

## Supplementary Materials

### *Additional information for Study 1*

We did not check multivariate normality of our model since DWLS estimator does not assume multivariate normality. Our analysis was strictly confirmatory, so we did not make any model respecifications.

Table S.1

*Measurement Model of Symbolic Threat (Study 1), N=385*

<b>Items</b>	<b><i>B</i></b>	<b><i>SE(B)</i></b>	<b><i>p</i></b>	<b>95% CI for <i>B</i></b>	<b><i>z</i></b>	<b><math>\beta</math> (std.all)</b>
STH_01	1.000	-	-	-	-	0.782
STH_02	0.742	0.063	<.001	0.616, 0.853	11.770	0.580
STH_03	0.953	0.058	<.001	0.857, 1.079	16.301	0.745
STH_04	0.784	0.056	<.001	0.678, 0.901	13.987	0.613
STH_05	1.139	0.056	<.001	1.038, 1.264	20.457	0.890
STH_06	0.974	0.049	<.001	0.883, 1.074	19.888	0.762
STH_07	0.971	0.070	<.001	0.831, 1.109	13.968	0.759
STH_08	0.999	0.069	<.001	0.862, 1.134	14.389	0.781

Table S.2

*Measurement Model of Religious Zeal (Study 1), N=385*

<b>Items</b>	<b><i>B</i></b>	<b><i>SE(B)</i></b>	<b><i>p</i></b>	<b>95% CI for <i>B</i></b>	<b><i>z</i></b>	<b><math>\beta</math> (std.all)</b>
RZL_01	1.000	-	-	-	-	0.725
RZL_02	0.967	0.054	<.001	0.852, 1.063	17.817	0.701
RZL_03	1.157	0.058	<.001	1.039, 1.276	19.876	0.839
RZL_04	0.872	0.065	<.001	0.732, 1.014	13.511	0.633
RZL_05	1.011	0.069	<.001	0.861, 1.145	14.733	0.733
RZL_06	0.823	0.072	<.001	0.672, 0.950	11.434	0.597
RZL_07	1.054	0.060	<.001	0.927, 1.166	17.587	0.764
RZL_08	1.065	0.069	<.001	0.932, 1.203	15.401	0.772

RZL_09	1.066	0.060	<.001	0.948, 1.196	17.740	0.773
RZL_10	0.821	0.070	<.001	0.683, 0.951	11.691	0.596
RZL_11	0.971	0.066	<.001	0.827, 1.107	14.769	0.704
RZL_12	1.176	0.069	<.001	1.048, 1.320	17.106	0.853
RZL_13	0.309	0.088	<.001	0.132, 0.512	3.508	0.224
RZL_14	0.906	0.064	<.001	0.762, 1.022	14.140	0.657
RZL_15	1.116	0.061	<.001	0.993, 1.223	18.374	0.809
RZL_16	1.140	0.066	<.001	1.008, 1.280	17.370	0.827

Table S.3

*Measurement Model of Collective Narcissism (Study 1), N=385*

<b>Items</b>	<b><i>B</i></b>	<b><i>SE(B)</i></b>	<b><i>p</i></b>	<b>95% CI for B</b>	<b><i>z</i></b>	<b><math>\beta</math> (std.all)</b>
CN_01	1.000	-	-	-	-	0.635
CN_02	1.081	0.092	<.001	0.919, 1.285	11.801	0.686
CN_03	1.342	0.092	<.001	1.178, 1.541	14.580	0.852
CN_04	0.571	0.116	<.001	0.364, 0.846	4.916	0.362
CN_05	1.054	0.081	<.001	0.917, 1.242	13.033	0.669
CN_06	1.200	0.087	<.001	1.048, 1.404	13.842	0.761
CN_07R	0.333	0.110	.003	0.096, 0.534	3.018	0.211
CN_08	0.923	0.103	<.001	0.730, 1.165	8.944	0.586
CN_09	0.771	0.092	<.001	0.620, 0.968	8.392	0.489

