

MODULI IN ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY

XIAOXIANG ZHOU

ABSTRACT. In this personal survey, we conclude the definitions of moduli functors in the algebraic geometry. Most of the results are in the black box, so it's very possible that they're wrong. And also I'm not responsible for the completeness of the whole theory. However, I'm still happy to improve this document, and make it better over time.

CONTENTS

1. Goal and related concepts	1
2. Basic object	5
3. Moduli of curve	7
4. Moduli of elliptic curve	8
5. Moduli of vector bundle	8
References	8

1. GOAL AND RELATED CONCEPTS

The personal survey is motivated by the three courses in Bonn: “the moduli space of curves”, “moduli of elliptic curves” and “moduli of vector bundles”. I would also highly recommend the course “Moduli and GIT” in Freie Universität Berlin. I want to construct my personal understanding on the moduli, and find out the details I missed in the courses.

“Some mathematicians are birds, others are frogs.” This document is devoted to those “birds” from a “frog” who gets stuck in the mud.

1.1. Conventions and Notations. In this survey, Sch_k is denoted as the category of **locally Noetherian** schemes over k .

1.2. Representable functor. In this subsection we would follow on [3, Definition 2.2.1] in full generality, but you can always think

$$\mathcal{C} = \text{Sch}_k \quad \mathcal{C}' = \text{Functor}(\text{Sch}_k^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$$

to visualize the statement, and you can refer to [6, 6.6.2] to see basic examples.

Definition 1.1 (Functor category). *Fix a category \mathcal{C} , we define the corresponding functor category \mathcal{C}' as follows:*

$$\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}') := \{\text{functors } \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Set}\} \quad \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C}') := \left\{ \text{natural trans } \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \text{Set} \right\}$$

In brief, $\mathcal{C}' = \text{Functor}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Set})$.¹

Proposition 1.2. *We have a canonical functor*

$$\iota : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}' \quad X \longmapsto h_X := \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, X)$$

*which embeds \mathcal{C} as a full subcategory of \mathcal{C}' .*²

Proof. Recall that the Yoneda's lemma gives us the isomorphism

$$\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}'}(h_X, F) \cong F(X).$$

□

Definition 1.3 (Representable functor). *The functor $F \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}')$ is called represented by X if $F \cong h_X$.*

From this proposition, we can always view the object as some functor satisfying some properties. we get three advantages from this point of view:

- It's easy to see rational points and complex points;
- We can define scheme canonically, without explicit constructions;
- We can enlarge our area of research, and think them as the defective schemes.
We will see some reasonable functors which is represented not by schemes, but by stacks.

1.3. Corepresentable functor. This is the concept "dual to" the representable functor. To motivate, we begin with the equivalent definition of representable functor:

Definition 1.4 (Representable functor, equivalent definition). *A functor $F \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}')$ is represented by $X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ if F satisfies the following universal properties:*

- *There exists a morphism $\eta^{-1} : h_X \longrightarrow F$ in $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}'}(h_X, F)$;*
- *For any object $X' \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and morphism $\alpha' : h_{X'} \longrightarrow F$ in $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}'}(h_{X'}, F)$, there exists a unique morphism $\beta \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(X', X)$ such that $\alpha' = \eta^{-1} \circ h_\beta$.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h_{X'} & & \\ \exists! h_\beta \downarrow & \searrow \alpha' & \\ h_X & \xrightarrow{\eta^{-1}} & F \end{array}$$

This definition is equivalent to the Definition 1.3 since³

$$h_X(X') = \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(X', X) \cong \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}'}(h_{X'}, F) = F(X') \text{ for any } X' \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$$

thus the functor $\eta^{-1} : h_X \longrightarrow F$ is an isomorphism.

Definition 1.5 (Corepresentable functor). *A functor $F \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}')$ is corepresented by $X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ if F satisfies the following universal properties:*

¹From here we can also write $\mathcal{C}' = \text{Psh}(\mathcal{C})$, notice that here it is the presheaf on **category**, not on a specific scheme X/k .

² h_X is called the functor of points of a scheme X from here. For example, $h_X(\text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}) = X(\mathbb{Q})$ gives us the set of rational points in X (under certain conditions). Notice that $h_-(-)$ is a bifunctor.

³The middle isomorphism comes from the universal property, while the two equalities come from the Yoneda's lemma.

