

5G Swarm Production: Advanced Industrial Manufacturing Concepts enabled by Wireless Automation

Ignacio Rodriguez, Rasmus S. Mogensen, Allan Schjørring, Mohammad Razzaghpour, Roberto Maldonado, Gilberto Berardinelli, Ramoni Adeogun, Per H. Christensen, Preben Mogensen, Ole Madsen, Charles Møller, Guillermo Pocovi, Troels Kolding, Claudio Rosa, Brian Jørgensen, Simone Barbera

Abstract—This paper presents an overview of current Industry 4.0 applied research topics, addressed from both an industrial production and a wireless communication points of view. A roadmap towards achieving the more advanced industrial manufacturing visions and concepts, such as the "swarm production" (non-linear and fully de-centralized production), is defined, highlighting relevant industrial use cases, their associated communication requirements, as well as the integrated technological wireless solutions applicable to each of them. Further, the paper introduces the Aalborg University 5G Smart Production Lab, an industrial lab test environment specifically designed to prototype and demonstrate different industrial IoT (IIoT) use cases enabled by the integration of robotics, edge-cloud platforms and autonomous systems operated over wireless technologies such as 4G, 5G, and Wi-Fi. Wireless performance results from various operational trials are also presented for two use cases: wireless control of industrial production and wireless control of autonomous mobile robots.

I. INTRODUCTION

The ongoing fourth industrial revolution (Industry 4.0) relies on the integration of cyber physical systems, industrial internet-of-things (IIoT), and cloud computing technologies, as a major driver for achieving a highly flexible and reliable manufacturing in the factories of the future [1]. On top of this integration, optimized wireless technologies will play a pivotal role. Wireless technologies will allow to replace cables (favoring a faster re-configuration of the production facilities and an overall reduction of the cost of deployment), and also to enable new industrial use cases requiring full mobility support [2].

However, under these premises, research addressing Industry 4.0 domains has been typically done in an isolated manner by vertical and horizontal sectors, without jointly accounting for all the components required to succeed in the long-term visions. For example, the industrial production and manufacturing sector has tended to focus on developing concepts and visions while slightly overlooking the communication aspects [3], by giving reliable control data flows for granted, in their advanced manufacturing systems visions, even when considering cloud-based soft programmable logic controllers (PLCs), or autonomous mobile robots (AMR) operating over wireless [4]. On the other hand, due to lack of strong direct interaction with the vertical sectors, it took some time for the wireless communication sector to gather relevant data,

such as typical traffic patterns, data rates and tolerable latency thresholds applicable to different industrial use cases [5], which are key elements in the design of systems targeting ultra-reliable and low-latency communications (URLLC) for Industry 4.0, such as 5G. Fortunately, the situation has changed in the last years, and the new releases of 5G targeting time-sensitive networks, have had more direct impact from verticals than ever.

This proves that it is of paramount importance nowadays, to have a double helix approach between both the manufacturing and communication sectors, working together on the practical integration of wireless solutions with the different manufacturing use cases. Integrated wireless solutions can be optimized by having a better understanding of current and envisioned scenario-specific use cases and associated communication requirements. This will ensure that, an accurate mapping between specific actions in the manufacturing process and wireless technologies, capable of supporting such application requirements, is done. As legacy industrial systems will continue being of importance, and not all industrial use cases will require URLLC, deploying 5G might be an overkill in certain cases, which leaves some room in the industrial wireless ecosystem for other technologies such as 4G and Wi-Fi. In the other direction, advanced manufacturing concepts and their associated architectures or control protocols, could be optimized or evolved by carefully considering the available wireless communication and cloud-computing capabilities of the envisioned integrated systems. Although 5G will be able to support down to 500 us latency with high reliability, there will still be certain industrial use-cases requiring much lower latencies, making some space for beyond 5G (B5G) or 6G technologies in future wireless manufacturing. Similarly, other wireless systems such as indoor positioning systems based on ultra-wideband (UWB) technologies, might also be relevant in those use cases requiring precise location information, in case it cannot be obtained from any other wireless source.

