## **Nodes vs Actions**

	Nodes		Actions	
	Problem 1	Problem 2	Problem 1	Problem 2
breadth_first_search	178	30503	20	72
depth_first_graph_search	84	5602	20	72
uniform_cost_search	240	46618	20	72
greedy_best_first_graph_search with h_unmet_goals	29	170	20	72
greedy_best_first_graph_search with h_pg_levelsum	28	86	20	72
greedy_best_first_graph_search with h_pg_maxlevel	24	249	20	72
greedy_best_first_graph_search with h_pg_setlevel	28	84	20	72
astar_search with h_unmet_goals	206	22522	20	72
astar_search with h_pg_levelsum	122	3426	20	72
astar_search with h_pg_maxlevel	180	26594	20	72

	Nodes		Actions	
	Problem 3	Problem 4	Problem 3	Problem 4
breadth_first_search	129625	944130	88	104
uniform_cost_search	161936	1066413	88	104
greedy_best_first_graph_search with h_unmet_goals	230	280	88	104
greedy_best_first_graph_search with h_pg_levelsum	126	165	88	104
astar_search with h_unmet_goals	65711	328509	88	104
astar_search with h_pg_levelsum	3403	12210	88	104

The charts above indicate the number of nodes (or states) the algorithm has to traverse before reaching goal. Overall, <code>greedy\_best\_first\_graph\_search</code> with <code>h\_pg\_levelsum</code> and <code>greedy\_best\_first\_graph\_search</code> with <code>h\_unmet\_goals</code> is the most interesting algorithm because the node difference between problem 1 and 2 is small. This is also true with problem 3 and 4. Therefore, as the problem size increases, the algorithms mentioned can still find the goal with minimal node traversals.

## **Time vs Actions**

	Time		Actions		
	Problem 1	Problem 2	Problem 1	Problem 2	
breadth_first_search	0.024	0.348	20	72	
depth_first_graph_search	0.006	0.577	20	72	
uniform_cost_search	0.019	0.624	20	72	
greedy_best_first_graph_search with h_unmet_goals	0.003	0.011	20	72	
greedy_best_first_graph_search with h_pg_levelsum	0.492	0.755	20	72	
greedy_best_first_graph_search with h_pg_maxlevel	0.092	0.595	20	72	
greedy_best_first_graph_search with h_pg_setlevel	0.494	3.307	20	72	
astar_search with h_unmet_goals	0.015	0.667	20	72	
astar_search with h_pg_levelsum	0.292	19.354	20	72	
astar_search with h_pg_maxlevel	0.114	54.952	20	72	
astar_search with h_pg_setlevel	0.474	289.990	20	72	

	Time		Actions	
	Problem 3	Problem 4	Problem 3	Problem 4
breadth_first_search	1.437	5.772	88	104
uniform_cost_search	1.560	9.481	88	104
greedy_best_first_graph_search with h_unmet_goals	0.017	0.027	88	104
greedy_best_first_graph_search with h_pg_levelsum	2.716	3.112	88	104
astar_search with h_unmet_goals	1.656	4.479	88	104
astar_search with h_pg_levelsum	33.803	182.459	88	104

The charts above indicate the amount of time (in seconds) it takes to run each algorithm. Overall, <code>greedy\_best\_first\_graph\_search</code> with <code>h\_pg\_levelsum</code> and <code>greedy\_best\_first\_graph\_search</code> with <code>h\_unmet\_goals</code> is the most interesting algorithm because the time difference between problem 1 and 2 is small. This is also true with problem 3 and 4. Therefore, as the problem size increases, the algorithms mentioned still finish with minimal time.

## **Length of Plan vs Actions**

Length		Actions						
Problem 1	Problem 2	Problem 1	Problem 2					
6	9	20	72					
20	619	20	72					
6	9	20	72					
6	9	20	72					
6	9	20	72					
6	9	20	72					
6	9	20	72					
6	9	20	72					
6	9	20	72					
6	9	20	72					
6	9	20	72					
	Problem 1 6 20 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	Problem 1 Problem 2 6 9 20 619 6 9 6 9 6 9 6 9 6 9 6 9 6 9 6 9 6 9 6	Problem 1         Problem 2         Problem 1           6         9         20           20         619         20           6         9         20           6         9         20           6         9         20           6         9         20           6         9         20           6         9         20           6         9         20           6         9         20           6         9         20           6         9         20           6         9         20           6         9         20					

	Length		Actions	
	Problem 3	Problem 4	Problem 3	Problem 4
breadth_first_search	12	14	88	104
uniform_cost_search	12	14	88	104
greedy_best_first_graph_search with h_unmet_goals	15	18	88	104
greedy_best_first_graph_search with h_pg_levelsum	14	17	88	104
astar_search with h_unmet_goals	12	14	88	104
astar_search with h_pg_levelsum	12	15	88	104

- 1) Which algorithm or algorithms would be most appropriate for planning in a very restricted domain (i.e., one that has only a few actions) and needs to operate in real time?
  - greedy\_best\_first\_graph\_search with h\_unmet\_goals would be the most appropriate
    because it ran the fastest on all 4 problem sets
- 2) Which algorithm or algorithms would be most appropriate for planning in very large domains (e.g., planning delivery routes for all UPS drivers in the U.S. on a given day)
  - Based on problem 4, which has the largest number of actions and goals, **astar\_search** with **h\_unmet\_goals** would be the best algorithm. It has a reasonable runtime and the shortest plan length
- 3) Which algorithm or algorithms would be most appropriate for planning problems where it is important to find only optimal plans?
  - For small problems, like problem 1 and 2, all algorithms except for depth first have the same planning length. Even for problem 3 and 4, the planning length is fairly close.

    astar\_search with h\_unmet\_goals has the shortest planning length and reasonable runtime