Are modern force fields sufficiently reliable for developing fundamental equations of state from hybrid data sets?

Andrei Kazakov¹ and Richard Messerly¹

¹Thermodynamics Research Center (TRC), National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Boulder, Colorado, 80305, USA

ABSTRACT

Fundamental equations of state (FEOS), such as those based on the Helmholtz free energy (e.g. REFPROP), are a powerful approach for estimating pressure, density, temperature $(P\rho T)$ behavior and caloric properties, such as internal energy (U) and isochoric/isobaric heat capacities $(c_v \text{ and } c_p, \text{ respectively})$. Unfortunately, most compounds do not have sufficient *reliable* experimental data for a diverse set of thermodynamic properties covering a wide range of $P\rho T$ conditions to develop a highly-accurate FEOS. The lack of experimental data at high temperatures and pressures, especially, is attributed to the inherent safety, cost, and complexity of such experiments. By contrast, molecular simulation (i.e. Monte Carlo, MC, and molecular dynamics, MD) methods at high temperatures and pressures do not suffer from any of these limitations.

For this reason, FEOS are developed for compounds with limited experimental data by including molecular simulation results at elevated temperatures and pressures. For this so-called "hybrid data set" approach to work, it is imperative that the force field be transferable over different $P\rho T$ conditions. Modern force fields for small compounds are sufficiently reliable at extreme state points to supplement experimental data when developing FEOS. By contrast, popular united-atom force fields for normal and branched alkanes that are highly accurate for estimating vapor-liquid equilibria (VLE) properties suffer from significant systematic deviations at high pressures for the compressibility factor (Z), U, and c_v . For example, Bayesian inference suggests that the UA Mie λ -6 model type is not adequate for simultaneously predicting saturated liquid density ($\rho_1^{\rm sat}$), saturated vapor pressure ($P_v^{\rm sat}$), Z, U, and c_v . Therefore, while considerable improvement in VLE is observed for the state-of-the-art Mie λ -6 potential over the traditional Lennard-Jones 12-6, the results from this study suggest that alternative models be considered for developing FEOS of normal and branched alkanes, such as force fields that use anisotropic-united-atom, all-atom, and/or alternative non-bonded potentials.