"Ram" — A Beginner's Introductory Programming Language Using Abstract Syntax Trees in Python

Will Assad, Ariel Chouminov, Ramya Chawla, Zain Lakhani
April 16, 2021

Problem Description and Research Question

Modern programming languages are built using Abstract Syntax Trees (ASTs), a recursive data structure that represents written code [1]. Programmers write code in the form of large strings, which are then parsed into ASTs in which the computer can understand and execute [1, 2]. As humans, we often take this necessary translation for granted, given that we can simply read programs as text [1]. The computer, however, interprets this text, translates it several times, and then produces an output with incredibly high speed.

A programming language serves as an instrument to bridge the gap between machines and humans. Although the possibilities are seemingly limitless, a program is only as effective as the developer designs it to be. That is, the necessary tools to develop even the most complex programs are made available to one's disposal. However, with the vast number of nuances, it can be quite difficult for a beginner to indulge in the world of programming.

Programming languages present humans the opportunity to interact with computers in unique ways. One of these languages is Python, a relatively easy scripting language to learn. While it has many advantages as a first programming language for new programmers, there are some key disadvantages. Mainly, it differs structurally from other programming languages in terms of indented blocks and variables that represent multiple data types. This means it offers beginner programmers an introduction into the world of programming while making their transition into other languages quite difficult.

The other problem with introducing programming to new students of Computer Science is getting them to understand what a particular syntax represents in English. The intuition behind writing certain lines of code can hide from those who lack experience. For example, there is no obvious reason why the line of Python code print([(x, x % 2 == 0) for x in range(10)]) should display a list of numbers from 0 to 9 and whether or not they are even. Hence, the goal of this project is to create a programming language (Ram) that is easily translatable to English and helps better prepare beginner programmers to use other programming languages. That is, we're looking to provide our users with the ability to convert basic English sentences, following the syntax of our language, into lines of Ram code.

Computational Plan

Before diving directly into the code and our plan of implementation, we will clearly define all the syntactical structures of Ram code. As previously mentioned, an important feature is the readability of this code and the fluency with which it can be translated to English. Table 1 contains various expressions in Python with a side-by-side comparison of how they can be expressed following the syntax of Ram code.

Python Syntax	Ram Syntax
for x in range(5):	loop with x from 0 to 4 {
<pre>print(x)</pre>	display x
	}
x = 5	set integer x to 5
<pre>letters = 'hello'</pre>	set text letters to "hello"

```
def f(x:int) -> None:
                                     new function f takes (x) {
   x = x - 5
                                         reset x to x - 5
   print(x)
                                         display x
                                     set integer var1 to 10
var1 = 10
var2 = f(8)
                                     set integer var2 to f[x=8]
if var1 == var2:
                                     if (var1) is (var2) {
                                         display "They are equal!"
   print('They are equal!')
elif (var1 + var2) == 5:
                                     } else if (var1 + var2) is (5) {
                                         display "Equals 5"
   print('Equals 5')
   var1 = 4
                                         reset var1 to 4
else:
                                     } else {
   print('Nothing happened.')
                                         display ''Nothing happened.''
def compute(x:int, y:int) -> int:
                                     new function f takes (x,y) {
   x = x + y
                                         reset x to x + y
   return x
                                         send back x
```

Table 1: Comparison of Python and Ram Syntax

Our program consists of three main parts. Initially, we begin by defining various statements and expressions in Python such as for-loops, functions, if-statements, assignment statements, and print statements. We define these statements through the use of Abstract Syntax Trees (Figure 1). We define an abstract class representing a statement, Statement, and an abstract subclass representing an expression, Expr¹.

```
class Statement:
    """An abstract class representing a Python statement.
    """
    def evaluate(self, env: dict[str, Any]) -> Optional[Any]:
        """Evaluate this statement with the given environment."""
        raise NotImplementedError

class Expr:
    """An abstract class representing a Python expression.
    """
    def evaluate(self, env: dict[str, Any]) -> Optional[Any]:
    """Evaluate this statement with the given environment."""
        raise NotImplementedError
```

Figure 1: Statement and Expr Abstract Classes

ASTs are a form of trees since a Statement can be composed of many Expr's which can also be composed of many different other Expr's, which represent subtrees. For example, a binary operation expression BinOp(Expr) is defined in terms of a left Expr and a right Expr as seen in Figure 2. This allows for any form of nesting, provided that the left and right subtrees evaluate to floating-point values. All other ASTs have a similar structure, being composed of other statements and expressions.