In this paper, we present advanced Industry 4.0 visions, where the ultimate goal is to achieve and demonstrate the so-called "swarm production" where, differently from current traditional manufacturing systems (based on a linear and centralized production concept), in which products are manufactured sequentially over production modules where their respective PLCs and input/output (I/O) systems are connected by wires or buses to a centralized controller; wireless is integrated with the manufacturing system, allowing to have production modules distributed across the factory hall with their PLCs and I/O systems operated in remote edge-cloud configuration, and AMRs are used to move items between them (non-linear and de-centralized production). Swarm pro-

Ignacio Rodriguez, Rasmus S. Mogensen, Allan Schjørring, Mohammad Razzaghpour, Roberto Maldonado, Gilberto Berardinelli, Ramoni Adeogun, Per H. Christensen, and Preben Mogensen are with the Wireless Communication Network Section, Department of Electronic Systems, Aalborg University, Denmark. Ole Madsen, and Charles Møller are with the Department of Materials and Production, Aalborg University, Denmark. Guillermo Pocovi, Troels Kolding, and Claudio Rosa are with Nokia Bell Labs, Denmark. Brian Jørgensen, and Simone Barbera are with Telenor A/S, Denmark.

TABLE I
SIMPLIFIED MANUFACTURING AND PRODUCTION ROADMAP TOWARDS SWARM PRODUCTION

Step	Focus Area	Actions
(1)	Wireless Production	Remove cables between manufacturing line modules. Cloud-based production control. <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Manufacturing target: Flexibility, reconfiguration.• Communication needs: robust low-throughput delay-tolerant wireless communication links to static units.
(2)	PLC	Remove hardware, use cloud-based soft PLCs instead. <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Manufacturing target: Faster and cheaper adaptation of new functionalities.• Communication needs: reliable high-throughput low-latency wireless communication links to static units.
(3)	AMR	Move functionality (localization and navigation) to the cloud. Investigate new localization techniques. <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Manufacturing target: more efficient fleet management/cheaper robots and shared world model (cloud robotics).• Communication needs: ultra-reliable high-throughput low-latency wireless communication links to mobile units.
(4)	Swarm Production	Remove conveyor belts, make product carriers into small mobile robots. <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Manufacturing target: More flexible and robust automation.• Communication needs: ultra-reliable high-throughput low-latency wireless communication links to both static and mobile units.

duction will allow for the maximum level of flexibility and re-configuration of the production process, and will require robust automation and ultra-reliable cloud-based control. Thus, 5G is considered as the baseline technology for this use case. As part of the presented visions, outlined through a double helix approach between the Department of Materials and Production and the Wireless Communication Networks Section at Aalborg University, a roadmap with multiple steps is defined, addressing different sub-components of the swarm production concept, characterized by relevant related use cases which, as it will be explained later, can be realized over different wireless technologies. To demonstrate the different steps, different prototypes are designed, built and tested in an unique Industry 4.0 wireless testing ecosystem.

II. MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY GOALS AND WIRELESS AUTOMATION EVOLUTION

The manufacturing industry envisions factories as highly flexible facilities, where it will be possible to cope with the increasing demand of highly customized products, while reducing or at least maintaining resource and cost efficiency [4]. Flexibility can be achieved by leveraging the swarm production concept, i.e. de-centralized and non-linear production processes where products are transported by AMRs between manufacturing stations distributed across the factory hall. In order to successfully achieve such level of adaptable intelligent production, the integration of different technological components such as cloud-computing, 5G or B5G communications (able to provide URLLC to the different components in the system), robotics, autonomous systems and highly-accurate localization systems are essential.

