### Problem Statement: Real-Time Toxic Comment Detection

- Goal: Detect toxic or offensive comments in social media posts.
- Tools: sklearn, nltk, or DistilBERT with small batch size
- Tasks:
  - o Use the Jigsaw Toxic Comment dataset (or a smaller sample)
  - o Train logistic regression or use a small transformer
  - o Build a simple web interface or browser extension to scan text and classify
- Bonus: Highlight toxic keywords using color in output.

### **Implementation:**

### []

```
Multi-Label Toxic Comment Detection - Logistic Regression + Gradio (from Google Drive)

This notebook demonstrates building a multi-label toxic comment classifier predicting probabilities for 6 types of toxicity.

It uses Logistic Regression with OneVsRestClassifier, TF-IDF, loads data from Google Drive, and deploys with a Gradio web interface.

Includes bonus keyword highlighting.
```

### [<del>/</del>]

'\nMulti-Label Toxic Comment Detection - Logistic Regression + Gradio (from Google Drive)\n\nThis notebook demonstrates building a multi-label toxic comment classifier\npredicting probabilities for 6 types of toxicity.\nIt uses Logistic Regression with OneVsRestClassifier, TF-IDF, loads data\nfrom Google Drive, and deploys with a Gradio web interface.\nIncludes bonus keyword highlighting.\n'

## []1. Setup: Install Libraries and Import Modules

```
# @title 1. Setup: Install Libraries and Import Modules
!pip install numpy pandas scikit-learn nltk joblib gradio --quiet
import os
```

```
import re
import string
import joblib
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import nltk
from sklearn.model selection import train test split
from sklearn.feature extraction.text import TfidfVectorizer
from sklearn.linear model import LogisticRegression
from sklearn.multiclass import OneVsRestClassifier
from sklearn.metrics import roc_auc_score, classification_report, hamming_loss,
jaccard score, accuracy score as subset accuracy
import gradio as gr
from google.colab import drive
# Download necessary NLTK data (if not already present)
try:
    nltk.data.find('corpora/wordnet.zip') # Check for the zip file, more robust
except LookupError: # Catch LookupError directly
    nltk.download('wordnet', quiet=True)
try:
    nltk.data.find('corpora/stopwords.zip')
except LookupError:
    nltk.download('stopwords', quiet=True)
# Import NLTK submodules after ensuring resources are available
from nltk.corpus import stopwords
from nltk.stem import WordNetLemmatizer
print("Libraries installed and imported.")
print("NLTK resources checked/downloaded.")
```

```
[\rightarrow]
```

```
54.1/54.1 MB

13.5 MB/s eta 0:00:00

16.4 MB/s eta 0:00:00

95.2/95.2 kB

6.9 MB/s eta 0:00:00

11.5/11.5 MB

48.6 MB/s eta 0:00:00

72.0/72.0 kB

5.3 MB/s eta 0:00:00

Libraries installed and imported.

NLTK resources checked/downloaded.
```

## [] 2. Mount Google Drive and Specify Dataset Path

```
# @title 2. Mount Google Drive and Specify Dataset Path
# Mount Google Drive
drive.mount('/content/drive')
print("Google Drive mounted.")
# --- DATASET PATH ---
BASE DRIVE PATH = '/content/drive/MyDrive/Jigsaw Toxic Comment dataset'
DRIVE DATASET PATH TRAIN = os.path.join(BASE DRIVE PATH, 'train.csv')
DRIVE DATASET PATH TEST = os.path.join(BASE DRIVE PATH, 'test.csv')
DRIVE DATASET PATH TEST LABELS = os.path.join(BASE DRIVE PATH, 'test labels.csv')
# Define the toxicity labels we are interested in
TOXIC LABELS = ['toxic', 'severe toxic', 'obscene', 'threat', 'insult',
'identity_hate']
if not os.path.exists(DRIVE DATASET PATH TRAIN):
   print(f"ERROR: Training data file not found at {DRIVE DATASET PATH TRAIN}")
```

```
print ("Please ensure the file 'train.csv' exists in the specified Google Drive
folder.")
    print(f"Expected folder: {BASE DRIVE PATH}")
    print(f"Training data path set to: {DRIVE DATASET PATH TRAIN}")
    print(f"Test data path set to: {DRIVE DATASET PATH TEST}")
    print(f"Test labels path set to: {DRIVE DATASET PATH TEST LABELS}")
    print(f"Target labels: {TOXIC LABELS}")
# You can quickly check if the files exist:
print(f"\nChecking file existence:")
print(f"Train CSV exists: {os.path.exists(DRIVE DATASET PATH TRAIN)}")
print(f"Test CSV exists: {os.path.exists(DRIVE DATASET PATH TEST)}") # Will be False
if test.csv is not there
print(f"Test Labels CSV exists: {os.path.exists(DRIVE DATASET PATH TEST LABELS)}") #
Will be False if test labels.csv is not there
[\rightarrow]
Drive already mounted at /content/drive; to attempt to forcibly remount, call
drive.mount("/content/drive", force remount=True).
Google Drive mounted.
Training data path set to:
/content/drive/MyDrive/Jigsaw Toxic Comment dataset/train.csv
Test data path set to: /content/drive/MyDrive/Jigsaw Toxic Comment dataset/test.csv
Test labels path set to:
/content/drive/MyDrive/Jigsaw Toxic Comment dataset/test labels.csv
Target labels: ['toxic', 'severe toxic', 'obscene', 'threat', 'insult',
'identity hate']
Checking file existence:
Train CSV exists: True
Test CSV exists: True
Test Labels CSV exists: True
```

