

PHYS 617 - Statistical Mechanics
A Modern Course in Statistical Physics by *Linda E. Reichl*
Student: **Ralph Razzouk**

Homework 4

Problem 1

Recall the advection equation:

$$\partial_t u + a \partial_x u = 0,$$

where a is a constant. In class, I discussed this equation and briefly told you how it's solved, but I'd like to see you give it a try yourself. For general initial conditions $u(x, 0) = f_0(x)$, find the general solution $u(x, t)$ for this equation by any means you like.

Solution. To solve the advection equation, we use the method of separation of variables. Assume that u has the form $u(x, t) = X(x)T(t)$. Then, if we derive respectively and replace back into the advection equation, and dividing by $X(x)T(t)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{T'}{T} + a \frac{X'}{X} &= 0 \\ \frac{T'}{T} &= -a \frac{X'}{X}. \end{aligned}$$

$X(x)$ is independent of t and $T(t)$ is independent of x , that means each hand-side is a constant, say λ . Solving each equation separately, we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{X'}{X} = \lambda, \\ \frac{T'}{T} = -a\lambda, \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} \frac{dX}{X} = \lambda dx, \\ \frac{dT}{T} = -a\lambda dt, \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} \ln(X) = \lambda x + c_1, \\ \ln(T) = -a\lambda t + c_2, \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} X(x) = Ae^{\lambda x}, \\ T(t) = Be^{-a\lambda t}. \end{cases}$$

Then, we have that

$$u(x, t) = X(x)T(t) = (Ae^{\lambda x}) (Be^{-a\lambda t}) = Ce^{\lambda(x-at)}.$$

Given the initial condition $u(x, 0) = f_0(x)$, then

$$u(x, 0) = f_0(x) = Ce^{\lambda x}.$$

Thus, the general solution is

$$u(x, t) = f_0(x)e^{-a\lambda t}.$$

■

Problem 2

In class we derived the following equations starting from Euler's equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\rho} + (v \cdot \nabla)\rho + \rho(\nabla \cdot v) &= 0, \\ \dot{\vec{v}} + (v \cdot \nabla)\vec{v} + \frac{1}{\rho}\vec{\nabla}P &= 0, \\ \dot{P} + (v \cdot \nabla)P + \gamma P(\nabla \cdot v) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Define the quantity $s \equiv \left(\frac{P}{\rho^\gamma}\right)$. Show the following is true:

$$\dot{s} + (v \cdot \nabla)s = 0.$$

Does this mean that entropy is conserved? What conditions are necessary for this to be true?

Solution. Using the defined quantity s , rewritten as $s = \ln(P) - \gamma \ln(\rho)$, we take the total time derivative of s . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{s} &= \frac{\partial s}{\partial P} \frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial s}{\partial \rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \\ &= \frac{\partial s}{\partial P} \dot{P} + \frac{\partial s}{\partial \rho} \dot{\rho} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{P}\right) \dot{P} + \left(-\frac{\gamma}{\rho}\right) \dot{\rho} \\ &= \frac{\dot{P}}{P} - \frac{\gamma \dot{\rho}}{\rho}.\end{aligned}$$

Now, we calculate the second term

$$\begin{aligned}(v \cdot \nabla)s &= \left(v_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + v_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)s \\ &= v_x \frac{\partial s}{\partial x} + v_y \frac{\partial s}{\partial y} + v_z \frac{\partial s}{\partial z} \\ &= v_x \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial P} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial s}{\partial \rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}\right) + v_y \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial P} \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial s}{\partial \rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial y}\right) + v_z \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial P} \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial s}{\partial \rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z}\right) \\ &= v_x \left(\frac{1}{P} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} - \frac{\gamma}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}\right) + v_y \left(\frac{1}{P} \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} - \frac{\gamma}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial y}\right) + v_z \left(\frac{1}{P} \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} - \frac{\gamma}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{P} \left(v_x \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + v_y \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} + v_z \frac{\partial P}{\partial z}\right) - \frac{\gamma}{\rho} \left(v_x \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} + v_y \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial y} + v_z \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{P} (v \cdot \nabla)P - \frac{\gamma}{\rho} (v \cdot \nabla)\rho \\ &= -\frac{1}{P} \left(\dot{P} + \gamma P(\nabla \cdot v)\right) + \frac{\gamma}{\rho} (\dot{\rho} + \rho(\nabla \cdot v)) \\ &= -\frac{\dot{P}}{P} - \gamma(\nabla \cdot v) + \frac{\gamma \dot{\rho}}{\rho} + \gamma(\nabla \cdot v) \\ &= -\frac{\dot{P}}{P} + \frac{\gamma \dot{\rho}}{\rho}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\dot{s} + (v \cdot \nabla)s = 0.$$

