

FROBENIUS DISTRIBUTIONS (SATO–TATE DISTRIBUTIONS)

COURSE: KIRAN KEDLAYA AND ANDREW SUTHERLAND
NOTES: ROSS PATERSON

DISCLAIMER. These notes were taken live during lectures. In particular, any mistakes are the fault of the transcriber and not of the lecturer.

LECTURE 1 (KEDLAYA)

For $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ squarefree of degree d , define

$$N_f(p) = \# \{x \in \mathbb{F}_p : f(x) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}\}.$$

Note that clearly $0 \leq N_f(p) \leq d$.

Example 1 ([Sut, §1.1]).

Definition 2. Let

$$c_i(B) := \frac{\# \{p \leq B : N_f(p) = i\}}{\# \{p \leq B\}}$$

Claim: We can describe limiting values of $c_i(B)$ for all i .

Let $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$ be the splitting field of f over \mathbb{Q} , where $f = \prod_{i=1}^d (x - \alpha_i)$, and $G = \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ which acts transitively on this set of roots. Then we let

$$\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{C})$$

be the associated permutation representation. For p prime we have an exact sequence given as follows. Choose a prime $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ of \mathcal{O}_L , and let:

- $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the associated decomposition group (i.e. the stabiliser of \mathfrak{p} under the action of G on the set of primes above p);
- $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the inertia subgroup of $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Then we have

$$1 \longrightarrow I_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow D_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow \text{Gal}\left(\frac{\mathcal{O}_L}{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{F}_p\right) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Note that $\text{Gal}\left(\frac{\mathcal{O}_L}{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{F}_p\right)$ has a canonical generator, $x \mapsto x^p$, and so we denote by $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ a choice of lift of this in $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$. If p is unramified (which is true of all but finitely many p) then $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a well defined element of $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$. As \mathfrak{p} varies amongst primes above p , $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ traces out a conjugacy class in G , which we denote by Frob_p .

For unramified p , $N_f(p)$ is counting fixed points of $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ on $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d\}$. That is,

$$N_f(p) = \text{tr}(\rho(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})).$$

Applying Chebotaryov density theorem, we see that the conjugacy class of Frob_p is uniformly distributed in the set of conjugacy classes of G , denoted $\text{conj}(G)$, with

respect to the measure which weights a conjugacy class C proportionately to its size $\#C$.

Example 3. For $f(x) = x^3 - x + 1$ we have $G = S_3$, so

$$\lim_{B \rightarrow \infty} c_i(B) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{6} & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \frac{3}{6} & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \frac{1}{6} & \text{if } i = 3. \end{cases}$$

Aside. If G is abelian then $L \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ for some n , and then $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is determined by $p \bmod n$.

Think now of G as a discrete topological group, note that this means that it is compact (also Hausdorff). Any compact topological group has a unique left- and right- invariant probability measure in the Radon sense (i.e. continuous functions $G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be integrated) known as the Haar measure, which we denote by μ_G .

I can then take the pushforward measure on the set of conjugacy classes of G . That is, I evaluate the functional on class functions.

Example 4. Consider $\text{SU}(2) = \left\{ A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) : ad - bc = 1, A^{-1} = A^* = \overline{A}^T \right\}$.

Via the trace map, we have a bijection between the conjugacy classes of $\text{conj}(\text{SU}(2))$ and the set $[-2, 2]$.

Definition 5. Let X be some probability space, and $t : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a random variable. Then the moment sequence of t is $(\mathbb{E}(t^n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$, where we always take $t^0 = 1$.

Here is a comment that we won't expand on for now.

- We could also look at

$$N_f(p^k) = \# \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^k} : f(x) = 0\},$$

and for fixed p we could package this collection (indexed by k) into a local zeta function (see later in the course). Then

$$N_f(p^k) = \text{tr}(\rho(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}^k))$$

1. ARITHMETIC SCHEMES

Definition 6. Let X be a scheme of finite type over \mathbb{Z} . For each prime number p we define

$$N_X(p) := \#X(\mathbb{F}_p).$$

Question 7. How does this depend on p ?

Elliptic Curves. Consider $E \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2$ cut out by the affine model $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$. Assume that $x^3 + Ax + B$ is squarefree (so the generic fibre $E_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an elliptic curve), and that $E_{\mathbb{Q}}$ does not have complex multiplication.

Theorem 8 (Hasse). For each prime number p , write

$$\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 - t_p.$$

Then, so long as $E_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is smooth, $|t_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$.

