## PHYS 603 - Methods of Theoretical Physics III

Lie Algebras in Particle Physics by H. Georgi

Student: Ralph Razzouk

## Homework 5

## Problem 6.B

Suppose that the raising lowering operators of some Lie algebra satisfy

$$[E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}] = NE_{\alpha+\beta}$$

for some nonzero N. Calculate

$$[E_{\alpha}, E_{-\alpha-\beta}]$$
.

Please assume that the operators in question are normalized by the condition

$$\operatorname{Tr}(E_{\alpha}E_{-\alpha}) = \lambda > 0,$$

where the trace is in the adjoint, and  $\lambda$  is the same for all the root vectors  $\alpha$ .

Solution. Given that  $[E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}] = NE_{\alpha+\beta}$ , we want to calculate  $[E_{\alpha}, E_{-\alpha-\beta}]$ . Observe that  $\alpha + (-\alpha - \beta) = -\beta$ . We have

$$\begin{split} [E_{\alpha}, E_{-\alpha-\beta}] &= E_{\alpha} E_{-\alpha-\beta} - E_{-\alpha-\beta} E_{\alpha} \\ &= -N E_{\alpha+(-\alpha-\beta)} \\ &= -N E_{-\beta}. \end{split}$$

We can verify this using the trace invariance property

$$Tr([E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}]E_{-\alpha-\beta}) = N\lambda$$
$$Tr(E_{\alpha}[E_{\beta}, E_{-\alpha-\beta}]) = -N\lambda$$

Therefore,  $[E_{\alpha}, E_{-\alpha-\beta}] = -NE_{-\beta}$ .

## Problem 7.B

Show that  $T_1, T_2$  and  $T_3$  generate an SU(2) subalgebra of SU(3). Every representation of SU(3) must also be a representation of the subalgebra. However, the irreducible representations of SU(3) are not necessarily irreducible under the subalgebra. How does the the representation generated by the Gell-Mann matrices transform under this subalgebra. That is, reduce, if necessary, the three dimensional representation into representations which are irreducible under the subalgebra and state which irreducible representations appear in the reduction. Then answer the same question for the adjoint representation of SU(3).

Note that the problem has two parts: decomposition of the 3-dimensional representation and of the adjoint. Note also that in this problem  $T_a$  denote the matrices of the defining, rather than adjoint, representation of SU(3), see Eq. (7.6) of the textbook.

A good way to approach this problem is through the highest weight (HW) construction. We know how the generator  $T_3$  of the SU(2) subalgebra acts in both of the SU(3) irreps in question. So, we can find in each case the eigenstate(s) of  $T_3$  with the largest eigenvalue and, starting from those as HW states, the entire corresponding irreps of the SU(2). Then, we can similarly consider the action of  $T_3$  on the remaining states.

Solution. The generators  $T_1, T_2, T_3$  satisfy the commutation relations

$$[T_i, T_j] = i\epsilon_{ijk}T_k \quad (i, j, k = 1, 2, 3),$$

with  $T_i = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i$  containing the Pauli matrices, forming an SU(2) subalgebra of SU(3). Consider the 3-dimensional fundamental representation with an arbitrary vector

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The SU(2) transformation is characterized by

$$U = e^{i\theta_i T_i} = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u \in SU(2).$$

This transformation reveals a crucial decomposition: the first two components  $(\psi_1, \psi_2)$  mix, forming a 2-dimensional doublet, while  $\psi_3$  remains invariant as a singlet. Using the highest weight method,  $T_3$  provides eigenvalues

$$T_{3} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \implies \begin{cases} \psi_{1} \to \frac{1}{2} \\ \psi_{2} \to -\frac{1}{2} \\ \psi_{3} \to 0 \end{cases}$$

The highest weight state

$$\psi^{hw} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

generates the doublet, with the  $\psi_3$  state forming a singlet. This leads to the representation decomposition

$$3 \rightarrow 2 \oplus 1$$
.

For the adjoint representation, we analyze the generator combinations. First, define  $E_{\pm 1,0} = T^{\pm} = T_1 \pm iT_2$ . Their commutation with  $T_3$  shows

$$[T_3, T^{\pm}] = \pm T^{\pm}.$$

These form a triplet with eigenvalues  $\pm 1$ . For other generators, define  $E_{\pm\frac{1}{2}}=T_4\pm \mathrm{i} T_5$  and  $E_{\pm\frac{1}{2}}=T_6\pm \mathrm{i} T_7$ . Their commutators with  $T_3$  reveal

$$\left[T_3, E_{\pm \frac{1}{2}}\right] = \pm \frac{1}{2} E_{\pm \frac{1}{2}}.$$

These generate two doublets. The  $T_8$  generator commutes with  $T_3$ , forming a singlet. Thus, the adjoint representation decomposes as

$$8 \rightarrow 3 \oplus 2 \oplus 2 \oplus 1$$
.