Supporting Information: Improvements and limitations of Mie λ -6 potential for prediction of saturated and compressed liquid viscosity

Richard A. Messerly

Thermodynamics Research Center, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Boulder, Colorado, 80305

Michelle C. Anderson

Thermodynamics Research Center, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Boulder, Colorado, 80305

S. Mostafa Razavi

Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, The University of Akron, Akron, Ohio, 44325-3906

J. Richard Elliott

Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, The University of Akron, Akron, Ohio, 44325-3906

SI.I. Input files

We provide example input files for simulating 2,2,4-trimethylpentane at 245 K with the Potoff force field in GROMACS (see attached .gro, .top, and .mdp files). Additionally, all files necessary to generate the results from this study can be found at www.github.com/ramess101/IFPSC_10.

Email addresses: richard.messerly@nist.gov (Richard A. Messerly), michelle.anderson@nist.gov (Michelle C. Anderson), sr87@zips.uakron.edu (S. Mostafa Razavi), elliotl@uakron.edu (J. Richard Elliott)

SI.II. MCMC from scoring function

Translating the scoring function into a Bayesian context is achieved by modeling the ϵ - σ CH and C uncertainties with a multivariate normal distribution, where the covariance matrix was obtained by assuming that the "generalized" CH and C parameter set should not be distinguishable (at the 95 % confidence level) from the "long" parameter set.

We apply the common assumption of transferability between UA sites, which implies that the parameter correlation between different UA sites, e.g., between σ_{CH_3} and σ_{CH} , is assumed to be negligible. In other words, we only account for the parameter correlation between ϵ_{ii} - σ_{ii} sets of the same UA site. The reason for this assumption is the reduced complexity of performing four independent two-dimensional MCMC runs compared to one eight-dimensional MCMC run.

SI.III. Green-Kubo analysis

This section provides a detailed example of how we obtain estimates for η and the corresponding uncertainty. The results depicted in Figures ?? through ?? are for propane with the Potoff model and $T^{\rm sat}=166$ K. Figure ?? depicts a typical autocorrelation function ("enecorr.xvg" file) obtained by executing the GROMACS "energy –vis" command. By default, GROMACS partitions the complete simulation into twelve evenly sized time blocks. Therefore, the autocorrelation function in Figure ?? is the average of twelve different time origins.

GROMACS then performs a simple two-point trapezoidal integration of neighboring points to obtain the Green-Kubo integral. The Green-Kubo integral with respect to time is output in the "visco.xvg" file. Figure ?? presents the Green-Kubo integral from forty replicate simulations. Although a single replicate is often quite noisy at long times, the average of these replicates converges smoothly (see Figure ??).

Figure ?? shows that the fluctuations, or standard deviation, increases with time but is adequately modeled with At^b . The line labeled "cut-off" in Figures ?? and ?? is the time at which $\sigma_{\eta} \approx 0.4 \times \eta^{\infty}$. Data beyond this time are excluded from the fit of the double-exponential function.

Bootstrap re-sampling provides an estimate of the uncertainty. Figure ?? shows that, typically, the bootstrapped distribution is quite normal. The lines labeled "bootstraps" in Figure ?? are the lower and upper 95 % confidence interval.

References