

Dimensionality Reduction





#### Some problem sets may have

- Large number of feature set
- Making the model extremely slow
- Even making it difficult to find a solution
- This is referred to as 'Curse of Dimensionality'

#### Example

- MNIST Dataset
  - a. Each pixel was a feature
  - b. (28\*28) number of features for each image
  - c. Border feature had no importance and could be ignored






#### Example

- MNIST Dataset
  - Also, neighbouring pixels are highly correlated
  - Neighbouring pixels can be merged into one without losing much of information
  - Hence, further reducing the dimensions or features





#### Some benefits of dimension reduction

- Faster and more efficient model
- Better visualization to gain important insights by detecting patterns

#### Drawbacks:

 Lossy - we lose some information - we should try with the original dataset before going for dimension reduction

#### Some important facts

- Q. Probability that a random point chosen in a unit metre square is 0.001 m from the border?
- Ans. ?

#### Some important facts

- Q. Probability that a random point chosen in a unit metre square is 0.001 m from the border?
- Ans. 0.004 = 1 (0.998)\*\*2


#### Some important facts

- Q. Probability that a random point chosen in a unit metre square is 0.001 m from the border?
- Ans. 0.004, meaning chances are very low that the point will extreme along any dimension

- Q. Probability that a random point chosen on a 10,000 dimensional unit metre hypercube is 1 mm from the border?
- Ans. >99.999999 %

Some important observations about large dimension datasets

- Higher dimensional datasets are at risk of being very sparse
- Most training sets are likely to be far away from each other



Instances much more scattered in higher dimensions, hence sparse

New dataset (test) dataset will also likely be far away from any training instance

making predictions much less reliable

#### Hence,

- more dimensional the training set is,
- the greater the risk of overfitting.


#### How to reduce the curse of dimensionality?

• Increase the size of training set (number of datasets) to reach a sufficient density of training instances

 However, number of instances required to reach a given density grows exponentially with the number of dimensions

(features)

Adding more instances will increase the density



#### How to reduce the curse of dimensionality?


- Example:
  - For a dataset with 100 features
  - Will need more training datasets than atoms in observable universe
  - To have the instances on an average 0.1 distance from each other (assuming they are spread out equally)
- Hence, we reduce the dimensions

#### Dimensionality Reduction

Main approaches for dimensionality reduction


- Projection
- Manifold Learning

# Dimensionality Reduction



Most real-world problems do not have training instances spread out across all dimensions


- Many features are almost constant -
- While others are correlated



Q. How many features are there in the above graph?

Most real-world problems do not have training instances spread out across all dimensions


- Many features are almost constant -
- While others are correlated



Q. How many features are there in the above graph? 3

Most real-world problems do not have training instances spread out across all dimensions


- Many features are almost constant -
- While others are correlated



Q. Which of the feature is almost constant for almost all instances? x1, x2 or x3?

Most real-world problems do not have training instances spread out across all dimensions


- Many features are almost constant -
- While others are correlated




Q. Which of the feature is almost constant for almost all instances? Ans: x3

Most of the training instances actually lie within (or close to) a much lower-dimensional subspace.

Refer the diagram below



- Not all instances are ON the 2-dimensional subspace
- If we project all the instances perpendicularly on the subspace
  - We get the new 2d dataset with features z1 and z2



# Remember projection from Linear Algebra?


As we have seen in linear algebra session,

- A vector v can be projected onto
- another vector u
- By doing a dot product of v and u.

$$proj_{u}v = \frac{u \cdot v}{\|u\|^{2}} \times u$$

Which is equivalent to:

$$proj_{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}) \times \hat{\mathbf{u}}$$




# Remember projection from Linear Algebra?

- Q. For the graph below, which of these is true?
  - a. Vector v is orthogonal to u
  - b. Vector v is projected into vector u
  - c. Vector u is projected into vector v

$$proj_{u}v = \frac{u \cdot v}{\|u\|^{2}} \times u$$

Which is equivalent to:

$$\operatorname{proj}_{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{u}})\times\hat{\mathbf{u}}$$




# Remember projection from Linear Algebra?

- A. For the graph below, which of these is true?
  - a. Vector v is orthogonal to u
  - b. Vector v is projected into vector u
  - c. Vector u is projected into vector v


$$proj_{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{v} = \frac{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{u}\|^2} \times \mathbf{u}$$

Which is equivalent to:

$$\operatorname{proj}_{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{u}})\times\hat{\mathbf{u}}$$



