

Coursera: Introduction to Statistician

The **histogram** allows to use blocks with **different widths**

Key point: the areas of the blocks are proportional to frequency.

The histogram gives to two kinds of information:

1. density (crowding)-> the height of the bar
2. percentage (relative frequencies) $\text{area} = \text{height} * \text{width}$

Box plot (**whisker**)

Boxplot conveys less information than a histogram, but it takes up less space and so is well suited to compare several datasets.

Shows five numbers: smallest, 1st quartile, median, 3rd quartile, largest
(inter-quartile range 3rd-1st quartile)

Scatterplot:

Is used to depict data that comes as pairs

$$\text{Std} = \sqrt{(x_i - \bar{x})^2 / n} \text{ or } \sqrt{(x_i - \bar{x})^2 / (n-1)}$$

Complementary rule: $p(\text{a not occur}) = 1 - P(\text{a occur})$

Rule for equally likely outcomes $P(A) = 1/n$

A and B are **mutually exclusive** if they cannot occur at the same time.

Addition Rule: $P(\text{a or b}) = P(a) + P(b)$

Conditional probability $P(B|A) = P(A \text{ and } B) / P(A)$

Multiplication rule: $P(A \text{ and } B) = P(A)P(B|A)$

Bayes' Rule:

$$P(B|A) = P(A|B)P(B) / P(A)$$

$$= P(A|B)P(B) / [P(A|B)P(B) + P(A|\text{not } B)P(\text{not } B)]$$

Bayesian analysis

The empirical rule:

About 2/3 of the data fall within one std of the mean

About 95% fall within 2 std of the mean

About 99% fall within 3 std of the mean

Standardizing data:

To compute the areas under the normal curve, we first standardize the data:

$Z = (\text{height} - \bar{x}) / s \rightarrow$ standardized value or z-score

Standardized data: mean=0 std=1

Binominal Distribution

The binomial formula describes the probability of getting a certain number of successes and failures in an experiment.

$$N! / (N-k)!k! * p^k (1-p)^{(n-k)}$$

Standard error:

$$SE = \sigma / \sqrt{n}$$

Expected value and std for the sum:

$$E(S_n) = n * \mu$$

$$SE(S_n) = \sigma * \sqrt{n}$$

Expected value and std for percentages:

$$E(\text{percentage of } 1s) = \mu * 100\%$$

$$SE(\text{percentage of } 1s) = \sigma / \sqrt{n} * 100\%$$

The law of large numbers:

The law of large numbers states that an observed sample average from a large sample will be close to the true population average and that it will get closer the larger the sample.

The Law of Large Numbers refers to averages (percentages), not sums, as their standard error increases with the sample size.

The Central Limit Theorem

The central limit theorem (CLT) states that the distribution of sample means approximates a normal distribution as the sample size gets larger, regardless of the population's distribution.

$$\mu = p$$

$$\sigma = \sqrt{np(1-p)}$$

The correlation coefficient

The correlation coefficient tells us about the direction and strength of linear relationship.

Confidence intervals via Central Limit Theorem

Estimate $\pm z$ SE

e.g. 95% CI $z=1.96$

90% CI $Z=1.65$

The **bootstrap principle** states that we can estimate sigma by its sample version s and still get an approximately correct confidence interval

Example:

We pool 1000 likely voters and find that 58% of them approve the way the president handles his job.

SE = $\sigma/\sqrt{n} * 100\%$, where $\sigma = \sqrt{p(1-p)}$, p = proportion of all voters who approve

The bootstrap principle replaces σ by s = standard deviation of the 0/1 labels in the sample = $\sqrt{0.58(1-0.58)} = 0.49$

So a 95% CI for p is

$58\% \pm 2 * 0.49 / \sqrt{1000} \rightarrow [54.9\%, 61.1\%]$

z-statistic:

$z = (\text{observed} - \text{expected}) / \text{SE}$

large values of $|z|$ are evidence against H_0 .

The strength of the evidence is measured by the p-value (observed significance level)

The Monte Carlo Method

A Monte Carlo simulation is **a model used to predict the probability of different outcomes when the intervention of random variables is present.**

Monte Carlo simulations help to explain the impact of risk and uncertainty in prediction and forecasting models.

Bootstrap Confidence Interval

If the sampling distribution of θ is approximately normal, then

$$[\theta - z_{\alpha/2} \text{SE}(\theta), \theta + z_{\alpha/2} \text{SE}(\theta)]$$

Is an approximate $(1-\alpha)$ confidence interval for θ

Exercise:

- 1. We want to use the Monte Carlo method to estimate the probability of getting exactly one ace (one spot) in three rolls of die.***

To simulate three rolls of a die, we draw three times a number at random (with replacement) from 1,2,3,4,5,6. If we get the number '1' exactly once, then we label this trial to be a success.

We repeat this $B=1000$ times. The proportion of successes in these 1000 trials is our Monte Carlo estimate of the probability in question.

- 2. We want to use the Monte Carlo Method to approximate the standard error of our estimate from Question 1.**

We repeat the whole Monte Carlo simulation done in Question 1 many times (e.g. 2000 times).

Each time we get an estimate of the probability in question. We compute the standard deviation of these 2000 estimates.

- 3. We want to use the bootstrap to estimate the bias of θ^\wedge , $E(\theta^\wedge) - \theta$. Where θ is some function of our population of interest. θ : population, $\theta^\wedge = \text{sample}$.**

As usual, we only have access to data from a sample of this population.

The bootstrap plug-in principle suggests to estimate the bias

$E(\theta^\wedge) - t(\text{population})$

By

$E(\theta^{*\wedge}) - t(\text{sample})$

$E(\theta^{*\wedge})$ can be approximated by Monte Carlo, resulting in the bootstrap estimate of bias

$1/B \sum (\theta^{*\wedge} - \theta^\wedge(\text{sample}))$

- 4. We want to compute a 90% bootstrap percentile interval for the correlation coefficient based on 32 pairs $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_{32}, Y_{32})$. Which of the following is a correct description for doing this?**

Resample 32 pairs (that is, don't break any pairs apart) and compute the correlation coefficient r^*_{32} of these 32 pairs.

Repeat $B=1000$ times to get B bootstrap versions

Chi-Squared Test

1. Testing goodness-of-fit

$\chi^2 = \sum (\text{observed} - \text{expected})^2 / \text{expected}$

Large values of the chi-square statistic X^2 are evidence of against of H_0

The p-value is the right tail of the X^2 distribution with $df = \text{number of categories} - 1$

2. Testing homogeneity

$$X^2 = \sum (\text{observed} - \text{expected})^2 / \text{expected}$$

$$Df = (\text{no. of columns} - 1) (\text{no. of rows} - 1)$$

3. Testing independence

Comparing several means

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) F-test

Treatment sum of squares

$$SST = \sum_j \sum_i (y_{j_bar} - y_bar)^2 \text{ has } k-1 \text{ df}$$

The **treatment mean square**

$$MST = SST / k-1$$

Measures the variability of the treatment means y_{j_bar}

The error sum of squares

$$SSE = \sum_j \sum_i (y_{ij} - y_{j_bar})^2$$

Has $N-k$ df

The error mean square

$$MSE = SSE / N-k$$

$$F = MST / MSE$$

Under the null hypothesis of equal group means this ratio should be about 1.

It follows a F distribution with $k-1$ and $N-k$ df

Large value of F suggests the variation between the groups is unusually large.

We reject H_0 .

