ML Homework 5 – 2 Report

I. SVM

- 1. Code with detailed explanations
 - · Read dataset

Use numpy.loadtxt to read the training data and testing data.

```
def read_dataset(train = True):
    if train == True:
        image_path = 'X_train.csv'
        label_path = 'Y_train.csv'
    else:
        image_path = 'X_test.csv'
        label_path = 'Y_test.csv'

images = np.loadtxt(image_path, dtype=np.float, delimiter=',')
    labels = np.loadtxt(label_path, dtype=np.int, delimiter=',')
    return images, labels
```

· Part1

Call the function in libsym and use "-t" to select different kerenl function.

· Part2

Hyper-parameters are not directly learnt within estimators. Therefore, it is recommended to search for the best cross-validation score in the hyper-parameter space. Grid search evaluates all possible combinations of parameter values through looping, and retains the best combination.

For each kernel type, I use for loop to test all parameter combinations and do cross-validation. Then record the hyper-parameters with maximum accuracy.

```
-d degree : set degree in kernel function (default 3)
-g gamma : set gamma in kernel function (default 1/num_features)
-r coef0 : set coef0 in kernel function (default 0)
-c cost : set the parameter C of C-SVC, epsilon-SVR, and nu-SVR (default 1)
```

```
kernel_type == 0:
   for c in [0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100]:

param = svm_parameter('-t '+str(kernel_type)+' -c '+str(c)+' -v '+str(n)+' -q')
       prob = svm_problem(Y_train, X_train)
       p_acc = svm_train(prob, param)
       if p_acc > max_acc:
           max_acc = p_acc
           best_params = {'C':c}
elif kernel_type == 1: #polynomial
   for degree in range(0, 5):
       for gamma in [0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100]:
           for coef0 in range(-5, 5, 1):
               for c in [0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100]:
                   prob = svm_problem(Y_train, X_train)
                   p_acc = svm_train(prob, param)
                   if p_acc > max_acc:
                        max_acc = p_acc
                       best_params = {'degree':degree,'gamma':gamma,'coef0':coef0,'C':c}
elif kernel_type == 2: #RB
   for gamma in [0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100]:
       for c in [0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100]:
           param = svm_parameter('-t '+str(kernel_type)+
   ' -g '+str(gamma)+' -c '+str(c)+' -v '+str(n)+' -q')
           prob = svm_problem(Y_train, X_train)
           p_acc = svm_train(prob, param)
           if p_acc > max_acc:
               max_acc = p_acc
               best_params = {'gamma':gamma,'C':c}
```

· Part3

First convert the image into kernel space by using linear_kernel and RBF kernel. Then insert serial number to the first column.

Use "-t 4" to set the type of kernel function and set "isKernel=True" for precomputed kernel. Other steps are the same as in part1.

```
def linear_kernel(u, v):
    return u.dot(v.T)

def RBF_kernel(u, v, gamma = 1/784):
    return np.exp(-gamma * cdist(u, v, 'sqeuclidean'))

def svm_precomputed_kernel():
    X_train_new = linear_kernel(X_train, X_train)+RBF_kernel(X_train, X_train)
    X_test_new = linear_kernel(X_test, X_test)+RBF_kernel(X_test, X_test)
    X_train_new = np.hstack((np.arange(1, 5000+1).reshape(-1, 1), X_train_new))
    X_test_new = np.hstack((np.arange(1, 2500+1).reshape(-1, 1), X_test_new))
    param = svm_parameter('-t 4 -q')
    prob = svm_problem(Y_train, X_train_new, isKernel=True)
    m = svm_train(prob, param)
    _, p_acc, _ = svm_predict(Y_test, X_test_new, m)
```

2. Experiments Settings and Results

· Part1:

Type of Kernel	Accuracy (%)	Time (s)
linear	95.08	3.55
polynomial	34.68	30.85
RBF	95.32	7.26

· Part2:

Tyme of	CV A course ove	Tasting Assumant	Donomostona	Time (a)
Type of	CV-Accuracy	Testing Accuracy	rarameters	Time (s)
Kernel	(%)	(%)		
linear	96.64	95.96	'C': 0.01	38.92

polynomial	98.22	97.64	'degree': 2, 'gamma': 1, 'coef0': -2,	33352.49
RBF	98.16	98.2	'C': 100 'gamma':	1281.09
			0.01, 'C': 10	

Part3:

Type of Kernel	Accuracy (%)	Time (s)
Linear+RBF	21.4	36.52

3. Observations and Discussion

· Part1:

- 1. RBF has the best accuracy than all the others on testing data. This is because RBF can map data into an infinite dimensional space so that everything can be linearly separated. Therefore, RBF allows sym to perform good classification.
- 2. Linear has the best performance because it is the simplest kernel. And its accuracy is also relatively high Polynomial takes the most time but has the lowest accuracy.
- 3. If time is more important, we should choose linear. If accuracy is more important, we should choose RBF.

· Part2:

- 1. The higher the value of c, the smaller the tolerance of the model to errors. If it is too high, it will be overfitting for the training data.
- 2. If we find the right parameters, we can also get better results in the polynomial kernel, but it takes a lot of time because of its many parameters.
- 3. RBF still has the best accuracy than all the others on testing data. Part2 is more accurate than part1 but takes more time. We need to strike a balance between time and accuracy.

· Part3:

- 1. Compared with Part 1, my linear + RBF kernel is less accurate and requires more time.
- 2. My code will have OSError run on Windows and must be run on linux.