¹These two abstract classes and subclasses such as If, BoolOp, and Loop are credited to the CSC111 notes [1].




Figure 2: BinOp Tree Structure

We as humans take for granted the complexity in interpreting the context of plain text. We read from left to right, and process text with ease. A computer, however, must convert the plain text into the deeply nested structures as seen in Figure 2. This is the process of parsing.

As previously mentioned, parsing, within the context of programming, is the process of analyzing a string of symbols and or words that are presented in either natural language, computer language, or data structures [5]. Similar to Python, the code written by our users will initially be processed as the basic data types — strings, booleans, and integers. However, from there, we begin to parse the data into the ASTs. Since parsing is the most difficult and technically demanding component of our project, we decided to divide and conquer. Parsing numbers, variables, and everything else in between was done separately in three main steps:

1. Read in the .ram file as a list of tuples.

The .ram file is read in as a list of tuples where each tuple contains the line and the line number.

2. Recursively create the nested block structure.

In parsing.py, we introduced an abstract class Block (Figure 3) which has three sub-classes — Loop, Function, and If. A complicated recursive algorithm make_child that runs in Block.__init__ creates the relevant nested structure. The function determines the block type of the caller and creates a new specific block instance. The newly created block recursively parses through all of its children, which runs through the same process as the parent. The parent class assigns its caller a new sub-class type (for example a Loop Block), so that when the block is parsed, the parsing specific to that block type is executed. Similar to Statement and Expr, these classes and sub-classes are used to create a nested structure. The use of these classes allows us to recursively parse each block of code.

3. Recursively parse the nested block structure.

Each type of Line and child of Block has its own parse method which recursively creates an AST. For example, IfBlock.parse would return the AST If(Statement).

4. Evaluate the resulting ASTs.

As described in Figure 1, each AST has an evaluate method. For example, BinOp.evaluate would return a floating point value, as represented in Python.

```
class Block:
    """A block of Ram code to parse.
    """
    def parse(self) -> Statement:
```

Figure 3: Block Abstract Class

Our language is intended to support simple arithmetic expressions, including the use of brackets. Regardless of the number of smaller computations that are nested within any binary operation, we need to evaluate the expression in terms of the order of operations. We were able to successfully implement very complex recursive algorithms such as lexify and pedmas in parsing/parse_numeric.py. This being said, recursion was an essential tool in many algorithms; without it, we would have great difficulty locating and keeping track of various blocks of code.

To give an example of this process, let's run through the specific algorithm for parsing this line of Ram code:

```
set integer var1 to 4 * 3 + (8 - 4 * 2)
```

This line is read in as a tuple consisting of the line itself as a string and the corresponding line number in the enclosing file. The keyword set is detected, meaning the line is to be parsed as a variable assignment statement. The keyword integer is detected, meaning the line is to be parsed more specifically as a numerical value. The variable name, var1, is stored and the numeric expression 4 * 3 + (8 - 4 * 2) is run through the lexify algorithm. This algorithm is fairly complex, using recursion to create the relevant nesting structure around the binary operations, following the mathematical order of operations. Once this process is finished, var1 is assigned to the BinOp returned by the lexify algorithm. Finally, the statement is evaluated and the environment is updated to contain the new variable.

The intuition is to take blocks of code and separate them into loop blocks, if blocks, and function blocks. Then the body portion of those blocks can contain nested blocks within, and this forms the nested structure we addressed earlier. Once these blocks are parsed, the program is able to treat them as functions and can accurately identify and interpret each statement of code.

We also need to ensure that the nesting of the blocks occurs in the correct order. Which brings us to the process_ram function in process_py. With the assistance of a helper function, we're able to use process_ram to take in chunks of Ram code as a list of tuples in the form: [(<line>, <line number>), ...] and return a list which correctly nests the blocks provided. Therefore, this function essentially transform the data into a nested structure in an accurate manner. Further, the recursive nature of this function is directly associated with trees, as it can be viewed as a non-binary tree where each node has one child and each child represents a recursive call.

As a result of the combination of these AST's, we are able to successfully create an interactive component and allow the user to freely experiment with Ram code and even build simplistic programs. The overarching theme of simplistic, introductory programming is obtained by following a parsing similar to that of Python, but with a more surface level depth in terms of the features and capabilities of the program. But what the program lacks in sophistication, it makes up for with directness, as users are now able to follow clear syntax guidelines to convert near-standard English convention sentences into actual lines of code.

