



Chapter 4

The Processor


Introduction

- CPU performance factors
 - Instruction count
 - Determined by ISA and compiler
 - CPI and Cycle time
 - Determined by CPU hardware
- We will examine two RISC-V implementations
 - A simplified version
 - A more realistic pipelined version
- Simple subset, shows most aspects
 - Memory reference: ld, sd
 - Arithmetic/logical: add, sub, and, or
 - Control transfer: beq


Instruction Execution

- PC → instruction memory, fetch instruction
- Register numbers → register file, read registers
- Depending on instruction class
 - Use ALU to calculate
 - Arithmetic result
 - Memory address for load/store
 - Branch comparison
 - Access data memory for load/store
 - PC ← target address or PC + 4

CPU Overview




Multiplexers



- Can't just join wires together
 - Use multiplexers

Control




Logic Design Basics


- Information encoded in binary
 - Low voltage = 0, High voltage = 1
 - One wire per bit
 - Multi-bit data encoded on multi-wire buses
- Combinational element
 - Operate on data
 - Output is a function of input
- State (sequential) elements
 - Store information

Combinational Elements


- AND-gate
 - $Y = A \& B$




- Multiplexer
 - $Y = S ? I_0 : I_1$



- Adder
 - $Y = A + B$





- Arithmetic/Logic Unit
 - $Y = F(A, B)$




Sequential Elements

- Register: stores data in a circuit
 - Uses a clock signal to determine when to update the stored value
 - Edge-triggered: update when Clk changes from 0 to 1




Sequential Elements

- Register with write control
 - Only updates on clock edge when write control input is 1
 - Used when stored value is required later



Clocking Methodology


- Combinational logic transforms data during clock cycles
 - Between clock edges
 - Input from state elements, output to state element
 - Longest delay determines clock period



Building a Datapath


- Datapath
 - Elements that process data and addresses in the CPU
 - Registers, ALUs, mux's, memories, ...
- We will build a RISC-V datapath incrementally
 - Refining the overview design

Instruction Fetch




R-Format Instructions

- Read two register operands
- Perform arithmetic/logical operation
- Write register result




a. Registers



b. ALU

Load/Store Instructions


- Read register operands
- Calculate address using 12-bit offset
 - Use ALU, but sign-extend offset
- Load: Read memory and update register
- Store: Write register value to memory



Branch Instructions

- Read register operands
- Compare operands
 - Use ALU, subtract and check Zero output
- Calculate target address
 - Sign-extend displacement
 - Shift left 1 place (halfword displacement)
 - Add to PC value


Branch Instructions




Composing the Elements

- First-cut data path does an instruction in one clock cycle
 - Each datapath element can only do one function at a time
 - Hence, we need separate instruction and data memories
- Use multiplexers where alternate data sources are used for different instructions

R-Type/Load/Store Datapath



Full Datapath



ALU Control

- ALU used for
 - Load/Store: $F = \text{add}$
 - Branch: $F = \text{subtract}$
 - R-type: F depends on opcode

ALU control	Function
0000	AND
0001	OR
0010	add
0110	subtract

ALU Control

- Assume 2-bit ALUOp derived from opcode
 - Combinational logic derives ALU control

opcode	ALUOp	Operation	Opcode field	ALU function	ALU control
ld	00	load register	XXXXXXXXXXXX	add	0010
sd	00	store register	XXXXXXXXXXXX	add	0010
beq	01	branch on equal	XXXXXXXXXXXX	subtract	0110
R-type	10	add	100000	add	0010
		subtract	100010	subtract	0110
		AND	100100	AND	0000
		OR	100101	OR	0001


The Main Control Unit

Control signals derived from instruction


	Name (Bit position)	31:25	24:20	Fields 19:15	14:12	11:7	6:0
(a) R-type		funct7	rs2	rs1	funct3	rd	opcode
(b) I-type		immediate[11:0]		rs1	funct3	rd	opcode
(c) S-type		immed[11:5]	rs2	rs1	funct3	immed[4:0]	opcode
(d) SB-type		immed[12,10:5]	rs2	rs1	funct3	immed[4:1,11]	opcode