No, this does not mean that entropy is conserved. A conservation law takes the form of

$$\dot{s} + \nabla \cdot j_s = 0.$$

Thus, for entropy to be conserved, the following condition must be satisfied

$$\nabla \cdot j_s = (v \cdot \nabla)s.$$

■

Problem 3

Work out a second-order ODE describing the density as a function of radius in a star (as in, if this ODE were solved, the solution would be $\rho(r)$). Use the following two assumptions: First, the star is in hydrostatic equilibrium. Second, assume a polytropic equation of state $P = K\rho^\gamma$. It is often conventional to define $\gamma \equiv 1 + \frac{1}{n}$ for this problem (and it simplifies the resulting equations).

There are actually exact solutions for a few values of n but I won't ask you to derive them. You can try if you want though!

Solution. Assuming we are in hydrostatic equilibrium, then

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \vec{\nabla} P = \vec{g},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{dP}{dr} = -\frac{Gm_{\text{enc}}\rho}{r^2}$$

in polar coordinates, where $m_{\text{enc}}(r) = \int_0^r 4\pi(r')^2 \rho dr'$, or equivalently,

$$\frac{dm}{dr} = 4\pi r^2 \rho.$$

We are given a polytropic equation of state $P = K\rho^\gamma$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dP}{dr} &= K\gamma\rho^{\gamma-1} \frac{d\rho}{dr} \\ &= K \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) \rho^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{d\rho}{dr}. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing in the equation of hydrostatic equilibrium, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dP}{dr} &= -\frac{Gm_{\text{enc}}\rho}{r^2} \\ K\gamma\rho^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{d\rho}{dr} &= -\frac{4\pi G\rho}{r^2} \int_0^r (r')^2 \rho dr' \\ \frac{K\gamma}{4\pi G} r^2 \rho^{\frac{1}{n}-1} \frac{d\rho}{dr} &= -\int_0^r (r')^2 \rho dr' \\ \frac{d}{dr} \left[\frac{K\gamma}{4\pi G} r^2 \rho^{\frac{1}{n}-1} \frac{d\rho}{dr} \right] &= -\frac{d}{dr} \left[\int_0^r (r')^2 \rho dr' \right] \\ \frac{K\gamma}{4\pi G} \left[2r\rho^{\frac{1}{n}-1} \frac{d\rho}{dr} + r^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} - 1 \right) \rho^{\frac{1}{n}-2} \left(\frac{d\rho}{dr} \right)^2 + r^2 \rho^{\frac{1}{n}-1} \frac{d^2\rho}{dr^2} \right] &= -r^2 \rho \\ \gamma \left[2\rho^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{d\rho}{dr} + r \left(\frac{1}{n} - 1 \right) \rho^{\frac{1}{n}-1} \left(\frac{d\rho}{dr} \right)^2 + r\rho^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{d^2\rho}{dr^2} \right] &= -\frac{4\pi G}{K} r\rho^2 \\ \frac{2}{r} \rho^{\gamma-1} \frac{d\rho}{dr} + (\gamma-2) \rho^{\gamma-2} \left(\frac{d\rho}{dr} \right)^2 + \rho^{\gamma-1} \frac{d^2\rho}{dr^2} &= -\frac{4\pi G}{K\gamma} \rho^2 \\ \rho^{\gamma-1} \frac{d^2\rho}{dr^2} + \left[\frac{2}{r} \rho^{\gamma-1} + (\gamma-2) \rho^{\gamma-2} \frac{d\rho}{dr} \right] \frac{d\rho}{dr} &= -\frac{4\pi G}{K\gamma} \rho^2. \end{aligned}$$

■

Problem 4

Imagine you have a star in hydrostatic equilibrium, with mass M and radius R .