- There exists a morphism $\eta : F \longrightarrow h_X$ in $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}'}(F, h_X)$;
- For any object $X' \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and morphism $\alpha' : F \longrightarrow h_{X'}$ in $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}'}(F, h_{X'})$, there exists a unique morphism $\beta \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X')$ such that $\alpha' = h_\beta \circ \eta$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\eta} & h_X \\ & \searrow \alpha' & \downarrow \exists! h_\beta \\ & & h_{X'} \end{array}$$

Definition 1.6 (Universal corepresentable functor).

Proposition 1.7. Suppose a functor $F \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}')$ is corepresented by $X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, then it is represented by X if and only if $\eta : F \longrightarrow h_X$ is a \mathcal{C}' -isomorphism.

1.4. Coarse moduli space. You need to see the definition of the naive moduli problem, extended moduli problem, moduli functor, fine moduli space and corresponding universal family from here. I don't want to copy, and it's well-written.

The following example will check if you really understand these concepts.

Example 1.8 ($\mathcal{M}_{0,3}$ is represented by one point $\text{Spec } k$).

Naive moduli problem (\mathcal{A}, \sim) :

$$\mathcal{A} := \{ (p_1, p_2, p_3) \mid p_i \in \mathbb{P}_k^1(k) \text{ are distinct} \}$$

$$(p_1, p_2, p_3) \sim (q_1, q_2, q_3) \iff \exists \gamma \in \text{PGL}_2(k) \text{ s.t. } q_i = \gamma(p_i)$$

Extended moduli problem (\mathcal{A}_S, \sim_S) + pullback: $(S \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_k))$

$$\mathcal{A}_S := \left\{ (X, \pi, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3) \left| \begin{array}{l} X \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_k) \\ \pi : X \longrightarrow S \text{ proper flat and } \pi^{-1}(p) \cong \mathbb{P}_{\kappa(p)}^1 \\ \sigma_i : S \longrightarrow X \text{ pairwise disjoint sections of } \pi \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

$(X, \pi, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3) \sim_S (X', \pi', \sigma'_1, \sigma'_2, \sigma'_3)$ if there exists an isomorphism $f : X \longrightarrow X'$ such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & X' \\ & \searrow \pi & \swarrow \pi' \\ & S & \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & X' \\ & \nwarrow \sigma_i & \nearrow \sigma'_i \\ & S & \end{array}$$

For a map $f : T \longrightarrow S$, the pullback f^* is defined by

$$f^* : \mathcal{A}_S \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_T \quad (X, \pi, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3) \longmapsto (X_T, \pi_T, \sigma_{1,T}, \sigma_{2,T}, \sigma_{3,T})$$

where X_T, π_T are defined as fiber product, and $\sigma_{i,T}$ are defined by the universal property of fiber product, as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_T & \longrightarrow & X \\ \pi_T \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \pi \\ T & \xrightarrow{f} & S \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} T & \xrightarrow{\sigma_i \circ f} & X \\ \text{Id}_T \searrow & \text{red } \exists! \sigma_{i,T} & \downarrow \pi \\ X_T & \longrightarrow & X \\ \pi_T \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \pi \\ T & \xrightarrow{f} & S \end{array}$$

Remark. We can always view the point $p \in S$ as an affine scheme of its residue field. So the two conditions below are equivalent:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^{-1}(p) &\cong \mathbb{P}_{\kappa(p)}^1 && \text{for any } p \in S \\ \pi^{-1}(\text{Spec } L) &\cong \mathbb{P}_L^1 && \text{for any } \text{Spec } L \hookrightarrow S\end{aligned}$$

Remark. In some textbooks, they require $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ to be a smooth, proper, surjective, locally finitely presented (l.f.p.) morphism of relative dimension ≤ 1 with geometric fibres isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1 . These extra conditions are automatically satisfied, since

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^{-1}(p) \cong \mathbb{P}_{\kappa(p)}^1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{surjective} \\ \text{proper} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{relative dimension } \leq 1 \\ \text{locally Noetherian} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{locally finitely presented} \end{array}$$

and by [6, 25.2.2], flat + fiberwise \mathbb{P}^1 + l.f.p. \Rightarrow smooth.

Question.

Can we find $(X, \pi, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ satisfying all conditions in \mathcal{A}_S except π is separated?

Can we find $(X, \pi, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ satisfying all conditions in \mathcal{A}_S except π is flat?

Easy exercise.

Verify that (\mathcal{A}_S, \sim_S) and pullback satisfy (i)-(iv) in [2, Definition 2.10].