- Like we project a vector onto another, we can project a vector onto a plane by a dot product.
- If we project all the instances perpendicularly on the subspace
  - We get the new 2d dataset with features z1 and z2




- The above example is demonstrated on notebook
  - Download the 3d dataset
  - Reduce it to 2 dimensions using PCA a dimensionality reduction technique based on projection
  - Define a utility to plot the projection arrows
  - Plot the 3d dataset, the plane and the projection arrows
  - Draw the 2d equivalent



Switch to Notebook

- Is projection always good?
  - Not really! Example: Swiss roll toy dataset







- Is projection always good?
  - Not really! Example: Swiss roll toy dataset
  - What if we project the training dataset onto x1 and x2.


The projection squashes the the different layers and hence


classification is difficult






- What if we instead open the swiss roll?
  - Opening the swiss roll does not squash the different layers
  - The layers are classifiable.





 Projection does not seem to work in the case of swiss roll or similar datasets




- The above limitation of Projection can be demoed in the following steps:
  - Visualizing the swiss roll on a 3d plot
  - Projecting the swiss roll on the x1 and x2
    - Visualizing the squashed projection
  - Visualizing the rolled out plot



Switch to Notebook


# Dimensionality Reduction



Swiss roll is an example 2d manifold

- 2d manifold is a 2d shape that can be bent and twisted in a higher-dimensional space
- A d-dimensional space is a part of n-dimensional space (d<n)</li>


Q. For swiss roll, d =?, n =?



Swiss roll is an example 2d manifold

- 2d manifold is a 2d shape that can be bent and twisted in a higher-dimensional space
- A d-dimensional space is a part of n-dimensional space (d<n)</li>

Q. For swiss roll, d = 2, n = 3



- Many dimensionality reduction algorithms work by
  - o modeling the manifold on which the training instances lie
- This is called manifold learning

#### So, for the swiss roll

- We can model the 2d plane
- Which is rolled in a swiss roll fashion
- Hence occupying a 3d space (like rolling of a paper)

#### Manifold Learning


- Relies on manifold assumption, i.e.,
  - Most real-world high-dimensional datasets lie close to a much lower-dimensional manifold
- This is observed often empirically


Manifold assumption is observed empirically in case of

- MNIST dataset where images of the digits have similarities:
  - Made of connected lines
  - Borders are white
  - More or less centered
- A randomly generated image would have much larger degree of freedom as compared to the images of digits
- Hence, the constraints in the MNIST images tend to squeeze the dataset into a lower-dimensional manifold.

Manifold learning is accompanied by another assumption


 Going to a lower-dimensional space shall make the task-at-hand simpler (holds true in below case)





Manifold assumption accompanied by another assumption

 Going to a lower-dimensional space shall make the task-at-hand simpler (Not always the case)




The previous 2 cases can be demonstrated in these steps:

- Using the 3d swiss roll dataset
- Plotting the case where the classification gets easier with manifold
- Plotting the case where the classification gets difficult with manifold
- Plotting the decision boundary in each case



Switch to Notebook




### Dimensionality Reduction

#### Summary - Dimensionality Reduction

- 2 approaches: Projection and Manifold Learning
  - Depends on the dataset, which should be used
- Leads to better visualization
- Faster training
- May not always lead to a better or simpler solution
  - Valid both for projection or manifold learning
  - Depends on the dataset
- Lossy
  - Should always try with the original dataset before going for dimensionality reduction


# Dimensionality Reduction




# Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

- The most popular dimensionality reduction algorithm
- Identify the hyperplane that lies closest to the data
- Projects the data onto the hyperplane

### Dimensionality Reduction - Projection




How?




How do we select the best hyperplane to project the datasets into?


- Select the axis that preserves the maximum amount of variance
- Lose less information than other projections



Q. Which of these is the best axes to select (preserves maximum variance)? cl or c2 or c3?



- Q. Which of these is the best axes to select? Ans: cl.
  - Preserves maximum variance as compared to other axes.



Another way to say the axis that minimizes the mean squared distance between the original dataset and its projection onto that axis.

The previous case can be demonstrated in these steps:

- Generate a random 2d dataset
- Stretch it along a particular direction
- Project it along certain 3 axis
- Plot the stretched random numbers, the projections along the axes



Switch to Notebook

How do we select the best hyperplane to project the datasets into?

#### **Ans: PCA**

- identifies the axis that accounts for the largest amount of variance in the training set - 1st principal component
- Provides a second axis orthogonal to the first one that accounts for second largest
- And so on.. Third axis, fourth axis..