ALUOp	Funct7 field												Funct3 field	Operation
	ALUOp1	ALUOp0	I[31]	I[30]	I[29]	I[28]	I[27]	I[26]	I[25]	I[14]	I[13]	I[12]		
0	0	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0010	
X	1	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	0110	
1	X	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0010	
1	X	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0110	
1	X	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0000	
1	X	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0001	


Datapath With Control




R-Type Instruction



Load Instruction



Branch-on-Equal Instruction





Performance Issues

- Longest delay determines clock period
 - Critical path: load instruction
 - Instruction memory → register file → ALU → data memory → register file
- Not feasible to vary period for different instructions
- Violates design principle
 - Making the common case fast
- We will improve performance by pipelining

Pipelining Analogy

- Pipelined laundry: overlapping execution
 - Parallelism improves performance



- Four loads:
 - Speedup = $8/3.5 = 2.3$
- Non-stop:
 - Speedup = $2n/0.5n + 1.5 \approx 4$
 - number of stages

RISC-V Pipeline


- Five stages, one step per stage
 1. IF: Instruction fetch from memory
 2. ID: Instruction decode & register read
 3. EX: Execute operation or calculate address
 4. MEM: Access memory operand
 5. WB: Write result back to register

Pipeline Performance

- Assume time for stages is
 - 100ps for register read or write
 - 200ps for other stages
- Compare pipelined datapath with single-cycle datapath

Instr	Instr fetch	Register read	ALU op	Memory access	Register write	Total time
ld	200ps	100 ps	200ps	200ps	100 ps	800ps
sd	200ps	100 ps	200ps	200ps		700ps
R-format	200ps	100 ps	200ps		100 ps	600ps
beq	200ps	100 ps	200ps			500ps

Pipeline Performance



Pipeline Speedup

- If all stages are balanced
 - i.e., all take the same time
 - Time between instructions_{pipelined}
= Time between instructions_{nonpipelined}
Number of stages
- If not balanced, speedup is less
- Speedup due to increased throughput
 - Latency (time for each instruction) does not decrease

Pipelining and ISA Design

- RISC-V ISA designed for pipelining
 - All instructions are 32-bits
 - Easier to fetch and decode in one cycle
 - c.f. x86: 1- to 17-byte instructions
 - Few and regular instruction formats
 - Can decode and read registers in one step
 - Load/store addressing
 - Can calculate address in 3rd stage, access memory in 4th stage

Hazards


- Situations that prevent starting the next instruction in the next cycle
- Structure hazards
 - A required resource is busy
- Data hazard
 - Need to wait for previous instruction to complete its data read/write
- Control hazard
 - Deciding on control action depends on previous instruction

Structural Hazards

- Conflict for use of a resource
- In RISC-V pipeline with a single memory
 - Load/store requires data access
 - Instruction fetch would have to *stall* for that cycle
 - Would cause a pipeline “bubble”
- Hence, pipelined datapaths require separate instruction/data memories
 - Or separate instruction/data caches


Data Hazards

- An instruction depends on completion of data access by a previous instruction
 - add **x19**, x0, x1
 - sub x2, **x19**, x3




Forwarding (aka Bypassing)

- Use result when it is computed
 - Don't wait for it to be stored in a register
 - Requires extra connections in the datapath




Load-Use Data Hazard

- Can't always avoid stalls by forwarding
 - If value not computed when needed
 - Can't forward backward in time!



