

FINAL DRAFT

Machine Learning Consumer Loan Processing

Ram Rao


July 1, 2022

DSA 5900 Practicum



Project Definition

- Identify Credit-Worthiness of Loan Applicants at Financial Institutions
 - Apply Machine Learning Models to Evaluate whether Applicants will default on a Loan
- Identify a Process for Remote Machine Learning
 - Distributed System Training
 - Aggregation and Testing on Server
- Stakeholders:
 - Agencies that Process Consumer Loans
- Dr. Radhakrishnan and Dr. Trafalis are my advisors



Data Ingestion



Data Source:

<https://www.bondora.com/en/public-reports>

Tableau, Python, Sckit Learn,
Tensorflow/Keras,
PyTorch and PySft

No of Features

111 Predictor Variables

1 Target Variable

- Defaulted : 1
- Non Defaulted : 0

Overall Class Counts

Defaulted: 1

Not Defaulted: 0

Target Class	Count of Target Class	% of Total Count of Target Class)
0	156,588	66.0%
1	80,635	34.0%
Grand Total	237,223	100.0%

Count of Target Class and % of Total Count of Target Class) broken down by Target Class.





Tableau : Data Viz

Python: Data Processing

Sckit Learn: ML Models

Tensorflow/Keras: Neural Net

PyTorch, PySft: Remote ML




Data Exploration and Preparation - 1



Correlation Coefficient


Exploratory Analysis:

- ☐ Lower Default
 - Higher Income
 - Lower Interest Servicing
 - Better Credit Rating
 - Higher Previous Credit
 - Higher Education
 - More Prompt Payment
- ☐ No Significant Multicollinearity
- ☐ Correlation Not High Between Predictor and Target



Days to Payments By Class Percentage of Total vs Days Outstanding
Defaulted: 1; Non Defaulted: 0

Active Late Category	Target Class		Grand Total
	0	1	
0-7	95.84%	4.16%	100.00%
8-15	97.51%	2.49%	100.00%
151-180	2.94%	97.06%	100.00%
180+	0.85%	99.15%	100.00%




Variable_Name	Defaulted
EmploymentDurationCurrentEmployer_U pTo3Years	0.091
NewCreditCustomer_True	0.102
EmploymentDurationCurrentEmployer_U pTo2Years	0.108
PrincipalBalance	0.111
RefinanceLiabilities	0.119
Rating_E	0.120
IncomeFromPrincipalEmployer	0.144
MonthlyPayment	0.160
PlannedInterestTillDate	0.187
OccupationArea	0.237
DebtToIncome	0.245
Rating_HR	0.249
UseOfLoan	0.254
Rating_F	0.256
ExpectedReturn	0.273
ActiveScheduleFirstPaymentReached_True	0.277
MaritalStatus	0.282
EmploymentStatus	0.286
Country_ES	0.298
Interest	0.354
ExpectedLoss	0.409
ProbabilityOfDefault	0.432
PrincipalOverdueBySchedule	0.487
Status_Late	0.758
Defaulted	1.000

Data Exploration and Preparation - 2

Exploratory Analysis:

- ❑ Higher Spread and Max for Target Class 1
 - Probability of Default
 - Debt Types
 - Interest Servicing
- ❑ No Significant Differences Between Classes
 - Applied Amount
 - Income Types

Box and Whiskers - Predictor Variables




Data Exploration and Preparation - 3



Exploratory Analysis:

❑ Missing Value Handling

- ✓ Removed Categorical Variables with No Numerical Value
- ✓ Removed Variables with more than 10 pct Missing
- ✓ Removed Variables Populated Following Default
- ✓ Removed Rows with Missing Values for Surviving Features
- ✓ Scaled Continuous Variables
- ✓ One Hot Encoded Categorical Variables



Data Cleansing

Dataset ID	No of Features
Original Dataset	112
Final Dataset	59
Final Dataset, Following Scaling and Hot Encoding	72

Final Dataset Breakdown



Target Class	Count of Target Class	% of Total Count of Target Class
0	137,895	65.28%
1	73,345	34.72%
Total	211,240	100.00%

PCA Assessment




PCA Analysis:

- ✓ 5,000 Dataset Points Analyzed
- ✓ No of Continuous Variables Scaled and Transformed: 28
- ✓ Limited Variance Explained by 5 Components
- ✓ No Significant Separation Between Classes Observed from PCA 1, 2, and 3
- ✓ Bi Plot shows Explanation of Few Features from PCA 1 and 2




**PCA Not a Significant Benefit to Model Predictability,
Categorical Count Outweighs Continuous Variables**

3 component PCA



Modeling Preprocessing And Overview



- ☐ **Preprocessing with Sckit-Learn**
 - ✓ Scaled Continuous Variables
 - ✓ One hot encoded Categorical Variables
- ☐ **Modeling, Training/Testing**
 - ✓ Sckit Learn
 - ✓ Tensorflow Keras
 - Default
 - GridSearch CV Optimization
 - ✓ Remote Machine Learning – PyTorch and PySft
 - ✓ Sckit-Learn Metrics for Evaluation



Model Results - Logistic Regression and Naïve Bayes

Logistic Regression:


- Grid Search 5-Fold CV
- 200 Iterations
- Hyperparameters
 - ✓ Penalty: **L1** and L2, Elasticnet
 - ✓ C : 1, **5**, 10
 - ✓ Solver, lbfgs, **liblinear** and saga
 - ✓ L1_ratio: 0.2, 0.6

Naïve Bayes:

- Grid Search 5-Fold CV
- Hyperparameters
 - ✓ Alpha: 1E-4, 1E-2, 1E-1, and **1**