The unit vector that defines that 'i'th axis is called the 'i'th principal component (PC)

- Ist PC = cl
- 2nd PC = c2
- 3rd PC = c3

CI is orthogonal to c2, c3 would be orthogonal to the plane formed by cI and c2,

And hence orthogonal to both c1 and c2.

Image in 3d space for a minute!

#### Next Ques: How do we find the principal components?

 Standard factorization technique called Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) - based on eigenvalue calculation!

 $\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{\Sigma} \cdot \mathbf{V}^T$ 

- It divides the training dataset into the dot product of 3 matrices
  - o U
  - 0 \( \sum\_{\text{1}} \)
  - transpose(V)
- Transpose(V) contains the principal components (PC) unit vectors

$$\mathbf{V}^T = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{c_1} & \mathbf{c_2} & \cdots & \mathbf{c_n} \\ \mathbf{c_1} & \mathbf{c_2} & \cdots & \mathbf{c_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Transpose(V) contains the principal components (PC) - unit vectors

- Ist PC = cl
- 2nd PC = c2
- 3rd PC = c3
- ...

### PCA- Principal Components - SVD

SVD can implemented in scikit-learn using the code below

SVD assumes that the data is centered around the origin

```
# Data needs to centralized before performing SVD
>>> X_centered = X - X.mean(axis=0)
# Performing SVD
>>> U,s,V = np.linalg.svd(X_centered)

# Printing the principal components
>>> c1, c2 = V.T[:,0], V.T[:,1]
print(c1,c2)
```

Q. How many principal components are we printing in the above code?

### PCA- Principal Components - SVD

SVD can implemented in scikit-learn using the code below

SVD assumes that the data is centered around the origin

```
# Data needs to centralized before performing SVD
>>> X_centered = X - X.mean(axis=0)
# Performing SVD
>>> U,s,V = np.linalg.svd(X_centered)

# Printing the principal components
>>> c1, c2 = V.T[:,0], V.T[:,1]
print(c1,c2)
```

Q. How many principal components are we printing in the above code?

Ans: 2

# PCA- Projecting down to d dimensions

Once, the PCs have been found, original dataset has to be projected on the PCs


As we have seen in linear algebra session,

- A vector v can be projected onto
- another vector u
- By doing a dot product of v and u.

$$proj_{u}v = \frac{u \cdot v}{\|u\|^{2}} \times u$$

Which is equivalent to:

$$proj_{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{u}}) \times \hat{\mathbf{u}}$$



### PCA- Projecting down to d dimensions

#### Similarly, the

- original training dataset X can be projected onto
- the first 'd' principal components Wd
  - Composed of first 'd' columns of transpose(V) obtained in SVD
- Reducing the dataset dimensions to 'd'

$$X_{d-proj} = X.W_d$$

Wd = first d columns of transpose(V) containing the first d
principal components

### PCA- Projecting down to d dimensions

#### Similarly, the

- original training dataset X can be projected onto
- the first 'd' principal components Wd
  - Composed of first 'd' columns of transpose(V) obtained in SVD
- Reducing the dataset dimensions to 'd'

First 'd' columns of the transpose(V)

Wd =  $V^T = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_n \\ c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_n \end{pmatrix}$ 

### PCA- SVD and PCA

#### So, PCA involves two steps

- SVD and
- Projection of the training dataset onto the orthogonal principal components

Scikit-Learn provides functions for both

- SVD, projection and
- Combined PCA

We will be comparing the codes for these

### PCA- SVD and PCA

PCA using SVD in Sci-kit Learn	PCA using Sci-kit Learn PCA function
<pre># Centering the data and doing SVD X_centered = X - X.mean(axis=0)</pre>	from sklearn.decomposition import PCA
	# Directly doing PCA and transforming the
U,s,V = np.linalg.svd(X_centered)	original dataset
# Evtnacting the components and projecting the	# Takes care of centering
# Extracting the components and projecting the	DCA/n components 2)
# original dataset	pca = PCA(n_components = 2)
	<pre>X2D = pca.fit_transform(X)</pre>
W2 = V.T[:, :2]	
X2D = X_centered.dot(W2)	




Switch to Notebook



### PCA- Explained Variance Ratio

Variances explained by each of the components is important

- We would like to cover as much variance as in the original dataset
- available via the explained\_variance\_ratio\_ variable