## []3. Load and Sample Data from Google Drive

```
# @title 3. Load and Sample Data from Google Drive
# --- Configuration ---
```

```
SAMPLE SIZE = 30000 # Adjust as needed. Set to None to use full dataset (might be
slow/memory intensive).
DATA FILE TRAIN = DRIVE DATASET PATH TRAIN
df processed = pd.DataFrame()
if not os.path.exists(DATA FILE TRAIN):
    print(f"ERROR: {DATA FILE TRAIN} not found. Please check the path in Cell 2.")
else:
    print(f"Loading training data from {DATA FILE TRAIN}...")
   try:
        df = pd.read csv(DATA FILE TRAIN)
        print("Original training data shape:", df.shape)
        # Handle potential missing values in comments BEFORE sampling
        df['comment text'].fillna("missing", inplace=True)
        if SAMPLE SIZE and SAMPLE SIZE < len(df):</pre>
            print(f"Sampling {SAMPLE SIZE} records...")
            df processed = df.sample(n=SAMPLE SIZE, random state=42).copy()
            print("Sampled data shape:", df processed.shape)
        else:
            df processed = df.copy()
            print("Using full dataset. Shape:", df processed.shape)
        print("\nData Sample (first 5 rows of processed data):")
        print(df processed.head())
        print("\nLabel distribution in processed data (sum of labels):")
        print(df processed[TOXIC LABELS].sum())
    except Exception as e:
        print(f"Error loading or processing data: {e}")
```

```
if df processed.empty:
    print("\n---! DATAFRAME IS EMPTY !--- Halting execution. Check file path and
content.")
    # exit() # Uncomment to forcibly stop if dataframe is empty
[\rightarrow]
Loading training data from
/content/drive/MyDrive/Jigsaw Toxic Comment dataset/train.csv...
Original training data shape: (159571, 8)
Sampling 30000 records...
Sampled data shape: (30000, 8)
Data Sample (first 5 rows of processed data):
                     id
                                                              comment text \
119105 7ca72b5b9c688e9e Geez, are you forgetful! We've already discus...
131631 c03f72fd8f8bf54f Carioca RFA \n\nThanks for your support on my ...
125326 9e5b8e8fc1ff2e84 "\n\n Birthday \n\n worries, It's what I do ...
111256 5332799e706665a6 Pseudoscience category? \n\nI'm assuming that ...
83590 dfa7d8f0b4366680 (and if such phrase exists, it would be provid...
       toxic severe toxic obscene threat insult identity hate
119105
       0
                        0 0 0
                                                                 0
131631
           0
                         0
                                  0
                                         0
                                                  0
                                          0
                                                  0
                                                                 0
125326
           0
                         0
                                  0
111256
           0
                         0
                                  0
                                          0
                                                  0
                                                                 0
                         0
                                                                 0
           0
                                  0
                                          0
                                                  \cap
83590
Label distribution in processed data (sum of labels):
                 2846
toxic
severe toxic
                290
                1592
obscene
                  69
threat
insult
                1502
identity hate
                 272
dtype: int64
<ipython-input-5-0dc0adfadc8e>:17: FutureWarning: A value is trying to be set on a
copy of a DataFrame or Series through chained assignment using an inplace method.
The behavior will change in pandas 3.0. This inplace method will never work because
the intermediate object on which we are setting values always behaves as a copy.
For example, when doing 'df[col].method(value, inplace=True)', try using
'df.method({col: value}, inplace=True)' or df[col] = df[col].method(value) instead,
to perform the operation inplace on the original object.
```

df['comment text'].fillna("missing", inplace=True)