Table S.4

*Measurement Model of Belief in Jewish Conspiracy (Study 1), N=385*

<b>Items</b>	<b><i>B</i></b>	<b><i>SE(B)</i></b>	<b><i>p</i></b>	<b>95% CI for B</b>	<b><i>z</i></b>	<b><math>\beta</math> (std.all)</b>
BJC_01	1.000	-	-	-	-	0.457
BJC_02	1.254	0.164	<.001	1.067, 1.620	7.631	0.574
BJC_03	1.635	0.276	<.001	1.335, 2.378	5.915	0.748
BJC_04	1.804	0.334	<.001	1.429, 2.714	5.395	0.825

BJC_05	1.782	0.329	<.001	1.416, 2.726	5.416	0.815
BJC_06	1.774	0.311	<.001	1.399, 2.602	5.706	0.811
BJC_07	1.703	0.298	<.001	1.366, 2.584	5.709	0.779
BJC_08	1.782	0.326	<.001	1.422, 2.706	5.473	0.815
BJC_09	1.651	0.316	<.001	1.318, 2.505	5.223	0.755
BJC_10	1.652	0.283	<.001	1.320, 2.351	5.832	0.755
BJC_11	1.953	0.356	<.001	1.540, 2.930	5.486	0.893
BJC_12	1.777	0.333	<.001	1.402, 2.666	5.334	0.812

Table S.5  
*Structural Model of Study 1, N=385*

Path	<i>B</i>	<i>SE(B)</i>	<i>p</i>	95% CI for B	<i>z</i>	$\beta$ (std.all)	$\Delta R^2$
BJC ~ STH	0.344	0.061	<.001	0.224, 0.445	5.664	0.588	
BJC ~ CN	0.242	0.085	.004	0.095, 0.423	2.844	0.336	.584, $p<.001$
BJC ~ RZL	-0.088	0.056	.116	-0.208, 0.008	-1.571	-0.140	
STH ~ RZL	0.682	0.054	<.001	0.584, 0.795	12.601	0.633	.401, $p<.001$
CN ~ RZL	0.506	0.059	<.001	0.388, 0.617	8.598	0.578	
CN ~ STH	0.288	0.045	<.001	0.211, 0.385	6.421	0.355	.720, $p<.001$
IE through ST	0.235	0.045	<.001	0.141, 0.326	5.203	0.372	
IE through CN	0.122	0.045	.006	0.045, 0.233	2.739	0.194	

Notes. BJC=Belief in Jewish Conspiracy, STH=Symbolic Threat, RZL=Religious Zeal, CN=Collective Narcissism, IE=Indirect Effects.

### *Detailed regression result of Study 2 and 3*

Table S.6  
*Moderated Mediation Regression Analysis (Study 2), N=370*

Path	<i>B</i>	<i>SE(B)</i>	<i>p</i>	95% CI for B	<i>z</i>	$\beta$ (std.all)	$\Delta R^2$
VH ~ VCB	0.166	0.014	<.000	0.138, 0.192	11.560	0.685	
VH ~ BJC	-0.018	0.008	.025	-0.034, -0.003	-2.248	-0.103	.410, $p<.001$

VCB ~ BJC	0.363	0.033	<.000	0.297, 0.430	11.071	0.510	
VCB ~ COR	-0.240	0.248	.333	-0.740, 0.247	-0.968	-0.055	.252, $p<.001$
VCB ~ BJC*COR	0.009	0.014	.540	-0.020, 0.036	0.613	0.045	
IMM	0.001	0.002	.547	-0.003, 0.006	0.602	0.031	

Notes. VH=Vaccine Refusal and Delay, BJC=Belief in Jewish Conspiracy, VCB=Vaccine Conspiracy Belief, COR=Centrality of Religiosity, IMM=Index of Moderated Mediation.