Code Scheduling to Avoid Stalls

- Reorder code to avoid use of load result in the next instruction
- C code for $a = b + e; c = b + f;$




Control Hazards

- Branch determines flow of control
 - Fetching next instruction depends on branch outcome
 - Pipeline can't always fetch correct instruction
 - Still working on ID stage of branch
- In RISC-V pipeline
 - Need to compare registers and compute target early in the pipeline
 - Add hardware to do it in ID stage

Stall on Branch

- Wait until branch outcome determined before fetching next instruction



Branch Prediction

- Longer pipelines can't readily determine branch outcome early
 - Stall penalty becomes unacceptable
- Predict outcome of branch
 - Only stall if prediction is wrong
- In RISC-V pipeline
 - Can predict branches not taken
 - Fetch instruction after branch, with no delay

More-Realistic Branch Prediction


- Static branch prediction
 - Based on typical branch behavior
 - Example: loop and if-statement branches
 - Predict backward branches taken
 - Predict forward branches not taken
- Dynamic branch prediction
 - Hardware measures actual branch behavior
 - e.g., record recent history of each branch
 - Assume future behavior will continue the trend
 - When wrong, stall while re-fetching, and update history

Pipeline Summary

The BIG Picture


- Pipelining improves performance by increasing instruction throughput
 - Executes multiple instructions in parallel
 - Each instruction has the same latency
- Subject to hazards
 - Structure, data, control
- Instruction set design affects complexity of pipeline implementation

RISC-V Pipelined Datapath



Pipeline registers


- Need registers between stages
 - To hold information produced in previous cycle




Pipeline Operation

- Cycle-by-cycle flow of instructions through the pipelined datapath
 - “Single-clock-cycle” pipeline diagram
 - Shows pipeline usage in a single cycle
 - Highlight resources used
 - c.f. “multi-clock-cycle” diagram
 - Graph of operation over time
- We'll look at “single-clock-cycle” diagrams for load & store


IF for Load, Store, ...




ID for Load, Store, ...




EX for Load




MEM for Load




WB for Load




Corrected Datapath for Load




EX for Store



MEM for Store




WB for Store




Multi-Cycle Pipeline Diagram

Form showing resource usage





Multi-Cycle Pipeline Diagram

Traditional form




Single-Cycle Pipeline Diagram

State of pipeline in a given cycle




Pipelined Control (Simplified)




Pipelined Control

- Control signals derived from instruction
 - As in single-cycle implementation



Pipelined Control




Data Hazards in ALU Instructions

- Consider this sequence:

```
sub  x2, x1, x3  
and  x12, x2, x5  
or   x13, x6, x2  
add  x14, x2, x2  
sd   x15, 100(x2)
```

- We can resolve hazards with forwarding
 - How do we detect when to forward?

Dependencies & Forwarding



Detecting the Need to Forward

- Pass register numbers along pipeline
 - e.g., ID/EX.RegisterRs1 = register number for Rs1 sitting in ID/EX pipeline register
- ALU operand register numbers in EX stage are given by
 - ID/EX.RegisterRs1, ID/EX.RegisterRs2
- Data hazards when
 - 1a. EX/MEM.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRs1
 - 1b. EX/MEM.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRs2
 - 2a. MEM/WB.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRs1
 - 2b. MEM/WB.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRs2


Fwd from
EX/MEM
pipeline reg

Fwd from
MEM/WB
pipeline reg

Detecting the Need to Forward

- But only if forwarding instruction will write to a register!
 - EX/MEM.RegWrite, MEM/WB.RegWrite
- And only if Rd for that instruction is not x0
 - EX/MEM.RegisterRd \neq 0,
MEM/WB.RegisterRd \neq 0

Forwarding Paths



Forwarding Conditions

Mux control	Source	Explanation
ForwardA = 00	ID/EX	The first ALU operand comes from the register file.
ForwardA = 10	EX/MEM	The first ALU operand is forwarded from the prior ALU result.
ForwardA = 01	MEM/WB	The first ALU operand is forwarded from data memory or an earlier ALU result.
ForwardB = 00	ID/EX	The second ALU operand comes from the register file.
ForwardB = 10	EX/MEM	The second ALU operand is forwarded from the prior ALU result.
ForwardB = 01	MEM/WB	The second ALU operand is forwarded from data memory or an earlier ALU result.