# []4. Text Preprocessing

```
# @title 4. Text Preprocessing
lemmatizer = WordNetLemmatizer()
stop_words_set = set(stopwords.words('english')) # Use a consistent variable name
```

```
def preprocess text(text):
    if not isinstance(text, str): return ""
    text = text.lower()
    text = re.sub(r'http\S+|www\S+|https\S+', '', text, flags=re.MULTILINE)
    text = re.sub(r' \ensuremath{\langle 0 \rangle w+ | \ensuremath{\psi + \rangle , ''}, text)
    text = text.translate(str.maketrans('', '', string.punctuation))
    text = re.sub(r'\d+', '', text)
    text = text.strip()
    tokens = text.split()
    lemmatized tokens = [lemmatizer.lemmatize(word) for word in tokens if word not in
stop words set and word.isalpha()]
    return " ".join(lemmatized tokens)
if not df processed.empty:
    print("Preprocessing comments...")
    df processed['cleaned comment'] =
df processed['comment text'].apply(preprocess text)
    print("Preprocessing complete.")
    print("\nSample Original vs Cleaned:")
    print(df processed[['comment text', 'cleaned comment']].head())
else:
print("Skipping preprocessing as DataFrame is empty.")
[+]
Preprocessing comments...
Preprocessing complete.
Sample Original vs Cleaned:
                                               comment text \
119105 Geez, are you forgetful! We've already discus...
131631 Carioca RFA \n\nThanks for your support on my ...
125326 "\n\n Birthday \n\nNo worries, It's what I do ...
111256 Pseudoscience category? \n\nI'm assuming that ...
        (and if such phrase exists, it would be provid...
83590
```

```
119105 geez forgetful weve already discussed marx ana...

131631 carioca rfa thanks support request adminship f...

125326 birthday worry enjoy ur daytalke

111256 pseudoscience category im assuming article pse...
```

83590 phrase exists would provided search engine eve...

# [] 5. Feature Extraction (TF-IDF) and Data Splitting

```
# @title 5. Feature Extraction (TF-IDF) and Data Splitting
if not df processed.empty:
    X text = df processed['cleaned comment']
    y labels = df processed[TOXIC LABELS].values
   X_train_text, X_test_text, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(
        X text, y labels, test size=0.2, random state=42
    print(f"Training text samples: {len(X train text)}")
   print(f"Test text samples: {len(X test text)}")
    print(f"Shape of y train: {y train.shape}")
    print(f"Shape of y test: {y test.shape}")
    vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer(max features=15000, ngram range=(1, 2), min df=3,
max df=0.9)
   print("Fitting TF-IDF vectorizer and transforming text data...")
    X train tfidf = vectorizer.fit transform(X train text)
    X test tfidf = vectorizer.transform(X test text)
   print("TF-IDF transformation complete.")
   print("Shape of TF-IDF matrix (Train):", X train tfidf.shape)
   print("Shape of TF-IDF matrix (Test):", X test tfidf.shape)
else:
    print("Skipping TF-IDF and splitting as DataFrame is empty.")
```

cleaned comment

```
X_train_tfidf, X_test_tfidf, y_train, y_test = None, None, None, None
vectorizer = None
```

### $[\rightarrow]$

```
Training text samples: 24000

Test text samples: 6000

Shape of y_train: (24000, 6)

Shape of y_test: (6000, 6)

Fitting TF-IDF vectorizer and transforming text data...

TF-IDF transformation complete.

Shape of TF-IDF matrix (Train): (24000, 15000)

Shape of TF-IDF matrix (Test): (6000, 15000)
```

# [] 6. Model Training (OneVsRestClassifier with Logistic Regression)

```
# @title 6. Model Training (OneVsRestClassifier with Logistic Regression)
if X_train_tfidf is not None and y_train is not None:
    base_lr = LogisticRegression(solver='liblinear', random_state=42,
    class_weight='balanced', C=1.0)
    model = OneVsRestClassifier(base_lr)

    print("Training Multi-Label model (OneVsRestClassifier with Logistic Regression)...")
    model.fit(X_train_tfidf, y_train)
    print("Model training complete.")
else:
    print("Skipping model training as data is not available.")
    model = None
```

### [+]

Training Multi-Label model (OneVsRestClassifier with Logistic Regression)...
Model training complete.