As jumping directly from existing production schemes to swarm production might be difficult, we propose a simple reference evolution roadmap for the production process, where we define the transition path from traditional manufacturing systems to swarm production by the 4 steps summarized in Table I. Such steps have been carefully selected by analyzing the specific manufacturing and high-level communication needs and the availability of technological components, and can be taken sequentially, but also independently, as they have been defined around different areas of focus. In a sequential manner, the first step (1), applicable to the traditional production systems, would be to replace part of the cables in the production lines with wireless communication links, and set up a cloud-based manufacturing control server, replacing the

current local line controllers [6]. By doing this, a first level of flexibility is achieved by enabling an easier re-configuration of the production facilities as compared to wired setups. In order to achieve this step, a robust wireless communication, capable of coping with the control traffic, to and from the different modules, is necessary. The second step (2) targets PLCs which are, nowadays, programmed to perform specific actions and require manual software upgrades if a change is needed. Migrating the intelligence of the PLCs to the cloud, by relying on cloud-computing and the URLLC capabilities of the applied wireless technologies, will add an extra degree of flexibility to the production system by enabling a faster deployment of new or product-specific functionalities via software to the different production modules [7]. Architectures based on cloud-PLCs will be more scalable and will allow for having lighter production modules in terms of hardware, as now the processing power is moved to the cloud [8]. The communication needs are more demanding in this second step compared to the first one, as much more information will need to be transported from each of the industrial modules to the cloud controller.

The third step of the roadmap (3) focuses on the evolution of AMR fleets, where the main objectives are related to moving some of the robot functionalities to the cloud (similarly to the previous PLC case). In particular, the interest is put on having cloud-based localization and navigation. By doing this, a number of expensive and processing power-hungry onboard sensors (i.e. LiDaRs) could be removed, making the robots much more cost efficient and easier to manage [9]. In order to achieve this, different strategies can be used, such as for example, integrating the robots and the fleet manager server with an external high accuracy positioning system (such as UWB), and/or relying on the connectivity of the robots over a high bandwidth reliable technologies (such as 5G), allowing for the transfer of real time HD videos or pictures from each of the robots to the cloud fleet manager server. All the available information in the cloud can later be combined to create a shared world model (cloud robotics) [10]. In this case, the communication needs are even a bit more demanding than in the second step, as ultra-reliability is essential due to the safety-related critical communication aspects of the control of mobile robots. Once steps (2) and (3) are completed, the integration of both, would result into (4). Swarm production is founded on the intelligent cloud control of PLCs and AMRs [11], allowing to transport products between production

TABLE II
SUMMARY OF INDUSTRIAL USE CASES AND ASSOCIATED COMMUNICATION REQUIREMENTS

Use Case	Research Production Line MES	Operational Factory		AMRs		Swarm Production	
		MES	I/O	baseline	evolution	robots	cloud PLC
Link	UL/DL	UL/DL	UL/DL	UL	UL	UL/DL	UL/DL
Number of devices	10	50	25	20	20	20	10
Aggregated throughput	10 kbps	100 kbps	1 Mbps	20 Mbps	0.2-2 Gbps		
Individual user throughput				1 Mbps	10-100 Mbps		
Average packet size	64 B	128-256 B	128 B			256 B	128 B
Average inter-packet time	200 ms	20 ms	1 ms			100 ms	5 ms
Maximum control loop latency (survival time)	2 s RTT	N/A - but in the order of s	2 ms RTT jitter-critical	1 s RTT	10-20 ms RTT	10 ms RTT	10 ms RTT 1 ms desired
Applicable steps towards Swarm Production	(1) (2)	(1) (2)		(3)	(2) (3)	(3) (4)	(2) (4)
Candidate wireless technologies	Wi-Fi 4G, 5G	Wi-Fi 4G, 5G	5G B5G	Wi-Fi 4G, 5G	Wi-Fi 6 4G, 5G	Wi-Fi 6 5G	Wi-Fi 6 5G, B5G

UL: uplink, DL: downlink, RTT: round-trip time

modules via AMRs instead of relying on product carriers running over conveyor belts. In terms of communication needs, this step groups all the needs from the previous steps, i.e. accurate positioning, high-bandwidth, as well as ultra-reliable communication to both mobile and static units, to guarantee a synchronized performance between all the entities that integrate the advanced industrial manufacturing scenario. Thus, 5G is seen as a key technology for achieving this futuristic production concept.

