(e^{-ik'_\mu y^\mu} a_{\mathbf{k}'} + e^{ik'_\mu y^\mu} a_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger \right) \\ &= \int \widetilde{dk} \widetilde{dk'} \left(e^{-i(k_\mu x^\mu + k'_\mu y^\mu)} a_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}'} + e^{-i(k_\mu x^\mu - k'_\mu y^\mu)} a_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger \right) \\ &= \int \widetilde{dk} \widetilde{dk'} \left(e^{i(k_\mu x^\mu - k'_\mu y^\mu)} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}'} + e^{i(k_\mu x^\mu + k'_\mu y^\mu)} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger \right).\end{aligned}$$

Then, the commutator becomes

$$\begin{aligned}[\phi(x), \phi(y)] &= \int \widetilde{dk} \widetilde{dk'} \left(e^{-i(k_\mu x^\mu - k'_\mu y^\mu)} [a_{\mathbf{k}}, a_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger] - e^{i(k_\mu x^\mu - k'_\mu y^\mu)} [a_{\mathbf{k}'}, a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger] \right) \\ &= \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d (2\omega_{\mathbf{k}})} \frac{d^d k'}{(2\pi)^d (2\omega_{\mathbf{k}'})} \left(e^{-i(k_\mu x^\mu - k'_\mu y^\mu)} [a_{\mathbf{k}}, a_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger] - e^{i(k_\mu x^\mu - k'_\mu y^\mu)} [a_{\mathbf{k}'}, a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger] \right) \\ &= \int \frac{d^d k d^d k'}{(2\pi)^d (2\omega_{\mathbf{k}})} \delta^d(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \left(e^{-i(k_\mu x^\mu - k_\mu y^\mu)} - e^{i(k_\mu x^\mu - k_\mu y^\mu)} \right) \Big|_{k^0 = \omega_{\mathbf{k}}, k'^0 = \omega_{\mathbf{k}'}} \\ &= \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d (2\omega_{\mathbf{k}})} \left(e^{-ik_\mu (x^\mu - y^\mu)} - e^{ik_\mu (x^\mu - y^\mu)} \right) \Big|_{k^0 = \omega_{\mathbf{k}}} \\ &= D(x - y) - D(y - x).\end{aligned}$$

Again, just like the two point function, this can be further simplified depending on the separation between the events. For space-like separation, going to a frame in which $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{r}$, $x^0 - y^0 = 0$ gives

$$[\phi(x), \phi(y)] = D(\mathbf{r}) - D(-\mathbf{r}) = \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d (2\omega_{\mathbf{k}})} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} - \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d (2\omega_{\mathbf{k}})} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}}.$$

But, if we perform the transformation $k \rightarrow -k$ on the second term, we get

$$\int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d (2\omega_{\mathbf{k}})} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} = \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d (2\omega_{\mathbf{k}})} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}}.$$

Thus,

$$[\phi(x), \phi(y)] = 0.$$

Hence, for space-like separation, the commutator exactly equals zero. Similarly, for time-like separation, we transform to a frame in which $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} = 0$, $x^0 - y^0 = t$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}[\phi(x), \phi(y)] &= D(t) - D(-t) \\ &= \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)} \int_m^\infty (E^2 - m^2)^{\frac{d-2}{2}} (e^{-iEt} - e^{iEt}) dE \\ &= -\frac{2i}{(4\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)} \int_m^\infty (E^2 - m^2)^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \sin(Et) dE \\ &= \frac{i}{4} \left(\frac{m}{2\pi t} \right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \left(H_{\frac{d-1}{2}}^{(1)}(-mt) - (-1)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} H_{\frac{d-1}{2}}^{(1)}(mt) \right).\end{aligned}$$

■

Problem 3 - Charge Conjugation

Derive the action of the charge conjugation operator on a Dirac spinor.

Solution. Consider a Dirac spinor

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_\alpha \\ \xi^{\dagger\dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

As the components transform under charge conjugation by

$$C\chi_\alpha C^{-1} = \xi_\alpha, \quad C\xi_\alpha C^{-1} = \chi_\alpha,$$

the Dirac spinor transforms under charge conjugation by

$$\psi^C = C\psi C^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_\alpha \\ \chi^{\dagger\dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This form of ψ^C suggests that there should be a way to represent ψ^C in terms of ψ . Let us try to see if that is actually the case. ψ to ψ^C shows that we need to transfer the adjoint from ξ to χ in ψ . This suggests that the adjoint of ψ should play a role. If we compute it, we get

$$\psi^\dagger = (\chi^\dagger_{\dot{\alpha}} \quad \xi^\alpha).$$

While this has the adjoint in the right terms, the positions of ξ and χ must be swapped. This can be achieved, if we remember that the γ^0 matrix can be written, in spinor indices, as