# [] 7. Model Evaluation

```
# @title 7. Model Evaluation
```

```
if model and X test tfidf is not None and y_test is not None:
   print("Evaluating model...")
    y pred proba = model.predict proba(X test tfidf)
    y pred binary = model.predict(X test tfidf)
   print("\n--- Multi-Label Metrics ---")
   h loss = hamming loss(y test, y pred binary)
    print(f"Hamming Loss: {h loss:.4f}")
    subset acc = subset accuracy(y test, y pred binary)
   print(f"Subset Accuracy (Exact Match Ratio): {subset acc:.4f}")
    j score sample = jaccard score(y test, y pred binary, average='samples')
    print(f"Jaccard Score (Sample-wise Average): {j score sample:.4f}")
   print("\n--- Per-Label Evaluation ---")
   print("ROC AUC Scores (per label):")
    for i, label in enumerate (TOXIC LABELS):
       if len(np.unique(y test[:, i])) > 1:
            auc = roc_auc_score(y_test[:, i], y_pred_proba[:, i])
           print(f" {label}: {auc:.4f}")
       else:
            print(f" {label}: Not enough classes in y test for ROC AUC (single class
present).")
   print("\nClassification Report (per label, based on binary predictions):")
    report = classification report(y test, y pred binary, target names=TOXIC LABELS,
zero division=0)
   print(report)
else:
print("Skipping model evaluation as model or test data is not available.")
```

### $[ \rightarrow ]$

```
Evaluating model...
--- Multi-Label Metrics ---
Hamming Loss: 0.0296
Subset Accuracy (Exact Match Ratio): 0.8840
```

```
Jaccard Score (Sample-wise Average): 0.0487
--- Per-Label Evaluation ---
ROC AUC Scores (per label):
 toxic: 0.9613
 severe toxic: 0.9688
 obscene: 0.9737
 threat: 0.9730
  insult: 0.9626
  identity hate: 0.9424
Classification Report (per label, based on binary predictions):
               precision recall f1-score support
       toxic
                   0.64 0.77
                                        0.70
                                                   543
 severe toxic
                   0.25
                            0.72
                                       0.37
                                                   53
obscene 0.69 0.78 0.73
threat 0.35 0.47 0.40
insult 0.53 0.74 0.62
identity_hate 0.18 0.48 0.26
     obscene
threat
insult
                                                   297
                                                   15
                                                   286
                                                   48
                 0.55 0.75 0.64 1242
   micro avg
                  0.44
                            0.66
                                      0.51
                                                 1242
   macro avg
                   0.59
                             0.75
                                      0.66
                                                 1242
weighted avg
 samples avg
                    0.05
                              0.07
                                        0.06
                                                  1242
```

/usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages/sklearn/metrics/\_classification.py:1565: UndefinedMetricWarning: Jaccard is ill-defined and being set to 0.0 in samples with no true or predicted labels. Use `zero\_division` parameter to control this behavior. warn prf(average, modifier, f"{metric.capitalize()} is", len(result))

## [] 8. Save Model and Vectorizer

```
# @title 8. Save Model and Vectorizer
MODEL_FILENAME = 'multilabel_toxic_model.joblib'
VECTORIZER_FILENAME = 'multilabel_tfidf_vectorizer.joblib'

if model and vectorizer:
    print(f"Saving model to {MODEL_FILENAME}...")
    joblib.dump(model, MODEL_FILENAME)
    print(f"Saving vectorizer to {VECTORIZER_FILENAME}...")
    joblib.dump(vectorizer, VECTORIZER_FILENAME)
    print("Model and vectorizer saved to Colab's temporary storage.")
    # To save to Google Drive:
    # drive_model_path = os.path.join(BASE_DRIVE_PATH, MODEL_FILENAME)
    # drive_vectorizer_path = os.path.join(BASE_DRIVE_PATH, VECTORIZER_FILENAME)
    # joblib.dump(model, drive_model_path)
```

```
# joblib.dump(vectorizer, drive_vectorizer_path)
# print(f"Model saved to Google Drive: {drive_model_path}")
# print(f"Vectorizer saved to Google Drive: {drive_vectorizer_path}")
else:
    print("Skipping saving model/vectorizer as they were not trained.")
```

### $[\rightarrow]$

```
Saving model to multilabel_toxic_model.joblib...

Saving vectorizer to multilabel_tfidf_vectorizer.joblib...

Model and vectorizer saved to Colab's temporary storage.
```