The proposed roadmap is not universal. Not all industrial production entities consider advanced manufacturing concepts such as the swarm production in their digitalization strategies. From our three years of conversations with different entities of the Danish manufacturing industry, we realized that, in general, there is a huge hype about cloud control and cloud monitoring, but also that they give paramount importance to legacy machinery. Not all companies will have the chance to invest in the most advanced solutions, but still introducing a few wireless components for specific communication needs, might result in a considerable gain for them. This creates a vast diversity and heterogeneity of use cases with very specific communication needs and requirements, but also opens for the potential use of other wireless technologies, apart from 5G, in the future industrial automation ecosystem [12].

In order to illustrate the heterogeneity of applicable wireless technologies, Table II gathers a number of industrial use cases and associated communication requirements, mapped over the applicable technological candidates and roadmap steps. The table considers the following use cases: the manufacturing execution system (MES) - links between the centralized manufacturing controller and PLCs - of a FESTO CP Factory research production line [6], the MES and PLC-I/O links of an operational setup in a real factory, the MiR200-based AMRs - control links between the fleet operation manager and the PLC in the robot, and the envisioned swarm production, orchestrated over optimized robot communication and cloud PLC architectures. All communication-related parameters in the table are based on measurements over operational industrial-grade manufacturing equipment for the research production line MES, MES and I/O in the operational factory, and current baseline AMRs, while the rest, those related to evolution of the current systems and the targeted swarm production, are based on our own visions and educated research analysis. The presented use cases serve as reference to illustrate the

applicability of the roadmap steps. The current implementations of both the research production line and the production line at the operational factory, can be evolved by applying the roadmap steps (1) and (2): replacing cables with wireless and moving intelligence from the lines to the cloud control. In the case of the AMRs, steps (2) and (3) would be applicable as the desire in this case is improving the current wireless navigation control, and moving most of the robot intelligence to the cloud. Finally, in the swarm production case, all previous use cases could be combined and optimized as part of steps (3) and (4), by coordinating and synchronizing the operation of production line modules and AMRs, by making use of advanced automation algorithms. Clearly, based on the different requirements, some of the use cases can be operated over wireless technologies different to 5G, such as for example the PLCs and AMRs current control schemes, which could be operated reliably over 4G or Wi-Fi, as it will be illustrated in Section IV. On the other hand, it should be noted that some of the evolution use cases will require B5G technologies as control closed loops in some I/O cases demand stringent deterministic sub-ms latencies, which are not achievable over 5G [13]. In general, we believe that Wi-Fi 6, will also play a role in some of these use cases - mainly in the static ones, while in those requiring mobility, its suitability will be subject to a tight coordination between access points in order to ensure a reliable handover management.

III. THE AAU 5G SMART PRODUCTION LAB

With the aim of building and demonstrating the swarm production concept, and the associated use cases, in realistic industrial environment conditions, an advanced Industry 4.0 wireless playground was established at AAU. The AAU 5G Smart Production Lab is a 1200 m² factory industrial lab, with access to a wide range of operational industrial-grade manufacturing and production equipment from different vendors including production line modules, robotic arms, AMRs, etc. The lab is currently equipped with multiple networks from different wireless technologies, ranging from local private deployments of 4G LTE, 5G NR, and different flavors of Wi-Fi (including the last version, Wi-Fi 6), to dedicated operator-managed network slices of 4G LTE and 5G NR, and a dedicated positioning system based on UWB radio technology. A summary of all available wireless technologies is given in Table III, along with a few technical details.




Fig. 1. High-level overview of the Industry 4.0 wireless production testbed deployed at AAU, illustrating the swarm production concept with production modules and AMRs distributed over the production facilities, and the dedicated network infrastructure available for the testing of industrial use cases.