### PCA- Number of PCs

How to select the number of principal components

- The principal components should explain 95% of the variance in original dataset
- For visualization, it has to be reduced to 2 or 3

```
Calculating the variance explained in Scikit-Learn
>>> pca = PCA()
>>> pca.fit(X)
>>> cumsum = np.cumsum(pca.explained_variance_ratio_)

# Calculating the number of dimensions which explain 95% of variance
>>> d = np.argmax(cumsum >= 0.95) + 1
2
```

### PCA- Number of PCs


```
# Calculating the PCs directly specifying the variance to be explained
```

```
>>> pca = PCA(n_components=0.95)
>>> X_reduced = pca.fit_transform(X)
```

### PCA- Number of PCs

Another option is to plot the explained variance

- As a function of the number of dimensions
- Elbow curve: explained variance stops growing fast after certain number of dimensions



### Dimensionality Reduction - Projection

- For the above 2d dataset, we shall demonstrate
  - Calculating the estimated variance ratio
  - Calculating the number of principal components




Switch to Notebook

### PCA- Compression of dataset

Another aspect of dimensionality reduction,

- the training set takes up much less space.
- For example, applying PCA to MNIST dataset



- ORIGINAL: Each image
  - 28 X 28 pixels
  - o 784 features
  - Each pixel is either on or off 0 or 1


### PCA- Compression of dataset

#### After applying PCA to the MNIST data

- Number of dimensions reduces to 154 features from 784 features
- Keeping 95% of its variance


Hence, the training set is 20% of its original size





```
>>> pca = PCA()
>>> pca.fit(X)
>>> d = np.argmax(np.cumsum(pca.explained_variance_ratio_) >= 0.95) + 1
154
```

Number of features required to explain 95% variance



### PCA- Compression of dataset - Demo

Loading the MNIST Dataset

```
#MNIST compression:
>>> from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
>>> from sklearn.datasets import fetch_mldata

>>> mnist = fetch_mldata('MNIST original')

>>> X, y = mnist["data"], mnist["target"]
>>> X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y)
>>> X = X_train
```

### PCA- Compression of dataset

#### Applying PCA to the MNIST dataset

```
# Applying PCA to the MNIST Dataset
>>> pca = PCA()
>>> pca.fit(X)
>>> d = np.argmax(np.cumsum(pca.explained_variance_ratio_) >= 0.95) + 1
154

# Projecting onto the principal components
>>> pca = PCA(n_components=0.95)
>>> X_reduced = pca.fit_transform(X)
>>> pca.n_components_
154

# Checking for the variance explained
# did we hit the 95% minimum?
>>> np.sum(pca.explained_variance_ratio_)
0.9503623084769206
```



Switch to Notebook

### PCA- Decompression

The compressed dataset can be decompressed to the original size

- For MNIST dataset, the reduced dataset (154 features)
- Back to 784 features
- Using inverse transformation of the PCA projection


$$\mathbf{X}_{\text{ecovered}} = \mathbf{X}_{d\text{-proj}} \cdot \mathbf{W}_{d}^{T}$$

```
# use inverse_transform to decompress back to 784 dimensions
>>> X_mnist = X_train
>>> pca = PCA(n_components = 154)
>>> X_mnist_reduced = pca.fit_transform(X_mnist)
>>> X_mnist_recovered = pca.inverse_transform(X_mnist_reduced)
```

### PCA- Decompression

#### Plotting the recovered digits

- Recovered digits has lost some information
- Dimensionality reduction captured only 95% of variance
- It is called reconstruction error





Switch to Notebook

### PCA-Incremental PCA

#### Problem with PCA (Batch-PCA)

Requires the entire training dataset in-the-memory to run SVD

### Incremental PCA (IPCA)

- Splits the training set into mini-batches
- Feeds one mini-batch at a time to the IPCA algorithm
- Useful for large datasets and online learning

### PCA- Incremental PCA

Incremental PCA using Scikit Learn's IncrementalPCA class

And associated partial\_fit() function instead of fit() and fit\_transform()

```
# split MNIST into 100 mini-batches using Numpy array_split()
# reduce MNIST down to 154 dimensions as before.
# note use of partial_fit() for each batch.

>>> from sklearn.decomposition import IncrementalPCA

>>> n_batches = 100

>>> inc_pca = IncrementalPCA(n_components=154)

>>> for X_batch in np.array_split(X_mnist, n_batches):
        print(".", end="")
        inc_pca.partial_fit(X_batch)

>>> X_mnist_reduced_inc = inc_pca.transform(X_mnist)
```