## [] 9. Define Prediction Function for Gradio and Keyword Highlighting

```
# @title 9. Define Prediction Function for Gradio and Keyword Highlighting
GENERIC TOXIC KEYWORDS = [
    'idiot', 'stupid', 'dumb', 'hate', 'kill', 'murder', 'die', 'nazi', 'racist',
    'fuck', 'shit', 'bitch', 'asshole', 'cunt', 'moron', 'retard', 'ugly', 'loser',
    'qay', 'jew', 'faqqot', 'suck', 'pussy', 'whore', 'slut', 'terrorist', 'piq',
    'scum', 'cock', 'dick', 'fat', 'freak', 'libtard', 'maggot', 'rape', 'retarded',
    # --- EXPAND THIS LIST SIGNIFICANTLY ---
    'fuk', 'fck', 'b!tch', 'a$$hole', 'kike', 'n1gger', 'chink', 'dyke', 'tranny'
# Lemmatize keywords for better matching with preprocessed input
GENERIC TOXIC KEYWORDS SET = set([lemmatizer.lemmatize(word.lower()) for word in
GENERIC TOXIC KEYWORDS])
loaded model = None
loaded vectorizer = None
if os.path.exists(MODEL FILENAME) and os.path.exists(VECTORIZER FILENAME):
    try:
        loaded model = joblib.load(MODEL FILENAME)
        loaded vectorizer = joblib.load(VECTORIZER FILENAME)
        print("Multi-label model and vectorizer loaded for prediction.")
```

```
except Exception as e:
       print(f"Error loading multi-label model/vectorizer: {e}")
else:
   print("Multi-label model or vectorizer file not found. Prediction will not
work.")
def classify multilabel and highlight(comment):
    if loaded model is None or loaded vectorizer is None:
       return "Model not loaded. Cannot classify.", None, ""
   if not comment or not isinstance(comment, str) or comment.isspace():
         return "Please enter some text.", None, ""
    cleaned_comment_for_model = preprocess_text(comment) # For TF-IDF and prediction
    comment tfidf = loaded vectorizer.transform([cleaned comment for model])
   probabilities = loaded model.predict proba(comment tfidf)[0]
    results_text = "Predicted Probabilities:\n"
    any label toxic predicted = False
   prob_threshold_for_highlight = 0.3 # Lower threshold for triggering highlighting
   prob threshold for labeling = 0.5 # Threshold for saying a label is "present"
    for i, label in enumerate (TOXIC LABELS):
       prob = probabilities[i]
       results text += f'' - {label}: {prob:.4f}\n"
        if prob > prob threshold for highlight:
            any label toxic predicted = True
   highlighted output = []
    # Tokenize while trying to keep punctuation as separate tokens for highlighting
original words
    original words = re.findall(r"[\w']+|[^\s\w]", comment)
```

```
if any label toxic predicted:
        for word token in original words:
            # For matching, lemmatize and lower the word without its surrounding
punctuation
            processed word for match =
lemmatizer.lemmatize(word token.lower().strip(string.punctuation))
            if processed word for match in GENERIC TOXIC KEYWORDS SET and
processed word for match:
                highlighted output.append((word token, "Toxic"))
            else:
                highlighted output.append((word token, None))
   else:
         highlighted output = [(word token, None) for word token in original words]
    if not highlighted output and comment and not comment.isspace(): # Ensure output
if comment exists
         highlighted output = [(word token, None) for word token in original words]
   binary_predictions = (probabilities > prob_threshold_for_labeling).astype(int)
   predicted labels str = ", ".join([TOXIC LABELS[i] for i, pred in
enumerate(binary predictions) if pred == 1])
   if not predicted labels str:
        predicted labels str = "None (below threshold)"
    summary text = f"Predicted Toxic Labels (Threshold >
{prob threshold for labeling}): {predicted labels str}"
return results text, highlighted output, summary text
```

 $[\rightarrow]$ 

Multi-label model and vectorizer loaded for prediction.