Moduli functor $\mathcal{M}_{0,3}$: The moduli functor $\mathcal{M}_{0,3} : \text{Sch}_k^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{M}_{0,3}(S) = \mathcal{A}_S / \sim_S \quad \mathcal{M}_{0,3}(f : T \rightarrow S) = f^* : \mathcal{A}_S / \sim_S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_T / \sim_T.$$

Question.

Why is the moduli functor $\mathcal{M}_{0,3}$ represented by $\text{Spec } k$?

Answer.

Yes, but it's pretty hard to prove it. The proof of [4, Proposition 4.1] uses [4, Proposition 4.2] whose proof is quite technical.

Actually we construct the natural functor $\eta^{-1} : h_{\text{Spec } k} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{0,3}$ by

$$\eta_S^{-1} : h_{\text{Spec } k}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{0,3}(S) \quad [S \rightarrow \text{Spec } k] \mapsto (\mathbb{P}_S^1 = \mathbb{P}_k^1 \times_k S, pr_1, 0, 1, \infty)$$

and then verify that η_S^{-1} is an isomorphism.

Universal family: By [2, Definition 2.16], the universal family is $(\mathbb{P}_k^1, \pi, 0, 1, \infty)$.

coarse moduli space and some related concepts.

1.5. Stack. We need to define stack, algebraic stack, Deligne-Munford stack and some related concepts.

1.6. Goal. ???

We will frequently use the quotient. Here is the list of quotients we've already seen:


- (We could begin at topology: quotient by a subset) linear space \rightarrow Abelian category, group, ring (Notice that for this item, we don't take quotient by a group, so sometimes it looks easier)
- topology (This gives us an categorical quotient!)

- manifold
- scheme (Categorical quotient; GIT quotient)

2. BASIC OBJECT

In this section, we present some algebraic geometric objects which can be viewed as moduli.

Here is a picture showing the relationships of these objects:



2.1. Projective space. We begin with a basic extended moduli problem, and then gradually make some variations.

Example 2.1 (Moduli of line bundle with base-point-free sections is represented by \mathbb{P}_k^n). *Fix $n \geq 0$, we define a moduli problem:*

$$\mathcal{A}_S := \left\{ (\mathcal{L}, s_0, \dots, s_n) \mid \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}(S) \\ s_i \in \Gamma(S, \mathcal{L}) \text{ with no common zero} \end{array} \right\}$$

$(\mathcal{L}, s_0, \dots, s_n) \sim_S (\mathcal{L}', s'_0, \dots, s'_n)$ if there exists an isomorphism of line bundles $\phi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$ such that $\phi(S) : \Gamma(S, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \Gamma(S, \mathcal{L}')$ sends s_i to s'_i .

For a map $f : T \rightarrow S$, the pullback f^* is defined by

$$f^* : \mathcal{A}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_T \quad (\mathcal{L}, s_0, \dots, s_n) \mapsto (f^*\mathcal{L}, f^*s_0, \dots, f^*s_n)$$

By [6, 15.3.F, 16.4.1], the moduli functor defined by this extended moduli problem is represented by \mathbb{P}_k^n .

We also have the coordinate-free version.

Example 2.2 (Coordinate-free projective space $\mathbb{P}V^\vee = \mathrm{Proj}(\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet V)$, see [6, 4.5.12]). *Fix a k -vector space V of finite dimension. We define a moduli problem:*

$$\mathcal{A}_S := \left\{ (\mathcal{L}, \lambda) \mid \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}(S) \\ \lambda : V \rightarrow \Gamma(S, \mathcal{L}) \text{ is base-point-free} \end{array} \right\}$$

$(\mathcal{L}, \lambda) \sim_S (\mathcal{L}', \lambda')$ if there exists an isomorphism of line bundles $\phi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$ such that $\lambda' = \phi(S) \circ \lambda$.

For a map $f : T \rightarrow S$, the pullback f^* is defined by

$$f^* : \mathcal{A}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_T \quad (\mathcal{L}, \lambda) \mapsto (f^*\mathcal{L}, f^* \circ \lambda : V \rightarrow \Gamma(T, f^*\mathcal{L}))$$

By [6, 16.4.E], the moduli functor defined by this extended moduli problem is represented by $\mathbb{P}V^\vee$.

Now we generalize it to the projective bundle, for this we should fix a scheme $X \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Sch}_k)$ and a locally free coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Coh}(X)$, and consider the moduli problem in the category Sch_X of locally Noetherian schemes over X , rather than Sch_k .