Double Data Hazard

- Consider the sequence:

add x_1, x_1, x_2

add x_1, x_1, x_3


add x_1, x_1, x_4

- Both hazards occur
 - Want to use the most recent
- Revise MEM hazard condition
 - Only fwd if EX hazard condition isn't true

Revised Forwarding Condition

- MEM hazard
 - if (MEM/WB.RegWrite
and (MEM/WB.RegisterRd ≠ 0)
and not(EX/MEM.RegWrite and (EX/MEM.RegisterRd ≠ 0)
and (EX/MEM.RegisterRd ≠ ID/EX.RegisterRs1))
and (MEM/WB.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRs1)) ForwardA = 01
 - if (MEM/WB.RegWrite
and (MEM/WB.RegisterRd ≠ 0)
and not(EX/MEM.RegWrite and (EX/MEM.RegisterRd ≠ 0)
and (EX/MEM.RegisterRd ≠ ID/EX.RegisterRs2))
and (MEM/WB.RegisterRd = ID/EX.RegisterRs2)) ForwardB = 01

Datapath with Forwarding




Load-Use Hazard Detection

- Check when using instruction is decoded in ID stage
- ALU operand register numbers in ID stage are given by
 - IF/ID.RegisterRs1, IF/ID.RegisterRs2
- Load-use hazard when
 - ID/EX.MemRead and
$$((ID/EX.RegisterRd = IF/ID.RegisterRs1) \text{ or } (ID/EX.RegisterRd = IF/ID.RegisterRs2))$$
- If detected, stall and insert bubble


How to Stall the Pipeline

- Force control values in ID/EX register to 0
 - EX, MEM and WB do nop (no-operation)
- Prevent update of PC and IF/ID register
 - Using instruction is decoded again
 - Following instruction is fetched again
 - 1-cycle stall allows MEM to read data for 1d
 - Can subsequently forward to EX stage

Load-Use Data Hazard



Datapath with Hazard Detection




Stalls and Performance

The BIG Picture

- Stalls reduce performance
 - But are required to get correct results
- Compiler can arrange code to avoid hazards and stalls
 - Requires knowledge of the pipeline structure

Branch Hazards

- If branch outcome determined in MEM




Reducing Branch Delay

- Move hardware to determine outcome to ID stage
 - Target address adder
 - Register comparator
- Example: branch taken


```
36: sub x10, x4, x8
40: beq x1, x3, 16 // PC-relative branch
                           // to 40+16*2=72
44: and x12, x2, x5
48: orr x13, x2, x6
52: add x14, x4, x2
56: sub x15, x6, x7
...
72: ld x4, 50(x7)
```

Example: Branch Taken




Clock 3

Example: Branch Taken



Data Hazards for Branches


- If a comparison register is a destination of 2nd or 3rd preceding ALU instruction



- Can resolve using forwarding

Data Hazards for Branches


- If a comparison register is a destination of preceding ALU instruction or 2nd preceding load instruction
 - Need 1 stall cycle




Data Hazards for Branches

- If a comparison register is a destination of immediately preceding load instruction
 - Need 2 stall cycles

lw x1, addr



beq stalled



beq stalled



beq x1, x0, target




Dynamic Branch Prediction

- In deeper and superscalar pipelines, branch penalty is more significant
- Use dynamic prediction
 - Branch prediction buffer (aka branch history table)
 - Indexed by recent branch instruction addresses
 - Stores outcome (taken/not taken)
 - To execute a branch
 - Check table, expect the same outcome
 - Start fetching from fall-through or target
 - If wrong, flush pipeline and flip prediction

1-Bit Predictor: Shortcoming


- Inner loop branches mispredicted twice!



- Mispredict as taken on last iteration of inner loop
- Then mispredict as not taken on first iteration of inner loop next time around

2-Bit Predictor

- Only change prediction on two successive mispredictions



Calculating the Branch Target

- Even with predictor, still need to calculate the target address
 - 1-cycle penalty for a taken branch
- Branch target buffer
 - Cache of target addresses
 - Indexed by PC when instruction fetched
 - If hit and instruction is branch predicted taken, can fetch target immediately