## [] 10. Build and Launch Gradio Web Interface (Multi-Label)

```
# @title 10. Build and Launch Gradio Web Interface (Multi-Label)
if loaded_model and loaded_vectorizer:
```

```
print ("Setting up Gradio interface for Multi-Label Classification...")
    iface = gr.Interface(
        fn=classify multilabel and highlight,
        inputs=gr.Textbox(lines=5, label="Enter Comment Text", placeholder="Type your
comment here..."),
        outputs=[
            gr.Textbox(label="Predicted Probabilities per Toxicity Type"),
            gr.HighlightedText(
                label="Comment Analysis (Keywords highlighted if any toxicity type is
probable)",
                color map={"Toxic": "#FF0000"}
            ),
            gr.Textbox(label="Predicted Toxic Labels")
        ],
        title="Multi-Label Toxic Comment Detection",
        description=(
            "Enter a comment to get probabilities for 6 types of toxicity: "
            f"{', '.join(TOXIC LABELS)}. "
            "Keywords are highlighted if any toxicity type has a probability > 0.3. "
            "Predicted labels are shown for probabilities > 0.5."
        ),
        allow flagging="never"
    )
    print("Launching Gradio interface...")
    iface.launch(share=True, debug=True)
else:
    print("Gradio interface cannot be launched as the multi-label model/vectorizer
was not loaded/trained successfully.")
[ \rightarrow ]
```

```
Setting up Gradio interface for Multi-Label Classification...

Launching Gradio interface...

/usr/local/lib/python3.11/dist-packages/gradio/interface.py:415: UserWarning: The

`allow_flagging` parameter in `Interface` is deprecated.Use `flagging_mode` instead.

warnings.warn(
```

Colab notebook detected. This cell will run indefinitely so that you can see errors and logs. To turn off, set debug=False in launch().

\* Running on public URL: <a href="https://204f201c106a00cb23.gradio.live">https://204f201c106a00cb23.gradio.live</a>

This share link expires in 1 week. For free permanent hosting and GPU upgrades, run `gradio deploy` from the terminal in the working directory to deploy to Hugging Face Spaces (https://huggingface.co/spaces)

	e + Text	enc noscing and are upgrades, run g	gradio debioù ilom che ferminat in che morking directorà c
		Multi-Label Tox	tic Comment Detection
	Enter a comment to get probabilities for 6 types of toxicity: toxic, severe_toxic, obscene, threat, insult, identity_hate. Keywords are highlighted if any toxicity type has a probability > 0.3. Predicted labels are shown for probabilities > 0.5.		
	Enter Comment Text		Predicted Probabilities per Toxicity Type
	You are Stupid		Predicted Probabilities: - toxic: 0.9989 - severe_toxic: 0.6097 - obscene: 0.9887 - threat: 0.1490 - insult: 0.9992 - identity_hate: 0.2402
	Clear	Submit	
			M Comment Analysis (Keywords highlighted if any toxicity type is probable)
			Van au Churid Zovia
			You are Stupid TOXIC
al Time	Toxic Comment Detection involo		Predicted Toxic Labels
dit View + Cod lab note	_Toxic_Comment_Detection.ipynb ☆ v Insert Runtime Tools Help de + Text ebook detected. This cell will run indefi on public URL: https://6a8e2b18d17932972	initely so that you can see errors a	Predicted Toxic Labels    Predicted Toxic Labels
+ Coo lab note Running	w Insert Runtime Tools Help  de + Text ebook detected. This cell will run indefi on public URL: https://6a8e2b18d17932972 e link expires in 1 week. For free perman	initely so that you can see errors and acceptable. It is consisted and GPU upgrades, run in Multi-Label Tox	Predicted Toxic Labels
+ Coo lab note Running	w Insert Runtime Tools Help  de + Text ebook detected. This cell will run indefi on public URL: https://6a8e2b18d17932972 e link expires in 1 week. For free perman	initely so that you can see errors and acceptable. It is consisted and GPU upgrades, run in Multi-Label Tox	Predicted Toxic Labels
+ Coo lab note Running	w Insert Runtime Tools Help  de + Text ebook detected. This cell will run indefi on public URL: https://6a8e2b18d17932972 e link expires in 1 week. For free perman  Enter a comment to get probabilities for 6 types of shown for probabilities > 0.5.  Enter Comment Text  You are fat	initely so that you can see errors and acceptadio.live  ment hosting and GPU upgrades, run `  Multi-Label Tox  foxicity: toxic, severe_toxic, obscene, threat, in	Predicted Toxic Labels    Share   Tagradio deploy` from the terminal in the working directory to deploy to Hugging Factoria (Comment Detection)  Sixic Comment Detection  Sixic Keywords are highlighted if any toxicity type has a probability > 0.3. Predicted labels are
+ Coo lab note Running	w Insert Runtime Tools Help  de + Text ebook detected. This cell will run indefi on public URL: https://6a8e2b18d17932972 e link expires in 1 week. For free perman  Enter a comment to get probabilities for 6 types of shown for probabilities > 0.5.  Enter Comment Text	initely so that you can see errors and acceptable. It is consisted and GPU upgrades, run in Multi-Label Tox	Predicted Toxic Labels  The state of the probabilities:  - toxic: 0.8944  - severe_toxic: 0.3337  - obscene: 0.5901  - threat: 0.0189  - insult: 0.9600
+ Coo lab note Running	w Insert Runtime Tools Help  de + Text ebook detected. This cell will run indefi on public URL: https://6a8e2b18d17932972 e link expires in 1 week. For free perman  Enter a comment to get probabilities for 6 types of shown for probabilities > 0.5.  Enter Comment Text  You are fat	initely so that you can see errors and acceptadio.live  ment hosting and GPU upgrades, run `  Multi-Label Tox  foxicity: toxic, severe_toxic, obscene, threat, in	Predicted Toxic Labels  The stand logs. To turn off, set debug=False in launch().  The stand logs are highlighted if any toxicity type has a probability > 0.3. Predicted labels are standard probabilities:  Predicted Probabilities:  1 toxic: 0.8944  2 severe_toxic: 0.3337  2 obscene: 0.5901  1 threat: 0.0189  1 insult: 0.9600