For the libraries, we used sys, os and platform, which all come packaged with python and do not require any additional installation. We used sys to read the command line argument when calling ram <filepath>.ram in order to get the file path in the verify_file function in process.py. In install.py, platform and os are used in the InstallRam class. An alias that links to an executable main.py is created at usr/local/bin/ram using os. This is only done (using platform) provided the platform is Darwin.


Running the Program

Using MacOS


- Python Console
 - You can write a new .ram file in any text editor. We even defined custom syntax highlighting for *.ram files in PyCharm (see images below)!
 - Run main.pv
 - You will be prompted to enter the file path of the .ram file to run.

\bullet Terminal


- Open Terminal and type which python or which python3 (depending on the version installed).




- Copy the result and then open main.py and paste the result after the !#.



- Navigate to the main ram program directory (wherever it is stored).



- Now run the command python install.py or python3 install.py (depending on version used).



 You can now write a new .ram file in any text editor. We even defined custom syntax highlighting for *.ram files in PyCharm!

```
## Comparison

## Co
```

- Then run the file using the command ram <filepath>.ram or just ram.

Using Windows

Note: only python console is supported in Windows.

- Using Python Console
 - You can write a new .ram file in any text editor. We even defined custom syntax highlighting for *.ram files in PyCharm!

```
### Commence of Co
```

- Run main.py
- You will be prompted to enter the file path of the .ram file to run.
- The console runs the file!

Technical Notes

- Strings must be defined with double quotes, not single quotes.
- Functions must have at least one parameter.
- Return statements, if any, must be the last line in a function.
- There cannot be spaces when calling functions (i.e. f[x=40,y=50]).
- The ending curly brace of a loop, function, or if statement must be the only item in the line.
- Arithmetic operators must be spaced out following a standard whitespace convention (i.e. (1 + 3) * 5 / 2 instead of (1 +3)* 5/2).

Changes

The project proposal provided a clear outline of our final objective, and we had an explicit plan to arrive at the final result. Despite no changes to our final objectives, we had to alter our computational plan in certain areas. In particular, we found that the libraries we had initially planned on integrating, ast and nltk, did not serve the intended purpose. We actually ended up hard-coding all of the ASTs, using some of the basic expressions included in the CSC111 Course Notes [1].

Discussion

Upon testing numerous different sample files with many different variations of code — a combination of assignment statements, loops, if statements, and functions — we have discerned that our program is able to accurately take in the code as strings, and parse them to appropriate blocks.

Referring back to our initial project goal, we see that we were able to successfully create a new programming language that is easily translatable to English and helps better prepare beginner programmers to use another programming language. The syntax that we had in mind was properly executed and properly reinforced. That is, when users do not correctly follow syntax requirements, the appropriate errors are raised. In addition, we built an extremely interactive environment where the user can do an array of things all the way from simple arithmetic calculations to building entire algorithms. It is very interesting to see the intricate and extremely nuanced approach to building a language. The process of going from strings to blocks of code which then gets parsed and interpreted by the system was expectantly difficult as a large majority of our key functions had especially complicated and technically demanding recursive implementations.

The limitations we encountered largely had to do with the sheer complexity of many of the key function implementations, alongside the general project as a whole. In addition to that, we feel that we were very ambitious in the early stages of our exploration process which minimized the time we had to implement our actual plan. Given an increase in the time restriction, we would've liked to see what additional features we could have integrated into the program from things like comments to nested functions. Given the nature of this project, whenever we encountered an error, it was very difficult to trace the error and determine what the triggers were. As a result, our approach for error testing was much more time consuming and unreliable than we had hoped for.

To explore the content further, we may have tried to implement comments so that our users can potentially leave bits of information for their own reference. Alongside that, we could have tried to explore new libraries that could expand the range of possibilities. Lastly, we could have further investigated the possibilities of nested functions.

References

- [1] David Liu. CSC111 Lectures. University of Toronto. 2021.
- [2] Dennis Howe. Free On-line Dictionary of Computing. Internet Encyclopedia Project. 2008.
- [3] Abstract Syntax Trees. Python Documentation. Available from: https://docs.python.org/3/library/ast.html
- [4] Natural Language Toolkit. Python Documentation. Available from: https://www.nltk.org/
- [5] Chapman, Nigel P. LR Parsing: Theory and Practice, Cambridge University Press. 1987.