



hey i want you to rank the attached papers relavence with my project, you know my project right? if not please ask ill provide the details

Yes—assuming the project is an AI/voice-driven email assistant that does summarization, task/commitment extraction, auto-drafting with tone control, and safe calendar actions, here is a relevance-ranked list of the attached papers with concise rationale for each fit. ^[1]

Top matches

1. GlassMail: Single+ and Fade Context base-0
 - Directly informs the voice interaction pattern (“Single Turn with Optional Clarification”) and review UX (“Fade Context”) for on-the-go email composition, which maps to low-friction intent capture and safe human-in-the-loop review in a voice email assistant. ^[2]
 - Provides empirical evidence that Single+ reduces workload versus multi-turn and that context-preserving diff views help users edit quickly—both core to a voice-first drafting flow. ^[2]
2. AI-Powered Reminders (commitment extraction) base-1
 - Studies real-world extraction of commitments/requests/follow-ups from email and how reminders are used, directly supporting the “task/commitment extraction” feature and its evaluation metrics. ^[3]
 - Offers mixed-methods insights and regression analysis to identify who benefits and how to present reminders (e.g., precision/usability tradeoffs), which guides product and measurement choices. ^[3]
3. TellTime: LLM voice calendar base-5
 - Demonstrates an LLM-powered voice interface for creating and correcting calendar events with a hybrid (voice + manual) workflow, aligning with “safe calendar actions” and confirm-before-act patterns. ^[4]
 - Surfaces common failure modes (e.g., wrong-time errors from single time anchors) and shows users prefer hybrid flows, informing confirmation UI and error mitigation for scheduling. ^[4]
4. ShortMail: email summarizer base-4
 - Delivers an end-to-end email summarization system with follow-up actions, directly relevant to building concise thread summaries that enable quick triage and action. ^[5]
 - Details preprocessing, semantic expansion, clustering, and ranking to produce extractive summaries, offering a pragmatic baseline and system blueprint. ^[5]

Strong matches

5. LaMPost: AI-assisted email for dyslexia base-6
- Implements outline, subject generation, suggestions, and rewrite operations powered by LLMs—core building blocks for auto-drafting and tone/style control. ^[6]
 - Reports accuracy/hallucination issues and “paradox of choice” in multiple suggestions, giving concrete guardrails for prompt design, option counts, and trust cues in drafting UX. ^[6]
6. Emails by LLMs: language comparison basse-2
- Quantifies how LLM-generated emails differ (more verbose, formal, complex; higher CLI; more repetition) versus human emails, motivating a “tone-fix/concision” post-edit step. ^[7]
 - Provides effect sizes across syntactic/semantic/psycholinguistic dimensions to set targets for brevity, formality, and diversity in generated drafts. ^[7]

Helpful but secondary

7. Dynamic AI Email Voice Assistant (SMTP) base-8
- Shows a voice-to-email pipeline with STT/TTS and SMTP, relevant to low-level “send” plumbing and voice capture, but lacks the richer planning/safety and evaluation the project needs. ^[8]
 - Useful as a lightweight reference for speech input handling and mail transport setup during prototyping. ^[8]
8. HCI Smart Voice Email (VIU) base-9
- Presents an STT/TTS email client with IVR-style commands and security via stored voice samples, informing accessibility and voice navigation patterns. ^[9]
 - Includes practical testing (audible distance, pace, accents, homophones) that can shape voice robustness requirements. ^[9]

Peripheral foundations

9. IntelliNeo: general VPA base-7
- A broad Python VPA (news, WhatsApp, email, etc.) illustrating voice pipelines and GUI, but not specific to email intelligence or calendar safety workflows. ^[^10]
 - Useful for generic mic/ASR/TTS handling but less aligned with summarization, extraction, or agentic confirmations. ^[^10]
10. WebAgents survey base-3
- Comprehensive agent architecture (perception-planning-execution, grounding) context that can inspire tool schemas and action gating, but not email- or calendar-specific. ^[^11]
 - Best as background on agent safety and grounding rather than a direct blueprint for the target features. ^[^11]

If scope differs

If the project emphasis is different (e.g., pure email accessibility or general VPAs), the ranking can be re-weighted toward the accessibility-first or platform-agent papers accordingly.^[1]

*
**

1. programming.ai_applications
2. base-0.pdf
3. base-1.pdf
4. base-5.pdf
5. base-4.pdf
6. base-6.pdf
7. base-2.pdf
8. base-8.pdf
9. base-9.pdf