Study	TE seTE	ı	SMD	95% CI	weight
Claiborn	-0.32 0.2200		-0.32	[-0.75; 0.11]	4.0%
Fine	-0.18 0.1591	- 		[-0.49; 0.13]	6.0%
Jose & Cody	-0.14 0.1670			[-0.47; 0.19]	5.7%
Ginsburg	-0.07 0.1741			[-0.41; 0.27]	5.4%
Evans & Rosenthal	-0.06 0.1030	-		[-0.26; 0.14]	9.1%
Grieger	-0.06 0.1670			[-0.39; 0.27]	5.7%
Fielder et al.	-0.02 0.1030	_		[-0.22; 0.18]	9.1%
Keshock	-0.02 0.2890			[-0.59; 0.55]	2.6%
Rosenthal et al.	0.03 0.1249			[-0.21; 0.27]	7.7%
Fleming & Anttonen	0.07 0.0938	- 1		[-0.11; 0.25]	9.7%
Conn et al.	0.12 0.1470	- ia -		[-0.17; 0.41]	6.6%
Flowers	0.18 0.2229			[-0.26; 0.62]	3.9%
Henrikson	0.23 0.2900			[-0.34; 0.80]	2.6%
Pellegrini & Hicks	0.26 0.3691			[-0.46; 0.98]	1.7%
Kester	0.27 0.1640	+		[-0.05; 0.59]	5.8%
Rosenthal & Jacobson	0.30 0.1389			[0.03; 0.57]	7.0%
Carter	0.54 0.3020	<u> </u>		[-0.05; 1.13]	2.4%
Maxwell	0.80 0.2510		0.80		3.3%
Pellegrini & Hicks	1.18 0.3730		1.18	[0.45; 1.91]	1.7%
G				. , .	
Overall effect		•	0.08	[-0.02; 0.18]	100.0%
Prediction interval				[-0.23; 0.39]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 50\%$	[15%; 70%], p < 0	.01		- · · •	
.	<u> </u>	-0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2			