

# FROBENIUS DISTRIBUTIONS (SATO–TATE DISTRIBUTIONS)

COURSE: KIRAN KEDLAYA AND ANDREW SUTHERLAND  
NOTES: ROSS PATERSON

DISCLAIMER. These notes were taken live during lectures. In particular, any mistakes are the fault of the transcriber and not of the lecturer.

## LECTURE 1 (KEDLAYA)

For  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  squarefree of degree  $d$ , define

$$N_f(p) = \# \{x \in \mathbb{F}_p : f(x) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}\}.$$

Note that clearly  $0 \leq N_f(p) \leq d$ .

**Example 1** ([Sut, §1.1]).

**Definition 2.** Let

$$c_i(B) := \frac{\# \{p \leq B : N_f(p) = i\}}{\# \{p \leq B\}}$$

**Claim:** We can describe limiting values of  $c_i(B)$  for all  $i$ .

Let  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$  be the splitting field of  $f$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , where  $f = \prod_{i=1}^d (x - \alpha_i)$ , and  $G = \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  which acts transitively on this set of roots. Then we let

$$\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{C})$$

be the associated permutation representation. For  $p$  prime we have an exact sequence given as follows. Choose a prime  $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$  of  $\mathcal{O}_L$ , and let:

- $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be the associated decomposition group (i.e. the stabiliser of  $\mathfrak{p}$  under the action of  $G$  on the set of primes above  $p$ );
- $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be the inertia subgroup of  $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

Then we have

$$1 \longrightarrow I_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow D_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow \text{Gal}\left(\frac{\mathcal{O}_L}{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{F}_p\right) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Note that  $\text{Gal}\left(\frac{\mathcal{O}_L}{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{F}_p\right)$  has a canonical generator,  $x \mapsto x^p$ , and so we denote by  $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  a choice of lift of this in  $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . If  $p$  is unramified (which is true of all but finitely many  $p$ ) then  $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a well defined element of  $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . As  $\mathfrak{p}$  varies amongst primes above  $p$ ,  $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  traces out a conjugacy class in  $G$ , which we denote by  $\text{Frob}_p$ .

For unramified  $p$ ,  $N_f(p)$  is counting fixed points of  $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  on  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d\}$ . That is,

$$N_f(p) = \text{tr}(\rho(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})).$$

Applying Chebotaryov density theorem, we see that the conjugacy class of  $\text{Frob}_p$  is uniformly distributed in the set of conjugacy classes of  $G$ , denoted  $\text{conj}(G)$ , with

respect to the measure which weights a conjugacy class  $C$  proportionately to its size  $\#C$ .

**Example 3.** For  $f(x) = x^3 - x + 1$  we have  $G = S_3$ , so

$$\lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} c_i(B) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{6} & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \frac{3}{6} & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \frac{1}{6} & \text{if } i = 3. \end{cases}$$

*Aside.* If  $G$  is abelian then  $L \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$  for some  $n$ , and then  $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is determined by  $p \bmod n$ .

Think now of  $G$  as a discrete topological group, note that this means that it is compact (also Hausdorff). Any compact topological group has a unique left- and right- invariant probability measure in the Radon sense (i.e. continuous functions  $G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  can be integrated) known as the Haar measure, which we denote by  $\mu_G$ .

I can then take the pushforward measure on the set of conjugacy classes of  $G$ . That is, I evaluate the functional on class functions.

**Example 4.** Consider  $\text{SU}(2) = \left\{ A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) : ad - bc = 1, A^{-1} = A^* = \overline{A}^T \right\}$ .

Via the trace map, we have a bijection between the conjugacy classes of  $\text{conj}(\text{SU}(2))$  and the set  $[-2, 2]$ .

**Definition 5.** Let  $X$  be some probability space, and  $t : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a random variable. Then the moment sequence of  $t$  is  $(\mathbb{E}(t^n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ , where we always take  $t^0 = 1$ .

Here is a comment that we won't expand on for now.