Table S.6

*Regression Analysis with Vaccine Conspiracy Belief as an Outcome (Study 3), N=396*

Predictors	<i>B</i>	<i>SE(B)</i>	<i>p</i>	95% CI for B	<i>t</i>	VIF	$\Delta R^2$
Intercept	19.547	0.462	<.001	18.638, 20.456	42.276	-	
BJC (mean-centred)	0.455	0.023	<.001	0.409, 0.500	19.671	1.011	
IT (threatening = 1, control = -1)	0.239	0.461	.604	-0.667, 1.145	0.519	1.001	
RE (endorsed = 1, opposed = -1)	-0.364	0.462	.431	-1.274, 0.544	-0.789	1.006	.502, $p<.001$
BJC x IT	-0.012	0.023	.593	-0.057, 0.033	-0.535	1.012	
BJC x REL	-0.020	0.023	.377	-0.065, 0.024	-0.885	1.002	
IT x REL	0.243	0.462	.599	-0.666, 1.152	0.526	1.006	

Notes. BJC=Belief in Jewish Conspiracy, IT=Identity Threat, RE=Religious Authorities' Endorsement.

Table S.7

*Regression Analysis with Coronavirus Vaccination Intention as an Outcome (Study 3), N=396*

Predictors	<i>B</i>	<i>SE(B)</i>	<i>p</i>	95% CI for B	<i>t</i>	VIF	$\Delta R^2$
Intercept	8.943	0.721	<.001	7.525, 10.361	12.402	-	
Blame The Jews	1.102	0.174	<.001	0.760, 1.444	6.332	1.765	
Blame the Chinese Indonesians	0.216	0.190	.258	-0.158, 0.591	1.133	2.181	
Blame the Muslims	-0.439	0.209	.036	-0.852, -0.027	-2.095	1.558	.172, $p<.001$
Blame the Chinese Nationals	0.182	0.164	.268	-0.141, 0.505	1.108	1.678	
Blame the Indonesians	-0.312	0.182	.087	0.671, 0.046	-1.712	1.611	

## ***Pre-registration deviations of Study 1, 2, and 3***

### **Study 1**

We changed our data analytic strategy before performing data analysis; (1) we initially planned to conduct a bootstrapped mediation model, but in order to take measurement errors into account, we decided to go with a full structural equation modelling as detailed in the manuscript. At first, we hypothesised that collective narcissism would be positively correlated with a symbolic threat, but after examining the literature, we found a compelling argument justifying that collective narcissism emerges as a defensive mechanism to cope with identity threat (Cichocka & Cislak, 2020) so that (2) a direct effect of a symbolic threat on collective narcissism seems to be more suitable than a correlation. We planned to estimate our scale reliability by performing a categorical  $\omega$  total ( $\omega_t$ ) (Kelley & Pornprasertmanit, 2016), but (3) we later added bootstrap function by 1000 iterations to load its 95% confidence interval.

### **Study 2**

We amended our scoring strategy adhering to the scoring guide provided by the scale creator.

1. VBE\_03 (“How sure are you that following the recommended shot schedule is a good idea for your child?”), 0-5 was scored 2, 6-7 was scored 1, 8-10 was scored 0.
2. VBE\_04 (“It is my role as a parent to question shots”), 1-2 was scored 0, 3 was scored 1, 4-5 was scored 2.
3. VBE\_06 (“Overall, how hesitant about childhood shots would you consider yourself to be?”), 1-2 was scored 0, 3 was scored 1, 4-5 was scored 2.

We planned to estimate our scale reliability by performing a categorical  $\omega$  total ( $\omega_t$ ), but (3) we later added bootstrap function by 1000 iterations to load its 95% confidence interval.

### **Study 3**

We intended to perform an ordinary linear regression (without bootstrap), but (1) we mistyped our data analytic plan detailed that we were going to bootstrap our sample by 10000 iterations, while we did not bootstrap our model. We planned to estimate our scale reliability by performing a categorical  $\omega$  total ( $\omega_t$ ), but (2) we later added bootstrap function by 1000 iterations to load its 95% confidence interval.