- (a) Estimate the average density and pressure inside the star.
- (b) Now imagine the radius of the star is gently stretched out by a factor α :

$$R \rightarrow \alpha R,$$

but the mass is kept fixed. What is the new density and pressure?

- (c) Define P_g to be the pressure necessary to maintain hydrostatic equilibrium. It is important to understand that this number should change differently from P as the star is stretched by α . Calculate the new value of P_g after stretching by α .
- (d) The ratio P/P_g tells us what direction the star will move after this change; if $P/P_g > 1$, pressure is larger than necessary for equilibrium and the star will expand. Likewise, if $P/P_g < 1$ the star will want to contract.

Use this ratio to determine whether the star is stable to being stretched or compressed. How is stability conditional on the value of γ ?

Solution. Assuming we are in hydrostatic equilibrium, then

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \vec{\nabla} P = \vec{g},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{dP}{dr} = -\frac{GM\rho}{r^2}$$

in polar coordinates, where $M(r) = \int_0^R 4\pi(r')^2 \rho dr'$, or equivalently,

$$\frac{dM}{dr} = 4\pi R^2 \rho.$$

- (a) The average density $\bar{\rho}$ inside the star is

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho} &= \frac{M}{V} = \frac{3M}{4\pi R^3} \\ \implies \bar{\rho} &\sim \frac{M}{R^3}. \end{aligned}$$

The average pressure \bar{P} inside the star is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\bar{\rho}} \nabla \bar{P} &= G \frac{M}{R^2}, \\ \bar{P} &= G \frac{M \bar{\rho}}{R} = G \frac{3M^2}{4\pi R^4} \\ \implies \bar{P} &\sim \frac{GM^2}{R^4}. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) After gently stretching the star and undergoing the transformation $R \rightarrow \alpha R$, assuming α is dimensionless, then the new density ρ_α is

$$\rho_\alpha = \frac{M}{V_\alpha} = \frac{\bar{\rho}}{\alpha^3} = \frac{3M}{4\pi(\alpha R)^3},$$

and the new pressure P_α is given by the adiabatic relation $PV^\gamma = \text{constant}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} P_\alpha V_\alpha^\gamma &= \bar{P} V^\gamma \\ P_\alpha \left(\frac{4}{3} \pi (\alpha R)^3 \right)^\gamma &= \bar{P} \left(\frac{4}{3} \pi R^3 \right)^\gamma \\ P_\alpha \alpha^{3\gamma} &= \bar{P} \\ P_\alpha &= \frac{\bar{P}}{\alpha^{3\gamma}} = \frac{GM^2}{\alpha^{3\gamma} R^4} \end{aligned}$$

(c) To remain in hydrostatic equilibrium after stretching by α , the pressure P_g must be given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\rho_\alpha} \vec{\nabla} P_g &= \frac{GM}{(\alpha R)^2} \\ P_g &= \frac{GM \rho_\alpha}{\alpha R} = \frac{GM^2}{(\alpha R)^4}. \end{aligned}$$

(d) Taking the ratio of pressures, we have

$$\frac{P_\alpha}{P_g} = \frac{\alpha^4}{\alpha^{3\gamma}} = \alpha^{4-3\gamma}.$$

- If $\frac{P_\alpha}{P_g} > 1$, then $\alpha^{4-3\gamma} > 1 \implies 4 - 3\gamma > 0 \implies \gamma < \frac{4}{3}$. In this case the star will undergo expansion until it reaches hydrostatic equilibrium, if possible.
- If $\frac{P_\alpha}{P_g} < 1$, then $\alpha^{4-3\gamma} < 1 \implies 4 - 3\gamma < 0 \implies \gamma > \frac{4}{3}$. In this case the star will undergo compression until it reaches hydrostatic equilibrium, if possible.

■