- We could also look at

$$N_f(p^k) = \# \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k} : f(x) = 0\},$$

and for fixed  $p$  we could package this collection (indexed by  $k$ ) into a local zeta function (see later in the course). Then

$$N_f(p^k) = \text{tr}(\rho(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}^k))$$

## 1. ARITHMETIC SCHEMES

**Definition 6.** Let  $X$  be a scheme of finite type over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . For each prime number  $p$  we define

$$N_X(p) := \#X(\mathbb{F}_p).$$

**Question 7.** How does this depend on  $p$ ?

**Elliptic Curves.** Consider  $E \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2$  cut out by the affine model  $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ . Assume that  $x^3 + Ax + B$  is squarefree (so the generic fibre  $E_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is an elliptic curve), and that  $E_{\mathbb{Q}}$  does not have complex multiplication.

**Theorem 8 (Hasse).** For each prime number  $p$ , write

$$\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 - t_p.$$

Then, so long as  $E_{\mathbb{F}_p}$  is smooth,  $|t_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$ .

This suggests we should look at  $\frac{t_p}{\sqrt{p}} \in [-2, 2]$ . Looking at these numbers experimentally, there appears to be a clear pattern in their distribution, which is explained by the following theorem.

**Theorem 9.** *The values  $\frac{t_p}{\sqrt{p}}$  are equidistributed for the pushforward of the Haar measure on  $\text{conj}(\text{SU}(2))$  on  $[-2, 2]$ .*

## LECTURE 2 (SUTHERLAND): EQUIDISTRIBUTION

### GENERALITIES

Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff topological space, and let  $C(X)$  denote the Banach space of continuous functions  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  under the sup-norm. For  $f, g \in C(X)$  which are  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued with  $f(x) \leq g(x)$  for all  $x \in X$  then we will write  $f \leq g$ . If we write such an inequality then part of the data is the assertion that the functions are real valued.

**Definition 10.** a (positive normalised Radon) measure is a continuous  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear

$$\mu : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

such that for all  $f \geq 0$ , we have  $\mu(f) \geq 0$ , and moreover  $\mu(1_X) = 1$ .

**Example 11.** Note the dirac measure at a point  $x \in X$

$$\delta_X : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

given by  $f \mapsto f(x)$ .

**Notation 12.** We denote for  $f \in C(X)$

$$\int_X f \mu := \mu(f).$$

Given such a measure, we can define a measure of subsets  $S \subset C(X)$

$$\mu(S) := \begin{cases} \sup \{ \mu(f) : 0 \leq f \leq 1_S \} & \text{if } S \text{ is open} \\ 1 - \mu(X - S) & \text{if } S \text{ is closed} \\ 0 & \text{if } \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \text{ open } U \supset S \text{ with } \mu(U) \leq \varepsilon \\ \mu(\bar{S}) = \mu(S^\circ) & \text{if } \mu(\partial S) = 0, \partial S = \bar{S} \setminus S^\circ. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 13.** A sequence  $(x_1, x_2, \dots)$  in  $X$  is  $\mu$ -equidistributed if

$$\mu(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)$$

for all  $f \in C(X)$ .

**Lemma 14.** *Let  $(f_j)$  be a family of functions in  $C(X)$  whose  $\mathbb{C}$ -span is dense in  $C(X)$ . If  $(x_i)$  is a sequence in  $X$  for which  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_j(x_i)$  converges for all  $f_j$  in our family, then there exists a unique measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  for which  $(x_i)$  is  $\mu$ -equidistributed.*

*Proof.* See [Ser68]. □

**Definition 15.**  $S \subseteq C(X)$  is  $\mu$ -quarrable if  $\mu(\partial S) = 0$ .

**Proposition 16.** *If  $(x_i)$  is  $\mu$ -equidistributed and  $S$  is  $\mu$ -quarrrable, then*

$$\mu(S) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{x_i \in S : i \leq n\}}{n}$$

*Proof.* Exercise. □

**Example 17.**  $X = [0, 1]$ ,  $\mu$  the Lebesgue measure, then  $(x_i)$  is equidistributed if and only if  $\forall 0 \leq a < b \leq 1$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{x_i \in [a, b] : i \leq n\}}{n} = \mu([a, b]) = b - a$$

#### COMPACT GROUPS

From now on,  $X := \text{conj}(G)$  for some compact group  $G$ . The Haar measure on  $G$  induces a measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  via

$$\mu(f) := \mu(f \circ \text{conj}).$$

In this setting “equidistributed” means  $\mu$ -equidistributed with respect to this  $\mu$ .