Logistic Regression



Naïve Bayes

Logistic Regression	Class 0 Predicted	Class 1 Predicted
Class 0 Actual	26,280	907
Class 1 Actual	928	13,687

Naïve Bayes	Class 0 Predicted	Class 1 Predicted
Class 0 Actual	24,283	2,904
Class 1 Actual	3,762	10,853



Model Results - Decision Trees and Ensemble Forests





Decision Trees:

- Grid Search 5-Fold CV
- Hyperparameters
 - ✓ Criterion : gini, **entropy**
 - ✓ Max_depth : 5, 10, **20**



Ensemble Forests:

- Grid Search 5-Fold CV
- Hyperparameters
 - ✓ N_estimators: 5, 10, 20, 50, **100**
 - ✓ Learning_Rate: 0.1, 0.5, **1.0**, 2.0, 5.0




Decision Trees	Class 0 Predicted	Class 1 Predicted
Class 0 Actual	26,663	554
Class 1 Actual	591	14,024

Ensemble Forests	Class 0 Predicted	Class 1 Predicted
Class 0 Actual	26,238	949
Class 1 Actual	1,276	13,339



Decision Trees



Ensemble Forests


Adaboost boosts a Weak Decision Tree Classifier of Max_Depth = 1

Model Results Random Forests




Random Forests:


- Grid Search 5-Fold CV
 - Criterion: gini
 - Max_depth: None
- Hyperparameters
 - ✓ N_estimators: 50, 100, **200**
 - ✓ Criterion: gini, **entropy**
 - ✓ Max_features: **sqrt**, log2



Random Forests w/Tuning




Random Forests w/Tuning



Random Forests w/o Tuning

Random Forests	Class 0 Predicted	Class 1 Predicted
Class 0 Actual	26,854	333
Class 1 Actual	826	13,789




Model Results - Neural Nets, Keras/Tensorflow



Neural Net:

- ✓ 3 Hidden Layers: 100, 50, and 25 Neurons, Relu Activation
- ✓ 1 Output Layer, 1 Neuron, Sigmoid Activation
- ✓ Grid Search CV = 3
- ✓ Hyperparameters
- Optimizer: rmsprop, **adam**
- inits: **glorot_uniform**, normal, uniform
- Epochs: 50, 100, **150**
- Batches: **5**, 20

Neural Net	Class 0 Predicted	Class 1 Predicted
Class 0 Actual	630	308
Class 1 Actual	44	3,018



Neural Network





Remote Machine Learning - Overview

Why Useful?

- ✓ Keeps Data Private
- ✓ Data Owner has Control Over Data
- ✓ Machine Learner Benefits from Access to Distributed Data

Process?

- ✓ PySft Wrapper to ML Package
- ✓ Encryption and Privacy Maintained
- ✓ Machine Learner Can Access Multiple Data Sources Simultaneously
- ✓ Models Trained Remotely and can be Aggregated for Use



Remote Machine Learning –PyTorch/PySft Results



Remote Learning Process:

- ✓ Data Owner/Data Scientist interact via PySyft and PyGrid/AWS
- ✓ Data Owner sends data to Data Scientist
- ✓ Data Scientist makes requests via Pysft to Data Owner
- ✓ Data Scientist creates model
- ✓ Data Scientist sends model to Owner
- ✓ Training on Remote Server
- ✓ Model Sent to Data Scientist Once Trained
- ✓ Data Scientist Tests Model – Sckit Learn Packages

PyTorch and PySft:

- ✓ 2 Hidden Layers: 100 and 100 Neurons, Relu Activation
- ✓ 1 Output Layer, 2 Neurons, Log_soft_max Activation
- ✓ 300 Epochs
- ✓ Optimizer: Adam
- ✓ learning_rate = .01
- ✓ nn.functional.nll_loss

PyTorch/ PySft	Class 0 Predicted	Class 1 Predicted
Class 0 Actual	1,262	99
Class 1 Actual	95	634





Model Evaluation – Performance Metrics

	Best Hyperparameters	RMSE	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F_1Score	AUC
Logistic Regression	L1 Penalty, liblinear Solver, C =5	0.209	0.956	0.938	0.936	0.937	0.951
Naïve Bayes	Alpha = 1.0	0.399	0.841	0.789	0.743	0.765	0.818
Decision Tree	Criterion – entropy, Max_depth = 20	0.166	0.973	0.962	0.960	0.961	0.970
Ensemble Forest, Boosts DT of Max_Depth: 1	N_estimators= 100 l_rate = 1.0,	0.231	0.947	0.934	0.913	0.923	0.939
Random Forest	N_estimators = 200, Criterion – entropy, Max_features = sqrt	0.163	0.972	0.976	0.943	0.960	0.966
Neural Net – Keras/Tensorflow	Batch_size = 5, epochs=150, init- glorot_uniform, optimizer= adam	0.249	0.912	0.907	0.986	0.945	0.980
Neural Net - PyTorch	Not Applicable	TBC	0.907	0.865	0.869	0.867	0.966

Conclusions

All Models, Except Naïve Bayes, Provided Consistent Results – 5-Fold CV

Precision, Accuracy, Recall, and F1_Scores were all above 0.90

Random Forest and Decision Tree had best RMSEs of 0.163/0.166

Neural Nets with Tensorflow/Keras had best AUC of 0.980

✓ 3 Fold Grid Search CV was Trained on 10 Pct of Dataset as it was Expensive to Train on Full Dataset

Remote (Federated) ML with PyTorch/PySft Provided Good Results

Performance Similar to Other Models

Can be Trained Remotely on Multiple Distributed Systems and Model Results can be Aggregated on Server for Testing

Questions