TABLE III
AAU 5G SMART PRODUCTION LAB WIRELESS CAPABILITIES

Network type	Wireless technology	Details
Local Private Network	5G NR Private (pNR)	Private 5G NR mini-core + pico BSs. 3.7 GHz SA, 100 MHz, TDD, 3 cells.
	4G LTE Private (pLTE)	2x Private mini-core + micro BSs. 3.5 GHz, 20 MHz, TDD, 3 cells each.
	Wi-Fi 6	2x Coordinated IEEE 802.11ax deployment. 5 GHz, 3 cells each, cloud management.
	Wi-Fi 5	Uncoordinated IEEE 802.11ac deployment. 2.4/5 GHz, 3 cells.
Dedicated Operator Network Slice	5G NR Dedicated (dNR)	Public core + dedicated APN/BS setup. 1.8/2.1/2.6 GHz, FDD, 3 cells.
	4G LTE Dedicated (dLTE)	Public core + dedicated APN/BS setup. 2.6 GHz, 20 MHz, FDD, 3 cells.
Positioning	UWB	Enterprise TDOA positioning solution. 8 anchors, <10 cm accuracy.

Fig. 1 depicts the high-level architecture of the research testbed, exemplifying how the different industrial components, such as production modules or AMRs, can be connected and controlled over the multiple available wireless networks. The integration between the machinery and the different networks, is achieved via wireless multi-access gateways (GW), which also allow for simultaneous multi-connectivity over multiple networks [14]. Quite some effort has been done in designing the network management back-end that integrates all the deployments with the local edge-cloud, where the management of the production systems, AMR fleets and GW devices is centralized. Such architecture allows to monitor the different networks and configure them as controlled test environments, with the possibility of recording network traces during the testing of the different use cases, enabling the opportunity of optimizing the network for the specific traffic and mobility patterns associated to that particular use case. This setup also allows to benchmark the performance of the different use cases under different 4G/5G licensed spectrum radio access network

and core combinations, by comparing the performance of private network solutions with dedicated edge-cloud servers, with that from a dedicated network slice operating over a public core, for example. Moreover, the same use case could be tested over different Wi-Fi settings, providing a benchmark of the performance over unlicensed spectrum technologies.

IV. PERFORMANCE OF INDUSTRIAL WIRELESS USE CASES

Two of the industrial use cases described in Table II have already been demonstrated over the testbed: the wireless control of industrial production and the control of AMRs. These tests were performed based on the testbed elements depicted in Fig. 2, and their associated wireless performance results are presented in Fig. 3, in terms of control-loop latency empirical complementary cumulative distribution functions (CCDF). This metric is of paramount importance, for understanding whether the communication requirements of a given use case can be fulfilled (i.e. its control-loop latency operated over a certain wireless technology is contained within the communication protocol bounds specified by its survival time), at high levels of reliability. For further details, values of average latency, jitter and packet error rate (PER) are also given in the legend of the figure for each of the tests.

A. Wireless Control of Industrial Production

For the first use case, step (1) of the roadmap was demonstrated. The cables between modules in the FESTO CP Factory research production line were removed and wireless GWs were installed instead, to provide control communication from the centralized MES controller deployed in edge-cloud configuration. More details about this specific use case are given in [14]. This industrial static use case has been evaluated over




Fig. 2. Picture of some of the industrial machinery elements of the testbed, including the FESTO CP Factory production line in its standard wired configuration and one of the Mir200-based AMRs. The picture also depicts one of the wireless multi-access gateways used in the various experiments to interface the industrial equipment to the different networks.

different Wi-Fi 5 configurations, 4G, and also more recently, 5G and Wi-Fi 6. The performance results presented in Fig. 3 for this case, consider the full line individually operated over the different technologies with all its 7 modules connected over wireless, and compared to the results obtained when the line was operated over its standard Ethernet-based control configuration.