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\beta} \\ \delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, if we multiply γ^0 to ψ^\dagger , we get

$$\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 = (\xi^\alpha \quad \chi^\dagger_{\dot{\alpha}}).$$

This fixes the position, but the vector obtained yet is not in the right form to be equated to ψ^C . What we need is its transpose

$$\bar{\psi}^T = \begin{pmatrix} \xi^\alpha \\ \chi^\dagger_{\dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This, in turn, is almost equal to ψ^C , but not exactly. The indices in this expression are in the wrong locations. To fix this, let us use the charge conjugation matrix

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, if we multiply the charge conjugation matrix to $\bar{\psi}^T$, we get

$$C\bar{\psi}^T = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_\alpha \\ \chi^{\dagger\dot{\alpha}} \end{pmatrix} = \psi^C.$$

Thus, the charge conjugation operation is represented by

$$\psi^C = C\psi C^{-1} = C\bar{\psi}^T.$$

■

Problem 4 - Gordon Identities

Derive the identities

$$\bar{u}_{s'}(\vec{p}') \left((p' + p)^\mu - 2iS^{\mu\nu} (p' - p)_\nu \right) \gamma_5 u_s(\vec{p}) = 0,$$

$$\bar{v}_{s'}(\vec{p}') \left((p' + p)^\mu - 2iS^{\mu\nu} (p' - p)_\nu \right) \gamma_5 v_s(\vec{p}) = 0.$$

Solution. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 p^\mu + 2iS^{\mu\nu}p_\nu &= \frac{1}{2}(2\eta^{\mu\nu})p_\nu - \frac{1}{2}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]p_\nu \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}(\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} - [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu])p_\nu \\
 &= \not{p}\gamma^\mu \\
 p^\mu - 2iS^{\mu\nu}p_\nu &= \frac{1}{2}(2\eta^{\mu\nu})p_\nu + \frac{1}{2}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]p_\nu \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}(\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} + [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu])p_\nu \\
 &= \gamma^\mu \not{p}
 \end{aligned}$$

The contents in the brackets of the Gordon identities can be simplified to give

$$\begin{aligned}
 (p' + p)^\mu + 2iS^{\mu\nu}(p' - p)_\nu &= p'^\mu + 2iS^{\mu\nu}p'_\nu + p^\mu - 2iS^{\mu\nu}p_\nu \\
 &= \not{p}'\gamma^\mu + \gamma^\mu\not{p} \\
 \implies ((p' + p)^\mu + 2iS^{\mu\nu}(p' - p)_\nu)\gamma_5 &= (\not{p}'\gamma^\mu + \gamma^\mu\not{p})\gamma_5 \\
 &= \not{p}'\gamma^\mu\gamma_5 - \gamma^\mu\gamma_5\not{p}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows because γ_5 anti-commutes with γ^μ . Using this and the equations of motion for u_s and v_s , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{u}_s(\mathbf{p})(\not{p} + m) &= 0, \quad (\not{p} + m)u_s(\mathbf{p}) = 0 \\
 \bar{v}_s(\mathbf{p})(\not{p} - m) &= 0, \quad (\not{p} - m)v_s(\mathbf{p}) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Deriving the Gordon identities, starting with the u_s case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{u}_{s'}(\mathbf{p}')((p' + p)^\mu + 2iS^{\mu\nu}(p' - p)_\nu)\gamma_5 u_s(\mathbf{p}) &= \bar{u}_{s'}(\mathbf{p}')(\not{p}'\gamma^\mu\gamma_5 - \gamma^\mu\gamma_5\not{p})u_s(\mathbf{p}) \\
 &= \bar{u}_{s'}(\mathbf{p}')(-m\gamma^\mu\gamma_5 + m\gamma^\mu\gamma_5)u_s(\mathbf{p}) \\
 &= 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality followed from the equations of motion. The v_s case follows similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{v}_{s'}(\mathbf{p}')((p' + p)^\mu + 2iS^{\mu\nu}(p' - p)_\nu)\gamma_5 v_s(\mathbf{p}) &= \bar{v}_{s'}(\mathbf{p}')(\not{p}'\gamma^\mu\gamma_5 - \gamma^\mu\gamma_5\not{p})v_s(\mathbf{p}) \\
 &= \bar{v}_{s'}(\mathbf{p}')(m\gamma^\mu\gamma_5 - m\gamma^\mu\gamma_5)v_s(\mathbf{p}) \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

■