Example 2.3 (Projective bundle⁴ $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F} = \text{Proj}(\text{Sym}^\bullet \mathcal{F})$, see [6, 17.2.3]).

For $(S, \pi_S : S \rightarrow X) \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_X)$, we define a moduli problem:

$$\mathcal{A}_S := \left\{ (\mathcal{L}, \lambda) \left| \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(S) \\ \lambda : \pi_S^* \mathcal{F} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{L} \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

$(\mathcal{L}, \lambda) \sim_S (\mathcal{L}', \lambda')$ if there exists an isomorphism of line bundles $\phi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$ such that $\lambda' = \phi \circ \lambda$.

For a map $f : T \rightarrow S$, the pullback f^* is defined by

$$f^* : \mathcal{A}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_T \quad (\mathcal{L}, \lambda) \mapsto (f^* \mathcal{L}, f^* \lambda : \pi_T^* \mathcal{F} \twoheadrightarrow f^* \mathcal{L})$$

By [1, Proposition 7.12], the moduli functor defined by this extended moduli problem is represented by $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}$.

Finally, there is also "another" natural moduli problem of \mathbb{P}_k^n , see [4, Example 2.4]. For the convinience of comparison with Grassmannian, we exhibit it and make some small variations here.⁵

Example 2.4 (Moduli of lines through the origin in \mathbb{A}_k^{n+1} is represented by \mathbb{P}_k^n). For $n \geq 0$, we define a moduli problem:

$$\mathcal{A}_S := \left\{ (\mathcal{L}, \pi) \left| \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(S) \\ \pi : \mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus n+1} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{L} \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

$(\mathcal{L}, \pi) \sim_S (\mathcal{L}', \pi')$ if there exists an isomorphism of line bundles $\phi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$ such that $\pi' = \phi \circ \pi$.

For a map $f : T \rightarrow S$, the pullback f^* is defined by

$$f^* : \mathcal{A}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_T \quad (\mathcal{L}, \pi) \mapsto (f^* \mathcal{L}, f^* \pi : \mathcal{O}_T^{\oplus n+1} \twoheadrightarrow f^* \mathcal{L})$$

By [1, Proposition 7.12], the moduli functor defined by this extended moduli problem is represented by $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}$.

2.2. Grassmannian. It's well-written in [6, 16.7]. We just exhibit(copy) the moduli problem and make a short remark about the existence proof (prove the representability without explicite construction of the scheme)

Example 2.5 (Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(k, n)$). For $n \geq k \geq 0$, we define a moduli problem:

$$\mathcal{A}_S := \left\{ (\mathcal{F}, \pi) \left| \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(S) \text{ locally free of rank } k \\ \pi : \mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus n} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F} \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

$(\mathcal{F}, \pi) \sim_S (\mathcal{F}', \pi')$ if there exists an isomorphism of line bundles $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$ such that $\pi' = \phi \circ \pi$.

For a map $f : T \rightarrow S$, the pullback f^* is defined by

$$f^* : \mathcal{A}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_T \quad (\mathcal{F}, \pi) \mapsto (f^* \mathcal{F}, f^* \pi : \mathcal{O}_T^{\oplus n} \twoheadrightarrow f^* \mathcal{F})$$

By [6, 16.7, page 442-443], the moduli functor defined by this extended moduli problem is representable, and we denote it by $\text{Gr}(k, n)$.

⁴There is an notational abuse in [6]. From my personal point of view, it's better to replace $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}$ with $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}^\vee$ or $\mathbb{P}V^\vee$ with $\mathbb{P}V$ to make symbols consistent.

⁵The reason of the variation is already explained in [6, 16.7, page 442].

Remark 2.6. ...

2.3. Flag variety, partial flag variety.

2.4. Hilbert scheme.

2.5. Quot scheme. Maybe the moduli space of projective hypersurfaces is a special case for this.

2.6. Misc. The representable functor is also used to construct the fibered product of schemes, see [6, 9.1.6-7] for more details.

3. MODULI OF CURVE

The content of this section is already well written in the course “the moduli space of curves”. This section is just for the completeness of the survey.

So now comes the conclusion.