The best wireless performance for this use case was achieved over optimized Wi-Fi 5/6, with an average control-loop latency only 2-2.5 ms higher than the reference one achieved over Ethernet. These results were obtained with single access point deployments with non-interfered Wi-Fi channels dedicated to the particular use case; which is usually not the case in operational factory scenarios. Operational factory scenarios are better represented by the non-optimized Wi-Fi 5 case, which exhibits a good average performance, but also presents much longer unbounded tails, reaching even up to 1 s at the lower percentiles. The performance over 4G is much more contained, over both the dLTE and pLTE configurations, ensuring a more deterministic low-jitter communication pattern, with control-loop latencies below 35 and 20 ms at median level, and 55 and 40 ms at the 99.99%-ile, respectively. The very similar shape of the dLTE and pLTE distributions can be explained due to the use of equipment from the same vendor in the radio access, and the offset difference between them, is due to the core network configuration: the dLTE relies on the public core of the operator, while the pLTE is based on a local core, which reduces the overall latency. The 5G pNR configuration, also based on a local core network, offers better control-loop performance than 4G, with less than 10 ms median and 22 ms at the lower percentiles, achieving the same reliability than the optimized Wi-Fi configurations at the tails. It should be noted that, the 5G used in the test, was a first out-of-the-box release, and thus, there is still plenty of room for optimization. In any case, as the control-loop latency requirements dictated by the maximum survival




Fig. 3. Wireless performance results for the wireless control of industrial production [MES/static] and for the control of AMRs [AMR/mobile] industrial use cases over different communication technologies.

time (2 s) were always fulfilled, the wireless manufacturing system operated reliably (without interruptions) over all Wi-Fi, 4G and 5G technologies. This was the case even when packet loss was observed, meaning that the higher layer mechanisms were able to correctly handle the communication errors. From a manufacturing performance perspective, it is difficult to evaluate the impact of the increased latency introduced by the wireless technologies, without the use of simulation tools. Based on the wireless performance numbers observed in the reported tests, it is expected that the degradation in production throughput will be maximum 0.01-0.41%, depending on the exact line configuration and technology chosen [15].

B. Control of Industrial Mobile Robots

For the second use case, the baseline for step (3) of the roadmap was demonstrated. In this initial exercise, the AMRs run a light-weight control communication algorithm with feedback to the cloud-edge fleet manager server; but most of its localization functions remain operating locally at the AMR. This mobile use case has been evaluated, for the moment, only over Wi-Fi 5 and 4G, considering a single controlled AMR, while roaming at default speed (maximum 0.8 m/s) around the multiple cells deployed in the industrial hall following pre-configured mobility patterns (based on way-point definitions).

For this mobile use case, the best control-loop performance was achieved over the pLTE configuration. As compared to the non-optimized Wi-Fi 5 case, 4G outperforms Wi-Fi at both the median (20 vs. 35 ms, respectively), and low percentiles (157 ms vs. 4.2 s, respectively). As the maximum latency tolerable by the control-loop in this use case was 1 s, the operation over Wi-Fi resulted in sudden interruptions in the normal operation of the robot during 0.14% of the time. By comparing the performance of the mobile use case and the static use case over pLTE and non-optimized Wi-Fi 5, it is possible to quantify the effect of mobility and handover

management for both technologies, being the impact in the order of 1-117 ms for 4G and 4 ms-3.2 s for Wi-Fi. It is clear that, due to their operation in dedicated licensed spectrum and in-built scheduling and handover mechanisms, the cellular technologies (4G, 5G) offer a much more contained and deterministic control-loop latency with lower packet error rates, than Wi-Fi.