**Proposition 18.** *A sequence  $(x_i)$  in  $X = \text{conj}(G)$  is equidistributed if and only if for every irreducible character  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi(x_i) = \mu(\chi)$$

*Proof.* The Peter–Weyl theorem shows that the irreducible characters  $\chi$  span a dense subspace of  $C(X)$ . □

**Corollary 19.**  *$(x_i)$  is equidistributed if and only if for all nontrivial irreducible  $\chi$ .*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi(x_i) = 0$$

*Proof.* For  $\chi = 1$ , the  $\mu(1) = 1$  is always immediate. For the nontrivial  $\chi$ ,

$$\mu(\chi) = \int_G \chi \mu = \int_G 1 \cdot \chi \mu = 0$$

□

#### AN EASY SATO–TATE RESULT

Let  $E/\mathbb{F}_q$  be an elliptic curve with  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) = q+1-t_q$ , where  $t_q = \text{tr}(\pi_E) = \alpha + \bar{\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\alpha| = q^{1/2}$ . Considering the base change, we have

$$\#E(\mathbb{F}_{q^r}) = q^r + 1 - \text{tr}(\pi_E^r) = q^r + 1 - (\alpha^r + \bar{\alpha}^r).$$

Let  $t_{q^r} = q^r + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_{q^r})$ .

**Proposition 20.** *Assume that  $E$  is ordinary, and let  $x_r := \frac{t_{q^r}}{q^{r/2}}$ . The sequence  $(x_r)$  is equidistributed in  $[-2, 2]$  with respect to the measure*

$$\mu := \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{4 - z^2}},$$

where  $dz$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $[-2, 2]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $U(1) = \{u \in \mathbb{C}^\times : |u| = 1\}$ . For  $u = e^{i\theta}$ ,  $\theta$  is uniformly distributed under the Haar measure for  $U(1)$ . To compute the pushforward of the Haar measure to  $z := 2 \cos \theta$

$$dz = 2 \sin(\theta) d\theta = \sqrt{4 - z^2} d\theta,$$

consider  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ ,  $\mu = \frac{d\theta}{\pi} = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{4 - z^2}}$ .

Nontrivial irreducible characters  $U(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  look like  $\phi_a : u \mapsto u^a$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_a \left( \frac{\alpha^i}{q^{i/2}} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_a \left( \frac{\alpha^i}{q^{i/2}} \right)$$

□

### L-FUNCTIONS

Let us fix a number field  $K$  and consider a sequence  $x = (x_{\mathfrak{p}})$  in  $X = \text{conj}(G)$  indexed by primes  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $K$ . Order these by  $N(\mathfrak{p}) := \#\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$ .

**Definition 21.** For each irreducible representation  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{C})$  we define

$$L_X(\rho, s) := \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \det(1 - \rho(x_{\mathfrak{p}}) N(\mathfrak{p})^{-s})^{-1},$$

which converges on  $\Re(s) > 1$ .

**Theorem 22.** Suppose for every irreducible representation  $\rho$ , the function  $L_x(\rho, s)$  is meromorphic on  $\Re(s) \geq 1$  with no zeros or poles away from  $s = 1$ . Then  $x = (x_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is equidistributed if and only if  $L_x(\rho, 1) \notin \{0, \infty\}$  for every irreducible  $\rho \neq 1$ .

*Proof.* See [Ser68], also Fité’s notes from 2015 have a very nice exposition. □

**Corollary 23.**  $L/K$  finite Galois, then  $x = (\text{conj}(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}|_L))_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is equidistributed.

