## **Peer Evaluation by Group 4**

# **Group 7 [62/100]**

**Project Management [ 7/10]**

• This project was very good managed.

They clearly present the problem statement by introducing the motivation of this project. But don’t show some examples and why this project is important.

• The report writing format have some inconformity, and it takes many pages to show the UI details.

• A very detailed content is provided, especially the Figures content.

The Figures content helps a lot for reading the report and getting the information about

the factors, database, use-case, user-interface and domain model directly.

• Complicity

There is no page labeling, section headings, and section numbering. Also, figures and tables are not labeled.

### **Section 1 [ 8/9]**

• The fully dressed use cases are provides. The team have a fully understanding of what they will do, and describe the customer statements detailed.

• The CSR is written from customer’s viewpoint, rather than from programmer’s. It’s good for understanding the problems they should deal with.

• They problems they try to solve are exactly happening everyday everywhere

This was saying that the project they were going to build indeed based on the real situation and could be used widely, which makes their project valuable.

• The graphs and figures to address user cases are consistent with user cases.

• But there is no business policies mentioned and implemented.

.

### **Section 2 [ 0/6]**

• Sorry, I can’t find system requirements section in this report.

### **Section 3 [ 0/30]**

• Sorry, I can’t find functional requirements section in this report.

# 

# **Section 4 [15/15]**

• In this report, we can find that they have design some visual appearance for different screens.

• There are detailed explanations of interfaces.

• Also, they shows the navigation path through the screens. And the interface supports the user cases fully.

• Fully described and enumerated the different components of system in terms of UI graphs.

**Section 5 [22/25]**

● The domain analysis is in detail. They show a good understanding about the domain model

with a figure (can be improved).

● They have enumerated all the concepts and responsibilities and have explained clearly what them are.

● The traceability matrix is accurate and cover all the cases.

● The domain model describes the system in a good manner and clear enough for the users to

understand.

● The system operation contracts not cover all the important operations. Some issues identified in detailed use cases are not shown in operation contracts.

● Missing some specification of the protocol for communication with other systems

# **Section 6 [5/5]**

● They use diagram to show the plan of work, and the diagram is clear and understandable.

● They show time points and complete progress in plan of work. And decompose the whole work reasonable and make plan in detail.

# 

# 

# **Section 7 [5/5]**

● The references written in correct format.

● References covered fully