Exceptions and Interrupts

- “Unexpected” events requiring change in flow of control
 - Different ISAs use the terms differently
- Exception
 - Arises within the CPU
 - e.g., undefined opcode, syscall, ...
- Interrupt
 - From an external I/O controller
- Dealing with them without sacrificing performance is hard

Handling Exceptions

- Save PC of offending (or interrupted) instruction
 - In RISC-V: Supervisor Exception Program Counter (SEPC)
- Save indication of the problem
 - In RISC-V: Supervisor Exception Cause Register (SCAUSE)
 - 64 bits, but most bits unused
 - Exception code field: 2 for undefined opcode, 12 for hardware malfunction, ...
- Jump to handler
 - Assume at 0000 0000 1C09 0000_{hex}

An Alternate Mechanism

- Vectored Interrupts
 - Handler address determined by the cause
- Exception vector address to be added to a vector table base register:
 - Undefined opcode 00 0100 0000_{two}
 - Hardware malfunction: 01 1000 0000_{two}
 -
- Instructions either
 - Deal with the interrupt, or
 - Jump to real handler


Handler Actions

- Read cause, and transfer to relevant handler
- Determine action required
- If restartable
 - Take corrective action
 - use SEPC to return to program
- Otherwise
 - Terminate program
 - Report error using SEPC, SCAUSE, ...

Exceptions in a Pipeline

- Another form of control hazard
- Consider malfunction on add in EX stage
 - add x1, x2, x1
 - Prevent x1 from being clobbered
 - Complete previous instructions
 - Flush add and subsequent instructions
 - Set SEPC and SCAUSE register values
 - Transfer control to handler
- Similar to mispredicted branch
 - Use much of the same hardware

Pipeline with Exceptions



Exception Properties

- Restartable exceptions
 - Pipeline can flush the instruction
 - Handler executes, then returns to the instruction
 - Refetched and executed from scratch
- PC saved in SEPC register
 - Identifies causing instruction

Exception Example

- Exception on add in

```
40      sub    x11, x2, x4  
44      and    x12, x2, x5  
48      orr    x13, x2, x6  
4c      add    x1,  x2, x1  
50      sub    x15, x6, x7  
54      ld     x16, 100(x7)
```


...

- Handler


```
1c090000      sd    x26, 1000(x10)  
1c090004      sd    x27, 1008(x10)
```

...

Exception Example



Exception Example



Multiple Exceptions

- Pipelining overlaps multiple instructions
 - Could have multiple exceptions at once
- Simple approach: deal with exception from earliest instruction
 - Flush subsequent instructions
 - “Precise” exceptions
- In complex pipelines
 - Multiple instructions issued per cycle
 - Out-of-order completion
 - Maintaining precise exceptions is difficult!

Imprecise Exceptions

- Just stop pipeline and save state
 - Including exception cause(s)
- Let the handler work out
 - Which instruction(s) had exceptions
 - Which to complete or flush
 - May require “manual” completion
- Simplifies hardware, but more complex handler software
- Not feasible for complex multiple-issue out-of-order pipelines

Instruction-Level Parallelism (ILP)

- Pipelining: executing multiple instructions in parallel
- To increase ILP
 - Deeper pipeline
 - Less work per stage \Rightarrow shorter clock cycle
 - Multiple issue
 - Replicate pipeline stages \Rightarrow multiple pipelines
 - Start multiple instructions per clock cycle
 - CPI < 1, so use Instructions Per Cycle (IPC)
 - E.g., 4GHz 4-way multiple-issue
 - 16 BIPS, peak CPI = 0.25, peak IPC = 4
 - But dependencies reduce this in practice

Multiple Issue

- Static multiple issue
 - Compiler groups instructions to be issued together
 - Packages them into “issue slots”
 - Compiler detects and avoids hazards
- Dynamic multiple issue
 - CPU examines instruction stream and chooses instructions to issue each cycle
 - Compiler can help by reordering instructions
 - CPU resolves hazards using advanced techniques at runtime

Speculation

- “Guess” what to do with an instruction
 - Start operation as soon as possible
 - Check whether guess was right
 - If so, complete the operation
 - If not, roll-back and do the right thing
- Common to static and dynamic multiple issue
- Examples
 - Speculate on branch outcome
 - Roll back if path taken is different
 - Speculate on load
 - Roll back if location is updated