*Remark 24.* This implies the Chebotaryev density theorem.

*Proof.* If  $\rho = 1$  then  $L_x(\rho, s) \approx \zeta_K(s)$  which is holomorphic and nonvanishing on  $\Re(s) \geq 1$  except simple pole at  $s = 1$  (Hecke).

If  $\rho \neq 1$  then  $L_X(\rho, s) \approx L(\rho, s)$  the Artin  $L$ -function and this is holomorphic and nonvanishing on  $\Re(s) \geq 1$  (Artin). □

### SATO–TATE FOR CM ELLIPTIC CURVES

**Definition 25.** A Hecke character is a continuous homomorphism  $\psi : \mathbb{A}_K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  with  $K^\times \subseteq \ker(\psi)$ .

$$\text{cond}(\psi) := \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}},$$

where  $e_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the least nonnegative integer such that

$$1 + \mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}^\times \subseteq \ker(\psi).$$

The  $L$ -function is

$$L(\psi, s) := \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \nmid \text{cond}(\psi)} (1 - \psi(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}) N(\mathfrak{p})^{-s})^{-1},$$

where  $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is any choice of uniformizer for  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

*Remark 26.* We can unitarise a Hecke character  $\psi$  via

$$\psi := \psi / |\psi|.$$

In particular we can always consider them as functions to  $U(1)$ .

**Lemma 27.** *For any unitarized Hecke character  $\psi$ , the sequence  $(\psi(\mathfrak{p}))_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is equidistributed in  $U(1)$ .*

*Proof.* As above, irreducible representations of  $U(1)$  are  $\phi_a(u) = u^a$  for  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\psi_a := \phi_a \circ \psi$  is also a unitarized Hecke character.

If  $\psi_a = 1$  then  $L(\psi_a, s) \approx \zeta_K(s)$ , so all good. If  $\psi_a \neq 1$  then  $L(\psi_a, s)$  is holomorphic and nonvanishing on  $\Re(s) \geq 1$ .  $\square$

Now assume that  $K$  is imaginary quadratic, and  $E/K$  is an elliptic curve with CM. Then  $K$  has a corresponding Hecke character  $\psi_E$  for which

$$|\psi_E(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}})| = N(\mathfrak{p})^{1/2},$$

with  $t_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{tr}(\pi_E) = \psi_E(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \overline{\psi_E(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}})}$ . Uniformize to get  $x_{\mathfrak{p}} = \psi_E(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \overline{\psi_E(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}})} \in [-2, 2]$ .

**Proposition 28.** *The sequence  $(x_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is equidistributed with respect to the measure*

$$\mu_{\text{CM}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{4 - z^2}}.$$

*Proof.*  $\mu_{\text{CM}}$  is the pushforward of the Haar measure for  $U(1)$  via  $u \mapsto u + \bar{u}$  and the proposition then follows from the previous theorem on unitarized Hecke characters.  $\square$

### LECTURE 3 (KEDLAYA)

Today will break down as follows:

- (I) Sato–Tate conjecture for non-CM elliptic curves,
- (II) Ask a similar question for higher-dimensional abelian varieties,
- (III) Show some features of the answers.

#### SATO–TATE FOR NON-CM ELLIPTIC CURVES

Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve. For each (all but finitely many) prime number  $p$

$$\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 - t_p$$

where  $|t_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$ . We want to understand how  $\frac{t_p}{2\sqrt{p}} \in [-2, 2]$  is distributed.

Let  $G = \text{SU}(2)$ , and  $X = \text{conj}(G) \cong [-2, 2]$  where the isomorphism is via the trace map. Each class has a representative of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ . Then  $t = \text{trace} = 2\cos(\theta)$ . The pushforward of the Haar measure on  $\text{SU}(2)$  is

$$\mu = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^2(\theta) d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{4 - t^2} dt.$$

For (all but finitely many) primes  $p$ , let  $x_p = \frac{t_p}{\sqrt{p}} \in X$ .