V. CONCLUSION

The factories of the future will be equipped with flexible manufacturing equipment enabling the mass production of highly customized products. In order to achieve the maximum level of flexibility, a complete transformation of the traditional sequential centralized production paradigm is needed. In this respect, we envision the swarm production, (non-linear decentralized production), enabled by the integration of advanced wireless technologies, cloud-computing, and autonomous mobile robots, which can be made a reality by following a simple roadmap and implementing the different steps and associated use cases. We have put in practice some of the steps of the roadmap, and have successfully demonstrated the wireless control of industrial production, as well as the control of mobile autonomous robots in a dedicated industrial wireless research setup, the AAU 5G Smart Production Lab, considering multiple technologies such as Wi-Fi 5, Wi-Fi 6, 4G and 5G.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to express their gratitude to Andreas E. Fink and Søren A. Markussen, M.Sc. students at AAU, for their contribution to the collection of the initial 5G and Wi-Fi 6 performance results.

REFERENCES

[1] B. Chen *et al.*, “Smart Factory of Industry 4.0: Key Technologies, Application Case, and Challenges,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 6505-6519, 2017.

[2] B. Holfeld *et al.*, “Wireless Communication for Factory Automation: An Opportunity for LTE and 5G Systems,” *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 54, no. 6, pp. 36-43, 2016.

[3] M. Wollschlaeger *et al.*, “The Future of Industrial Communication: Automation Networks in the Era of the Internet of Things and Industry 4.0,” *IEEE Ind. Electron. Mag.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 17-27, 2017.

[4] J. Kruger *et al.*, “Innovative control of assembly systems and lines”, *CIRP Annals - Manufacturing Technology*, no. 66, pp. 707-730, 2017.

[5] 3GPP TR 22.804 V16.2.0, “Study on Communication for Automation in Vertical Domains (Release 16)”, December 2018.

[6] I. Rodríguez *et al.*, “On the Design of a Wireless MES Solution for the Factories of the Future”, in *Proc. GIoT5*, 2019.

[7] T. Goldschmidt *et al.*, “Cloud-Based Control: A Multi-tenant, Horizontally Scalable Soft-PLC”, in *Proc. IEEE CLOUD*, 2015.

[8] H. Tang *et al.*, “A Reconfigurable Method for Intelligent Manufacturing Based on Industrial Cloud and Edge Intelligence”, *IEEE Internet of Things J.*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 4248 - 4259, 2019.

[9] E. Garcia *et al.*, “The evolution of robotics research”, *IEEE Robot. & Autom. Mag.*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 90-103, 2007.

[10] G. Hu *et al.*, “Cloud robotics: architecture, challenges and applications”, *IEEE Network*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 21-28, 2012.

[11] A. Vick *et al.*, “Control of robots and machine tools with an extended factory cloud”, in *Proc. IEEE WFCS*, 2015.

[12] A. Varghese *et al.*, “Wireless requirements and challenges in Industry 4.0”, in *Proc. IC3I*, 2014.

[13] G. Berardinelli *et al.*, “Beyond 5G Wireless IRT for Industry 4.0: Design Principles and Spectrum Aspects”, in *Proc. IEEE GLOBECOM Wksp*, 2018.

[14] R. S. Mogensen *et al.*, “Implementation and Trial Evaluation of a Wireless Manufacturing Execution System for Industry 4.0”, in *Proc. IEEE VTC-Fall*, 2019.

[15] R.S. Mogensen *et al.*, “Evaluation of the Impact of Wireless Communication in Production via Factory Digital Twins”, [to-be-published] *Manufacturing Letters*, 2020.

BIOGRAPHIES

IGNACIO RODRIGUEZ received his PhD degree in Wireless Communications from Aalborg University, Denmark, in 2016. Since then, he has been a Postdoctoral Researcher at the same institution, working in close collaboration with Nokia Bell Labs. His research interests include radio propagation, 5G, URLLC and IIoT.

RASMUS S. MOGENSEN received his MSc in Networks and Distributed Systems from Aalborg University, Denmark, in 2018. He is currently pursuing a PhD degree, also at Aalborg University, in cooperation with Nokia Bell Labs. His research interests include Industry 4.0, industrial wireless technologies and protocols, and autonomous mobile robots.