This suggests we should look at $\frac{t_p}{\sqrt{p}} \in [-2, 2]$. Looking at these numbers experimentally, there appears to be a clear pattern in their distribution, which is explained by the following theorem.

Theorem 9. *The values $\frac{t_p}{\sqrt{p}}$ are equidistributed for the pushforward of the Haar measure on $\text{conj}(\text{SU}(2))$ on $[-2, 2]$.*

LECTURE 2 (SUTHERLAND): EQUIDISTRIBUTION

GENERALITIES

Let X be a compact Hausdorff topological space, and let $C(X)$ denote the Banach space of continuous functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ under the sup-norm. For $f, g \in C(X)$ which are \mathbb{R} -valued with $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for all $x \in X$ then we will write $f \leq g$. If we write such an inequality then part of the data is the assertion that the functions are real valued.

Definition 10. a (positive normalised Radon) measure is a continuous \mathbb{C} -linear

$$\mu : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

such that for all $f \geq 0$, we have $\mu(f) \geq 0$, and moreover $\mu(1_X) = 1$.

Example 11. Note the dirac measure at a point $x \in X$

$$\delta_X : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

given by $f \mapsto f(x)$.

Notation 12. We denote for $f \in C(X)$

$$\int_X f \mu := \mu(f).$$

Given such a measure, we can define a measure of subsets $S \subset C(X)$

$$\mu(S) := \begin{cases} \sup \{ \mu(f) : 0 \leq f \leq 1_S \} & \text{if } S \text{ is open} \\ 1 - \mu(X - S) & \text{if } S \text{ is closed} \\ 0 & \text{if } \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \text{ open } U \supset S \text{ with } \mu(U) \leq \varepsilon \\ \mu(\bar{S}) = \mu(S^\circ) & \text{if } \mu(\partial S) = 0, \partial S = \bar{S} \setminus S^\circ. \end{cases}$$

Definition 13. A sequence (x_1, x_2, \dots) in X is μ -equidistributed if

$$\mu(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)$$

for all $f \in C(X)$.

Lemma 14. *Let (f_j) be a family of functions in $C(X)$ whose \mathbb{C} -span is dense in $C(X)$. If (x_i) is a sequence in X for which $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_j(x_i)$ converges for all f_j in our family, then there exists a unique measure μ on X for which (x_i) is μ -equidistributed.*

Proof. See [Ser68]. □

Definition 15. $S \subseteq C(X)$ is μ -quarrable if $\mu(\partial S) = 0$.

Proposition 16. *If (x_i) is μ -equidistributed and S is μ -quarrrable, then*

$$\mu(S) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{x_i \in S : i \leq n\}}{n}$$

Proof. Exercise. □

Example 17. $X = [0, 1]$, μ the Lebesgue measure, then (x_i) is equidistributed if and only if $\forall 0 \leq a < b \leq 1$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{x_i \in [a, b] : i \leq n\}}{n} = \mu([a, b]) = b - a$$

COMPACT GROUPS

From now on, $X := \text{conj}(G)$ for some compact group G . The Haar measure on G induces a measure μ on X via

$$\mu(f) := \mu(f \circ \text{conj}).$$

In this setting “equidistributed” means μ -equidistributed with respect to this μ .

Proposition 18. *A sequence (x_i) in $X = \text{conj}(G)$ is equidistributed if and only if for every irreducible character $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi(x_i) = \mu(\chi)$$

Proof. The Peter–Weyl theorem shows that the irreducible characters χ span a dense subspace of $C(X)$. □

Corollary 19. *(x_i) is equidistributed if and only if for all nontrivial irreducible χ .*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi(x_i) = 0$$

Proof. For $\chi = 1$, the $\mu(1) = 1$ is always immediate. For the nontrivial χ ,

$$\mu(\chi) = \int_G \chi \mu = \int_G 1 \cdot \chi \mu = 0$$

□

AN EASY SATO–TATE RESULT

Let E/\mathbb{F}_q be an elliptic curve with $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) = q+1-t_q$, where $t_q = \text{tr}(\pi_E) = \alpha + \bar{\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha| = q^{1/2}$. Considering the base change, we have

$$\#E(\mathbb{F}_{q^r}) = q^r + 1 - \text{tr}(\pi_E^r) = q^r + 1 - (\alpha^r + \bar{\alpha}^r).$$

Let $t_{q^r} = q^r + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_{q^r})$.

Proposition 20. *Assume that E is ordinary, and let $x_r := \frac{t_{q^r}}{q^{r/2}}$. The sequence (x_r) is equidistributed in $[-2, 2]$ with respect to the measure*

$$\mu := \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{4 - z^2}},$$

where dz is the Lebesgue measure on $[-2, 2]$.