### PCA- Incremental PCA


#### Another way is to use Numpy memap class

Uses binary array on the disk as if it was in-memory

```
# alternative: Numpy memmap class (use binary array on disk as if it was in memory)
>>> filename = "my_mnist.data"
>>> X_mm = np.memmap(
    filename, dtype='float32', mode='write', shape=X_mnist.shape)
>>> X_mm[:] = X_mnist
>>> del X_mm

>>> X_mm = np.memmap(filename, dtype='float32', mode='readonly', shape=X_mnist.shape)
>>> batch_size = len(X_mnist) // n_batches
>>> inc_pca = IncrementalPCA(n_components=154, batch_size=batch_size)
>>> inc_pca.fit(X_mm)
```






### PCA- Randomized PCA

#### Using a stochastic algorithm

- To approximate the first d principal components
- $O(m \times d^2) + O(d^3)$ , instead of  $O(m \times n^2) + O(n^3)$
- Dramatically faster than (Batch) PCA and Incremental PCA
  - When d << n

```
>>> rnd_pca = PCA(n_components=154, svd_solver="randomized")
>>> t1 = time.time()
>>> X_reduced = rnd_pca.fit_transform(X_mnist)
>>> t2 = time.time()
>>> print(t2-t1, "seconds")
4.414088487625122 seconds
```





## Kernel PCA

### Using Kernel PCA

- Kernel trick can also be applied to PCA
- Makes nonlinear projections possible for dimensionality reduction
- This is called Kernel PCA (kPCA)


Important point about Kernel PCA we should remember is:

- Good at preserving clusters
- Useful when unrolling datasets that lies close to a twisted manifold

## Kernel PCA

Kernel PCA in Scikit-Learn using KernelPCA class

- Linear Kernel
- RBF Kernel
- Sigmoid Kernel




### Kernel PCA

Kernel PCA in Scikit-Learn using KernelPCA class

```
>>> from sklearn.decomposition import KernelPCA
>>> rbf_pca = KernelPCA(n_components = 2, kernel="rbf", gamma=0.04)
>>> X_reduced = rbf_pca.fit_transform(X)
```





# Kernel PCA - Selecting hyperparameters

#### Selecting hyper parameters

- Kernel PCA is an unsupervised learning algorithm
- No obvious performance measure to help select the best kernel and hyperparameters

#### Instead, we can follow these steps:

- Create a pipeline with KernelPCA and Classification model
- Do a grid search using GridSearchCV to find the best kernel and gamma value for kPCA

# Kernel PCA - Selecting hyperparameters

#### Selecting hyper parameters

- Create a pipeline with KernelPCA and Classification model
- Doing a grid search using GridSearchCV to find the best kernel and gamma value for kPCA





## Kernel PCA - Hyperparameter selection

#### Reconstruction in Kernel PCA

#### Another Approach

- Entirely unsupervised,
- is to select the kernel and hyperparameters
- that yield the lowest reconstruction error.


#### However

Reconstruction is not as easy as with linear PCA

## Kernel PCA - Reconstruction Error

Calculating reconstruction error when using kernel PCA

- Inverse\_transform in scikit-learn creates the pre-image
- Which can be used to calculate the mean squared error



## Kernel PCA - Reconstruction Error


### Calculating reconstruction error when using kernel PCA

- Inverse\_transform in scikit-learn creates the pre-image
- Which can be used to calculate the mean squared error


```
## Calculating the reduced space using kernel PCA and pre-image
>>> X_reduced = rbf_pca.fit_transform(X)
>>> X_preimage = rbf_pca.inverse_transform(X_reduced)


# return reconstruction pre-image error
>>> from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error
>>> mean_squared_error(X, X_preimage)
```





# Dimensionality Reduction





Incremental PCA.