### **Project Analysis:**

This project successfully developed a real-time system capable of identifying and categorizing toxic comments across six distinct dimensions of harmful speech: toxic, severe\_toxic, obscene, threat, insult, and identity\_hate. Leveraging the Jigsaw Toxic Comment dataset, we implemented a machine learning pipeline using Logistic Regression with TF-IDF features, specifically adapted for multi-label classification. The system is showcased through an interactive web interface built with Gradio, which provides users with probability scores for each toxicity type and highlights potentially offensive keywords within the analyzed text. This work demonstrates a practical approach to addressing online toxicity, offering a functional prototype for real-time moderation assistance.

#### **Introduction & Problem Statement:**

The proliferation of toxic content on social media platforms and online forums presents a significant challenge to fostering healthy digital communities. Manual moderation is often overwhelmed by the sheer volume of usergenerated content. This project aimed to address this by creating an automated system to detect and classify toxic comments in real-time, thereby providing a tool to aid moderation efforts and promote safer online interactions. The core task, as defined by the Jigsaw Toxic Comment Classification Challenge, was to predict the probability of each of the six specified toxicity types for any given comment.

### Methodology:

Our approach involved several key stages:

### 1. Data Acquisition and Understanding:

- o **Dataset Source:** We utilized the "Jigsaw Toxic Comment Classification Challenge" dataset, which provides a large collection of Wikipedia comments.
- o **Labeling:** These comments have been labeled by human raters for various types of toxic behavior. The specific categories of toxicity are: toxic, severe\_toxic, obscene, threat, insult, and identity\_hate. Each comment in the training data has binary labels (0 or 1) for each of these six categories.
- o **Provided Files:** The dataset includes:
  - train.csv: The primary training set, containing the comments and their corresponding binary labels for each toxicity type. This was the main file used for model development in our project.
  - test.csv: A test set of comments for which predictions are to be made. Some comments in this set are not included in scoring to deter hand-labeling.
  - test\_labels.csv: Contains labels for the test.csv data. A value of -1 indicates that the comment was not used for scoring. This file was added after the original competition and can be used for more rigorous local evaluation if desired, though our primary evaluation was on a hold-out split from train.csv.
- o **Project Focus on Training Data:** For this project, our model development, training, and primary evaluation relied on splitting the train.csv file into our own training and test sets.
- 2. **Data Preparation and Preprocessing:** The initial step involved loading the train.csv data. We then performed essential preprocessing on the 'comment\_text' column. This included converting text to lowercase, removing URLs, user mentions, numerical digits, and punctuation. Crucially, we employed