**Theorem 29** (Realisation of Sato–Tate). *If  $E$  does not have CM, then the sequence  $(x_p)_p$  in  $X$  is equidistributed with respect to  $\mu$ .*

To get started, we follow the model that Drew showed us for the CM case and appeal to  $L$ -functions associated to irreducible representations of  $G$ . For each nonnegative integer  $m$ , we have an irreducible representation

$$\rho_m : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{m+1}(\mathbb{C})$$

given by: for  $m = 0$  this is the trivial representation; for  $m = 1$  this is the standard representation of  $\mathrm{SU}(2) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ ; for general  $m$ ,  $\rho_m = \mathrm{Sym}^m \rho_1$ . We build  $L$ -functions via

$$L(\rho_m, s) = \prod_p \det(1 - \rho_m(x_p)p^{-s})^{-1}$$

which converge for  $\Re(s) \gg 0$ .

**Claim:** For each  $m > 0$ ,  $L(\rho_m, s)$  extends to a holomorphic function on  $\Re(s) \geq 1$  which does not vanish on this region.

*Remark 30.* For  $m = 0$  we have the Riemann  $\zeta$ -function  $\zeta(s) = L(\rho_0, s)$  which has a pole at  $s = 1$ .

This is a *hard* theorem. To see how hard, look at the case  $m = 1$ . Then  $L(\rho_1, s) = L(E, s + \frac{1}{2})$ , and the claim here follows from modularity of elliptic curves (a crowning achievement of 20th century mathematics). For  $m > 1$  this does not follow immediately from the case  $m = 1$ , there is extra work which took longer. For the CM case, at this point, we had much more classical work (generalisations of the proof of analytic continuation of Riemann  $\zeta$ ) which handled Hecke  $L$ -functions. There is a long story here but we shall leave it for now.

**Question 31.** *Where does this break down if  $E$  has CM?*

*Answer 32.* In this case, some of the  $L(\rho_m, s)$  also have poles at  $s = 1$ ! In this case we get equidistribution for the embedding  $\mathrm{U}(1) \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2)$  given by

$$e^{i\theta} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For even  $m$ ,  $\rho_m|_{\mathrm{U}(1)}$  contains a copy of the trivial representation and so we actually get poles in our  $L$ -function (using Artin formalism:  $L(\rho_1 \oplus \rho_2, s) = L(\rho_1, s)L(\rho_2, s)$ , and the trivial representation gives us  $\zeta$ ).

**Question 33.** *What about an elliptic curve over a number field  $E/K$ ?*

*Answer 34.* For CM, same proof holds. For non-CM this is only known when  $K$  is totally real or CM. We'd always have one of the cases below.

$E$ with CM by $M \subset K$	$E$ with CM by $M \not\subset K$	$E$ not CM
$\mathrm{U}(1)$	$N(\mathrm{U}(1))$ ( $N$ =normaliser of)	$\mathrm{SU}(2)$

**Question 35.** *For an abelian variety over a number field,  $A/K$ , of dimension  $g$ , and  $\mathfrak{p} \leq \mathcal{O}_K$  a prime ideal, write  $q = \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$ . Then*

$$A(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}) = \prod_{i=1}^{2g} (1 - \alpha_{\mathfrak{p},i}^k),$$

*with  $|\alpha_{\mathfrak{p},i}| = \sqrt{q}$ . Can the distribution of  $\frac{|\alpha_{\mathfrak{p},i}|}{\sqrt{q}}$  be modelled by  $\mathrm{conj}(G)$  for some compact Lie group  $G$ ?*

*Answer 36.* We'll discuss this more tomorrow, now we have some discussion on data for Sato–Tate groups from Sutherland (links: genus 1, genus 2, genus 3)

## LECTURE 4 (KEDLAYA)

### 2. SATO–TATE FOR ABELIAN VARIETIES

Let  $A/K$  be an abelian variety of dimension  $g$  over a number field (with a fixed polarisation).