ALLAN SCHJØRRING received his MSc in Medialogy from Aalborg University, Denmark. Since July 2019, he has worked as a Research Assistant at the same university. His research interests are related to autonomous mobile robots and indoor positioning systems.

MOHAMMAD RAZZAGHPUR received his MSc degree in Electrical Engineering from the K. N. Toosi University of Technology, Iran, in 2014. He has worked at the Telecommunication Company of Iran and at the Mobile Communication Company of Iran. He is currently a PhD Fellow at Aalborg University, Denmark. His research interests include IIoT, LPWAN, URLLC, and 6G.

ROBERTO MALDONADO received his MSc degree in Telecommunication Engineering from Granada University, Spain, in 2016. He is currently pursuing a PhD degree at Aalborg University, Denmark, in collaboration with Nokia Bell Labs. His research interests include unlicensed spectrum, URLLC, and 5G-NR RRM features.

GILBERTO BERARDINELLI received his PhD degree from Aalborg University, Denmark, in 2010. He is currently an Associate Professor at the same institution, working in tight cooperation with Nokia Bell Labs. His research interests include PHY, MAC, and RRM design for 5G systems and beyond.

RAMONI ADEOGUN received his PhD in Electronic and Computer Systems Engineering from Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand. He is currently a Postdoctoral Fellow at Aalborg University, Denmark. His research interests include radio channel characterization, ML and AI for communications, and intelligent spectrum access.

PER H. CHRISTENSEN graduated from the Danish Technical University in Engineering in 1980. Since then, Per held various positions in R&D and Management in the telecommunication industry, working for Dancall Radio, Sony Mobile, Infineon and Intel. He is a board member in various start-up companies and, currently, he is also associated to Aalborg University as Lab Engineer.

PREBEN MOGENSEN became Full Professor at Aalborg University in 2000, where he is currently leading the Wireless Communication Networks Section. He is also Principal Scientist in the Standardization & Research Lab of Nokia Bell Labs. His current research interests include industrial use cases for 5G, 5G evolution and 6G. He is a Bell Labs Fellow.

OLE MADSEN is a Professor at Aalborg University, Denmark, where he is Head of the research group on Robotics and Automation. His research interests include flexible robotics, re-configurable manufacturing systems and smart production. He has also worked for Intelligent Welding Automation as a co-founder, and for Grundfos.

CHARLES MØLLER hold a PhD in Industrial Engineering from Aalborg University, where he is currently a Full Professor in Enterprise Systems and Process Innovation. His research interests include ERP/MES systems, IT/OT integration, virtual factories and smart production. Charles is also a Principal Investigator at the Manufacturing Academy of Denmark.

GUILLERMO POCОВI received his PhD from Aalborg University, Denmark, in 2017. He is currently a 5G Radio Standardization in Nokia Bell Labs Aalborg. His research activities are related to the support of URLLC and IIoT use cases in 5G NR.

TROELS KOLDING received his PhD degree in 1999 from Aalborg University. He is now with Nokia Bell Labs Aalborg, with research responsibilities within areas of 5G IIoT, deterministic TSN and TSC, and advanced network architectures. He holds more than 50 US patents and several awards.

CLAUDIO ROSA received his PhD degree from Aalborg University, Denmark, in 2005. He is currently with Nokia Bell Labs Aalborg, where he works as a Senior Wireless Network Specialist. His research activities are focused on unlicensed spectrum for cellular and private network deployments, including MulteFire and 5G NR-U.

BRIAN JØRGENSEN got his BScEE from Syddansk Universitet in 1992, and his executive MBA from Aalborg University in 2010. He has worked at Telital R&D, Texas Instruments, and founded the startup Inntrasys Aps. Brian is currently the Head of the Telenor Denmark IP & Transmission Department.

SIMONE BARBERA got his PhD in Telecommunication Engineering at the University of Rome Tor Vergata, Italy, in 2011. He has worked at ELITAL, Aalborg University, and Nokia Networks. He is currently with Telenor Denmark, where he is a Senior Network Specialist responsible for the Radio Lab.