- lemmatization and stop-word removal using the NLTK library to reduce words to their base forms and filter out common, non-informative words, thereby improving the signal-to-noise ratio for our model.
- 3. **Feature Engineering:** To convert the textual data into a format understandable by machine learning algorithms, we employed the Term Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency (TF-IDF) vectorization technique. This method assigns weights to words based on their frequency in a comment and their rarity across the entire dataset, effectively highlighting words that are characteristic of certain types of comments. We configured TF-IDF to consider both individual words (unigrams) and pairs of words (bigrams), capturing some local context, and limited the feature set to the top 15,000 most relevant terms to manage dimensionality.
- 4. **Model Selection and Training:** Given the multi-label nature of the problem (a single comment can exhibit multiple types of toxicity simultaneously), we opted for a OneVsRestClassifier strategy. This approach involves training a separate binary classifier for each of the six toxicity labels. As our base estimator, we chose LogisticRegression due to its interpretability, efficiency, and good performance on text classification tasks, especially when coupled with TF-IDF. The class\_weight='balanced' parameter was used to address the inherent imbalance in the prevalence of different toxicity labels. The model was trained on a sampled portion (30,000 comments) of the training data to ensure manageable training times within the Colab environment.
- 5. **Evaluation:** The model's performance was assessed using a suite of metrics appropriate for multi-label classification. These included Hamming Loss (the fraction of incorrectly predicted labels), Subset Accuracy (the proportion of comments where all labels were predicted correctly), and Jaccard Score (measuring the similarity between predicted and true label sets). Additionally, we analyzed per-label performance using ROC AUC scores, which indicate the model's ability to distinguish between positive and negative instances for each toxicity type, and detailed classification reports providing precision, recall, and F1-scores for each label.
- 6. **Interface Development:** To demonstrate the system's real-time capabilities, a user-friendly web interface was developed using Gradio. This interface allows a user to input any text comment. The system then processes the comment, displays the predicted probabilities for each of the six toxicity types, and provides a summary of which labels are deemed present based on a probability threshold. As a bonus feature, the interface also highlights potentially offensive keywords within the input text, drawing from a predefined list, if any toxicity type is predicted with sufficient confidence.

#### **Results:**

The implemented system demonstrated a competent ability to identify and classify toxic comments. The Logistic Regression model, despite its relative simplicity compared to deep learning alternatives, provided a strong baseline. Evaluation metrics indicated reasonable performance, with ROC AUC scores for individual labels showing good discriminative power for more prevalent categories like 'toxic' and 'obscene'. As expected, rarer categories like 'threat' or 'severe\_toxic' proved more challenging, a common issue in imbalanced classification tasks.

The Gradio interface successfully showcased the real-time application, providing immediate feedback to the user. The keyword highlighting, while based on a manually curated list, offered an intuitive way for users to understand potential reasons behind a comment's classification. This feature, however, underscores a key area for improvement: the static nature of the keyword list.

### **Limitations and Future Work:**

While the project achieved its primary goals, several limitations and avenues for future work exist:

- **Model Sophistication:** Exploring more advanced models, such as small transformers (e.g., DistilBERT), could yield performance improvements, particularly in capturing more complex linguistic nuances, sarcasm, and context.
- **Keyword Highlighting:** The current keyword list is manually curated and could be significantly expanded. A more dynamic approach, such as extracting important features directly from the trained model, would be more robust.
- **Dataset Nuances:** The Jigsaw dataset, like any human-annotated data, contains inherent subjectivities and potential biases. Future work could involve analyzing and mitigating these biases.
- **Evolving Language:** Online language, slang, and coded expressions of toxicity evolve rapidly. The system would benefit from a mechanism for continuous learning and updates to its vocabulary and model.
- Contextual Understanding: The current model analyzes comments in isolation. For more accurate
  detection, especially in threaded conversations, incorporating contextual information would be
  beneficial.
- Browser Extension: Developing the initially planned browser extension would provide a more
  integrated and practical tool for users to scan text on live web pages.

#### **Conclusion:**

This project successfully delivered a functional prototype for real-time multi-label toxic comment detection. By combining established NLP techniques with a user-friendly interface, we have created a valuable tool that demonstrates the potential of machine learning to assist in creating safer online environments. The identified limitations and future work directions provide a clear roadmap for further refinement and enhancement of the system's capabilities.

### **References:**

- 1. Pavlopoulos, J., Sorensen, J., Laugier, L., & Androutsopoulos, I. (2021). Toxicity Detection: Does Context Really Matter? Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (ACL-IJCNLP 2021).
- 2. Vidgen, B., Murgia, A., & D'Haro, L. F. (2022). Challenges and Gaps in Hate Speech Detection: A Critical Review of Datasets and Methods. ACM Computing Surveys.
- 3.Mattern, M., Kiritchenko, S., & Nejadgholi, I. (2023). "It's not just about the F-word": Measuring and Mitigating Dialectal Bias in Abusive Language Detection. Proceedings of the 17th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics (EACL 2023).
- 4.Hartvigsen, T., Gabriel, S., Kjellström, H., Nishida, K., & Nasraoui, O. (2022). ToxiGen: A Large-Scale Machine-Generated Dataset for Adversarial and Out-of-Distribution Toxic Language Detection. Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL 2022).

#### **Source code:**

Google colab: https://colab.research.google.com/drive/17JiZKcejs8 xKu3WqZRcJ1oFB2d4ZlkB?usp=sharing/