### *Manipulation materials of Study 3*

#### **The manipulation article used for high identity threat condition**

##### *Apart from detaining minors, Israeli soldiers have been condemned for attacking the Aqsa Mosque*

*Beritatiga.com* – Over the past three months, Israeli soldiers detained and tortured at least 300 underage children. Middle East Monitor, an organization that advocates for human rights issues in the Middle East region, issued a shocking report on that fact. During 2019 alone, the Israeli army captured at least 7,000 Palestinians living in the Gaza Strip and nearly half were child detainees aged around 6 to 17 years. Some media have called the Israeli army "inhuman", "beyond normal", and "barbaric actions".



In addition to that, last month, Israeli soldiers with heavy weapons stormed the Aqsa Mosque and engaged in clashes with Palestinian Muslims who were worshipping around the mosque. As a result of the clash, 5 civilians were reportedly shot dead while 33 other residents were seriously and lightly injured - 11 of them women and children. Israeli soldiers reportedly destroyed mosque property, frightening residents who wanted to worship with firearms, even spitting and burning worship equipment available in the mosque. This barbaric act invited widespread condemnation from the Muslim community throughout the world.



### **The manipulation article used for religious authorities' endorsement**

#### ***Meeting the needs of the coronavirus vaccine, Biofarma is collaborating with an Israeli vaccine company***

*Beritatiga.com* – To reduce the rate of coronavirus transmission, researchers working at Biofarma collaborated with BiondVax, a vaccine manufacturing company from Israel to produce a coronavirus vaccine which is expected to be finished its clinical trials by the end of July 2021. Tamar Ben-Yedidia, the owner of BiondVax, is the sole patent holder of MortelVax, a coronavirus vaccine that produces a high level of immunity, which is almost 98 per cent.

With this collaboration, PT Biofarma hopes to develop a coronavirus vaccine formula that produces high levels of immunity to meet national needs, bearing in mind that Indonesia has not been able to produce vaccines independently.

This collaboration is also facilitated by the Food, Drug and Food Assessment Institute (LPPOM) of the Indonesian 'Ulema Council (MUI). KH Lukmanul Hakim, Director of LPPOM MUI, greatly welcomes and hopes that this collaboration could support government programs in protecting the Indonesian people from the dangers of the coronavirus.



## **The manipulation article used for religious authorities' contentment**

### ***Meeting the needs of the coronavirus vaccine, Biofarma is collaborating with an Israeli vaccine company***

*Beritatiga.com* – To reduce the rate of coronavirus transmission, researchers working at Biofarma collaborated with BiondVax, a vaccine manufacturing company from Israel to produce a coronavirus vaccine which is expected to be finished its clinical trials by the end of July 2021. Tamar Ben-Yedidia, the owner of PT BiondVax, is the sole patent holder of MortelVax, a coronavirus vaccine that produces a high level of immunity, which is almost 98 per cent.

With this collaboration, PT Biofarma hopes to develop a coronavirus vaccine formula that produces high levels of immunity to meet national needs, bearing in mind that Indonesia has not been able to produce vaccines independently.

This collaboration is also facilitated by the Indonesian Ministry of Health. Terawan Agus Putranto, Minister of Health, greatly welcomes and hopes that this collaboration could encourage government programs in protecting the Indonesian people from the dangers of the coronavirus. However, The Food, Drug and Food Assessment Institute (LPPOM) of the Indonesian 'Ulema Council (MUI) responded coldly to this collaboration. KH Lukmanul Hakim, Director of LPPOM MUI, expressed his concern that this cooperation will ignite controversy among Muslims because it involves Israeli vaccine producers.

## **References**

- Cichocka, A., & Cislak, A. (2020). Nationalism as collective narcissism. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, 34, 69–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2019.12.013>
- Kelley, K., & Pornprasertmanit, S. (2016). Confidence intervals for population reliability coefficients: Evaluation of methods, recommendations, and software for composite measures. *Psychological Methods*, 21(1), 69–92. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0040086>