Compiler/Hardware Speculation

- Compiler can reorder instructions
 - e.g., move load before branch
 - Can include “fix-up” instructions to recover from incorrect guess
- Hardware can look ahead for instructions to execute
 - Buffer results until it determines they are actually needed
 - Flush buffers on incorrect speculation

Speculation and Exceptions

- What if exception occurs on a speculatively executed instruction?
 - e.g., speculative load before null-pointer check
- Static speculation
 - Can add ISA support for deferring exceptions
- Dynamic speculation
 - Can buffer exceptions until instruction completion (which may not occur)

Static Multiple Issue

- Compiler groups instructions into “issue packets”
 - Group of instructions that can be issued on a single cycle
 - Determined by pipeline resources required
- Think of an issue packet as a very long instruction
 - Specifies multiple concurrent operations
 - ⇒ Very Long Instruction Word (VLIW)

Scheduling Static Multiple Issue

- Compiler must remove some/all hazards
 - Reorder instructions into issue packets
 - No dependencies with a packet
 - Possibly some dependencies between packets
 - Varies between ISAs; compiler must know!
 - Pad with nop if necessary


RISC-V with Static Dual Issue

■ Two-issue packets

- One ALU/branch instruction
- One load/store instruction
- 64-bit aligned
 - ALU/branch, then load/store
 - Pad an unused instruction with nop

Address	Instruction type	Pipeline Stages						
n	ALU/branch	IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB		
n + 4	Load/store	IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB		
n + 8	ALU/branch		IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB	
n + 12	Load/store		IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB	
n + 16	ALU/branch			IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB
n + 20	Load/store			IF	ID	EX	MEM	WB

RISC-V with Static Dual Issue



Hazards in the Dual-Issue RISC-V

- More instructions executing in parallel
- EX data hazard
 - Forwarding avoided stalls with single-issue
 - Now can't use ALU result in load/store in same packet
 - add $x10$, $x0$, $x1$
1d $x2$, 0($x10$)
 - Split into two packets, effectively a stall
- Load-use hazard
 - Still one cycle use latency, but now two instructions
- More aggressive scheduling required

Scheduling Example

Schedule this for dual-issue RISC-V

```
Loop: ld  x31,0(x20)      // x31=array element
      add x31,x31,x21    // add scalar in x21
      sd  x31,0(x20)      // store result
      addi x20,x20,-8     // decrement pointer
      blt x22,x20,Loop    // branch if x22 < x20
```

	ALU/branch	Load/store	cycle
Loop:	nop	ld x31,0(x20)	1
	addi x20,x20,-8	nop	2
	add x31,x31,x21	nop	3
	blt x22,x20,Loop	sd x31,8(x20)	4

- IPC = 5/4 = 1.25 (c.f. peak IPC = 2)

Loop Unrolling

- Replicate loop body to expose more parallelism
 - Reduces loop-control overhead
- Use different registers per replication
 - Called “register renaming”
 - Avoid loop-carried “anti-dependencies”
 - Store followed by a load of the same register
 - Aka “name dependence”
 - Reuse of a register name

Loop Unrolling Example

	ALU/branch	Load/store	cycle
Loop:	addi x20, x20, -32	1d x28, 0(x20)	1
	nop	1d x29, 24(x20)	2
	add x28, x28, x21	1d x30, 16(x20)	3
	add x29, x29, x21	1d x31, 8(x20)	4
	add x30, x30, x21	sd x28, 32(x20)	5
	add x31, x31, x21	sd x29, 24(x20)	6
	nop	sd x30, 16(x20)	7
	blt x22, x20, Loop	sd x31, 8(x20)	8

- $\text{IPC} = 14/8 = 1.75$
 - Closer to 2, but at cost of registers and code size

Dynamic Multiple Issue

- “Superscalar” processors
- CPU decides whether to issue 0, 1, 2, ... each cycle
 - Avoiding structural and data hazards
- Avoids the need for compiler scheduling
 - Though it may still help
 - Code semantics ensured by the CPU