Proof. Let $U(1) = \{u \in \mathbb{C}^\times : |u| = 1\}$. For $u = e^{i\theta}$, θ is uniformly distributed under the Haar measure for $U(1)$. To compute the pushforward of the Haar measure to $z := 2 \cos \theta$

$$dz = 2 \sin(\theta) d\theta = \sqrt{4 - z^2} d\theta,$$

consider $\theta \in [0, \pi]$, $\mu = \frac{d\theta}{\pi} = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{4 - z^2}}$.

Nontrivial irreducible characters $U(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ look like $\phi_a : u \mapsto u^a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_a \left(\frac{\alpha^i}{q^{i/2}} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_a \left(\frac{\alpha^i}{q^{i/2}} \right)$$

□

L-FUNCTIONS

Let us fix a number field K and consider a sequence $x = (x_{\mathfrak{p}})$ in $X = \text{conj}(G)$ indexed by primes \mathfrak{p} of K . Order these by $N(\mathfrak{p}) := \#\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$.

Definition 21. For each irreducible representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{C})$ we define

$$L_X(\rho, s) := \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \det(1 - \rho(x_{\mathfrak{p}}) N(\mathfrak{p})^{-s})^{-1},$$

which converges on $\Re(s) > 1$.

Theorem 22. Suppose for every irreducible representation ρ , the function $L_x(\rho, s)$ is meromorphic on $\Re(s) \geq 1$ with no zeros or poles away from $s = 1$. Then $x = (x_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is equidistributed if and only if $L_x(\rho, 1) \notin \{0, \infty\}$ for every irreducible $\rho \neq 1$.

Proof. See [Ser68], also Fité's notes from 2015 have a very nice exposition. □

Corollary 23. L/K finite Galois, then $x = (\text{conj}(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}|_L))_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is equidistributed.

Remark 24. This implies the Chebotaryev density theorem.

Proof. If $\rho = 1$ then $L_x(\rho, s) \approx \zeta_K(s)$ which is holomorphic and nonvanishing on $\Re(s) \geq 1$ except simple pole at $s = 1$ (Hecke).

If $\rho \neq 1$ then $L_X(\rho, s) \approx L(\rho, s)$ the Artin L -function and this is holomorphic and nonvanishing on $\Re(s) \geq 1$ (Artin). □

SATO–TATE FOR CM ELLIPTIC CURVES

Definition 25. A Hecke character is a continuous homomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{A}_K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ with $K^\times \subseteq \ker(\psi)$.

$$\text{cond}(\psi) := \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}},$$

where $e_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the least nonnegative integer such that

$$1 + \mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}^\times \subseteq \ker(\psi).$$

The L -function is

$$L(\psi, s) := \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \nmid \text{cond}(\psi)} (1 - \psi(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}) N(\mathfrak{p})^{-s})^{-1},$$

where $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is any choice of uniformizer for $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Remark 26. We can unitarise a Hecke character ψ via

$$\psi := \psi / |\psi|.$$

In particular we can always consider them as functions to $U(1)$.

Lemma 27. *For any unitarized Hecke character ψ , the sequence $(\psi(\mathfrak{p}))_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is equidistributed in $U(1)$.*

Proof. As above, irreducible representations of $U(1)$ are $\phi_a(u) = u^a$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\psi_a := \phi_a \circ \psi$ is also a unitarized Hecke character.

If $\psi_a = 1$ then $L(\psi_a, s) \approx \zeta_K(s)$, so all good. If $\psi_a \neq 1$ then $L(\psi_a, s)$ is holomorphic and nonvanishing on $\Re(s) \geq 1$. \square

Now assume that K is imaginary quadratic, and E/K is an elliptic curve with CM. Then K has a corresponding Hecke character ψ_E for which

$$|\psi_E(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}})| = N(\mathfrak{p})^{1/2},$$

with $t_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{tr}(\pi_E) = \psi_E(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \overline{\psi_E(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}})}$. Uniformize to get $x_{\mathfrak{p}} = \psi_E(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \overline{\psi_E(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}})} \in [-2, 2]$.

Proposition 28. *The sequence $(x_{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is equidistributed with respect to the measure*

$$\mu_{\text{CM}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{4 - z^2}}.$$

Proof. μ_{CM} is the pushforward of the Haar measure for $U(1)$ via $u \mapsto u + \bar{u}$ and the proposition then follows from the previous theorem on unitarized Hecke characters. \square

LECTURE 3 (KEDLAYA)

Today will break down as follows:

- (I) Sato–Tate conjecture for non-CM elliptic curves,
- (II) Ask a similar question for higher-dimensional abelian varieties,
- (III) Show some features of the answers.