3.1. Initial definition.

Definition 3.1 (smooth/stable curve, follow [4, Definition 3.15]). *For $g, n \geq 0$, a smooth curve of genus g over $S \in \text{Sch}_k$ with n -points is an element in the set*

$$\mathcal{A}_S := \left\{ (X, \pi, \sigma_i) \left| \begin{array}{l} X \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_k) \\ \pi : X \longrightarrow S \text{ proper flat and} \\ \pi^{-1}(\text{Spec } L) \text{ is a sm proj connected curve of genus } g \\ \sigma_i : S \longrightarrow X \text{ pairwise disjoint sections of } \pi \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

and a stable curve of genus g over $S \in \text{Sch}_k$ with n -points is an element in the set

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}}_S := \left\{ (X, \pi, \sigma_i) \left| \begin{array}{l} X \in \text{Ob}(\text{Sch}_k) \\ \pi : X \longrightarrow S \text{ proper flat (maybe not smooth)} \\ \pi^{-1}(\text{Spec } L) \text{ is a **stable** proj connected curve of genus } g \\ \sigma_i : S \longrightarrow X \text{ pairwise disjoint sections of } \pi \\ \text{with image in the smooth locus of } \pi \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

Definition 3.2 (moduli of smooth/stable curves). *For $g, n \geq 0$, the moduli of smooth curves $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ and the moduli of smooth curves $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ are defined as*

$$\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(S) = \mathcal{A}_S / \sim_S \quad \mathcal{M}_{g,n}(f : T \longrightarrow S) = f^* : \mathcal{A}_S / \sim_S \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_T / \sim_T .$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(S) = \overline{\mathcal{A}}_S / \sim_S \quad \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(f : T \longrightarrow S) = f^* : \overline{\mathcal{A}}_S / \sim_S \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}}_T / \sim_T .$$

where the equivalent relation \sim_S and the pullback are similar in the Example 1.8, i.e. $(X, \pi, \sigma_i) \sim_S (X', \pi', \sigma'_i)$ if there exists an isomorphism $f : X \longrightarrow X'$ such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & X' \\ \pi \searrow & & \swarrow \pi' \\ & S & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & X' \\ \sigma_i \swarrow & & \searrow \sigma'_i \\ & S & \end{array}$$

For a map $f : T \longrightarrow S$, the pullback f^* is defined by

$$f^* : \mathcal{A}_S \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_T \quad (X, \pi, \sigma_i) \longmapsto (X_T, \pi_T, \sigma_{i,T})$$

where X_T , π_T are defined as fiber product, and $\sigma_{i,T}$ are defined by the universal property of fiber product, as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X_T & \longrightarrow & X \\
 \pi_T \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \pi \\
 T & \xrightarrow{f} & S
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 T & \xrightarrow{\sigma_i \circ f} & X \\
 \text{Id}_T \searrow & \text{red arrow } \exists! \sigma_{i,T} & \downarrow \pi \\
 & X_T & \longrightarrow X \\
 & \pi_T \downarrow & \lrcorner \downarrow \pi \\
 & T & \xrightarrow{f} S
 \end{array}$$

3.2. **Result.** Here is the result coming from [4] which we really care:

$\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ g \ n	0	1	2	3	4	5	≥ 6
0				pt pt fine moduli space universal family: $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{0,n} \cong \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+1} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$	$\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ \mathbb{P}^1	$B(1,0,0), (1,1), (\infty, \infty) \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ & $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$ in Prop 4.3 & Cor 4.20	
1		$\mathbb{A}^1 / \mathbb{P}^1$	Coarse moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ & $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ in Thm 3.19				
≥ 2		algebraic Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ & $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ in Thm 5.1					

FIGURE 1. The moduli of curves

4. MODULI OF ELLIPTIC CURVE

The elliptic curve theory is especially rich compared to the other curves. That's why we'd like to put it a special section. [5, Tag 072J]

4.1. Differential.

4.2. Level structure.

4.3. **Complex case.** In this subsection, we will show that how the moduli is connected to the modular curve $\mathcal{H}/\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

5. MODULI OF VECTOR BUNDLE

Here we refer to [3]. It's not easy to read, but I don't know the other better reference.

REFERENCES

- [1] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*, volume 52. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [2] Victoria Hoskins. Algebraic geometry ii: Moduli and git, winter semester 2015/2016. https://userpage.fu-berlin.de/hoskins/moduli_and_GIT.html, 2015-2016.
- [3] Daniel Huybrechts and Manfred Lehn. *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [4] Johannes Schmitt. The moduli space of curves (v5a4, summer term 2020). https://johannesschmitt.gitlab.io/moduli_of_curves, 2020.

- [5] The Stacks project authors. The stacks project. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2021.
- [6] Ravi Vakil. MATH 216: Foundations of Algebraic Geometry.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF BONN, BONN, 53115, GERMANY,
Email address: `email:xx352229@mail.ustc.edu.cn`