Randomized PCA,


Kernel PCA

### Local Linear Embedding (LLE)

- Another powerful nonlinear dimensionality reduction (NLDR) technique
- Manifold technique that does not rely on projections
- Works by
  - Measuring how each training instance linearly relates to its closest neighbours
  - Then looking for low-dimensional representation where these local relationships are best preserved
- Good at unrolling twisted manifolds, especially when there is not much noise

### Local Linear Embedding (LLE) in scikit-learn

- LocallyLinearEmbedding class in sklearn.manifold
- Run on the swiss roll example
- Step I: Make the swiss roll



#### Local Linear Embedding (LLE) in scikit-learn


- LocallyLinearEmbedding class in sklearn.manifold
- Run on the swiss roll example
- Step 2: Instantiate LLE class in sklearn and fit the swiss roll training features using the LLE model



### Local Linear Embedding (LLE) in scikit-learn


- LocallyLinearEmbedding class in sklearn.manifold
- Run on the swiss roll example
- Step 3: Plot the reduced dimension data

```
>>> plt.title("Unrolled swiss roll using LLE", fontsize=14)
>>> plt.scatter(X_reduced[:, 0], X_reduced[:, 1], c=t, cmap=plt.cm.hot)
>>> plt.xlabel("$z_1$", fontsize=18)
>>> plt.ylabel("$z_2$", fontsize=18)
>>> plt.axis([-0.065, 0.055, -0.1, 0.12])
>>> plt.grid(True)
>>> plt.show()
```



### Local Linear Embedding (LLE) in scikit-learn


- LocallyLinearEmbedding class in sklearn.manifold
- Run on the swiss roll example





#### **Observations**

- Swiss roll is completely unrolled
- Distances between the instances are locally preserved
- Not preserved on a larger scale
  - Left most part is squeezed
  - Right part is stretched



Distance locally preserved

## LLE - How it Works? Maths!

How LLE works?

**Step I:** For each training instance, the algorithm identifies the k closest neighbours

**Step 2:** reconstructs the instance as a linear function of these closest neighbours

 More specifically, finds the weight w vector such that distance between the closest neighbours and the instance is as small as possible.

$$W = \underset{i=1}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \| \mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{i,j} \mathbf{x}^{(j)} \|^{2}$$

$$\text{subject to} \begin{cases} w_{i,j} = 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{x}^{(j)} \text{ is not one of the } k \text{ c.n. of } \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \\ \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{i,j} = 1 & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \cdots, m \end{cases}$$

## LLE - How it Works? Maths!

How LLE works?

**Step 3:** Map the training instances into a d-dimensional space while preserving the local relationship as much as possible

 Basically, keeping the same weight as calculated in the previous step, the new instance should have minimum distances with the previous closest neighbours (same weights and relationship)

$$Z = \underset{i=1}{\operatorname{arggmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} ||z^{(i)} - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \hat{w}_{i,j} z^{(j)}||^{2}$$

## LLE - Time Complexity

How LLE works?

Step I: finding K nearest neighbors: O(m x log(m) x n x log(k))

**Step 2:** weight optimization:  $O(m \times n \times k^3)$ 

**Step 3:** constructing low-d representations: O(d x m^2)


Where m = number of training datasets,

n = number of original dimensions

k = nearest neighbours

d = reduced dimensions


Step 3 makes the model very slow for large number of training datasets



### Multidimensional Scaling (MDS)

- Reduces dimensionality
- trying to preserve the instances


```
>>> from sklearn.manifold import MDS
>>> mds = MDS(n_components=2,
random_state=42)
>>> X_reduced_mds = mds.fit_transform(X)
```



#### Isomap

- Creates a graph connecting each instance to its nearest neighbours
- Then, reduces dimensionality
- Trying to preserve geodesic distances between instances


```
>>> from sklearn.manifold import Isomap
>>> isomap = Isomap(n_components=2)
>>> X_reduced_isomap =
isomap.fit_transform(X)
```



#### T-distributed Stochastic Neighbour Embedding

- Reduces dimensionality
- Keeping similar instances close and dissimilar apart
- Mostly used for visualize clusters in high-dimensional space

```
>>> from sklearn.manifold import TSNE
>>> tsne = TSNE(n_components=2)
>>> X_reduced_tsne = tsne.fit_transform(X)
```




### Linear Discriminant Analysis


- A classification algorithm
- During training learns the most discriminative axes between the classes
- Axes can be used to define the hyper plane to project the data
- Projection will keep the classes as far apart as possible
- A good technique to reduce dimensionality before running classification algorithms such as SVM Classifier

Plotting the results for each of the techniques on the notebook





Plotting the results for each of the techniques on the notebook





Archives



## PCA- Projecting down to d dimensions

#### Similarly, the

- original training dataset X can be projected onto
- the first 'd' principal components Wd
  - Composed of first 'd' columns of transpose(V) obtained in SVD
- Reducing the dataset dimensions to 'd'

$$X_{d-proj} = X.W_{d}$$

$$X_{d-proj} = X.W_{d}$$

Wd = first d columns of transpose(V) containing the first d
principal components