SATO–TATE FOR NON-CM ELLIPTIC CURVES

Let E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve. For each (all but finitely many) prime number p

$$\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 - t_p$$

where $|t_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$. We want to understand how $\frac{t_p}{2\sqrt{p}} \in [-2, 2]$ is distributed.

Let $G = \text{SU}(2)$, and $X = \text{conj}(G) \cong [-2, 2]$ where the isomorphism is via the trace map. Each class has a representative of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix}$$

for $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$. Then $t = \text{trace} = 2\cos(\theta)$. The pushforward of the Haar measure on $\text{SU}(2)$ is

$$\mu = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^2(\theta) d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{4 - t^2} dt.$$

For (all but finitely many) primes p , let $x_p = \frac{t_p}{\sqrt{p}} \in X$.

Theorem 29 (Realisation of Sato–Tate). *If E does not have CM, then the sequence $(x_p)_p$ in X is equidistributed with respect to μ .*

To get started, we follow the model that Drew showed us for the CM case and appeal to L -functions associated to irreducible representations of G . For each nonnegative integer m , we have an irreducible representation

$$\rho_m : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{m+1}(\mathbb{C})$$

given by: for $m = 0$ this is the trivial representation; for $m = 1$ this is the standard representation of $\mathrm{SU}(2) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$; for general m , $\rho_m = \mathrm{Sym}^m \rho_1$. We build L -functions via

$$L(\rho_m, s) = \prod_p \det(1 - \rho_m(x_p)p^{-s})^{-1}$$

which converge for $\Re(s) \gg 0$.

Claim: For each $m > 0$, $L(\rho_m, s)$ extends to a holomorphic function on $\Re(s) \geq 1$ which does not vanish on this region.

Remark 30. For $m = 0$ we have the Riemann ζ -function $\zeta(s) = L(\rho_0, s)$ which has a pole at $s = 1$.

This is a *hard* theorem. To see how hard, look at the case $m = 1$. Then $L(\rho_1, s) = L(E, s + \frac{1}{2})$, and the claim here follows from modularity of elliptic curves (a crowning achievement of 20th century mathematics). For $m > 1$ this does not follow immediately from the case $m = 1$, there is extra work which took longer. For the CM case, at this point, we had much more classical work (generalisations of the proof of analytic continuation of Riemann ζ) which handled Hecke L -functions. There is a long story here but we shall leave it for now.

Question 31. *Where does this break down if E has CM?*

Answer 32. In this case, some of the $L(\rho_m, s)$ also have poles at $s = 1$! In this case we get equidistribution for the embedding $\mathrm{U}(1) \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2)$ given by

$$e^{i\theta} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For even m , $\rho_m|_{\mathrm{U}(1)}$ contains a copy of the trivial representation and so we actually get poles in our L -function (using Artin formalism: $L(\rho_1 \oplus \rho_2, s) = L(\rho_1, s)L(\rho_2, s)$, and the trivial representation gives us ζ).

Question 33. *What about an elliptic curve over a number field E/K ?*

Answer 34. For CM, same proof holds. For non-CM this is only known when K is totally real or CM. We'd always have one of the cases below.

E with CM by $M \subset K$	E with CM by $M \not\subset K$	E not CM
$\mathrm{U}(1)$	$N(\mathrm{U}(1))$ (N =normaliser of)	$\mathrm{SU}(2)$

Question 35. *For an abelian variety over a number field, A/K , of dimension g , and $\mathfrak{p} \leq \mathcal{O}_K$ a prime ideal, write $q = \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$. Then*

$$A(\mathbb{F}_{q^k}) = \prod_{i=1}^{2g} (1 - \alpha_{\mathfrak{p},i}^k),$$

with $|\alpha_{\mathfrak{p},i}| = \sqrt{q}$. Can the distribution of $\frac{|\alpha_{\mathfrak{p},i}|}{\sqrt{q}}$ be modelled by $\mathrm{conj}(G)$ for some compact Lie group G ?

Answer 36. We'll discuss this more tomorrow, now we will have some discussion on data for Sato–Tate groups (links: [genus 1](#), [genus 2](#), [genus 3](#))

REFERENCES

- [Ser68] J.-P. Serre, *Abelian l -adic representations and elliptic curves*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1968. McGill University lecture notes written with the collaboration of Willem Kuyk and John Labute. MR0263823 ↑1, 1
- [Sut] A. Sutherland, *Sato–tate distributions*. ↑1