## Verification of Data Layout Transformations

#### Ramon Fernández Mir

with Arthur Charguéraud

Inria

17/09/2018

## Motivating example - initial code

```
typedef struct {
  // Position
  float x, y, z;
  // Other fields
  float vx, vy, vz, c, m, v;
} particle;
particle data[NUM_PARTICLES];
for (int i = 0; i < NUM_PARTICLES; i++) {</pre>
  // Some calculation
```

## Motivating example - splitting

Suppose that the calculation uses mainly the position.

```
typedef struct {
  float vx, vy, vz, c, m, v;
} cold_fields;

typedef struct {
  float x, y, z;
  cold_fields *other;
} particle;

particle data[NUM_PARTICLES];
```

## Motivating example - peeling

Further suppose that the intial 'particle' record is not used as part of a dynamic data structure.

```
typedef struct {
  float vx, vy, vz, c, m, v;
} cold_fields;

typedef struct {
  float x, y, z;
} hot_fields;

cold_fields other_data[NUM_PARTICLES];
hot_fields pos_data[NUM_PARTICLES];
```

## Motivating example - AoS to SoA

Now, say that we want to take advantage of vector instructions.

```
typedef struct {
  float x[NUM_PARTICLES];
  float y[NUM_PARTICLES];
  float z[NUM_PARTICLES];
} hot_fields;

hot_fields pos_data;
```

# Motivating example - AoS to AoSoA

But without reducing too much the locality between accesses to fields of the original struct.

```
typedef struct {
  float x[N];
  float y[N];
  float z[N];
} hot_fields;

hot_fields pos_data[NUM_PARTICLES / N];
```

## Motivating example - summary

In short, the transformations we have seen are:

- Splitting.
- Peeling.
- AoS to SoA.
- AoS to AoSoA.

Note that after all these changes, where we wrote:

Now we have to write:

## **Project goals**

- Formalize a subset of C that captures the essential for these transformations.
- Find the basic transformations that combined give rise to the ones we are interested in.
- Define them and prove their correctness.

# Language overview - values and terms

```
Inductive val : Type :=
   (* High-level *)
    val error : val
    val_unit : val
    val uninitialized : val
    val\_bool : bool \rightarrow val
    val int: int \rightarrow val
    val double: int \rightarrow val
    val_abstract_ptr : loc \rightarrow accesses \rightarrow val
    val_array : typ \rightarrow list val \rightarrow val
    val\_struct : typ \rightarrow map field val \rightarrow val
   (* Low-level *)
    val\_concrete\_ptr : loc \rightarrow offset \rightarrow val
    val\_words : list word \rightarrow val.
Inductive trm : Type :=
    trm var : var → trm
    trm_val : val → trm
    trm if : trm \rightarrow trm \rightarrow trm \rightarrow trm
    trm let : bind \rightarrow trm \rightarrow trm \rightarrow trm
    trm_app : prim \rightarrow list trm \rightarrow trm
    trm while : trm \rightarrow trm \rightarrow trm
    trm_for : var \rightarrow val \rightarrow val \rightarrow trm \rightarrow trm.
```

# Language overview - primitive operations

```
Inductive prim : Type :=
  (* High-level *)
   prim_binop : binop → prim
   prim_get : typ \rightarrow prim
   prim_set : typ → prim
   prim_new : typ → prim
   prim_new_array : typ → prim
   prim\_struct\_access : typ \rightarrow field \rightarrow prim
   prim_array_access: typ → prim
   prim_struct_get : typ \rightarrow field \rightarrow prim
   prim_array_get : typ → prim
  (* Low-level *)
   prim_ll_get : typ → prim
   prim_ll_set : typ \rightarrow prim
   prim_ll_new : typ \rightarrow prim
   prim_ll_access: typ \rightarrow prim.
```

#### Examples of the semantics of our language compared to C:

## Language overview - typing

Maybe a couple or three slides for this.

Give overview of typing.

Use this theorem to explain the different parts of semantics and typing.

```
Theorem type_soundess: \forall \texttt{C} \; \texttt{LLC} \; \texttt{F} \; \texttt{m} \; \texttt{t} \; \texttt{v} \; \texttt{T} \; \texttt{G} \; \texttt{S} \; \texttt{m}', red \texttt{C} \; \texttt{LLC} \; \texttt{S} \; \texttt{m} \; \texttt{t} \; \texttt{v} \; \to typing \texttt{C} \; \texttt{LLC} \; \texttt{F} \; \texttt{G} \; \texttt{T} \; \to state_typing \texttt{C} \; \texttt{LLC} \; \texttt{F} \; \texttt{m} \; \to stack_typing \texttt{C} \; \texttt{LLC} \; \texttt{F} \; \texttt{G} \; \texttt{S} \; \to \exists \texttt{F}', \; \texttt{extends} \; \texttt{F} \; \texttt{F}' \land \; \texttt{typing\_val} \; \texttt{C} \; \texttt{LLC} \; \texttt{F}' \; \texttt{v} \; \texttt{T} \land \; \texttt{state\_typing} \; \texttt{C} \; \texttt{LLC} \; \texttt{F}' \; \texttt{m}'.
```

Some language properties.

# **Transformations - grouping**

group

# **Transformations - tiling**

tiling

# **Transformations - adding indirection**

adding indirection

#### Transformations - AoS to SoA

AoS to SoA

### **Transformations - summary**

$$Peeling = Splitting = AoS \ to \ SoA = AoS \ to \ SoA =$$

# **Transformations - proof**

statement and proof

# **Project extent**

what has been done and what hasn't quite and statistics

#### **Future work**

for instance functions etc, combining them. Code realisations...

#### **Conclusion**

conclusion