Dynamic Pipeline Scheduling

- Allow the CPU to execute instructions out of order to avoid stalls
 - But commit result to registers in order
- Example

```
ld    x31,20(x21)
```


```
add   x1,x31,x2
```

```
sub   x23,x23,x3
```

```
andi  x5,x23,20
```

- Can start sub while add is waiting for ld

Dynamically Scheduled CPU



Register Renaming

- Reservation stations and reorder buffer effectively provide register renaming
- On instruction issue to reservation station
 - If operand is available in register file or reorder buffer
 - Copied to reservation station
 - No longer required in the register; can be overwritten
 - If operand is not yet available
 - It will be provided to the reservation station by a function unit
 - Register update may not be required

Speculation

- Predict branch and continue issuing
 - Don't commit until branch outcome determined
- Load speculation
 - Avoid load and cache miss delay
 - Predict the effective address
 - Predict loaded value
 - Load before completing outstanding stores
 - Bypass stored values to load unit
 - Don't commit load until speculation cleared

Why Do Dynamic Scheduling?

- Why not just let the compiler schedule code?
- Not all stalls are predictable
 - e.g., cache misses
- Can't always schedule around branches
 - Branch outcome is dynamically determined
- Different implementations of an ISA have different latencies and hazards

Does Multiple Issue Work?

The BIG Picture

- Yes, but not as much as we'd like
- Programs have real dependencies that limit ILP
- Some dependencies are hard to eliminate
 - e.g., pointer aliasing
- Some parallelism is hard to expose
 - Limited window size during instruction issue
- Memory delays and limited bandwidth
 - Hard to keep pipelines full
- Speculation can help if done well

Power Efficiency


- Complexity of dynamic scheduling and speculations requires power
- Multiple simpler cores may be better

Microprocessor	Year	Clock Rate	Pipeline Stages	Issue Width	Out-of-Order/Speculation	Cores/Chip	Power
Intel 486	1989	25 MHz	5	1	No	1	5 W
Intel Pentium	1993	66 MHz	5	2	No	1	10 W
Intel Pentium Pro	1997	200 MHz	10	3	Yes	1	29 W
Intel Pentium 4 Willamette	2001	2000 MHz	22	3	Yes	1	75 W
Intel Pentium 4 Prescott	2004	3600 MHz	31	3	Yes	1	103 W
Intel Core	2006	3000 MHz	14	4	Yes	2	75 W
Intel Core i7 Nehalem	2008	3600 MHz	14	4	Yes	2-4	87 W
Intel Core Westmere	2010	3730 MHz	14	4	Yes	6	130 W
Intel Core i7 Ivy Bridge	2012	3400 MHz	14	4	Yes	6	130 W
Intel Core Broadwell	2014	3700 MHz	14	4	Yes	10	140 W
Intel Core i9 Skylake	2016	3100 MHz	14	4	Yes	14	165 W
Intel Ice Lake	2018	4200 MHz	14	4	Yes	16	185 W


Cortex A53 and Intel i7

Processor	ARM A53	Intel Core i7 920
Market	Personal Mobile Device	Server, cloud
Thermal design power	100 milliWatts (1 core @ 1 GHz)	130 Watts
Clock rate	1.5 GHz	2.66 GHz
Cores/Chip	4 (configurable)	4
Floating point?	Yes	Yes
Multiple issue?	Dynamic	Dynamic
Peak instructions/clock cycle	2	4
Pipeline stages	8	14
Pipeline schedule	Static in-order	Dynamic out-of-order with speculation
Branch prediction	Hybrid	2-level
1 st level caches/core	16-64 KiB I, 16-64 KiB D	32 KiB I, 32 KiB D
2 nd level caches/core	128-2048 KiB	256 KiB (per core)
3 rd level caches (shared)	(platform dependent)	2-8 MB


