

Study	TE	SE	Weight	Std. Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI
Call et al.	0.71	0.2608	5.2%	0.71 [0.20; 1.22]
Cavanagh et al.	0.35	0.1964	6.1%	0.35 [−0.03; 0.74]
DanitzOrsillo	1.79	0.3456	4.2%	1.79 [1.11; 2.47]
de Vibe et al.	0.18	0.1178	7.1%	0.18 [−0.05; 0.41]
Frazier et al.	0.42	0.1448	6.8%	0.42 [0.14; 0.71]
Frogeli et al.	0.63	0.1960	6.1%	0.63 [0.25; 1.01]
Gallego et al.	0.72	0.2247	5.7%	0.72 [0.28; 1.17]
Hazlett–Stevens & Oren	0.53	0.2105	5.9%	0.53 [0.12; 0.94]
Hintz et al.	0.28	0.1680	6.5%	0.28 [−0.05; 0.61]
Kang et al.	1.28	0.3372	4.3%	1.28 [0.61; 1.94]
Kuhlmann et al.	0.10	0.1947	6.1%	0.10 [−0.28; 0.49]
Lever Taylor et al.	0.39	0.2308	5.6%	0.39 [−0.06; 0.84]
Phang et al.	0.54	0.2443	5.4%	0.54 [0.06; 1.02]
Rasanen et al.	0.43	0.2579	5.3%	0.43 [−0.08; 0.93]
Ratanasiripong	0.52	0.3513	4.1%	0.52 [−0.17; 1.20]
Shapiro et al.	1.48	0.3153	4.5%	1.48 [0.86; 2.10]
SongLindquist	0.61	0.2267	5.7%	0.61 [0.17; 1.06]
Warnecke et al.	0.60	0.2490	5.4%	0.60 [0.11; 1.09]
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	0.59 [0.39; 0.80]
Prediction interval				[−0.21; 1.40]

Heterogeneity: $\text{Tau}^2 = 0.1337$; $\text{Chi}^2 = 45.50$, $\text{df} = 17$ ($P < 0.01$); $I^2 = 63\%$