**Goal:** To define (up to conjugacy):

- a compact Lie group  $G = \mathrm{ST}(A) \leq U_{2g}(\mathbb{C})$  called the Sato–Tate group of  $A$ ;
- for each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \leq \mathcal{O}_K$  of good reduction for  $A$ , a conjugacy class

$$X_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathrm{conj}(\mathrm{ST}(A))$$

such that the eigenvalues of  $X_{\mathfrak{p}}$  coincide with the normalised Frobenius eigenvalues at  $\mathfrak{p}$  for the reduction of  $A$  over  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$ .

*Remark 37.* It will turn out later on that for structural reasons our groups  $\mathrm{ST}(A)$  will have more restriction: they will be subgroups of  $\mathrm{USp}(2g)$ .

We will follow Serre (Lectures on  $N_X(p)$ ) in our exposition. See also Banaszak–Kedlaya.

The analogue of the Sato–Tate conjecture for  $A$  will say that  $\{X_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$  is equidistributed in  $\mathrm{conj}(\mathrm{ST}(A))$  for the pushforward of the Haar measure.

**Step 1.** Pick a prime  $\ell$  (coprime to the degree of our polarisation), and let  $T_{\ell}$  be the  $\ell$ -adic Tate module. That is:

$$T_{\ell} := \varprojlim_n A(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})[\ell^n],$$

where the limit is over the natural maps given by multiplication by  $\ell$  on the group schemes

$$A[\ell^{n+1}] \rightarrow A[\ell^n].$$

Note that  $A(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})[\ell^n] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$ , and so as abelian groups

$$T_{\ell} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}^{2g}.$$

Moreover  $T_{\ell}$  is acted on by  $G_K$ , it is a Galois module. We define

$$V_{\ell} = T_{\ell} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

**Step 2.** Take the Galois representation

$$\rho_{\ell} : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_{\ell}).$$

For a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $K$  of good reduction, we have the  $\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \in G_K$  and  $\rho_{\ell}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})$  has eigenvalues which are the Frobenius eigenvalues of  $A$  over  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$ .

Write  $G_{\ell}$  for the image of  $\rho_{\ell}$ . This is a compact group inside of  $\mathrm{GL}(V_{\ell})$ .

*Remark 38.* Note it is the wrong kind of compact group: it is profinite, it's not a compact Lie group like we're looking for! We will do this via algebraic groups.



**Step 3.** Fix a basis of  $V_\ell$ , so that we have  $\rho_\ell : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ , and  $G_\ell$  is inside of this matrix group. We write  $G_\ell^{\mathrm{zar}}$  for the Zariski closure of  $G_\ell$  in  $\mathrm{GL}_{2g, \mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ .

*Remark 39.* In other words, look at all elements in the function field of  $\mathrm{GL}_{2g, \mathbb{Q}_\ell}$  which vanish on  $G_\ell$ , and define  $G_\ell^{\mathrm{zar}}$  to be the subvariety of  $\mathrm{GL}_{2g, \mathbb{Q}_\ell}$  cut out by these algebraic functions.

Note that  $G_\ell^{\mathrm{zar}}$  is an algebraic group over  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$  (not just a group of points).

*Recall:* The Weil pairing is a Symplectic pairing

$$V_\ell \times V_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1),$$

where the right hand side is the rational Tate module of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  (that is, by definition  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell(1) = \varprojlim_n \mu_{\ell^n}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ )

This forces  $G_\ell^{\mathrm{zar}} \subseteq \mathrm{GSp}_{2g, \mathbb{Q}_\ell}$  (symplectic similitudes), since for  $g \in G_K$ :

$$\langle g(a), g(b) \rangle = \chi(g) \langle a, b \rangle$$

where  $\chi$  is the  $\ell$ -adic character (for the representation  $\mathbb{Q}(1)$ ).