ARM Cortex-A53 Pipeline





ARM Cortex-A53 Performance



Core i7 Pipeline



Core i7 Performance



Matrix Multiply

■ Unrolled C code

```
1.  #include <x86intrin.h>
2.  #define UNROLL (4)
3.
4.  void dgemm (int n, double* A, double* B, double* C)
5.  {
6.      for (int i = 0; i < n; i+=UNROLL*8)
7.          for (int j = 0; j < n; ++j) {
8.              __m512d c[UNROLL];
9.              for (int r=0;r<UNROLL;r++)
10.                  c[r] = _mm512_load_pd(C+i+r*8+j*n); // [ UNROLL];
11.
12.              for( int k = 0; k < n; k++ )
13.              {
14.                  __m512d bb = _mm512_broadcastsd_pd(_mm_load_sd(B+j*n+k));
15.                  for (int r=0;r<UNROLL;r++)
16.                      c[r] = _mm512_fmaddd_pd(_mm512_load_pd(A+n*k+r*8+i), bb, c[r]);
17.              }
18.
19.              for (int r=0;r<UNROLL;r++)
20.                  _mm512_store_pd(C+i+r*8+j*n, c[r]);
21.          }
22.      }
```


Matrix Multiply

Assembly code:

```
vmovapd    (%r11),%zmm4          # Load 8 elements of C into %zmm4
mov         %rbx,%rcx            # register %rcx = %rbx
xor         %eax,%eax           # register %eax = 0
vmovapd    0x20(%r11),%zmm3      # Load 8 elements of C into %zmm3
vmovapd    0x40(%r11),%zmm2      # Load 8 elements of C into %zmm2
vmovapd    0x60(%r11),%zmm1      # Load 8 elements of C into %zmm1
vbroadcastsd (%rax,%r8,8),%zmm0 # Make 8 copies of B element in %zmm0
add         $0x8,%rax            # register %rax = %rax + 8
vfmaadd231pd (%rcx),%zmm0,%zmm4 # Parallel mul & add %zmm0, %zmm4
vfmaadd231pd 0x20(%rcx),%zmm0,%zmm3 # Parallel mul & add %zmm0, %zmm3
vfmaadd231pd 0x40(%rcx),%zmm0,%zmm2 # Parallel mul & add %zmm0, %zmm2
vfmaadd231pd 0x60(%rcx),%zmm0,%zmm1 # Parallel mul & add %zmm0, %zmm1
add         %r9,%rcx             # register %rcx = %rcx
cmp         %r10,%rax            # compare %r10 to %rax
jne         50 <dgemm+0x50>       # jump if not %r10 != %rax
add         $0x1,%esi             # register %esi = %esi + 1
vmovapd    %zmm4,(%r11)          # Store %zmm4 into 8 C elements
vmovapd    %zmm3,0x20(%r11)        # Store %zmm3 into 8 C elements
vmovapd    %zmm2,0x40(%r11)        # Store %zmm2 into 8 C elements
vmovapd    %zmm1,0x60(%r11)        # Store %zmm1 into 8 C elements
```



Performance Impact



Fallacies

- Pipelining is easy (!)
 - The basic idea is easy
 - The devil is in the details
 - e.g., detecting data hazards
- Pipelining is independent of technology
 - So why haven't we always done pipelining?
 - More transistors make more advanced techniques feasible
 - Pipeline-related ISA design needs to take account of technology trends
 - e.g., predicated instructions

Pitfalls

- Poor ISA design can make pipelining harder
 - e.g., complex instruction sets (VAX, IA-32)
 - Significant overhead to make pipelining work
 - IA-32 micro-op approach
 - e.g., complex addressing modes
 - Register update side effects, memory indirection
 - e.g., delayed branches
 - Advanced pipelines have long delay slots

Concluding Remarks

- ISA influences design of datapath and control
- Datapath and control influence design of ISA
- Pipelining improves instruction throughput using parallelism
 - More instructions completed per second
 - Latency for each instruction not reduced
- Hazards: structural, data, control
- Multiple issue and dynamic scheduling (ILP)
 - Dependencies limit achievable parallelism
 - Complexity leads to the power wall