**Step 4.** Fix an algebraic (**not** topological) embedding

$$i : \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

and base extend  $G_\ell^{\mathrm{zar}}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  to get  $G_{\ell, \mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{zar}}$ . Define also

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\ell, \mathbb{Q}_\ell}^{1, \mathrm{zar}} &= G_{\ell, \mathbb{Q}_\ell}^{\mathrm{zar}} \cap \mathrm{Sp}_{2g, \mathbb{Q}_\ell} \\ G_{\ell, \mathbb{C}}^{1, \mathrm{zar}} &= G_{\ell, \mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{zar}} \cap \mathrm{Sp}_{2g, \mathbb{C}} \end{aligned}$$

**Step 5.** Let  $\mathrm{ST}(A)$  be a maximal compact subgroup (unique up to conjugacy) of

$$G_\ell^{1, \mathrm{zar}}(\mathbb{C}),$$

as a Lie group (via  $i$ ). Moreover

$$\mathrm{ST}(A) \subseteq \mathrm{USp}_{2g}.$$

**Step 6.** We need to move our Frobenius traces across somehow! Note that  $\rho_\ell(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in G_\ell \subseteq G_\ell^{\mathrm{zar}}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \subseteq G_\ell^{\mathrm{zar}}(\mathbb{C})$ . Write  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \in G_\ell^{\mathrm{zar}}(\mathbb{C})$  for the corresponding element. Moreover write

$$\overline{M}_{\mathfrak{p}} := N(\mathfrak{p})^{-1} M_{\mathfrak{p}} \in G_\ell^{1, \mathrm{zar}}(\mathbb{C}).$$

**Claim:**  $\overline{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is conjugate to some element of  $\mathrm{ST}(A)$  (and the resulting class is uniquely determined).

*Proof.* Tate:  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is semisimple, thus so too is  $\overline{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , so in particular  $\overline{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is contained in some compact subgroup of  $G_\ell^{1, \mathrm{zar}}(\mathbb{C})$  (take the subgroup it generates and then take its closure, this is necessarily compact). This is contained in a maximal compact subgroup, which must then be conjugate to  $\mathrm{ST}(A)$  (using the theory of Lie groups to say that maximal compact subgroups are unique up to conjugacy).

**Regarding the Choices we made:** We chose  $\ell$  and  $i : \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . We are happy with these not mattering up to conjugacy, since we only expect to define the Sato–Tate group up to conjugacy. It is a theorem that these choices do not affect the construction if  $g \leq 3$ . In general they do not affect the construction if the Mumford–Tate conjecture (which we won’t define) holds for  $A$  (Cantoral Farfán–Comellin).

**Remarks.** There is an exact sequence of groups

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{ST}(A)^\circ \longrightarrow \mathrm{ST}(A) \longrightarrow \pi_0(\mathrm{ST}(A)) \longrightarrow 1$$

where  $\mathrm{ST}(A)^\circ$  is the connected component of the identity and depends only on  $A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ . The definition is equivalent to the Mumford–Tate group after base change from  $\mathbb{Q}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , and is related to endomorphism algebra of  $A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ . Moreover  $\pi_0(\mathrm{ST}(A))$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\pi_0(G_{\ell, \mathbb{Q}_\ell}^{1, \mathrm{zar}})$ , and moreover if you trace back through the construction you get a canonical isomorphism

$$\pi_0(\mathrm{ST}(A)) \cong \mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$$

for some finite Galois extension  $L/K$ . For  $g \leq 3$  we get that  $L$  is the endomorphism field of  $A$  (that is,  $L$  is the smallest field such that  $\mathrm{End}(A_L) \cong \mathrm{End}(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$ ). In general the endomorphism field is contained in  $L$ , so you can bound this by controlling  $L$  (so the components of Sato–Tate groups) – see work of Guralnick–Kedlaya.

For  $g = 1$ , in fact  $\mathrm{ST}(A) \in \{U_1, N(U_1), \mathrm{SU}_2, \mathrm{USp}_2\}$

**Theorem 40.**

REFERENCES

- [Ser68] J.-P. Serre, *Abelian  $l$ -adic representations and elliptic curves*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1968. McGill University lecture notes written with the collaboration of Willem Kuyk and John Labute. MR0263823 ↑1, 1
- [Sut] A. Sutherland, *Sato–tate distributions*. ↑1